

ON THE BLOW-UP BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF SCALAR CURVATURE EQUATION AND ITS APPLICATION

CHANG-SHOU LIN

1. INTRODUCTION

In this expository article, I want to report the recent joint work with Chiun-Chuen Chen. Consider positive smooth solutions of the scalar curvature equation

$$\Delta u + K(x)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n, \quad (1)$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator, $K(x)$ is a positive C^1 function and $n \geq 3$. Throughout the paper, we always assume that $K(x)$ is bounded between two positive constants. One of the motivations in studying equation (1) arises from the problem of prescribing scalar curvature in conformal geometry. Let (M, g_0) be a n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and $K(x)$ be a given smooth function on M , we would like to find a metric g conformal to g_0 such that K is the scalar curvature of g . Set $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g_0$ for some positive function u , then the problem above is equivalent to finding positive smooth solutions of

$$\frac{n-1}{4(n-2)}\Delta_0 u - k_0 u + K(x)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } M, \quad (2)$$

where Δ_0 denotes the Beltrami-Laplace operator of (M, g_0) and $k_0(x)$ is the scalar curvature of g_0 . When (M, g_0) is the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n , then we have $k_0 \equiv 0$ and equation (2) reduces to (1) after an appropriate scaling.

For the case $K(x) \equiv$ a positive constant, say $K(x) \equiv n(n-2)$, and $\Omega \equiv \mathbf{R}^n$, all solutions of equation (1) can be completely classified.

Theorem 1.1. (Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck) *Any positive smooth solution u of*

$$\Delta u + n(n-2)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n$$

must satisfy

$$u(x) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2 |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

It is not difficult to see that

(i) The total energy, which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 &= n(n-2) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \\ &= [n(n-2)]^{1-\frac{n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

is independent of λ . Here S_n is the Sobolev best constant. And the energy is concentrated in a small neigh of x_0 (say $x_0 = 0$), i.e., for any $\delta > 0$

$$\int_{|x| \geq \delta} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(x) dx = O(\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}})$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$.

(ii) Denote $M = \max_{\mathbf{R}^n} u = \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$. Then

$$u(x) \leq M^{-1} |x|^{2-n},$$

i.e.,

$$\min_{|x| \geq \delta} u = O(M^{-1}).$$

(iii) Let $w(r) \equiv u(r)r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} = \left(\frac{\lambda r}{1 + \lambda^2 r^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$. Then $w(r)$ has a unique critical point in $r > 0$, i.e., the maximum point $r = \lambda^{-1}$. (the property (iii) was first observed by R. Schoen. It is an important notion concerning the below-up behavior.)

Obviously, the difficulty for studying equation (1) comes from the concentration phenomenon mentioned above. Of course, it is of great interest to study the blow-up behavior of solution of (1) when $K(x)$ is not a constant function. (or even $K(x) \equiv$ a constant, but solutions u is not defined in the whole space \mathbf{R}^n .) In the following sections, we will discuss the blow up behavior and see what is the property of K affecting the blow-up behavior of a sequence of solutions of (1). Before going into the next section, we would like to point out that a Harnack-type inequality holds for solutions of equation (1) with a constant $K(x)$.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any solution u of*

$$\Delta u + u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } |x| \leq 2R,$$

the inequality,

$$\left(\max_{|x| \leq R} u\right) \left(\min_{|x| \leq 2R} u\right) \leq \frac{c}{R^{n-2}}$$

holds.

Theorem 1.2 was proved in [CLn1], where a more general nonlinear term was considered.

2. SIMPLE BLOW-UPS

Let u_i be a sequence of solutions of equation (1). A point x_0 is called a *blow up point* if there exists a sequence of x_i such that $x_0 = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} x_i$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} u_i(x_i) = +\infty$. Following R. Schoen, a *blow-up point* x_0 is called *isolated* if there exists a local maximum x_i of u_i such that

$$u_i(x_i + x) \leq c|x|^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \delta_0, \quad (3)$$

where both constants c and δ_0 are independent of i . Note that if x_0 is an isolated blow up point, then u_i is uniformly bounded in any compact set of $B_{\delta_0}(x_0) \setminus \{x_0\}$. Thus we let $M_i = \max_{|x-x_0| \leq \delta_0} u_i(x) = u_i(x_i)$. Obviously, $x_i \rightarrow x_0$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$. The *blow-up point* x_0 is called *simple* if

$$u_i(x_i + x) \leq cM_i^{-1}|x|^{2-n}. \quad (4)$$

Another notion of the simple blow up is defined originally by R. Schoen in the following. (See [L1]). Let

$$w_i(r) = \bar{u}_i(r)r^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, \quad (5)$$

where $\bar{u}_i(r) = \int_{|x|=r} u$ is the average of u over the sphere $|x| = r$ (for the simplicity of notations, we assume $x_0 = 0$). Then we have

Proposition 2.1. *Let u_i be a sequence of solutions of equation (1). Assume that 0 is an isolated blow-up point of u_i . Then 0 is a simple isolated blow-up point if and only if there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $w_i(r)$ has a unique critical point in $(0, r_0)$.*

Proof. The sufficient part was proved by Y. Y. Li, [L1]. We will give a proof for this part which is different from the one in [L1]. For the proof of Proposition 2.1, we need the following lemma, which can be derived by integrating the differential inequality hold for w . For a proof of Lemma 2.2 below, we refer the reader to [CLn3].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $w(r)$ be defined as in (5) and $r = e^t$. (The index i is omitted for the simplicity.) Then*

(i) *Suppose that w is nonincreasing in (t_0, t_1) and t_1 is a local minimum of w , then*

$$\frac{2}{n-2} \log \frac{w(t_0)}{w(t_1)} \leq t_1 - t_0 \leq \frac{2}{n-2} \log \frac{w(t_0)}{w(t_1)} + C. \quad (6)$$

(ii) *Suppose that w is nondecreasing in (t_1, t_2) and t_1 is a local minimum of w . Then*

$$\frac{2}{n-2} \log \frac{w(t_2)}{w(t_1)} \leq t_2 - t_1 \leq \frac{2}{n-2} \log \frac{w(t_2)}{w(t_1)} + C, \quad (7)$$

where C are a constant depending on n onely.

Return now to the proof of Proposition 2.1.

First, we assume that 0 is a simple blow up point. Let $T_i < t_i$ denote the first local maximum point and the first local minimum point respectively. Suppose the conclusion of Proposition 2.1 does not hold, i.e., $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t_i = -\infty$. By a simple argument of scaling, we have

$$T_i = -\frac{n-2}{2} \log M_i + O(1), \quad \text{and} \quad (8)$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} w_i(t_i) = 0. \quad (9)$$

By (9), we always can find $t_i^* > t_i$ such that $w_i(t)$ is increasing in $[t_i, t_i^*]$ and $t_i^* - t_i \rightarrow +\infty$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$. By (6), (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_i(r_i^*) &\geq c_1 \bar{u}_i(r_i) \geq c_2 M_i^{-1} r_i^{2-n} \\ &= c_2 \left(\frac{r_i^*}{r_i}\right)^{n-2} M_i^{-1} r_i^{*2-n}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $r_i^* = e^{t_i^*}$ and $r_i = e^{t_i}$. Since $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{r_i^*}{r_i} = +\infty$, applying the Harnack inequality, (10) yields a contradiction to (4).

The necessary part follows immediately from the second inequality of (6) and (8).

Q.E.D.

To state our first result, we assume that for any critical point x_0 of K , there exists a neighborhood U of x_0 such that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(K1) For $x \in U$, we have

$$c_1|x|^{\alpha-1} \leq |\nabla K(x)| \leq c_2|x|^{\alpha-1}$$

for some constant $\alpha \geq n - 2$.

(K2) For $x \in U$ we have

$$|\nabla^k K(x)| \leq c|\nabla K(x)|^{\frac{\alpha-k}{\alpha-1}},$$

where $2 \leq k \leq \alpha = n - 2$.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that (i) $K \in C^1$ for $n = 3$, (ii) For $n \geq 4$, at any critical point of K , either (K1) or (K2) is satisfied. Suppose that u is a positive solution of*

$$\Delta u + K(x)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1. \quad (11)$$

Then for any $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$(\max_{B_r} u)(\min_{B_{2r}} u) \leq c r^{2-n}. \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, if u_i is a sequence of solutions of (12), then any blow up point is a simple blow up point.

When u is a global solution defined on S^n , then Theorem 2.2 was proved by Chang-Gursky-Yang for $n = 3$, Schoen-Zheng, for $n = 3, 4$ and Y.Y. Li for $n \geq 4$. In [CLn2], the authors proved Theorem 2.2 via the method of moving planes. For the details, we refer the reader to [CLn2]. An immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 is that any blow up point must be a critical point of K .

3. MAIN THEROEMS

In this section, we always assume $K \in C^1(\bar{B}_1)$ and satisfies the following conditions:

(K3) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $c(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $c(\varepsilon) \leq |\nabla K(x)| \leq c_1$ for $|x| \geq \varepsilon$ where c_1 is a positive constant independent of i and ε .

(K4) The origin is a critical point of K and $K(x) = K(0) + Q(x) + R(x)$ in a neighborhood of 0 where $Q(x)$ is a C^1 homogeneous function of order $\alpha > 1$ satisfying

$$c_1|x|^{\alpha-1} \leq |\nabla Q(x)| \leq c_2|x|^{\alpha-1},$$

and both $R(x)|x|^{-\alpha}$ and $|\nabla R(x)||x|^{1-\alpha}$ tend to zero as $|x| \rightarrow 0$.

Let U_0 be the positive solution of

$$\Delta U_0 + K(0)U_0^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (13)$$

Then, Q in (K4) satisfies

$$[Q] \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \nabla Q(\xi + y)U_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(y)dy \\ \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Q(\xi + y)U_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(y)dy \end{array} \right) \neq \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

The first result in this section is

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $\{u_i\}$ is a sequence of positive solutions of (11). Assume (K3) and (K4) with $1 < \alpha < n - 2$. If Q satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Q(\xi + y)U_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(y)dy > 0$$

whenever $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \nabla Q(\xi + y)U_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(y)dy = 0$. Then u_i is uniformly bounded in $\bar{B}_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Remark 3.2 If $\alpha \geq n - 2$, then Theorem 3.1 does not hold in general. For a counter example, please see [LL].

Theorem 3.3. *Assume (K3) and (K4) hold. Suppose 0 is a blow-up point of a sequence of solutions of (11). Then 0 is an isolated blow up point. Furthermore, the inequality*

$$u_i(x)|x|^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \leq C \quad (14)$$

holds for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Let $u_i(x_i) = \max_{\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}}} u_i$. Then, by (14), we have

$$\xi = \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} M_i^{\frac{2}{n-2}} x_i, \quad (15)$$

In the proof of Theorem 3.3, ξ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \nabla Q(\xi + y) U_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(y) dy = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (16)$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \nabla Q(\xi + y) U_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(y) dy \leq 0, \quad (17)$$

where U_0 is the solution of (13).

By assuming [Q], we have more precise description of $u_i(x)$ near its blow up point.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose (K3), (K4) and [Q] with $\frac{n-2}{2} \leq \alpha < n-2$ are satisfied. Assume 0 is a blow up point of a sequence of solutions u_i . Let $M_i = \max_{\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}}} u_i$, and $m_i = \min_{\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}}} u_i$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$u_i(x + x_i) \leq c M_i^{-1} |x|^{2-n} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq M_i^{-\beta}, \quad (18)$$

where $\beta = \frac{2}{n-2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{n-2}\right)$.

$$u_i(x + x_i) \sim M_i^{1 - \frac{2\alpha}{n-2}} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq M_i^{-\beta}. \quad (19)$$

In particular,

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} m_i = 0 & \text{if } \alpha > \frac{n-2}{2}, \\ m_i \sim 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{n-2}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_1} K(x) u_i^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad \text{if } \alpha > \frac{n-2}{2},$$

and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_r} K(x) u_i^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{if } \alpha = \frac{n-2}{2},$$

where $K(0) = n(n - 2)$ is assumed.

For $\alpha < \frac{n - 2}{2}$, we have

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose the assumption of Theorem 3.4 holds except that α satisfies $1 < \alpha < \frac{n - 2}{2}$. Then*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\bar{B}_{\frac{1}{2}}} u_i^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(x) dx = +\infty.$$

Furthermore, there exists a subsequence of u_i (still denoted by u_i) such that u_i converges to a singular solution u of (11) with 0 as a nonremovable singularity. The conformal metric $ds^2 = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} |dx|^2$ is complete in $\bar{B}_{\frac{1}{2}} \setminus \{0\}$. If we assume 0 is the only zero of

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \nabla Q(\xi + y) U_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(y) dy = 0.$$

Then $u(x) = \bar{u}(|x|)(1 + o(1))$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$.

For the proofs of Theorem 3.1 ~ 3.5, we refer the reader to [CLn3]. As an application, we have

Theorem 3.6. *Let $K(x)$ be a Morse function on S^5 , and satisfy $\Delta K(P) \neq 0$ for any critical point P of K . Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any conformal metric $g = u^{\frac{4}{3}} g_0$ with $K(x)$ as the scalar curvature, we have*

$$C^{-1} \leq u(x) \leq C \text{ for } x \in S^5,$$

Let d denote the Leray-Schauder degree among all solutions. Then $d = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [CGS] Caffarelli, L.A., Gidas, B., and Spruck, J., Asymptotic Symmetry and Local Behavior of Semilinear Elliptic Equations with Critical Sobolev Exponent, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* vol 42, 1989, p.p. 271-297.
- [CGY] Chang, S.Y., Gursky, M.J., Yang, P., The scalar curvature equation on 2 and 3-sphere, *Calculus of variations and partial Differential Equations* 1, 1993, pp. 205-229. exponent, *Duke Math. J.* 78, 1995, pp. 315-334.
- [CL] Chen, W., and Li, C., Classification of Solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations, *Duke Math. J.* vol 63, no.3, 1991, 615-622.
- [CLn1] Chen, C. C. and Lin, C. S., Local behavior of singular positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with Sobolev exponent, *Duke Math. J.* vol 78, 1995, 351-354.

- [CLn2] Chen, C. C. and Lin, C. S., Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equations via the method of moving planes, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, to appear.
- [CLn3] Chen, C. C. and Lin, C. S., Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equations via the method of moving planes, II. preprint.
- [L1] Li, Y.Y., Prescribing scalar curvature on S^n and related problems, Part I. *J. Diff. Eqns.* 120, 1995, pp. 319-410.
- [L2] Li, Y.Y., Prescribing scalar curvature on S^n and related problems, Part II: Existence and compactness, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 49, 1996, pp. 541-597.
- [LL] Lin, C.S. and Lin, S.S., Positive radial solutions for $\Delta u + K(r)u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0$ in \mathbf{R}^n and related Topics, *Appl. Anal.* 38, 1990, pp. 121-159.
- [S] Serrin, J., A symmetry problem in potential theory, *Arch. Rat'l. Mech. Anal.* 43, 1971, pp. 304-318.
- [SZ] Schoen, R. and Zhang, D., Prescribing scalar curvature on S^n , *Calculus of Variation and PDEs* 4, 1996, pp. 1-25.

Department of Mathematic
National Chung Cheng University
Minghsiung, 621, Chia-Yi
Taiwan
e-mail address: cslin@math.ccu.edu.tw