

23 Martin's axiom and Constructibility

Theorem 23.1 (Gödel see Solovay [101]) *If $V=L$, there exists uncountable Π_1^1 set $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$ which contains no perfect subsets.*

proof:

Let X be any uncountable Σ_2^1 set containing no perfect subsets. For example, a Δ_2^1 Luzin set would do (Theorem 18.1). Let $R \subseteq \omega^\omega \times \omega^\omega$ be Π_1^1 such that $x \in X$ iff $\exists y R(x, y)$. Use Π_1^1 uniformization (Theorem 22.1) to get $S \subseteq R$ with the property that X is the one-to-one image of S via the projection map $\pi(x, y) = x$. Then S is an uncountable Π_1^1 set which contains no perfect subset. This is because if $P \subseteq S$ is perfect, then $\pi(P)$ is a perfect subset of X .

■

Note that it is sufficient to assume that $\omega_1 = (\omega_1)^L$. Suppose $A \in L$ is defined by the Π_1^1 formula θ . Then let B be the set which is defined by θ in V . So by Π_1^1 absoluteness $A = B \cap L$. The set B cannot contain a perfect set since the sentence:

$$\exists T T \text{ is a perfect tree and } \forall x (x \in [T] \text{ implies } \theta(x))$$

is a Σ_2^1 and false in L and so by Shoenfield absoluteness (Theorem 20.2) must be false in V . It follows then by the Mansfield-Solovay Theorem 21.1 that B cannot contain a nonconstructible real and so $A = B$.

Actually, by tracing thru the actual definition of X one can see that the elements of the uniformizing set S (which is what A is) consist of pairs (x, y) where y is isomorphic to some L_α and $x \in L_\alpha$. These pairs are reals which witness their own constructibility, so one can avoid using the Solovay-Mansfield Theorem.

Corollary 23.2 *If $\omega_1 = \omega_1^L$, then there exists a Π_1^1 set of constructible reals which contains no perfect set.*

Theorem 23.3 (Martin-Solovay [72]) *Suppose $MA + \neg CH + \omega_1 = (\omega_1)^L$. Then every $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ of cardinality ω_1 is Π_1^1 .*

proof:

Let $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ be an uncountable Π_1^1 set of constructible reals and let B be an arbitrary subset of 2^ω of cardinality ω_1 . Arbitrarily well-order the two sets, $A = \{a_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and $B = \{b_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$.

By Theorem 5.1 there exists two sequences of G_δ sets $\langle U_n : n < \omega \rangle$ and $\langle V_n : n < \omega \rangle$ such that for every $\alpha < \omega_1$ for every $n < \omega$

$$a_\alpha(n) = 1 \text{ iff } b_\alpha \in U_n$$

and

$$b_\alpha(n) = 1 \text{ iff } a_\alpha \in V_n.$$

This is because the set $\{a_\alpha : b_\alpha(n) = 1\}$, although it is an arbitrary subset of A , is relatively G_δ by Theorem 5.1.

But note that $b \in B$ iff $\forall a \in 2^\omega$

$[\forall n (a(n) = 1 \text{ iff } b \in U_n)]$ implies $[a \in A \text{ and } \forall n (b(n) = 1 \text{ iff } a \in U_n)]$.

Since A is Π_1^1 this definition of B has the form:

$$\forall a([\Pi_3^0] \text{ implies } [\Pi_1^1 \text{ and } \Pi_3^0])$$

So B is Π_1^1 .

■

Note that if every set of reals of size ω_1 is Π_1^1 then every ω_1 union of Borel sets is Σ_2^1 . To see this let $\langle B_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ be any sequence of Borel sets. Let U be a universal Π_1^1 set and let $\langle x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ be a sequence such that $B_\alpha = \{y : (x_\alpha, y) \in U\}$. Then

$$y \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} B_\alpha \text{ iff } \exists x \ x \in \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \wedge (x, y) \in U.$$

But $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is Π_1^1 and so the union is Σ_2^1 .