

REGULARITY THEOREMS FOR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS
WITH NON-SMOOTH COEFFICIENTS

Huy-Quí Bui

0. PRELIMINARIES

We are concerned with the elliptic equation

$$(1) \quad Lu(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha u(x),$$

where the a_α 's are not infinitely differentiable but merely are locally in some Besov space $B_{p,q}^s$ or Triebel space $F_{p,q}^s$. Hereafter we assume that all functions and distributions are defined on \mathbb{R}^n . As

$$Lu(x) = \tau(x,D)u(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \tau(x,\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi, \quad u \in S$$

where

$$(2) \quad \tau(x,\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) (i\xi)^\alpha,$$

and

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx$$

is the Fourier transform of u , one is led to study pseudo-differential operators (ψ dos) whose symbols $\sigma(x,\xi)$ (not necessarily of the form (2)) are not smooth in x . In fact, motivated by applications to equation (1), we proved in [Bui] the following result.

THEOREM 0 (cf. [Bul, Theorem 3]). Assume that $\rho > \rho_B$ (resp. ρ_F) and

$$\|\partial^\beta \sigma(\cdot, \xi)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^\rho} \leq C_\beta (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|}$$

for any multi-index β and for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\sigma(x, D)$ is bounded on $B_{p, q}^s$ (resp. $F_{p, q}^s$).

Unfortunately, there is no good symbolic calculus for the type of symbols in Theorem 0. In fact, Bony [Bo] noted that it is impossible to include in the same algebra all the differential operators of constant coefficients as well as the operators of multiplying by functions in $B_{\infty, \infty}^0$. To overcome this difficulty, Bony [Bo] replaced the ordinary multiplication by an operation he called para-multiplication, a version of which, as given by Meyer [M], will be presented next.

Let ψ be a function in \mathcal{S} such that $\text{supp } \hat{\psi} = \{1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$, and $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}(2^{-j}\xi) = 1$ for any $\xi \neq 0$. Let ψ_j , $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, be such that

$$\hat{\psi}_j(\xi) = \hat{\psi}(2^{-j}\xi), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\hat{\psi}_0(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}_j(\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let a be a bounded function. Then, the para-multiplication by a is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(a, u) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k-3} (\psi_j * a)(\psi_k * u) \\ &= \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-3} \psi_j * a \right) (\psi_k * u), \quad u \in \mathcal{S}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that $\pi(a, \cdot)$ is a ψ do whose symbol σ_a is given by

$$\sigma_a(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} m_k(x) \hat{\psi}(2^{-k}\xi),$$

where

$$m_k = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-3} \psi_j \right) * a.$$

As $\sum_{j=0}^{k-3} \hat{\psi}_j(\xi) = \hat{\psi}_0(2^{-(k-3)}\xi)$, we see that

$$\|m_k\|_{\infty} \leq C \|a\|_{\infty}.$$

Here we adopt the convention that C is a constant which may be different from one occurrence to the next one, and which may depend on the particular parameters appearing in the context. Noting that $\text{supp } \hat{m}_k \subset \{|\xi| \leq 2^k\}$, we derive from the above inequality and Bernstein's theorem (cf. [P, Chap. 3, Lemma 1]) that

$$\|\partial^{\alpha} m_k\|_{\infty} \leq C 2^{k|\alpha|}.$$

Thus, σ_a satisfies

$$(3) \quad |\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \sigma_a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{|\alpha| - |\beta|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

i.e., $\sigma_a \in S_{1,1}^0$. It is well-known that ψ dos whose symbols are in $S_{1,1}^0$ are not even bounded on L^2 . The boundedness property of these operators is investigated in the next section.

Next, we define the spaces necessary for our study. Following Peetre [P] and Triebel [T] (cf. also [Bu2]), we define

$$B_{p,q}^s = \{f \in S' \mid \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{js} \|\psi_j * f\|_p)^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty\},$$

$$F_{p,q}^s = \{f \in S' \mid \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s} = \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{js} |\psi_j * f(\cdot)|)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_p < \infty\},$$

where $-\infty < s < \infty$, $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, and $p < \infty$ for F-space; s, p and q will be as above unless otherwise indicated.

The above two scales of function spaces contain many function spaces appearing in the literature, e.g., the generalized Sobolev space, denoted by L_S^p by Meyer [M] ($1 < p < \infty$), coincides with $F_{p,2}^s$; the Hölder-Zygmund space $C^\rho = B_{\infty,\infty}^\rho$ ($\rho > 0$); the local Hardy space $h^p = F_{p,2}^0$.

1. OPERATORS WITH SYMBOLS IN $S_{1,1}^0$

Our aim is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1 Assume that $\sigma \in S_{1,1}^0$, i.e., σ satisfies (3).

(i) If $s > \max(0, n(1-1/p))$, then $\sigma(x, D)$ is bounded on $B_{p,q}^s$.

(ii) If either

$$0 < p \leq 1, \quad p < q \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad s > n(1/p - p/q),$$

or

$$1 < p < \infty, \quad p < q \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad s > n(1/p - 1/q),$$

then $\sigma(x, D)$ is bounded on $F_{p,q}^s$.

Proof We begin with the proof of (i). We follow the method used to prove Theorem 0. Assume first that σ is an elementary symbol, i.e.,

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m_j(x) \hat{\phi}_j(\xi),$$

$$\text{supp } \hat{\phi}_0 \subset \{|\xi| \leq 2^N\}, \quad \phi_0 \in S,$$

$$\text{supp } \hat{\phi}_j \subset \{2^{j-N} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+N}\}, \quad \phi_j \in S, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

for some positive integer N , and

$$(4) \quad \|\partial^\alpha m_j\|_\infty \leq C_\alpha 2^{j|\alpha|}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$\sigma(x, D)f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m_j(\phi_j * f), \quad f \in S.$$

and for each $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_k * \sigma(x, D)f &= \sum_{j, \ell} \psi_k * [(\psi_\ell * m_j)(\phi_j * f)] \\ &= \sum_{j, \ell} \psi_k * f_{j, \ell}. \end{aligned}$$

By considering the supports of $\hat{\psi}_k$ and $\hat{f}_{j, \ell}$, we derive that there exists a positive integer m such that $\psi_k * f_{j, \ell} = 0$ except for those j and ℓ satisfying

$$(6) \quad 0 \leq j \leq (k - mN)_+ = k^* \text{ and } k^* \leq \ell \leq k + mN,$$

$$(7) \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k^* \text{ and } k^* \leq j \leq k + mN,$$

or

$$(8) \quad j, \ell \geq k^* \text{ and } |j - \ell| \leq mN + 2.$$

Denote the corresponding sum in the right-hand side of (5) by S_k^1 , S_k^2 and S_k^3 , respectively. We shall give the estimates for $k \neq 0$, as the case $k = 0$ can be similarly handled. For each ℓ as in (6),

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k,\ell}^1(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{k^*} |\psi_{k^*f_{j,\ell}}(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{k^*} \int |\psi(y)| |\phi_{j^*f(x-2^{-k}y)}| |\psi_{\ell^*m_j(x-2^{-k}y)}| dy \\ &\leq C(\psi, \lambda) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{k^*} \|\psi_{\ell^*m_j}\|_{\infty} \phi_{j\lambda}^* f(x) \right\}, \quad \lambda > n/p, \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{j\lambda}^* f$ is defined as in [Bu2, p.587]. Now, it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_{\ell^*m_j}\|_{\infty} &\leq C 2^{-2\ell h} \|\Delta_{m_j}^h\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq C_h 2^{2(j-\ell)h} \quad (\text{by (4)}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$(9) \quad S_{k,\ell}^1(x) \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{k^*} 2^{2(j-\ell)h} \phi_{j\lambda}^* f(x).$$

If $0 < p < 1$, then, choosing $2h > s$, we derive from the above inequality

(9) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{ks} \|S_{k,\ell}^1\|_p &\leq C \left\{ \sup_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq k^* \\ k^* \leq \ell \leq k+mN}} 2^{2(j-k+\ell)h+(k-j)s} \right\} \times \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{js} \|\phi_{j\lambda}^* f\|_p)^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,p}^s} \end{aligned}$$

by a maximal inequality (cf. [Bu2, Theorem 2.2]). As a similar estimate holds for $k = 0$, we obtain

$$(10) \quad \sup_k 2^{ks} \|S_k^1\|_p \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,p}^s}, \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

On the other hand, if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then with h as above, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{ks} \|S_{k,\ell}^1\|_p &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{k^*} 2^{2(j-\ell)h+(k-j)s_2} \|\phi_{j\lambda}^* f\|_p \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,1}^s}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$(11) \quad \sup_k 2^{ks} \|S_k^1\| \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,1}^s}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Next, we turn to the estimate for S_k^2 . For each j as in (7),

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad &\left| \sum_{\ell=0}^{k^*} \psi_{k^*} f_{j,\ell}(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \int \psi(y) \left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{k^*} \psi_\ell \right] * m_j(x-2^{-k}y) \left[\phi_j * f \right](x-2^{-k}y) dy \right| \\ &\leq C \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{k^*} \psi_\ell \right\|_1 \|m_j\|_\infty \|\phi_{j\lambda}^* f(x)\| \\ &\leq C \phi_{j\lambda}^* f(x) \end{aligned}$$

by (4) and the fact that $\sum_{j=0}^{k^*} \hat{\psi}_\ell(\xi) = \hat{\psi}_0(2^{-k^*}\xi)$. Thus, it is obvious that S_k^2 satisfies inequalities similar to (10) and (11).

Finally, as,

$$(13) \quad S_k^3 = \sum_{j=k^*}^{\infty} \psi_k^* \left[\left(\left(\sum_{|j-\ell| \leq mN+2} \psi_\ell \right)^{*m_j} \right) (\phi_j^* f) \right],$$

an argument similar to that used in the estimate for S_k^2 shows that

$$\|S_k^3\|_p \leq C \sum_{j=k^*}^{\infty} \|\phi_j^* f\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

and thus,

$$(13)' \quad \sup_k 2^{ks} \|S_k^3\|_p \leq C \left(\sup_{j \geq k^*} 2^{(k-j)s} \right) \|f\|_{B_{p,1}^s} \\ \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,1}^s}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

as $s > 0$ and $j \geq k^* = (k - mN)_+$. To estimate S_k^3 in the case $0 < p < 1$, note that

$$\text{supp } \hat{\psi}_k \subset \{|\xi| \leq 2^{j+mN+1}\},$$

$$\text{supp}[\dots]^{\wedge} \subset \{|\xi| \leq 2^{j+mN+4}\}.$$

Hence, it follows from a convolution lemma [P, Chap.11, Lemma 8] that

$$\|\psi_k^*[\dots]\|_p^p \leq C 2^{jn(1-p)} \|\psi_k\|_p^p \|(\sum_\ell \psi_\ell)^{*m_j}\|_p^p \|\phi_j^* f\|_p^p \\ \leq C 2^{jn(1-p)+kn(p-1)} \|\phi_j^* f\|_p^p.$$

Thus,

$$2^{ks} \|S_k^3\|_p \leq C \left(\sum_{j=k^*}^{\infty} 2^{(k-j)(sp-n(1-p))} 2^{j sp} \|\phi_j^* f\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \\ \leq C \|f\|_{B_{p,p}^s}$$

as $sp - n(1-p) > 0$ and $k - j \leq mN$. Consequently, S_k^3 also satisfies inequalities similar to (10) and (11).

Now, combining the above estimates for S_k^1 , S_k^2 and S_k^3 , we derive that $\sigma(x, D)$ is bounded from $B_{p,p}^s$ into $B_{p,\infty}^s$ if $0 < p < 1$ and $s > n(1/p - 1)$, and $\sigma(x, D)$ is bounded from $B_{p,1}^s$ into $B_{p,\infty}^s$ if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $s > 0$. Hence, $\sigma(x, D)$ is bounded on $B_{p,q}^s$ if $s > \max(0, n(1/p - 1))$ by real interpolation (cf. [Bu2, Theorem 3.3(i)]). The proof of (i) for elementary symbols is thus complete.

We now turn to the proof of (ii) in the case when σ is elementary. First, observe that (9) implies

$$(14) \quad \sup_{k \geq 0} 2^{ks} |S_k^1(x)| \leq C \sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{js} \phi_{j\lambda}^* f(x).$$

Next, by (10) we see that S_k^2 also satisfies an inequality similar to (14). Finally, it follows from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{ks} |S_k^3(x)| &= 2^{ks} \left| \sum_{j=k^*}^{\infty} \int \psi(y) [(\Sigma_{\ell} \psi_{\ell}) * m_j(x - 2^{-k}y)] \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (\phi_j * f)(x - 2^{-k}y) dy \right| \\ &\leq 2^{ks} \sum_{j=k^*}^{\infty} \|(\Sigma_{\ell} \psi_{\ell}) * m_j\|_{\infty} \int |\psi(y)| (1 + 2^{j-k}|y|)^{\lambda} \frac{|\phi_j * f(x - 2^{-k}y)|}{(1 + 2^j|2^{-k}y|)^{\lambda}} dy \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{j=k^*}^{\infty} 2^{ks} 2^{(j-k)\lambda} \phi_{j\lambda}^* f(x) \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{j=k^*}^{\infty} 2^{(j-k)(\lambda-s)} \right) \left(\sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{js} \phi_{j\lambda}^* f(x) \right) \\ &\leq C \sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{js} \phi_{j\lambda}^* f(x) \quad \text{for } \lambda > s > n/p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from a maximal inequality (cf. [Bu2, Theorem 2.2]) that $\sigma(x,D)$ is bounded on $F_{p,\infty}^s$ if $s > n/p$. Now, if p, q and s satisfy the assumptions of (ii) of the theorem, then we can choose $s_0, s_1, p_0, p_1, \theta$ such that

$$s_0 < s < s_1, p_0 < p < p_1, 0 < \theta < 1,$$

$$s_0 > \max(0, n(1-1/p_0)), s_1 > n/p_1,$$

$$s = (1-\theta)s_0 + \theta s_1, \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}, \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0}.$$

Part (i) of the theorem implies that $\sigma(x,D)$ is bounded on

$F_{p_0,p_0}^{s_0}$ ($= B_{p_0,p_0}^{s_0}$), while the above implies that $\sigma(x,D)$ is bounded on

$F_{p_1,\infty}^{s_1}$. Thus, the desired result (ii) follows from complex interpolation ([T, Theorem 2.4.7 (ii)]).

The conclusion of the theorem for general symbols follows from that for elementary symbols by a standard method (cf. [C-F] or [Bul, Proof of Theorem 3]).

2 REGULARITY THEOREMS FOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

We return to equation (1). Assume that $Lu = f$, and that

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} \text{the } a_\alpha \text{'s, } u \text{ and } f \text{ are locally} \\ \text{in } B_{p,q}^s \text{ (resp. } F_{p,q}^s \text{)} \text{ at } x_0, \text{ where} \\ s > m+n/p \text{ (resp. } s > m + n/p, 0 < p < q \leq \infty). \end{cases}$$

As our aim is to show that u is locally in $B_{p,q}^{s+m}$ (resp. $F_{p,q}^{s+m}$) at x_0 , by multiplying by appropriate C_0^∞ -functions, we may assume that the a_α 's, u and f are in $B_{p,q}^s$ (resp. $F_{p,q}^s$). We shall give details only for the B -space

case, because the other case can be similarly handled. By the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$(16) \quad u_\alpha = \partial^\alpha u \in B_{p,q}^{s-|\alpha|} \subset B_{p,q}^{s-m} \subset B_{\infty,\infty}^{s-m-n/p} = B_{\infty,\infty}^r,$$

$$(r = s - m - n/p > 0),$$

so that Lu is defined pointwise. Now,

$$(17) \quad Lu = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha \partial^\alpha u = \sum_\alpha \pi(a_\alpha, u_\alpha) + \sum_\alpha \pi(u_\alpha, a_\alpha) + \sum_\alpha R(a_\alpha, u_\alpha).$$

As each $u_\alpha \in L^\infty$ by (16), $\pi(u_\alpha, \cdot)$ is a ψ do with symbol in $S_{1,1}^0$, and thus,

$$(18) \quad \sum_\alpha \pi(u_\alpha, a_\alpha) \in B_{p,q}^s$$

by Theorem 1. On the other hand, for each α ,

$$\begin{aligned} R(a_\alpha, u_\alpha) &= \sum_{|j-k| < 3} (\psi_j * a_\alpha)(\psi_k * u_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=(k-2)_+}^{k+2} \psi_j * a_\alpha \right) (\psi_k * u_\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

and hence, $R(a_\alpha, \cdot)$ is a ψ do whose symbol is given by

$$\sigma_\alpha(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_{k,\alpha}(x) \hat{\psi}_k(\xi),$$

where

$$m_{k,\alpha} = \sum_{j=(k-2)_+}^{k+2} (\psi_j * a_\alpha).$$

As $a_\alpha \in B_{p,q}^s \subset B_{\infty,\infty}^{m+r}$ (cf. (16)), we derive from Bernstein's theorem that

$$\|\partial^{\gamma} Y_{m, \alpha}\|_{\infty} \leq C 2^k (|\gamma|^{-m-r}).$$

Consequently,

$$|\partial_x^{\gamma} \partial_{\xi}^{\beta} \sigma_{\alpha}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\gamma, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{-(m+r) - |\beta| + |\gamma|},$$

i.e., $\sigma_{\alpha} \in S_{1,1}^{-m-r}$. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$(19) \quad R(a_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) \in B_{p,q}^{s-|\alpha|+m+r} \subset B_{p,q}^{s+r}.$$

Combining (17), (18) and (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} \pi(a_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) &= f - \sum_{\alpha} \pi(u_{\alpha}, a_{\alpha}) - \sum_{\alpha} R(a_{\alpha}, u_{\alpha}) \\ &= g \in B_{p,q}^s. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $v = (I - \Delta)^{m/2} u \in B_{p,q}^{s-m}$, we derive the following pseudo-differential equation

$$Av = \sum_{\alpha} \pi(a_{\alpha}, \cdot) \circ \partial^{\alpha} \circ (I - \Delta)^{-m/2} v = g.$$

Assume now that (x_0, ξ_0) is non-characteristic with respect to L , i.e.,

$$(20) \quad \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x_0) (i\xi_0)^{\alpha} \neq 0.$$

Then, as the symbol of A is given by

$$\sigma_A(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k-3} \psi_j \right] * a_{\alpha}(x) \times (i\xi)^{\alpha} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-m/2} \hat{\psi}(2^{-k}\xi),$$

it follows from (20) that

$$(21) \quad \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |\sigma_A(x_0, \lambda \xi_0)| > 0.$$

Also, it is easy to verify that

$$\|\partial^\beta \sigma_A(\cdot, \xi)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{m+r}} \leq C_\beta (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|},$$

and for each fixed ξ ,

$$\text{supp } \hat{\sigma}_A(\eta, \xi) \subset \{|\eta| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\xi|\}.$$

Thus, σ_A is in the class B_{r+m} defined by Meyer. This fact, (21) and [M, Proposition 4] imply that there exist $\tau \in S_{1,1}^0$, $\rho \in S_{1,1}^{-m-r}$, $\theta \in C_0^\infty$, $\mu \in C^\infty$ such that

$$\theta(x_0) = 1, \mu(\xi_0) \neq 0$$

$$\mu(\lambda \xi) = \mu(\xi) \text{ for } |\xi| \geq R_0 \text{ and } \lambda \geq 1,$$

and

$$\tau(x, D) \circ A = \theta(x) \mu(D) + \rho(x, D).$$

As $\tau(x, D)Av \in B_{p,q}^s$ and $\rho(x, D)v \in B_{p,q}^{s+r}$ by Theorem 1, it follows that $\theta(x)\mu(D)v \in B_{p,q}^s$, i.e., v is micro-locally in $B_{p,q}^s$ at (x_0, ξ_0) . Further, if L is elliptic at x_0 , then we can repeat the above argument for every direction ξ_0 , and conclude that v is locally in $B_{p,q}^s$ at x_0 , which implies that u is locally in $B_{p,q}^{s+m}$ at x_0 . Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 2 *Assume that L is elliptic at x_0 , $Lu = f$, and the assumptions (15) at the beginning of §2 are satisfied. Then the solution u is locally in $B_{p,q}^{s+m}$ (resp. $F_{p,q}^{s+m}$) at x_0 .*

3 REMARKS AND FURTHER RESULTS

REMARK 1 Some cases of Theorem 2 have been known. In [B-R, Theorem 2.2], the result is proved for the space $B_{2,2}^s$ ($= F_{2,2}^s = H^s$) by the use of a different class of symbols. On the other hand, Theorem 2 for $B_{2,2}^s$, $B_{\infty,\infty}^s$ and $F_{p,2}^s$ ($1 < p < \infty$) are implicit in the works of Bony [Bo] and Meyer [M]. As seen from the proof of Theorem 2, the main tool, besides the symbolic calculus developed by Meyer, is Theorem 1, and in [M] Meyer showed that ψ dos with symbols in $S_{1,1}^0$ are bounded on $F_{p,2}^s$ ($= L_s^p$ in his notation), $1 < p < \infty$, $s > 0$, and thus Theorem 2 is valid for $F_{p,2}^s$, $1 < p < \infty$, $s > n/p$, without the restriction $p \leq 2$. Meyer's proof of the boundedness of ψ dos relied on an inequality due to Paley (randomization) [M, Lemma 4], and it seems not possible to extend his arguments to the case $q \neq 2$. By complex interpolation of his result and ours (Theorem 1), one can remove the restriction $p \leq q$ in Theorem 1 in some cases, and hence, Theorem 2 is true on any resulting space obtained by such interpolation.

REMARK 2 It is also a routine matter to extend the result of Bony and Meyer (cf. [M, Théorème 6]) on non-linear equations to our space $B_{p,q}^s$ and $F_{p,q}^s$, because the key tool is again Theorem 1. In fact, part of our result, Theorem 1(i) and the application to non-linear equations, has been also given in the author's talk [Bu3].

REMARK 3 This remark concerns with the extension of the results to weighted spaces. Theorem 0 has been extended to weighted spaces, where the weight function is in the class A_∞ of Muckenhoupt (cf. [Bu 2, Remark 3.4(c)]). The proof of Theorem 1 has been done in a way that it can be extended to some weighted spaces. In fact, the estimates for S_k^1 and S_k^2 are based on maximal inequalities and hence are readily extended to weighted spaces via the results in [Bu2]. As for S_k^3 , if $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$, then (13) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_k^3\|_{p,w} &\leq \sum_{j=k^*}^{\infty} \|M([\dots])\|_{p,w} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=k^*}^{\infty} \|[\dots]\|_{p,w} \end{aligned}$$

by the weighted estimate for the Hardy maximal function. (Here M denotes the Hardy maximal function.) Thus, (13)' holds also for the weighted case and hence, it follows that the weighted version of Theorem 1(i) is valid if $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $w \in A_p$ (by the interpolation theorem in [Bu2]). Consequently, we see that Theorem 2 is true for $B_{p,q}^{s,w}$ if furthermore $w \in M_d$ and $s > m + d/p$ (the last two assumptions are made to ensure that we still have Sobolev embedding theorem (cf. [Bu2, Theorem 2.6 (v)]), so that $Lu = f$ is defined pointwise). It remains an open question to extend Theorems 1 and 2 to other cases (e.g., $w \in A_\infty$, $0 < p \leq 1$, etc.).

REFERENCES

- [B-R] M. Beals and M. Reed, *Microlocal regularity theorems for nonsmooth pseudodifferential operators and applications to nonlinear problems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 285 (1984), 159-184.

- [Bo] J-M. Bony, *Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 14 (1981), 209-246.
- [Bu1] H-Q. Bui, *On Besov, Hardy and Triebel spaces for $0 < p \leq 1$* , Ark. Mat. 21 (1983), 169-184.
- [Bu2] H-Q. Bui, *Weighted Besov and Triebel spaces: Interpolation by the real method*, Hiroshima Math. J. 12 (1982), 581-605.
- [Bu3] H-Q. Bui, *Some recent topics in function spaces*, Lecture given at the meeting on "Potential theory and related topics", Karuizawa, Japan, 1982.
- [C-M] R.R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Au-delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Astérisque 57, Soc. Math. France, 1978.
- [M] Y. Meyer, *Remarques sur un théorème de J.M. Bony*, Prépublications, Univ. Paris-Sud, Orsay, France, 1980.
- [P] J. Peetre, *New thoughts on Besov spaces*, Duke Univ. Press, Durham, 1976.
- [T] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Birkhäuser, Basel. Boston. Stuttgart, 1983.

Department of Pure Mathematics,
University of Sydney,
Sydney, N.S.W. 2006.

Address from January 1987:
Department of Mathematics,
University of Canterbury,
Christchurch 1,
New Zealand.