

## 25 Large $\Pi_2^1$ sets

A set is  $\Pi_2^1$  iff it is the complement of a  $\Sigma_2^1$  set. Unlike  $\Sigma_2^1$  sets which cannot have size strictly in between  $\omega_1$  and the continuum (Theorem 21.1),  $\Pi_2^1$  sets can be practically anything.<sup>11</sup>

**Theorem 25.1** (Harrington [35]) *Suppose  $V$  is a model of set theory which satisfies  $\omega_1 = \omega_1^L$  and  $B$  is arbitrary subset of  $\omega^\omega$  in  $V$ . Then there exists a ccc extension of  $V$ ,  $V[G]$ , in which  $B$  is a  $\Pi_2^1$  set.*

proof:

Let  $\mathbb{P}_B$  be the following poset.  $p \in \mathbb{P}_B$  iff  $p$  is a finite consistent set of sentences of the form:

1. " $[s] \cap \overset{\circ}{C}_n = \emptyset$ ", or
2. " $x \in \overset{\circ}{C}_n$ , where  $x \in B$ ."

This partial order is isomorphic to Silver's view of almost disjoint sets forcing (Theorem 5.1). So forcing with  $\mathbb{P}_B$  creates an  $F_\sigma$  set  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} C_n$  so that

$$\forall x \in \omega^\omega \cap V (x \in B \text{ iff } x \in \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n).$$

Forcing with the direct sum of  $\omega_1$  copies of  $\mathbb{P}_B$ ,  $\prod_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathbb{P}_B$ , we have that

$$\forall x \in \omega^\omega \cap V [(G_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1)] (x \in B \text{ iff } x \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n^\alpha).$$

One way to see this is as follows. Note that in any case

$$B \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n^\alpha.$$

So it is the other implication which needs to be proved. By ccc, for any  $x \in V[(G_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1)]$  there exists  $\beta < \omega_1$  with  $x \in V[(G_\alpha : \alpha < \beta)]$ . But considering  $V[(G_\alpha : \alpha < \beta)]$  as the new ground model, then  $G_\beta$  would be  $\mathbb{P}_B$ -generic over  $V[(G_\alpha : \alpha < \beta)]$  and hence if  $x \notin B$  we would have  $x \notin \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n^\beta$ .

Another argument will be given in the proof of the next lemma.

**Lemma 25.2** *Suppose  $\langle c_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  be a sequence in  $V$  of elements of  $\omega^\omega$  and  $\langle a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  is a sequence in  $V[(G_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1)]$  of elements of  $2^\omega$ . Using Silver's forcing add a sequence of  $\Pi_2^0$  sets  $\langle U_n : n < \omega \rangle$  such that*

$$\forall n \in \omega \forall \alpha < \omega_1 (a_\alpha(n) = 1 \text{ iff } c_\alpha \in U_n).$$

Then

$$V[(G_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1)] [(U_n : n < \omega)] \models \forall x \in \omega^\omega (x \in B \text{ iff } x \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n^\alpha).$$

<sup>11</sup>It's life Jim, but not as we know it.- Spock of Vulcan

proof:

The lemma is not completely trivial, since adding the  $\langle U_n : n < \omega \rangle$  adds new elements of  $\omega^\omega$  which may somehow sneak into the  $\omega_1$  intersection.

Working in  $V$  define  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  iff  $p$  is a finite set of consistent sentences of the form:

1. " $[s] \subseteq U_{n,m}$ " where  $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ , or
2. " $c_\alpha \in U_{n,m}$ ".

Here we intend that  $U_n = \bigcap_{m \in \omega} U_{n,m}$ . Since the  $c$ 's are in  $V$  it is clear that the partial order  $\mathbb{Q}$  is too. Define

$$\mathbb{P} = \{(p, q) \in (\prod_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathbb{P}_B) \times \mathbb{Q} : \text{if } "c_\alpha \in U_{n,m}" \in q, \text{ then } p \Vdash a_\alpha(n) = 1\}.$$

Note that  $\mathbb{P}$  is a semi-lower-lattice, i.e., if  $(p_0, q_0)$  and  $(p_1, q_1)$  are compatible elements of  $\mathbb{P}$ , then  $(p_0 \cup p_1, q_0 \cup q_1)$  is their greatest lower bound. This is another way to view the iteration, i.e,  $\mathbb{P}$  is dense in the usual iteration. Not every iteration has this property, one which Harrington calls "innocuous".

Now to prove the lemma, suppose for contradiction that

$$(p, q) \Vdash \overset{\circ}{x} \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} U_{n < \omega} C_n^\alpha \text{ and } \overset{\circ}{x} \notin B.$$

To simplify the notation, assume  $(p, q) = (\emptyset, \emptyset)$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}$  has the ccc a sequence of Working in  $V$  let  $\langle A_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  be a sequence of maximal antichains of  $\mathbb{P}$  which decide  $\overset{\circ}{x}$ , i.e. for  $(p, q) \in A_n$  there exists  $s \in \omega^n$  such that

$$(p, q) \Vdash \overset{\circ}{x} \upharpoonright n = \check{s}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{P}$  has the ccc, the  $A_n$  are countable and we can find an  $\alpha < \omega$  which does not occur in the support of any  $p$  for any  $(p, q)$  in  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ . Since  $x$  is forced to be in  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n^\alpha$  there exists  $(p, q)$  and  $n \in \omega$  such that

$$(p, q) \Vdash \overset{\circ}{x} \in C_n^\alpha.$$

Let " $x_i \in C_n^\alpha$ " for  $i < N$  be all the sentences of this type which occur in  $p(\alpha)$ . Since we are assuming  $x$  is being forced not in  $B$  it must be different than all the  $x_i$ , so there must be an  $m$ ,  $(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \in A_m$ , and  $s \in \omega^m$ , such that

1.  $(\hat{p}, \hat{q})$  and  $(p, q)$  are compatible,
2.  $(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \Vdash \overset{\circ}{x} \upharpoonright m = \check{s}$ , and
3.  $x_i \upharpoonright m \neq s$  for every  $i < N$ .

((To get  $(\hat{p}, \hat{q})$  and  $s$  let  $G$  be a generic filter containing  $(p, q)$ , then since  $x^G \neq x_i$  for every  $i < N$  there must be  $m < \omega$  and  $s \in \omega^m$  such that  $x^G \upharpoonright m = s$  and  $s \neq x_i \upharpoonright m$  for every  $i < N$ . Let  $(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \in G \cap A_m$ .)

Now consider  $(p \cup \hat{p}, q \cup \hat{q}) \in \mathbb{P}$ . Since  $\alpha$  was not in the support of  $\hat{p}$ ,  $(p \cup \hat{p})(\alpha) = p(\alpha)$ . Since  $s$  was chosen so that  $x_i \notin [s]$  for every  $i < N$ ,

$$p(\alpha) \cup \{[s] \cap C_n^\alpha = \emptyset\}$$

is a consistent set of sentences, hence an element of  $\mathbb{P}_B$ . This is a contradiction, the condition

$$(p \cup \hat{p} \cup \{[s] \cap C_n^\alpha = \emptyset\}, q \cup \hat{q})$$

forces  $x \in C_n^\alpha$  and also  $x \notin C_n^\alpha$ .

■

Let  $F$  be a universal  $\Sigma_2^0$  set coded in  $V$  and let  $\langle a_\alpha \in 2^\omega : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  be such that

$$F_{a_\alpha} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} C_n^\alpha.$$

Let  $C = \langle c_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  be a  $\Pi_1^1$  set in  $V$ . Such a set exists since  $\omega_1 = \omega_1^L$ .

**Lemma 25.3** *In  $V[\langle G_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle][\langle U_n : n < \omega \rangle]$  the set  $B$  is  $\Pi_2^1$ .*

proof:

$$x \in B \text{ iff } x \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} \bigcup_{n \in \omega} C_n^\alpha \text{ iff } x \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} F_{a_\alpha} \text{ iff}$$

$$\forall a, c \text{ if } c \in C \text{ and } \forall n (a(n) = 1 \text{ iff } c \in U_n), \text{ then } (a, x) \in F, \text{ i.e. } (x \in F_a).$$

Note that

- “ $c \in C$ ” is  $\Pi_1^1$ ,
- “ $\forall n (a(n) = 1 \text{ iff } c \in U_n)$ ” is Borel, and
- “ $(a, x) \in F$ ” is Borel,

and so this final definition for  $B$  has the form:

$$\forall((\Pi_1^1 \wedge \text{Borel})) \rightarrow \text{Borel})$$

Therefore  $B$  is  $\Pi_2^1$ .

■

Harrington [35] also shows how to choose  $B$  so that the generic extension has a  $\Delta_3^1$  well-ordering of  $\omega^\omega$ . He also shows how to take a further innocuous extensions to make  $B$  a  $\Delta_3^1$  set and to get a  $\Delta_3^1$  well-ordering.