

## Equivariant Whitehead Groups and $G$ -Expansion Categories

Shôrô Araki

*Dedicated to Professor Minoru Nakaoka on his 60th birthday*

### Introduction

As to the classical theory of Whitehead torsions and Whitehead groups the original works by J.H.C. Whitehead [21], [22], [23] and the expository work by J. Milnor [12], 1966, is celebrated. Since then there appeared about 1970 the trials [5], [17] to define Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(X)$  of a space  $X$  and to prove the isomorphism

$$\text{Wh}(X) \cong \text{Wh}(\pi_1 X),$$

where the right hand side is the classical algebraically defined Whitehead group of the fundamental group of  $X$ .

In 1974 S. Illman [7] defined equivariant Whitehead group of a finite  $G$ -CW-complex  $X$ , denoted by  $\text{Wh}_G(X)$ , where  $G$  is a compact Lie group, equivariant Whitehead torsion for a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between finite  $G$ -CW-complexes  $X, Y$  as an element of  $\text{Wh}_G(X)$ , and described the basic properties of  $\text{Wh}_G(X)$ . He tried also to decompose  $\text{Wh}_G(X)$  and to describe it algebraically for abelian  $G$  and proposed to use restricted Whitehead groups  $\text{Wh}_G(X, \mathcal{F})$  with respect to families  $\mathcal{F}$  of closed subgroups of  $G$  in studies of equivariant Whitehead group of  $X$ .

In 1978 M. Rothenberg [13] defined equivariant Whitehead groups and torsions in another way for finite  $G$  and obtained several results making use of them. Among others he obtained a form of equivariant  $s$ -cobordism theorem for smooth actions of compact Lie groups  $G$  in 3.4 by introducing certain other invariants  $\text{Wh}_H^i(\ell)$  for closed subgroups  $H$  of  $G$ . In case  $G$  is finite and  $X^{(H)}$  is connected and simply connected for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  he proved the collection of the invariants  $\text{Wh}_H(\ell)$  coincides with his  $G$ -Whitehead torsion in 3.10. Here we remark that our definition of  $G$ - $h$ -cobordism [3], [9] is different from that of Rothenberg's. Probably, under the assumption of our dimension gap