

Some Remarks on the Infinitesimal Rigidity of the Complex Quadric

Jacques Gasqui and Hubert Goldschmidt

Introduction

Let (X, g) be a compact Riemannian symmetric space. We say that a symmetric 2-form h on X satisfies the zero-energy condition if for all closed geodesics γ of X the integral

$$\int_{\gamma} h = \int_0^L h(\dot{\gamma}(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) ds$$

of h over γ vanishes, where $\dot{\gamma}(s)$ is the tangent vector to the geodesic γ parametrized by its arc-length and L is the length of γ . A Lie derivative of the metric g always satisfies the zero-energy condition. The space (X, g) said to be infinitesimally rigid if the only symmetric 2-forms on X satisfying the zero-energy condition are the Lie derivatives of the metric g .

Michel introduced the notion of infinitesimal rigidity in the context of the Blaschke conjecture, and proved that the real projective spaces \mathbb{RP}^n , with $n \geq 2$, and the flat tori of dimension ≥ 2 are infinitesimally rigid (see [17], [18] and [2]). Michel and Tsukamoto demonstrated the infinitesimal rigidity of the complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^n of dimension $n \geq 2$ (see [17], [21], [6] and [7]); in fact, they proved that all the projective spaces which are not isometric to a sphere are infinitesimally rigid.

In [7] and [9], we showed that the complex quadric Q_n of dimension n is infinitesimally rigid when $n \geq 4$. In the monograph [12], we shall give a complete proof of the infinitesimal rigidity of the complex quadric Q_3 of dimension 3, which relies on the Guillemin rigidity of the Grassmannian of 2-planes in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} proved in [10] and on results of Tela Nlenvo [20].

In this note, we present outlines of some new proofs of the infinitesimal rigidity of the complex quadric Q_n of dimension $n \geq 4$; the