

## 24 $\Sigma_2^1$ well-orderings

**Theorem 24.1** (Mansfield [69]) *If  $(F, \triangleleft)$  is a  $\Sigma_2^1$  well-ordering, i.e.,*

$$F \subseteq \omega^\omega \text{ and } \triangleleft \subseteq F^2$$

*are both  $\Sigma_2^1$ , then  $F$  is a subset of  $L$ .*

proof:

We will use the following:

**Lemma 24.2** *Assume there exists  $z \in 2^\omega$  such that  $z \notin L$ . Suppose  $f : P \rightarrow F$  is a 1-1 continuous function from the perfect set  $P$  and both  $f$  and  $P$  are coded in  $L$ , then there exists  $Q \subseteq P$  perfect and  $g : Q \rightarrow F$  1-1 continuous so that both  $g$  and  $Q$  are coded in  $L$  and for every  $x \in Q$  we have  $g(x) \triangleleft f(x)$ .*

proof:

(Kechris [50]) First note that there exists  $\sigma : P \rightarrow P$  an autohomeomorphism coded in  $L$  such that for every  $x \in P$  we have  $\sigma(x) \neq x$  but  $\sigma^2(x) = x$ . To get this let  $c : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$  be the complement function, i.e.,  $c(x)(n) = 1 - x(n)$  which just switches 0 and 1. Then  $c(x) \neq x$  but  $c^2(x) = x$ . Now if  $h : P \rightarrow 2^\omega$  is a homeomorphism coded in  $L$ , then  $\sigma = h^{-1} \circ c \circ h$  works.

Now let  $A = \{x \in P : f(\sigma(x)) \triangleleft f(x)\}$ . The set  $A$  is a  $\Sigma_2^1$  set with code in  $L$ . Now since  $P$  is coded in  $L$  there must be a  $z \in P$  such that  $z \notin L$ . Note that  $\sigma(z) \notin L$  also. But either

$$f(\sigma(z)) \triangleleft f(z) \text{ or } f(z) = f(\sigma^2(z)) \triangleleft f(\sigma(z))$$

and so either  $z \in A$  or  $\sigma(z) \in A$ . In either case  $A$  has a nonconstructible member and so by the Mansfield-Solovay Theorem 21.1 the set  $A$  contains a perfect set  $Q$  coded in  $L$ . Let  $g = f \circ \sigma$ .

■

Assume there exists  $z \in F$  such that  $z \notin L$ . By the Mansfield-Solovay Theorem there exists a perfect set  $P$  coded in  $L$  such that  $P \subseteq F$ . Let  $P_0 = P$  and  $f_0$  be the identity function. Repeatedly apply the Lemma to obtain  $f_n : P_n \rightarrow F$  so that for every  $n$  and  $P_{n+1} \subseteq P_n$ , for every  $x \in P_{n+1}$   $f_{n+1}(x) \triangleleft f_n(x)$ . But then if  $x \in \bigcap_{n < \omega} P_n$  the sequence  $\langle f_n(x) : n < \omega \rangle$  is a descending  $\triangleleft$  sequence with contradicts the fact that  $\triangleleft$  is a well-ordering.

■

Friedman [28] proved the weaker result that if there is a  $\Sigma_2^1$  well-ordering of the real line, then  $\omega^\omega \subseteq L[g]$  for some  $g \in \omega^\omega$ .