

(that is to say, the part common to  $a'$  and  $x$ ). The solution is generally indeterminate (between the limits  $a'$  and  $b$ ); it is determinate only when the limits are equal,

$$a' = b,$$

for then

$$x = b + a'x = b + bx = b = a'.$$

Then the equation assumes the form

$$(ax + a'x' = 0) = (a' = x)$$

and is equivalent to the double inclusion

$$(a' < x < a') = (x = a').$$

**31. The Resultant of Elimination.**—When  $ab$  is not zero, the equation is impossible (always false), because it has a false consequence. It is for this reason that SCHRÖDER considers the resultant of the elimination as a *condition* of the equation. But we must not be misled by this equivocal word. The resultant of the elimination of  $x$  is not a *cause* of the equation, it is a *consequence* of it; it is not a *sufficient* but a *necessary* condition.

The same conclusion may be reached by observing that  $ab$  is the inferior limit of the function  $ax + bx'$ , and that consequently the function can not vanish unless this limit is 0.

$$(ab < ax + bx') (ax + bx' = 0) < (ab = 0).$$

We can express the resultant of elimination in other equivalent forms; for instance, if we write the equation in the form

$$(a + x')(b + x) = 0,$$

we observe that the resultant

$$ab = 0$$

is obtained simply by dropping the unknown quantity (by suppressing the terms  $x$  and  $x'$ ). Again the equation may be written:

$$a'x + b'x' = 1$$

and the resultant of elimination:

$$a' + b' = 1.$$

Here again it is obtained simply by dropping the unknown quantity.<sup>1</sup>

*Remark.* If in the equation

$$ax + bx' = 0$$

we substitute for the unknown quantity  $x$  its value derived from the equations,

$$x = a'x + bx', \quad x' = ax + b'x',$$

we find

$$(abx + abx' = 0) = (ab = 0),$$

that is to say, the resultant of the elimination of  $x$  which, as we have seen, is a consequence of the equation itself. Thus we are assured that the value of  $x$  verifies this equation. Therefore we can, with VOIGT, define the solution of an equation as that value which, when substituted for  $x$  in the equation, reduces it to the resultant of the elimination of  $x$ .

*Special Case.*—When the equation contains a term independent of  $x$ , *i. e.*, when it is of the form

$$ax + bx' + c = 0$$

it is equivalent to

$$(a + c)x + (b + c)x' = 0,$$

and the resultant of elimination is

$$(a + c)(b + c) = ab + c = 0,$$

<sup>1</sup> This is the method of elimination of Mrs. LADD-FRANKLIN and Mr. MITCHELL, but this rule is deceptive in its apparent simplicity, for it cannot be applied to the same equation when put in either of the forms

$$ax + bx' = 0, \quad (a' + x')(b' + x) = 1.$$

Now, on the other hand, as we shall see (§ 54), for inequalities it may be applied to the forms

$$ax + bx' \neq 0, \quad (a' + x')(b' + x) \neq 1.$$

and not to the equivalent forms

$$(a + x')(b + x) \neq 0, \quad a'x + b'x' \neq 1.$$

Consequently, it has not the mnemonic property attributed to it, for, to use it correctly, it is necessary to recall to which forms it is applicable.

whence we derive this practical rule: To obtain the resultant of the elimination of  $x$  in this case, it is sufficient to equate to zero the product of the coefficients of  $x$  and  $x'$ , and add to them the term independent of  $x$ .

**32. The Case of Indetermination.**—Just as the resultant

$$ab = 0$$

corresponds to the case when the equation is possible, so the equality

$$a + b = 0$$

corresponds to the case of *absolute indetermination*. For in this case the equation both of whose coefficients are zero ( $a = 0$ ), ( $b = 0$ ), is reduced to an identity ( $0 = 0$ ), and therefore is "identically" verified, whatever the value of  $x$  may be; it does not determine the value of  $x$  at all, since the double inclusion

$$b < x < a'$$

then becomes

$$0 < x < 1,$$

which does not limit in any way the variability of  $x$ . In this case we say that the equation is *indeterminate*.

We shall reach the same conclusion if we observe that  $(a + b)$  is the superior limit of the function  $ax + bx'$  and that, if this limit is 0, the function is necessarily zero for all values of  $x$ ,

$$(ax + bx' < a + b) (a + b = 0) < (ax + bx' = 0).$$

*Special Case.*—When the equation contains a term independent of  $x$ ,

$$ax + bx' + c = 0,$$

the condition of absolute indetermination takes the form

$$a + b + c = 0.$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bx' + c &= (a + c)x + (b + c)x', \\ (a + c) + (b + c) &= a + b + c = 0. \end{aligned}$$