

## Connection problem

### 12.1. Connection formula

For a realizable tuple  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{P}_{p+1}$ , let  $P_{\mathbf{m}}u = 0$  be a universal Fuchsian differential equation with the Riemann scheme

$$(12.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccc} x = 0 & c_1 = 1 & \cdots & c_j & \cdots & c_p = \infty \\ [\lambda_{0,1}]_{(m_{0,1})} & [\lambda_{1,1}]_{(m_{1,1})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{j,1}]_{(m_{j,1})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{p,1}]_{(m_{p,1})} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ [\lambda_{0,n_0}]_{(m_{0,n_0})} & [\lambda_{1,n_1}]_{(m_{1,n_1})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{j,n_j}]_{(m_{j,n_j})} & \cdots & [\lambda_{p,n_p}]_{(m_{p,n_p})} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The singular points of the equation are  $c_j$  for  $j = 0, \dots, p$ . In this section we always assume  $c_0 = 0$ ,  $c_1 = 1$  and  $c_p = \infty$  and  $c_j \notin [0, 1]$  for  $j = 2, \dots, p-1$ . We also assume that  $\lambda_{j,\nu}$  are generic.

**DEFINITION 12.1** (connection coefficients). Suppose  $\lambda_{j,\nu}$  are generic under the Fuchs relation. Let  $u_0^{\lambda_0,\nu_0}$  and  $u_1^{\lambda_1,\nu_1}$  be normalized local solutions of  $P_{\mathbf{m}} = 0$  at  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$  corresponding to the exponents  $\lambda_0,\nu_0$  and  $\lambda_1,\nu_1$ , respectively, so that  $u_0^{\lambda_0,\nu_0} \equiv x^{\lambda_0,\nu_0} \pmod{x^{\lambda_0,\nu_0+1}\mathcal{O}_0}$  and  $u_1^{\lambda_1,\nu_1} \equiv (1-x)^{\lambda_1,\nu_1} \pmod{(1-x)^{\lambda_1,\nu_1+1}\mathcal{O}_1}$ . Here  $1 \leq \nu_0 \leq n_0$  and  $1 \leq \nu_1 \leq n_1$ . If  $m_{0,\nu_0} = 1$ ,  $u_0^{\lambda_0,\nu_0}$  is uniquely determined and then the analytic continuation of  $u_0^{\lambda_0,\nu_0}$  to  $x = 1$  along  $(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$  defines a *connection coefficient* with respect to  $u_1^{\lambda_1,\nu_1}$ , which is denoted by  $c(0: \lambda_0,\nu_0 \rightsquigarrow 1: \lambda_1,\nu_1)$  or simply by  $c(\lambda_0,\nu_0 \rightsquigarrow \lambda_1,\nu_1)$ . The connection coefficient  $c(1: \lambda_1,\nu_1 \rightsquigarrow 0: \lambda_0,\nu_0)$  or  $c(\lambda_1,\nu_1 \rightsquigarrow \lambda_0,\nu_0)$  of  $u_1^{\lambda_1,\nu_1}$  with respect to  $u_0^{\lambda_0,\nu_0}$  are similarly defined if  $m_{1,\nu_1} = 1$ .

Moreover we define  $c(c_i: \lambda_{i,\nu_i} \rightsquigarrow c_j: \lambda_{j,\nu_j})$  by using a suitable linear fractional transformation  $T$  of  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  which transforms  $\{c_i, c_j\}$  to  $\{0, 1\}$  so that  $T(c_\nu) \notin (0, 1)$  for  $\nu = 0, \dots, p$ . If  $p = 2$ , we define the map  $T$  so that  $T(c_k) = \infty$  for the other singular point  $c_k$ . For example if  $c_j \notin [0, 1]$  for  $j = 2, \dots, p-1$ , we put  $T(x) = \frac{x}{x-1}$  to define  $c(0: \lambda_0,\nu_0 \rightsquigarrow \infty: \lambda_{p,\nu_p})$  or  $c(\infty: \lambda_{p,\nu_p} \rightsquigarrow 0: \lambda_0,\nu_0)$ .

In the definition  $u_0^{\lambda_0,\nu_0}(x) = x^{\lambda_0,\nu_0} \phi(x)$  with analytic function  $\phi(x)$  at 0 which satisfies  $\phi(0) = 1$  and if  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{1,\nu_1} < \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{1,\nu}$  for  $\nu \neq \nu_1$ , we have

$$(12.2) \quad c(\lambda_0,\nu_0 \rightsquigarrow \lambda_1,\nu_1) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1-0} (1-x)^{-\lambda_1,\nu_1} u_0^{\lambda_0,\nu_0}(x) \quad (x \in [0, 1))$$

by the analytic continuation. The connection coefficient  $c(\lambda_0,\nu_0 \rightsquigarrow \lambda_1,\nu_1)$  meromorphically depends on spectral parameters  $\lambda_{j,\nu}$ . It also holomorphically depends on accessory parameters  $g_i$  and singular points  $\frac{1}{c_j}$  ( $j = 2, \dots, p-1$ ) in a neighborhood of given values of parameters.

The main purpose in this section is to get the explicit expression of the connection coefficients in terms of gamma functions when  $\mathbf{m}$  is rigid and  $m_{0,\nu} = m_{1,\nu'} = 1$ .

Fist we prove the following key lemma which describes the effect of a middle convolution on connection coefficients.