

### III. ON FUNCTIONS OF HIGHER RANK

#### 1. The Algebra of Functions of Higher Rank.

As in the first chapter, we shall denote functions by small letters  $f, g, h, \dots$ . But we shall assume now that with each function  $f$  a positive integer  $r$ , called the rank of  $f$ , is associated. The rank will correspond to the number of variables of  $f$  in the classical notation. Whenever it is necessary to indicate the rank of  $f$  we shall write  $f^{(r)}$  or, where no confusion with powers can arise, briefly  $f^r$ .

Only one operation will be assumed, substitution, denoted by juxtaposition. If  $f$  is of rank  $r$ , then for each ordered  $r$ -tuple of functions  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  there is a function  $f(g_1, \dots, g_r)$ . It is called the function obtained from  $f$  by substituting  $g_i$  at the index  $i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . If a function  $f$  is followed by  $r$  functions in parentheses, separated by commas, it will be understood that  $f$  is of rank  $r$ . If  $g_i$  is of rank  $s_i$ , then  $f(g_1, \dots, g_r)$  is of rank  $s_1 + \dots + s_r$ .

Substitution will be assumed to satisfy the following laws:

##### I. Associative Law.

$$[f(g_1^{s_1}, \dots, g_r^{s_r})](h_1, \dots, h_{s_1 + \dots + s_r}) \\ = f[g_1(h_1, \dots, h_{s_1}), \dots, g_r(h_{s_{r-1}+1}, \dots, h_{s_r})].$$

For some purposes it is convenient to denote the  $s_i$  functions substituted into  $g_i$  by  $h_{11}, \dots, h_{is_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ). In this notation the associative law reads:

$$[f(g_1, \dots, g_r)](h_{11}, \dots, h_{rs_r}) \\ = f[g_1(h_{11}, \dots, h_{1s_1}), \dots, g_r(h_{r1}, \dots, h_{rs_r})].$$

II. Law of a Neutral Element.

$$jf = f(j, \dots, j) = f.$$

III. Law of Depression. If for the function  $f$  of rank  $r > 1$  we have

$$f = f(j, \dots, j, g, j, \dots, j)$$

no matter which function  $g$  we substitute at the index  $i$ , then there exists a function  $f_{(i)}$  whose rank is by 1 less than that of  $f$ , for which

$$f_{(i)} = f(j, \dots, j, g, j, \dots, j), \text{ and thus}$$

$$f_{(i)}(g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}) = f(g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g, g_i, \dots, g_{r-1}).$$

We say of such a function  $f$  that it admits the suppression of the index  $i$ . In the classical notation, a function admitting the suppression of the index  $i$  is one which does not depend upon its  $i$ -th variable, as  $f(x, y, z) = 4 \cdot x + 5 \cdot \log z$  does not depend upon  $y$ .

**Definition:** If for a function  $f$  of rank 1 we have  $fg = f$  for each  $g$ , then  $f$  is called a constant.

If for a function  $f$  of rank  $r$  we have  $f = f(g_1, \dots, g_r)$  no matter which functions  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  we substitute, then we can suppress any  $r-1$  of the indices and thus arrive at a constant function. We may call  $f$  a constant function of rank  $r$ . By substituting  $r$  constant functions into any function of rank  $r$ , we obtain a constant function.

If a function of rank  $r$  admits the suppression of each of its indices, then it is constant. E.g., for  $r = 2$ ,

$$\text{if } f(g, j) = f \text{ and } f(j, h) = f,$$

$$\text{then } f(g, h) = [f(j, h)](g, j) = f(g, j) = f.$$

It is easy to prove that the function obtained from  $f$  by substituting a constant at the index  $i$ , admits the suppression of the index  $i$  if the rank of  $f$  is  $> 1$ , and is a constant if the rank of  $f$  is  $= 1$ .

IV. Law of Identification. Let  $R$  be the set of numbers  $\{1, \dots, r\}$ , and  $R = R_1 + \dots + R_m$  a splitting of  $R$  into  $m$  ( $< r$ ) mutually disjoint, non-vacuous sets  $R_j = \{i_{j,1}, \dots, i_{j,k_j}\}$ . Then for each function  $f$  of rank  $r$  there exists a function  $f_{R_1, \dots, R_m}$  of rank  $m$  such that  $f_{R_1, \dots, R_m}(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  is equal to the function obtained from  $f$  by substituting  $g_1$  at the indices belonging to  $R_1, \dots$ , and  $g_m$  at the indices belonging to  $R_m$ . For instance, if  $R = \{1, \dots, 6\}$ ,  $R_1 = \{1, 2, 4\}$ ,  $R_2 = \{5\}$ ,  $R_3 = \{3, 6\}$ , and  $f$  is of rank 6, then there is a function  $f_{R_1, R_2, R_3}$  of rank 3 such that

$$f_{R_1, R_2, R_3}(g_1, g_2, g_3) = f(g_1, g_1, g_3, g_1, g_2, g_3).$$

Obtaining  $f_{R_1, R_2, R_3}$  from  $R$  corresponds to the formation of  $f(x, x, y, x, z, y)$  from  $f(x_1, \dots, x_6)$  in the classical notation. For each function  $f$  we have  $f_{Rg} = f(g, \dots, g)$ . This is the case  $m = 1$ .

We remark that for each function  $f$  of rank 2, and each two functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  of rank 1, we clearly have

$$[f(g_1, g_2)]_{R^h} = f(g_1^h, g_2^h).$$

V. Law of Permutation. If  $f$  is a function of rank  $r$  and if  $\rho$  is the permutation  $i_1, \dots, i_r$  of the numbers  $1, \dots, r$ , then there is a function  $f\rho$  of rank  $r$  such that for each  $r$ -tuple of constant functions  $c_1, \dots, c_r$  we have

$$f\rho(c_1, \dots, c_r) = f(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_r}).$$

For each function  $f^X$  of rank  $r$  the permutations  $\rho$  for which  $f^X \rho = f^X$ , form a subgroup  $\Gamma f^X$  of  $Z_r$ , the symmetric group of  $r$  elements.  $\Gamma f^X$  is called the group of  $f^X$ . If  $\Gamma f^X = Z_r$ , then  $f^X$  is called a symmetric function.

In formulating this law, we substituted into  $f$  only constant functions, since without this restriction none but constant functions  $f$  would satisfy the law. Indeed, let  $f$  be a function of rank 2, and let  $\rho$  be the permutation 2,1 of the numbers 1,2. If we had postulated the existence of a function  $f\rho$  such that  $f\rho(g_1, g_2) = f(g_2, g_1)$  for each pair of functions  $g_1, g_2$  of rank 1, then by substituting the functions  $h_1, h_2$  into the two above functions of rank 2 we should obtain

$$[f\rho(g_1, g_2)](h_1, h_2) = [f(g_2, g_1)](h_1, h_2).$$

By virtue of the associative law for substitution this equality would imply

$$f\rho(g_1 h_1, g_2 h_2) = f(g_2 h_1, g_1 h_2)$$

for each quadruple of functions  $g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2$ . Applying this formula to

$$g_1 = h_2 = 0, h_1 = j$$

we see that

$$f\rho(0, g_2 0) = f(g_2, 0)$$

for each function  $g_2$ . Now, since  $g_2 0$  is a constant, we see that  $f\rho(0, g_2 0)$  is a constant. Hence,  $f$  would permit the suppression of the index 1. Similarly we could prove that  $f$  would permit the suppression of the index 2. Thus  $f$  would be a constant.

## 2. Sum and Product.

We call a function  $f$  of rank 2 associative if

$$f[f(g_1, g_2), g_3] = f[g_1, f(g_2, g_3)].$$

A constant function  $n$  is said to be neutral with respect to  $f$  if

$$f(n, g) = f(g, n) = g.$$

An associative, symmetric function of rank 2 may be considered as an associative, commutative binary operation. Instead of  $f(g, h)$  we may write  $goh$ . We shall postulate the existence of two such functions  $s$  and  $p$  whose corresponding operations will be denoted by  $+$  and  $\cdot$ , and called addition and multiplication, respectively. We shall postulate the existence of neutral elements denoted by  $0$  and  $1$ , respectively, and shall assume a distributive connection of  $s$  and  $p$ .

In order to establish the connection of these concepts with those of the Algebra of Functions developed in Part I, we remark that the sum of two functions  $g$  and  $h$  of rank 1 considered in Part I, is  $[s(g, h)]_R$  rather than  $s(g, h)$ . For  $s(g, h)$  is a function of rank 2 whereas the sum of two functions considered in Part I was a function of rank 1. We had  $(f + g)h = fh + gh$ . By virtue of the remark following the Law of Identification in the preceding section, this formula (i.e., the a.s.d. law) is indeed valid for  $[s(g, h)]_R$ . In the classical notation,  $s(g, h)$  corresponds to  $g(x) + h(y)$  while  $[s(g, h)]_R$  corresponds to the sum  $g(x) + h(x)$  which we considered in Part I. Similarly the product  $g \cdot h$  of Part I is  $[p(g, h)]_R$ .

### 3. The Algebra of Partial Derivatives.

If  $f$  is a function of rank  $r$ , we introduce  $r$  operators  $D_1$ . We call  $D_1 f$  the partial derivative of  $f$  for the index  $1$ . This operator is connected with substitution and identification according to the following postulates:

$$\text{I. } D_{1j}[f(g_1, \dots, g_r)] = D_1 f(g_1, \dots, g_r) \cdot D_j g_1.$$

Here the symbol  $1j$  refers to the  $j$ -th index in  $g_1$ , in the same way as we could denote the  $s_1 + \dots + s_r$  functions to be substituted into the function  $f(g_1, \dots, g_r)$  by

$$h_{11}, \dots, h_{1s_1}, \dots, h_{r1}, \dots, h_{rs_r}.$$

$$\text{II. } D_1 f_{R_1, \dots, R_m} = \sum_{j \in R_1} (D_j f)_{R_1, \dots, R_m}.$$

Here  $R_1 + \dots + R_m$  is a decomposition of the set  $R = \{1, \dots, r\}$  into non-vacuous, disjoint subsets.

A detailed development of the Algebra of Partial Derivation on this foundation will be the content of another publication.