

Appendix C

The proof of Theorem 11.6

In what follows, we put $(a)_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. First we note that (5.15) for $n = 3$ can be written as

$$\nabla_x \phi(x) = (\partial_r \phi(x))\omega - \frac{1}{r}\omega \wedge \tilde{\Omega}\phi(x) \quad (\text{C.1})$$

for $\phi = \phi(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where $r = |x|$, $\omega = |x|^{-1}x$, $\tilde{\Omega} = (\Omega_{23}, \Omega_{31}, \Omega_{12})$, and \wedge denotes the external product in \mathbb{R}^3 . Without loss of generality, we may assume $c = 1$ in the proof of Theorem 11.6. Let u be a solution to

$$\begin{aligned} \square u &= \Phi(t, x), & (t, x) &\in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u(0, x) &= (\partial_t u)(0, x) = 0, & x &\in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Given a set $\mathbf{c} = \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_M\}$ of non-negative numbers, $\mu \geq 0$, $\nu \geq 0$, and $s = 0, 1$, we define

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{c}, \mu, \nu, s}(t) = \sup_{(\tau, y) \in [0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3} |y| \langle \tau + |y| \rangle^\mu \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{c}, -}(\tau, |y|)^\nu \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq s} |(\Omega^\alpha \partial^\beta \Phi)(\tau, y)|.$$

We regard \mathbb{R}^3 -vectors as column vectors. Let \mathbf{O} be an orthogonal matrix, and we put $u_{\mathbf{O}}(t, x) = u(t, \mathbf{O}x)$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{O}}(t, x) = \Phi(t, \mathbf{O}x)$. Then we have $\square u_{\mathbf{O}} = \Phi_{\mathbf{O}}$ with $u_{\mathbf{O}}(0, x) = (\partial_t u_{\mathbf{O}})(0, x) = 0$. We get

$$|\partial u_{\mathbf{O}}(t, x)| = |(\partial u)(t, \mathbf{O}x)|, \quad \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 1} |\Omega^\alpha \partial^\beta \Phi_{\mathbf{O}}(t, x)|^2 = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 1} |(\Omega^\alpha \partial^\beta \Phi)(t, \mathbf{O}x)|^2.$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove Theorem 11.6 for $x = (0, 0, r)$ with $r \geq 0$.

For $0 \leq a \leq 3$, let v_a be a solution to $\square v_a = (\partial_a \Phi)$ with initial data $v_a(0, x) = (\partial_t v_a)(0, x) = 0$. Also, let w be a solution to $\square w = 0$ with initial data $w(0, x) = 0$ and $(\partial_t w)(0, x) = \Phi(0, x)$. Then we have

$$\partial_a u(t, x) = v_a(t, x) + \delta_{0a} w(t, x),$$

where δ_{0a} is the Kronecker delta.

Lemma C.1. *Let $\kappa, \mu, \nu > 0$ and $\theta \geq 0$. If $\mu + \nu \geq \kappa + 1$, then we have*

$$\langle t+r \rangle^{1-\theta} \langle t-r \rangle^\kappa |w(t, x)| \leq C \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{c}, \mu-\theta, \nu, 0}(t).$$

Proof. Since we have

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} |y| \langle y \rangle^{\kappa+1-\theta} |\Phi(0, y)| \leq \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{c}, \mu-\theta, \nu, 0}(0),$$

Theorem 7.2 implies the desired result. □