

**Part III**

**SPACES OF COMPLEX  
STRUCTURES**



# Chapter 10

## Virasoro group and its coadjoint orbits

In this Chapter we introduce the Virasoro group  $\text{Vir}$ , which is a central extension of the diffeomorphism group of the circle  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , and study its coadjoint representation. We are especially interested in the coadjoint orbits, which have, along with the natural symplectic form, also a compatible complex structure. These Kähler coadjoint orbits of  $\text{Vir}$  are studied in Sec. 10.3 of this Chapter.

### 10.1 Virasoro group and Virasoro algebra

The Virasoro group is a central extension of the diffeomorphism group of the circle  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ . To describe it explicitly, we find first central extensions of the Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  of  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , being the algebra of tangent vector fields on  $S^1$ .

As we have pointed out in Sec. 4.1, any central extension of  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  is determined by some 2-cocycle  $w$  on the algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ . We extend this cocycle complex-linearly to the complexification  $\text{Vect}^{\mathbb{C}}(S^1)$  of the algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ . The extended cocycle, denoted by the same letter  $w$ , is uniquely determined by its values  $w_{m,n} := w(e_m, e_n)$  on the basis vector fields

$$e_m = ie^{im\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta}, \quad m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

of  $\text{Vect}^{\mathbb{C}}(S^1)$  (cf. Sec. 2.2). The cocycle condition for  $w$ , written for three vector fields  $(e_0, e_m, e_n)$ :

$$w([e_0, e_m], e_n) + w(e_m, [e_0, e_n]) = w(e_0, [e_m, e_n]),$$

implies that the cohomology class  $[w]$  does not change under the action of rotations (generated by the vector field  $e_0$ ). So the cocycle, obtained from  $w$  by averaging over  $S^1$ , belongs to the same cohomology class, as  $w$ . Therefore we can suppose from the beginning that the cocycle  $w$  is invariant under rotations, i.e.

$$w([e_0, e_m], e_n) + w(e_m, [e_0, e_n]) = 0$$

on the basis vector fields  $e_m, e_n$ . Due to the commutation relations for basis vector fields

$$[e_m, e_n] = (m - n)e_{m+n},$$

it means that

$$mw_{m,n} + nw_{m,n} = 0 . \quad (10.1)$$

The latter relation implies that  $w_{m,n} = 0$  for  $m + n \neq 0$ . So we set  $w_m := w_{m,-m}$  and note that  $w_{-m} = -w_m$  due to the skew-symmetry of  $w$ . It remains to find out the values of  $w_m$  for natural  $m$ .

The cocycle condition for  $w$  on three basis vector fields  $(e_m, e_n, e_{m+n})$  means that

$$(m - n)w_{m+n} = (m + 2n)w_m - (2m + n)w_n , \quad (10.2)$$

so we get a finite-difference equation of the 2nd order for the computation of values  $w_m$ . In order to find a general solution of (10.2), it's sufficient to find its two particular solutions. But it's easy to see that  $w_m = m$  and  $w_m = m^3$  are two independent solutions of (10.2). Hence a general solution of (10.2) has the form

$$w_m = \alpha m^3 + \beta m \quad (10.3)$$

with arbitrary complex coefficients  $\alpha, \beta$ .

Note that the cocycle  $w$  with  $w_m = m$  is a coboundary, since in this case

$$w(e_m, e_n) = d\theta(e_m, e_n) = \theta([e_n, e_m]) ,$$

where  $\theta$  is a 1-cochain on  $\text{Vect}^C(S^1)$ , defined by:  $\theta(e_0) = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\theta(e_m) = 0$  for  $m \neq 0$ . So the value of  $\beta$  in the formula (10.3) is not essential. Hence all cocycles  $w$ , defining non-trivial central extensions of the algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ , up to coboundaries, are proportional to each other. In other words, we have proved the following

**Proposition 19.** *The cohomology group  $H^2(\text{Vect}(S^1), \mathbb{R})$  has dimension 1. A general central extension of the algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  is determined by a cocycle  $w$  of the form*

$$w(e_m, e_n) = \begin{cases} \alpha m(m^2 - 1) & \text{for } m + n = 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \\ 0 & \text{for } m + n \neq 0 . \end{cases}$$

We have chosen the parameter  $\beta = -\alpha$  in order to annihilate the restriction of the cocycle  $w$  to the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  in  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ , generated by the vectors  $e_0, e_1, e_{-1}$  (this subalgebra coincides with the Lie algebra of the Möbius group  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  of diffeomorphisms of the circle  $S^1$ , extending to the fractional-linear automorphisms of the unit disc  $\Delta$ ).

We note that the *Gelfand-Fuks cocycle*

$$w(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \xi'(\theta) d\eta'(\theta) , \quad \xi = \xi(\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta}, \eta = \eta(\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} \in \text{Vect}(S^1) ,$$

found in [25], has the basis values, equal to  $w_m = im^3$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

One can also change the value of  $\alpha$ , multiplying the central element by a number. The usual choice for  $\alpha$  (based on physical analogies) is  $\alpha = \frac{1}{12}$ . The corresponding central extension of the algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  is called the *Virasoro algebra* and denoted by  $\text{vir}$ . The Virasoro algebra is generated (as a vector space) by the basis vector fields  $\{e_m\}$  of the algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  and a central element  $\kappa$ , satisfying the commutation relations of the form

$$[e_m, \kappa] = 0 , \quad [e_m, e_n] = (m - n)e_{m+n} + \delta_{m,-n} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \kappa .$$

This central extension of the Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  corresponds to a central extension of the Lie group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , which we describe next.

Since the Frechet manifold  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  is homotopy equivalent to the circle  $S^1$  (cf. Sec. 1.2.1), all  $S^1$ -bundles over  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  are topologically trivial and any central extension of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  is determined by some 2-cocycle  $c$  on  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  (cf. Sec. 4.1). In other words, such a central extension consists of elements of the form

$$(f, \lambda) , \quad f \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1), \quad \lambda \in S^1 ,$$

and the product is given by the formula

$$(f, \lambda) \cdot (g, \mu) = (f \circ g, \lambda \mu e^{ib(f,g)}) ,$$

where  $c(f, g) = e^{ib(f,g)}$  is the 2-cocycle on  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , defining the central extension. The cocycle condition in terms of  $b$  takes the form

$$b(f, g) + b(f \circ g, h) = b(f, g \circ h) + b(g, h) . \tag{10.4}$$

An explicit solution of this functional equation, found by Bott [11], has the form

$$b_0(f, g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln(f \circ g)' d \ln g' .$$

Note that the Bott group cocycle corresponds on the Lie algebra level to the Gelfand–Fuks cocycle of the Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ .

A general solution of (10.4) coincides with  $b_0$  up to a coboundary, more precisely, it has the form

$$b(f, g) = \alpha b_0(f, g) + a(f \circ g) - a(f) - a(g) ,$$

where  $\alpha = \text{const} \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $a$  is an arbitrary smooth real functional on  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ .

The central extension of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , determined by the Bott cocycle, is called the *Virasoro group* (or *Virasoro–Bott group*) and is denoted by  $\text{Vir}$ .

## 10.2 Coadjoint action of the Virasoro group

Consider the coadjoint action of the diffeomorphism group of the circle  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  and its central extension, the Virasoro group  $\text{Vir}$ , on the dual spaces of their Lie algebras.

We study first the coadjoint action of the diffeomorphism group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on the space  $\text{Vect}^*(S^1)$ , dual to the Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  of  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ . The space  $\text{Vect}^*(S^1)$ , dual to the Frechet space  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ , can be identified with the tensor product

$$\Omega^1(S^1) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(S^1)} \mathcal{D}'(S^1)$$

over the ring  $\mathcal{D}(S^1)$ , consisting of all  $C^\infty$ -smooth (real-valued) functions on  $S^1$ . Here,  $\Omega^1(S^1)$  is the Frechet space of  $C^\infty$ -smooth 1-forms on  $S^1$ , and  $\mathcal{D}'(S^1)$  is the space of distributions on  $S^1$ , i.e. of linear continuous functionals on  $\mathcal{D}(S^1)$  (note that  $\mathcal{D}'(S^1)$  is not a Frechet space!). The above tensor product should be taken in the category of topological vector spaces, we recall its definition for convenience.

*Digression 3* (Tensor product of topological vector spaces). The tensor product  $E \otimes F$  of topological vector spaces  $E$  and  $F$  is provided with the projective topology, generated by the seminorms  $p \otimes q$ , where  $\{p\}$  and  $\{q\}$  are families of seminorms on  $E$  and  $F$  respectively. The seminorm  $p \otimes q$  is defined as

$$(p \otimes q)(z) = \inf \left\{ \sum_i p(x_i)q(y_i) : z = \sum x_i \otimes y_i \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible representations of  $z \in E \otimes F$  as finite sums of the form  $\sum x_i \otimes y_i$  with  $x_i \in E$ ,  $y_i \in F$ .

The elements of the completion  $\widetilde{E \otimes F}$  of the space  $E \otimes F$  with respect to this topology in the case of metrizable spaces  $E$  and  $F$  can be given by series of the form

$$\widetilde{E \otimes F} \ni z = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i x_i \otimes y_i,$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i| < \infty$  and the sequences  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $\{y_i\}$  tend to zero in  $E$  and  $F$  respectively.

For the nuclear spaces  $E$  and  $F$  the topology, introduced on  $\widetilde{E \otimes F}$ , coincides with the topology of the uniform equicontinuous convergence (i.e. topology of uniform convergence on the sets of the form  $S \otimes T$ , where  $S$  and  $T$  are uniformly equicontinuous subsets in  $E'$  and  $F'$  respectively).

We return to the dual space  $\text{Vect}^*(S^1)$ , which is identified with the tensor product  $\Omega^1(S^1) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(S^1)} \mathcal{D}'(S^1)$  by the map, associating with an element  $(\alpha, \varphi) \in \Omega^1(S^1) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(S^1)} \mathcal{D}'(S^1)$  a linear continuous functional on  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  of the form

$$T_{(\alpha, \varphi)}(\xi) = \varphi[\alpha(\xi)], \quad \xi \in \text{Vect}(S^1).$$

As in Sec. 8.3, we restrict ourselves to the study of the coadjoint action of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on the "smooth" part of the space  $\text{Vect}^*(S^1)$ , identified with the tensor product of Frechet spaces

$$\Omega^1(S^1) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(S^1)} \Omega^1(S^1).$$

An element  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of this space determines a linear continuous functional on  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  by the formula

$$\text{Vect}(S^1) \ni \xi \longmapsto T_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \beta(\xi(\theta))\alpha(\theta) d\theta.$$

In other words, the smooth part of the space  $\text{Vect}^*(S^1)$  may be identified with the space  $Q(S^1)$  of quadratic differentials on  $S^1$  of the form

$$q = q(\theta)(d\theta)^2,$$

where  $q$  is a smooth  $2\pi$ -periodic function of  $\theta$ .

From another point of view, one can consider  $Q(S^1)$  as a set of pseudometrics on  $S^1$  (the term "pseudo" indicates that the function  $q(\theta)$  may have zeros on  $S^1$ ).

The coadjoint action of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on  $Q(S^1)$  coincides with the natural action of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on quadratic differentials

$$\text{Diff}_+(S^1) \ni f \longmapsto K(f)q = q \circ f^{-1} := q(g(\theta))g'(\theta)^2 d\theta^2 ,$$

where  $g(\theta) = f^{-1}(\theta)$ .

We consider next the coadjoint action of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on the dual space  $\text{vir}^*$  of the Virasoro algebra  $\text{vir}$ . Since the Virasoro algebra coincides with  $\text{vir} = \text{Vect}(S^1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  (as a vector space), we have  $\text{vir}^* = \text{Vect}^*(S^1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . So the smooth part of  $\text{vir}^*$  may be identified with the space

$$Q(S^1) \oplus \mathbb{R} = \{(q, s) : q \text{ is a quadratic differential, } s \in \mathbb{R}\} .$$

The coadjoint action of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on  $Q(S^1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  associates with an element  $f \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  a linear transformation  $\tilde{K}(f)$  of the space  $Q(S^1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , acting by the formula

$$\tilde{K}(f)(q, s) = (K(f)q + sS(f) \circ f^{-1}, s) = ((q + sS(f)) \circ f^{-1}, s) , \quad (10.5)$$

where  $S$  is a 1-cocycle on the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , satisfying the relation

$$S(f \circ h) = (S(f) \circ h) + S(h) . \quad (10.6)$$

A non-trivial particular solution of this equation is given by the *Schwarzian*

$$S[f] = \left( \frac{f'''}{f'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2 \right) d\theta^2 = d^2 \ln f' - \frac{1}{2} (d \ln f')^2 , \quad (10.7)$$

while a general solution has the form

$$S[f] + q \circ f - q ,$$

where  $q \in Q(S^1)$  is a quadratic differential.

*Digression 4* (Schwarzian). A characteristic property of the Schwarzian is its conformal invariance:

$$S \left[ \frac{af + b}{cf + d} \right] = S[f]$$

for any fractional-linear transformation  $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  from the Möbius group  $\text{Möb}(S^1) := \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . This property follows immediately from the transformation rule for the Schwarzian

$$S[f \circ h] = (S[f] \circ h) (h')^2 + S[h] , \quad (10.8)$$

which is just a decoded version of (10.6).

The Schwarzian  $S[f]$  of a diffeomorphism  $f \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  measures its deviation from conformal automorphisms of the unit disc in the sense that

$$S[f] = 0 \iff f \text{ is fractional-linear} .$$

Moreover, one can define the Schwarz derivative  $S[f]$  of any conformal map  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by the same formula (10.7). Then  $S[f]$  measures again the deviation of a conformal

map  $f$  in  $\Delta$  from fractional-linear automorphisms of  $\Delta$ , and the maximal deviation may be explicitly computed. Introduce a natural norm on Schwarz derivatives  $S[f]$ , coinciding with the hyperbolic norm on quadratic differentials in  $\Delta$ :

$$\|S[f]\|_2 := \sup_{z \in \Delta} |S[f](z)|(1 - |z|^2)^2 .$$

There is a following remarkable theorem, known as *Nehari theorem*.

*Theorem 11* ((cf. [49], Theor. II.1.3)). *For any conformal map  $f$  of the unit disc  $\Delta$  the following sharp estimate holds*

$$\|S[f]\|_2 \leq 6 .$$

*The upper bound is attained on the Koebe function  $z \mapsto z/(1+z)$ .*

The infinitesimal variant of the coadjoint representation (10.5) is given by the representation of the Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  on the space  $Q(S^1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , defined by the formula

$$\tilde{k}(\xi)(q, s) = (-D_{q,s}\xi, s) , \quad (10.9)$$

where  $\xi = \xi(\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} \in \text{Vect}(S^1)$ ,  $q = q(\theta)(d\theta)^2 \in Q(S^1)$ , and the operator  $D_{q,s}$  has the form

$$D_{q,s} = s \frac{d^3}{d\theta^3} + q \frac{d}{d\theta} + \frac{d}{d\theta} q .$$

What can be said about the orbits of the coadjoint representation of  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ ? The orbit of a regular element  $(q, s) \in Q(S^1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  under the action of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  is completely determined by the isotropy subgroup  $G_{q,s}$  with respect to the coadjoint action. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{q,s}$  of this subgroup consists of vector fields  $\xi = \xi(\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} \in \text{Vect}(S^1)$ , satisfying the condition:  $D_{q,s}\xi = 0$ . In other words, to describe the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{q,s}$ , one should find periodic solutions  $\xi(\theta)$  of the linear differential equation

$$s\xi''' + 2q\xi' + q'u = 0 . \quad (10.10)$$

Referring for the general solution of this problem to the papers [40, 30], we consider here only its particular case, when a regular element  $(q, s)$  has the form  $(q(d\theta)^2, s)$  with  $q \equiv \text{const} =: c$ ,  $s \neq 0$ . In this case the equation (10.10) takes on the form

$$s\xi''' + 2c\xi' = 0 , \quad (10.11)$$

which, after the change of variable  $\eta := \xi'$ , reduces to the equation

$$s\eta'' + 2c\eta = 0 .$$

The latter equation has non-trivial periodic solutions only for  $2c = n^2$ , where  $n$  is a natural number, and all these solutions are linear combinations of the functions  $\cos n\theta$  and  $\sin n\theta$ . In other words, the only periodic solutions of the equation (10.11) for  $\frac{2c}{s} \neq n^2$  are given by constants, while for  $\frac{2c}{s} = n^2$  they are linear combinations of the functions  $1$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} \cos n\theta$  and  $\frac{1}{n} \sin n\theta$ .

The isotropy subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{q,s}$  in the first case coincides with  $\mathbb{R}$ , and in the second case with the algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Respectively, the isotropy subgroup  $G_{q,s}$  in the first case coincides with the rotation group  $S^1 \subset \text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , and in the second case with



the group  $\mathrm{PSL}^{(n)}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , which is the  $n$ -fold covering of the Möbius group  $\mathrm{Möb}(S^1) = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . We have already encountered this group in Sec. 2.2. Recall that a diffeomorphism  $f \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  belongs to the group  $\mathrm{PSL}^{(n)}(2, \mathbb{R})$  if and only if there exists a transformation  $\varphi \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\lambda_n \circ f = \varphi \circ \lambda_n$$

where  $\lambda_n : z \mapsto z^n$  is the map, defining the  $n$ -fold covering of the circle  $S^1$ .

It follows from the description of isotropy subgroups that the coadjoint orbit of a constant element  $(q, s) = (c(d\theta)^2, s)$  coincides with the homogeneous space  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/S^1$ , when  $2c/s$  is not a square of a natural number, and with the homogeneous space  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/\mathrm{PSL}^{(n)}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , when  $2c/s = n^2$ .

As we have explained earlier in Subsec. 3.2.3, all coadjoint orbits have a natural symplectic structure, given by the Kirillov form. In the case, we are considering, the value of this form at a point  $(q, s) \in Q(S^1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  of an orbit  $O$  of the group  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  may be computed in the following way. Let  $\delta\xi$  and  $\delta\eta$  be tangent vectors from  $T_{q,s}O$ , which are the images of tangent vectors  $\xi, \eta \in \mathrm{Vect}(S^1)$  under the map  $\tilde{k}$  from (10.9):

$$\delta\xi = \tilde{k}(\xi)(q, s), \quad \delta\eta = \tilde{k}(\eta)(q, s).$$

Then the value of the form  $\omega_O$  on these vectors is equal to

$$\omega_O(\delta\xi, \delta\eta) = - \int_{S^1} (D_{q,s}\xi)(\theta)\eta(\theta)d\theta.$$

Thus every coadjoint orbit of  $\mathrm{Vir}$  has a symplectic structure. But not all of them can be provided with a compatible complex structure. In fact, among the coadjoint orbits of the group  $\mathrm{Vir}$ , described above, only the orbits

$$\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/S^1, \quad \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/\mathrm{Möb}(S^1) = \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$$

are Kähler (cf. [79]). In other words, only these orbits admit  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$ -invariant complex structures, compatible with the symplectic structure  $\omega_O$ . We shall concentrate our attention on these Kähler orbits.

**Example 29.** We give now an interesting interpretation of the coadjoint action of the Virasoro group in terms of Hill operators, due to Lazutkin and Pankratova [48].

Recall that a *Hill operator* is a differential operator of the 2nd order, having the form

$$L = \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + u(\theta),$$

where  $u = u(\theta)$  is a potential, given by a  $C^\infty$ -smooth  $2\pi$ -periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The corresponding ordinary differential equation

$$y'' + uy = 0$$

is called the *Hill equation*. Its solutions form a two-dimensional vector space  $V$ , provided with a natural symplectic 2-form, given by the Wronskian of two solutions. The shift of a solution  $y$  of the Hill equation  $Ly = 0$  to the period  $2\pi$  transforms

it into another solution, obtained from  $y$  by the action of an operator  $M \in \mathrm{SL}(V)$ , called the *monodromy matrix* of the operator  $L$ .

If  $\{y_1, y_2\}$  is a fundamental system of solutions, i.e. a basis in the space  $V$  of solutions of the Hill equation, then one can reconstruct the potential  $u$  from this system by the Schwarz formula:

$$u(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}S[y_1/y_2](\theta) , & \text{if } y_2(\theta) \neq 0 , \\ \frac{1}{2}S[y_2/y_1](\theta) , & \text{if } y_1(\theta) \neq 0 , \end{cases}$$

where  $S[y]$  is the Schwarzian of  $y$ .

The diffeomorphism group  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  acts in a natural way on the space of Hill operators. Namely, we can associate with any diffeomorphism  $f \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , which lifts to a diffeomorphism  $\tilde{f}$  of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , a transformation, which sends a given Hill operator  $L = \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + u(\theta)$  to another Hill operator  $f^*L = \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + f^*u(\theta)$  with

$$f^*u(\theta) := u(\tilde{f}(\theta)) \cdot (\tilde{f}'(\theta))^2 + \frac{1}{2}S[\tilde{f}](\theta) .$$

Under this transformation a solution  $y$  of the Hill equation  $Ly = 0$  is transferred to a solution  $z$  of the Hill equation  $(f^*L)z = 0$  with

$$z(\theta) := y(\tilde{f}(\theta)) \cdot (\tilde{f}'(\theta))^{-\frac{1}{2}} .$$

Note that, due to the periodicity of the potential  $u$ , the action of  $f$  on potentials does not depend on the choice of the lift  $\tilde{f}$  of the diffeomorphism  $f \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  and so defines an action of the group  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on Hill operators. This action coincides with the coadjoint action of the group  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on elements  $(u, \frac{1}{2})$  of the space  $Q(S^1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , given by (10.5).

But the action of  $f$  on solutions of the Hill equation depends on the choice of the lift  $\tilde{f}$ , because of the monodromy. In accordance with the above formula, solutions of the Hill equation transform under the action of diffeomorphisms  $\tilde{f}$ , as densities of order  $-1/2$  on the line  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The constructed action of the group  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on Hill operators was studied in the Lazutkin–Pankratova’s paper [48]. The authors formulate, in particular, a conjecture that any Hill operator with the help of the above action can be brought to the *Matieu normal form* of the type:

$$L = \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + a \cos(2\pi n\theta) + b .$$

### 10.3 Kähler structure of the spaces $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/\mathrm{Möb}(S^1)$ and $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/S^1$

As we have pointed out in the previous Section, among the coadjoint orbits of the Virasoro group  $\mathrm{Vir}$  only two are Kähler, namely:

$$\mathcal{R} := \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/S^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S} := \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/\mathrm{Möb}(S^1) .$$

In this Section we study their Kähler structure in detail.

As coadjoint orbits of the group  $\text{Vir}$ , these spaces have a natural symplectic structure  $\omega$ , given by the Kirillov form.

We introduce now a complex structure  $J$  on the space  $\mathcal{S} = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1)$ , invariant under the action of the diffeomorphism group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  by left translations. Due to its invariance, it's sufficient to define this complex structure only at the origin  $o \in \mathcal{S}$ .

The tangent space  $T_o\mathcal{S}$  may be identified with the quotient of the Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  of tangent vector field on  $S^1$  modulo its subalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . In terms of Fourier decompositions vector fields  $v = v(\theta)\frac{d}{d\theta} \in T_o\mathcal{S}$  are given by series of the form

$$v(\theta) = \sum_{n \neq -1, 0, 1} v_n e^{in\theta}, \quad v_n \in \mathbb{C},$$

subject to the condition:  $v_{-n} = \bar{v}_n$ . In these terms the restriction of the  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ -invariant complex structure  $J$  to  $T_o\mathcal{S}$  is given by the formula

$$Jv(\theta) = -i \sum_{n>1} v_n e^{in\theta} + i \sum_{n<-1} v_n e^{in\theta}$$

for  $v = v(\theta)\frac{d}{d\theta} \in T_o\mathcal{S}$ . It's easy to see that the constructed complex structure on  $\mathcal{S}$  is formally integrable (i.e. the bracket of two tangent vector fields of type  $(1, 0)$  with respect to this complex structure is again a vector field of type  $(1, 0)$ ). Moreover, this complex structure is compatible with the symplectic structure  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ , mentioned above.

The symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{S}$  together with the complex structure  $J$  define a Kähler metric  $g$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ . In terms of Fourier decompositions this metric can be defined in the following way. Suppose that tangent vectors  $u, v \in T_o\mathcal{S}$  are given by the Fourier series

$$u = \sum_{n \neq -1, 0, 1} u_n e_n \quad \text{and} \quad v = \sum_{n \neq -1, 0, 1} v_n e_n. \quad (10.12)$$

Then the value of the metric  $g$  on these vectors is equal to

$$g(u, v) = 2 \text{Re} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_n \bar{v}_n (n^3 - n) \right). \quad (10.13)$$

The infinite series in the right hand side of (10.13) is absolutely converging, if the Fourier series (10.12) correspond to the vector fields  $u, v$  of the class  $C^{3/2+\epsilon}$  on  $S^1$ .

We turn now to the orbit  $\mathcal{R} := \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/S^1$ . It can be identified (as a homogeneous space) with a subgroup of  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , consisting of diffeomorphisms  $f \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , fixing the point  $1 \in S^1$ :  $f(1) = 1$ .

The embedding of the rotation group of the circle  $S^1$  into the Möbius group  $\text{Möb}(S^1)$  generates a homogeneous bundle

$$\mathcal{R} = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/S^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S},$$

having the unit disc  $\Delta$  as a fibre.

We describe explicitly the symplectic structure on  $\mathcal{R}$ , given by the Kirillov form. This form, being invariant under the left translations of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , is completely determined by its restriction to the tangent space at the origin  $T_o\mathcal{R}$ .

The tangent space  $T_o\mathcal{R}$  is identified with the space  $\text{Vect}_0(S^1)$ , consisting of vector fields  $v = v(\theta)\frac{d}{d\theta}$ , whose coefficients  $v(\theta)$  are  $2\pi$ -periodic functions with zero average:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} v(\theta) d\theta = 0 .$$

In terms of Fourier decompositions tangent vectors  $v \in T_o\mathcal{R}$  are given by the series of the form  $v = \sum_{n \neq 0} v_n e_n$ , subject to the condition:  $v_{-n} = \bar{v}_n$ .

An invariant symplectic structure on  $\mathcal{R}$  is defined by a 2-cocycle  $w$  on the Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}^{\mathbb{C}}(S^1)$ , invariant under rotations. Such a cocycle is determined by its values  $w(e_m, e_n)$  on the basis elements  $\{e_m\}$ . These basis values necessarily have the form (cf. Prop. 19 in Sec. 10.1):

$$w(e_m, e_n) = (\alpha m^3 + \beta m) \delta_{m, -n}$$

for some real  $\alpha, \beta$ . Denote the form, corresponding to the parameters  $\alpha, \beta$ , by  $w_{\alpha, \beta}$ . It's easy to see that it is non-degenerate on  $\text{Vect}_0(S^1)$  if and only if

$$\alpha m^3 + \beta m \neq 0 \quad \text{for all natural } m .$$

The latter condition is satisfied, if either  $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0$ , or  $-\beta/\alpha$  is not a square of a natural number. In the first case the form  $w_{\alpha, \beta}$  is exact (cf. Sec. 10.1), so we choose the second possibility.

The form  $w_{\alpha, \beta}$  defines a symplectic structure on  $\text{Vect}_0(S^1)$ , which can be written in a more invariant way as

$$w_{\alpha, \beta}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(\theta) (\beta v'(\theta) - \alpha v'''(\theta)) d\theta ,$$

where  $u, v \in \text{Vect}_0(S^1)$ . In terms of Fourier decompositions

$$u = \sum_{n \neq 0} u_n e^{in\theta} , \quad v = \sum_{n \neq 0} v_n e^{in\theta} ,$$

we get

$$w_{\alpha, \beta}(u, v) = 2\text{Im} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} (\alpha n^3 + \beta n) \xi_n \bar{\eta}_n \right) .$$

The constructed 2-parameter family of symplectic structures on  $\mathcal{R}$  has a natural interpretation in terms of the coadjoint action of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ . Recall that the orbit of an element  $(c(d\theta)^2, s)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{R}$ , if  $2c/s$  is not a square of a natural number. By identifying the homogeneous space  $\mathcal{R}$  with the orbit of an element  $(c(d\theta)^2, s)$  and providing it with the canonical symplectic structure, given by the Kirillov form, we shall obtain, for different choices of  $(c, s)$  with  $2c/s \neq n^2$ , the two-parameter family of symplectic structures on  $\mathcal{R}$ , constructed above.

Introduce a  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ -invariant complex structure  $J$  on the space  $\mathcal{R}$ . Its restriction to  $T_o\mathcal{R} = \text{Vect}_0(S^1)$  is given by the Hilbert transform, which assigns to a tangent vector  $v \in \text{Vect}_0(S^1)$  the vector

$$(Jv)(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cot \frac{\theta - \psi}{2} v(\psi) d\psi , \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi .$$

In terms of the Fourier decomposition  $v = \sum_{n \neq 0} v_n e_n \in \text{Vect}_0(S^1)$  we get

$$Jv = -i \sum_{n>0} v_n e_n + i \sum_{n<0} v_n e_n .$$

The complex structure  $J$  is formally integrable, i.e. the bracket of two tangent vector fields of type  $(1, 0)$  with respect to this complex structure is again a vector field of type  $(1, 0)$ . Moreover, it can be shown that this complex structure is a unique formally integrable  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ -invariant complex structure on  $\mathcal{R}$ .

The constructed complex structure  $J$  is compatible with all symplectic structures  $w_{\alpha,\beta}$ , so it generates a 2-parameter family of Kähler metrics  $g_{\alpha,\beta}(u, v) := w_{\alpha,\beta}(u, Jv)$  on  $\mathcal{R}$ , given at the origin by the formula:

$$g_{\alpha,\beta}(u, v) = 2 \text{Re} \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} (\alpha n^3 + \beta n) u_n \bar{v}_n \right) ,$$

where  $u = \sum_{n \neq 0} u_n e_n$ ,  $v = \sum_{n \neq 0} v_n e_n \in T_o \mathcal{R}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a Kähler Frechet manifold with a 2-parameter family of Kähler metrics  $g_{\alpha,\beta}$ .

As we know, the existence of a formally integrable complex structure on an infinite-dimensional manifold does not guarantee the existence of an atlas of local complex coordinates on it. We shall introduce local complex coordinates on  $\mathcal{R}$ , following an idea, proposed by Kirillov and Yuriev [44]. Namely, we shall realize  $\mathcal{R}$  as the space of holomorphic univalent functions in the unit disc  $\Delta$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the complex Frechet space of all  $C^\infty$ -smooth complex-valued functions in the closure  $\bar{\Delta}$  of the unit disc  $\Delta$ , which are holomorphic inside  $\Delta$  and vanish at the origin. Let  $\mathcal{A}_0$  be a subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ , consisting of all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , which define a  $C^\infty$ -smooth embedding of the closed disc  $\bar{\Delta}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is an open subset in  $\mathcal{A}$ , which inherits a complex Frechet manifold structure. Denote by  $\mathfrak{S}$  the set of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}_0$ , such that  $f'(0) = 1$ , which is a smooth hypersurface in  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . The functions  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$  are holomorphic and univalent in  $\Delta$ , they define  $C^\infty$ -smooth embeddings  $\bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \overline{f(\Delta)}$  and satisfy the normalizing conditions:  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$ . They can be given by power series of the form

$$f(z) = z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots ,$$

whose coefficients satisfy, according to de Branges theorem, the relations:  $|c_k| < k$ . The coefficients  $\{c_k\}$  may be chosen for local complex coordinates in a neighborhood of  $f(z) \equiv z$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

We construct now a map from  $\mathfrak{S}$  to  $\mathcal{R}$ . For that we associate with a function  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$  the contour  $K := f(S^1)$ . The function  $f := f_K$  maps conformally the unit disc  $\Delta := \Delta_+$  onto the domain  $D_K$ , bounded by the contour  $K$ . Denote by

$$g_K : \Delta_- \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{D}_K$$

the conformal map of the complement  $\Delta_- := \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{\Delta}_+$  of the closed unit disc  $\bar{\Delta}_+$  on the Riemann sphere  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$  onto the domain  $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{D}_K$ , normalized by the conditions:

$$g_K(\infty) = \infty , \quad g'_K(\infty) > 0 .$$

The map  $g_K$  extends to a diffeomorphism of  $\partial\Delta_- = S^1$  onto  $\partial D_K$ . We associate with  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$  the diffeomorphism

$$\gamma_K := f_K^{-1} \circ g_K|_{S^1} .$$

In order to construct an inverse map from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathfrak{S}$ , note that, using an arbitrary diffeomorphism  $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}$ , we can construct a new complex structure on the Riemann sphere  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . Indeed, denote by  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_\gamma$  the smooth manifold, obtained by gluing  $\Delta_+$  with  $\Delta_-$  with the help of  $\gamma$ . In other words,  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_\gamma$  is obtained from the disconnected union  $\overline{\Delta}_+ \sqcup \overline{\Delta}_-$  by the identification of points from  $S^1 = \partial\Delta_+ = \partial\Delta_-$  via the rule:

$$z \in S^1 = \partial\Delta_+ \longleftrightarrow \gamma^{-1}(z) \in S^1 = \partial\Delta_- .$$

The complex manifold  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_\gamma$  is diffeomorphic to the Riemann sphere  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . But, according to the theorem of Ahlfors, there exists a unique complex structure on the Riemann sphere  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . So the two manifolds are biholomorphic to each other, i.e. there exists a biholomorphic map

$$F : \overline{\mathbb{C}}_\gamma \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}} ,$$

which is uniquely defined, being normalized by the following conditions:

$$F(0) = 0 , \quad F(\infty) = \infty , \quad F'(0) = 1 .$$

The biholomorphism  $F$  is given by a pair of functions  $(f, g)$ , where the function  $f$  is holomorphic in  $\Delta_+$  and  $C^\infty$ -smooth up to  $S^1 = \partial\Delta_+$ , and the function  $g$  is holomorphic in  $\Delta_-$  and  $C^\infty$ -smooth up to  $S^1 = \partial\Delta_-$ , while

$$f = g \circ \gamma^{-1} \quad \text{on} \quad S^1 .$$

Setting  $K := f(S^1)$ , we get that  $\gamma = \gamma_K \pmod{S^1}$  (since the normalization of  $F$  does not fix  $\arg g(\infty)$ ).

As it is pointed out by Lempert [50], one can construct the inverse map by using, instead of the Ahlfors theorem, the factorization theorem of Pflüger [62], which asserts that any diffeomorphism  $\gamma \in \mathcal{R}$  may be represented in the form

$$\gamma = f^{-1} \circ g ,$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  have the same properties, as above.

The constructed one-to-one map from  $\mathfrak{S}$  to  $\mathcal{R}$  is smooth and defines a diffeomorphism

$$\kappa : \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S} .$$

It's easy to describe its tangent map

$$d_0\kappa : T_0\mathcal{R} \longrightarrow T_1\mathfrak{S} .$$

The tangent space  $T_1\mathfrak{S}$  is identified with the space  $\Phi$ , consisting of functions  $\varphi$ , which are holomorphic in  $\Delta$ ,  $C^\infty$ -smooth up to  $\partial\Delta$  and normalized by the conditions:  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ . (Indeed, any such vector  $\varphi$  is tangent to the curve  $f_t(z) = z + t\varphi(z)$ , which is contained in  $\mathfrak{S}$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \epsilon$ .) The map  $d_0\kappa$  associates with a vector  $v \in T_0\mathcal{R}$  a function  $\varphi \in T_1\mathfrak{S}$  by the formula

$$2 \operatorname{Re} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) = (Jv)(\theta) ,$$

where  $J$  is the Hilbert transform on  $T_0\mathcal{R}$ . The Hilbert transform  $J$  on  $T_0\mathcal{R}$  corresponds to the multiplication by  $i$  in the space  $T_1\mathfrak{S}$ , hence the map, inverse to  $d_0\kappa$ , is given by the formula:  $v(\theta) = -2 \operatorname{Im} \varphi(e^{i\theta})$ .

It follows from the definition of complex structures on  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  that the homogeneous disc bundle  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$  is, in fact, holomorphic.

We note also that on the Virasoro group  $\operatorname{Vir}$  itself there exists a complex structure, induced by the complex structure on  $\mathcal{R}$ , such that the natural projection

$$\pi : \operatorname{Vir} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}$$

is a holomorphic  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle with respect to this complex structure (cf. [50]).

## Bibliographic comments

The Virasoro group and Virasoro algebra are considered in different books, dealing with infinite-dimensional groups and algebras. Apart from the Pressley-Segal book [65], see also [38, 22]. The coadjoint representation of the Virasoro group and its orbits are studied in [40, 30]. The study of the Kähler structure of the space  $\mathcal{R}$  was initiated by Bowick–Rajeev [14] and Kirillov [41]. A relation between this space and the space of holomorphic univalent functions in the unit disc was established in the Kirillov–Yuriev paper [44].





# Chapter 11

## Universal Teichmüller space

In this Chapter we study the Kähler geometry of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ , which can be defined as the space of normalized homeomorphisms of  $S^1$ , extending to quasiconformal maps of the unit disc  $\Delta$ . It may be also realized as an open subset in the complex Banach space of holomorphic quadratic differentials in a disc. All classical Teichmüller spaces  $T(G)$ , where  $G$  is a Fuchsian group, are contained in  $\mathcal{T}$  as complex Kähler submanifolds. The homogeneous space  $\mathcal{S} = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1)$ , introduced in the previous Chapter 10, may be considered as a "regular" part of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

### 11.1 Definition of the universal Teichmüller space

**Definition 37.** A homeomorphism  $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  is called *quasisymmetric*, if it can be extended to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of the unit disc  $\Delta$ .

This definition agrees with the definition of a quasisymmetric homeomorphism as an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of  $S^1$ , satisfying the Beurling–Ahlfors condition (6.5), given in Sec. 6.1. The equivalence of two definitions is established in the Beurling–Ahlfors theorem in Sec. 6.1.

We denote by  $\text{QS}(S^1)$  the set of all orientation-preserving quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of  $S^1$ . This is a group with respect to the composition of homeomorphisms.

Any diffeomorphism  $f \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  extends to a diffeomorphism of the closed unit disc  $\bar{\Delta}$ , and so to a quasiconformal homeomorphism  $\tilde{f}$  (recall that the Jacobian of a diffeomorphism  $f$  is equal to  $|f_z|^2 - |f_{\bar{z}}|^2$ ). Hence,  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1) \subset \text{QS}(S^1)$ . Since the Möbius group  $\text{Möb}(S^1)$  of fractional-linear automorphisms of the disc is contained in  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , we obtain the following chain of embeddings

$$\text{Möb}(S^1) \subset \text{Diff}_+(S^1) \subset \text{QS}(S^1) \subset \text{Homeo}(S^1) .$$

**Definition 38.** The quotient space

$$\mathcal{T} := \text{QS}(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1)$$

is called the *universal Teichmüller space*. It can be identified with the space of *normalized* quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of  $S^1$ , fixing the points  $\pm 1$  and  $-i$ .

The reasons for choosing the name "universal Teichmüller space" for the introduced object will become clear later.

As we have just pointed out, we have an inclusion

$$\mathcal{S} = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T} = \text{QS}(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1) .$$

Using the existence theorem for quasiconformal maps (Theor. 5 from Sec. 6.2), we can describe the universal Teichmüller space in terms of Beltrami differentials. Denote by  $B(\Delta)$  the set of Beltrami differentials in the unit disc  $\Delta$ . It can be identified, as we have pointed out in Sec. 6.1, with the unit ball in the complex Banach space  $L^\infty(\Delta)$ .

Given a Beltrami differential  $\mu \in B(\Delta)$ , we can extend it by symmetry (cf. Sec. 6.2) to the Beltrami differential  $\hat{\mu}$  on the whole plane. Theor. 5 from Sec. 6.2 implies the existence of a unique normalized quasiconformal homeomorphism  $w_\mu$  on the extended complex plane  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$  with complex dilatation  $\hat{\mu}$ . Moreover, this homeomorphism preserves the unit disc  $\Delta$ , so we can associate with  $\mu$  the quasisymmetric homeomorphism  $w_\mu|_{S^1}$  of the unit circle  $S^1$ . Introduce an equivalence relation between Beltrami differentials in  $\Delta$ :  $\mu \sim \nu$  if and only if

$$w_\mu = w_\nu \quad \text{on } S^1 .$$

Then *the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  will be identified with the quotient of the space  $B(\Delta)$  of Beltrami differentials modulo this equivalence relation:*

$$\mathcal{T} = B(\Delta)/\sim .$$

Or, to put it in another words,  $\mathcal{T}$  coincides with the space of normalized quasiconformal self-homeomorphisms of the unit disc  $\Delta$ .

We can give still another definition of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ , using the extension of a given Beltrami differential  $\mu$  by zero outside the unit disc  $\Delta$  (cf. Sec. 6.2). In more detail, we denote by  $\tilde{\mu}$  the Beltrami differential on the complex plane, obtained by the extension of  $\mu$  by zero outside  $\Delta$ . Then by Theor. 5 from Sec. 6.2 we obtain a normalized quasiconformal homeomorphism  $w^\mu$  of the extended complex plane  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ , which is conformal on the exterior  $\Delta_-$  of the closed unit disc  $\bar{\Delta} \subset \bar{\mathbb{C}}$  and fixes the points  $\pm 1, -i$ . Recall that the image  $\Delta^\mu := w^\mu(\Delta)$  of the unit disc  $\Delta$  under the quasiconformal map  $w^\mu$  is called the quasidisc. We associate with the Beltrami differential  $\mu \in B(\Delta)$  the normalized quasidisc  $\Delta^\mu$ .

Introduce now another equivalence relation between Beltrami differentials in  $\Delta$  by saying that two Beltrami differentials  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are equivalent, if  $w^\mu|_{\Delta_-} = w^\nu|_{\Delta_-}$ . We claim that this new equivalence relation between Beltrami differentials coincides with the previous one. More precisely, we have the following

**Lemma 4.** *Two Beltrami differentials  $\mu, \nu \in B(\Delta)$  are equivalent if and only if*

$$w_\mu|_{S^1} = w_\nu|_{S^1} \iff w^\mu|_{\Delta_-} = w^\nu|_{\Delta_-} .$$

The proof of Lemma will be given below. Note that it implies that *the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  can be identified with the space of normalized quasidisks in  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ .*

This last definition of  $\mathcal{T}$  allows us to consider the elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  as univalent holomorphic functions in  $\Delta_-$  (which extend to quasiconformal homeomorphisms of

the extended complex plane  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  and fix the points  $\pm 1$  and  $-i$ ). For such functions it is standard to use an alternative normalization by fixing their Laurent decompositions at  $\infty$  in the form

$$f(z) = z + \frac{b_1}{z} + \frac{b_2}{z^2} + \dots .$$

The complex numbers  $b_1, b_2, \dots$  play the role of complex coordinates on  $\mathcal{T}$ . According to the classical *area theorem*, they satisfy the inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|b_n|^2 \leq 1 .$$

A relation between two different interpretations of Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ , namely, as the space of normalized quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of  $S^1$  and the space of normalized quasidisks in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , can be established in the following way.

If  $f$  is a given quasisymmetric homeomorphism of  $S^1$ , then it can be extended to a quasiconformal homeomorphism of the unit disc  $\Delta$ , associated with some Beltrami differential  $\mu$ . Then the corresponding quasidisk

$$\Delta^\mu = w^\mu(\Delta)$$

will not depend on the choice of the quasiconformal extension of  $f$  to  $\Delta$ .

Conversely, let  $\Delta^\mu$  be the quasidisk, corresponding to a quasiconformal map with the complex dilatation  $\mu$ . Since both maps  $w^\mu : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta^\mu$  and  $w_\mu : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  are quasiconformal and have the same Beltrami potential  $\mu$  in  $\Delta$ , the map  $\rho := w^\mu \circ w_\mu^{-1}$  defines a conformal transform of the unit disc  $\Delta$  onto the quasidisk  $\Delta^\mu$ . Denote this map by  $\rho_+$ , and by  $\rho_- : \Delta_- \rightarrow \Delta_-^\mu$  a conformal map of  $\Delta_-$  onto the exterior  $\Delta_-^\mu$  of the closed quasidisk  $\overline{\Delta^\mu}$ , provided by the Riemann mapping theorem. We associate with the quasidisk  $\Delta^\mu$  the quasisymmetric homeomorphism of  $S^1$ , given by the formula

$$f := \rho_+^{-1} \circ \rho_- |_{S^1} .$$

The constructed correspondences preserve the normalizations and so establish a relation between two different interpretations of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ .

We give now the proof of the Lemma, formulated above.

*Proof of Lemma.* Suppose first that  $w^\mu|_{\Delta_-} = w^\nu|_{\Delta_-}$ . Then the maps  $w^\mu \circ w_\mu^{-1}$  and  $w^\nu \circ w_\nu^{-1}$  are both conformal in  $\Delta_+$ , which they map onto the same quasidisk. Being normalized, they should agree on  $S^1$ . But  $w^\mu|_{S^1} = w^\nu|_{S^1}$ , so we should also have  $w_\mu|_{S^1} = w_\nu|_{S^1}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $w_\mu|_{S^1} = w_\nu|_{S^1}$ . Consider a map  $w$  of the extended complex plane  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , given by

$$w = \begin{cases} w^\mu \circ (w^\nu)^{-1} & \text{on } w^\nu(\overline{\Delta_-}) , \\ [w^\mu \circ (w_\mu)^{-1}] \circ [w_\nu \circ (w^\nu)^{-1}] & \text{on } w^\nu(\Delta_+) . \end{cases}$$

It follows from the assumption  $w_\mu|_{S^1} = w_\nu|_{S^1}$  that  $w$  is a homeomorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . Moreover,  $w$  is conformal on  $w^\nu(\Delta_-)$  by construction and  $w$  is conformal on  $w^\nu(\Delta_+)$ , since both maps  $w^\mu \circ (w_\mu)^{-1}$  and  $w_\nu \circ (w^\nu)^{-1}$  are conformal there. It follows from the quasiconformal extension property (cf. [49], Lemma I.6.1) that  $w$  extends to a conformal map of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , i.e. to a fractional-linear automorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . Since it is normalized, it should be equal to identity, so  $w^\mu|_{\Delta_-} = w^\nu|_{\Delta_-}$ .  $\square$

The universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  can be provided with a natural metric, called the *Teichmüller distance*, which can be defined as follows. Representing the points of  $\mathcal{T}$  as normalized quasiconformal self-homeomorphisms of  $\Delta$ , fixing the points  $\pm 1$  and  $-i$ , we can define the distance between two points  $[w_1], [w_2]$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  as

$$\tau([w_1], [w_2]) := \frac{1}{2} \inf \{ \log K_{w_2 \circ w_1^{-1}} : w_1 \in [w_1], w_2 \in [w_2] \},$$

where  $K_w$  is the maximal dilatation of a quasiconformal map  $w$  (cf. Sec. 6.1). This metric converts  $\mathcal{T}$  into a complete metric space (cf. [49], Sec. III.3.2). Moreover, it can be shown that  $\mathcal{T}$  is contractible (cf. [49], Theor. III.3.2).

## 11.2 Kähler structure of the universal Teichmüller space

We shall study the Kähler geometry of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ , using an embedding of  $\mathcal{T}$  into the space of quadratic differentials, proposed by L. Bers. This embedding will allow us to introduce complex coordinates on  $\mathcal{T}$ . It is convenient to use for its definition the model of  $\mathcal{T}$  as the space of normalized quasidisks  $\Delta^\mu = w^\mu(\Delta_+)$  or, which is the same, the space of normalized conformal maps  $w^\mu$  of  $\Delta_-$ . By using a suitable Möbius transform, we can substitute here the disc  $\Delta_+$  by the upper halfplane  $H_+$  and represent  $\mathcal{T}$  as the space of normalized quasidisks  $w^\mu(H_+)$ , i.e. the images of the upper halfplane  $H_+$  under quasiconformal homeomorphisms  $w^\mu$  of the extended complex plane  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , which are conformal on  $H_-$  and fix the points  $0, 1, \infty$ .

Suppose that  $[\mu]$  is an arbitrary point of  $\mathcal{T}$ , represented by a normalized quasidisk  $w^\mu(H_+)$ , and define a map

$$\Psi : [\mu] \longmapsto \psi[\mu] := S[w^\mu|_{H_-}], \quad (11.1)$$

where  $S$  denotes the Schwarzian (cf. Sec. 10.2). Due to the invariance of the Schwarzian under the Möbius transformations, the image of this map  $\psi[\mu]$  depends only on the class  $[\mu]$  of the Beltrami differential  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{T}$  and is a holomorphic function in  $H_-$ . The converse is also true: if  $\psi[\mu] = \psi[\nu]$ , then  $[\mu] = [\nu]$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Indeed, consider the conformal map  $h := w^\mu \circ (w^\nu)^{-1}$  from  $w^\nu(H_-)$  to  $w^\mu(H_-)$ . Then, applying the transformation rule (10.8) for the Schwarzian on  $H_-$ , we shall have

$$S[w^\mu] = S[h \circ w^\nu] = (S[h] \circ w^\nu) (w^\nu)^2 + S[w^\nu].$$

Since  $S[w^\mu] = S[w^\nu]$  in  $H_-$ , it follows that  $S[h] = 0$  in  $H_-$ . So  $h$  is a fractional-linear transformation (cf. Sec. 10.2), which is normalized (i.e. fixes the points  $0, 1, \infty$ ). Hence,  $h$  is the identity, which implies that  $[\mu] = [\nu]$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The transformation rule for the Schwarzian (10.8) suggests that the image  $\psi[\mu]$  of a Beltrami differential  $\mu \in B(H_-)$  is a holomorphic quadratic differential in  $H_-$ . So the map (11.1) defines an embedding of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  into the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials in  $H_-$ , called the *Bers embedding*.

We have already considered in Sec. 10.2 a natural hyperbolic norm on the space of quadratic differentials. In the case of  $H_-$  it is equal to

$$\|\psi\|_2 := \sup_{z \in H_-} 4y^2 |\psi(z)|$$

for a quadratic differential  $\psi$ . It follows from Theor. 11 in Sec. 10.2 that

$$\|\psi[\mu]\|_2 \leq 6$$

for any Beltrami differential  $\mu \in B(H_-)$ . Denote by  $B_2(H_-)$  the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials in  $H_-$  with a finite norm:

$$B_2(H_-) = \{\text{holomorphic quadratic differentials } \psi \text{ on } H_- : \|\psi\|_2 < \infty\} .$$

So we have an embedding

$$\Psi : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow B_2(H_-)$$

of  $\mathcal{T}$  into a bounded subset in  $B_2(H_-)$ . It can be shown that it is a homeomorphism (with respect to the topology on  $\mathcal{T}$ , determined by the Teichmüller distance) onto the image of  $\Psi$  (cf. [49], Theor. III.4.1). The image  $\Psi(\mathcal{T})$  is an open subset in  $B_2(H_-)$ , which contains the ball of radius  $1/2$  centered at zero (cf. [1]). Moreover, it is known (cf. [20]) that it is a connected contractible set.

Using Bers embedding, we can introduce a complex structure and complex coordinates on the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  by pulling them back from the complex Banach space  $B_2(H_-)$ . It provides  $\mathcal{T}$  with the structure of a complex Banach manifold. Consider now the natural projection of the space of Beltrami differentials to the universal Teichmüller space, defined in the beginning of Sec. 11.1. In our realization of  $\mathcal{T}$  this map is given by

$$\Phi : B(H_+) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} = B(H_+)/\sim .$$

It is holomorphic with respect to the introduced complex structure on  $\mathcal{T}$  (cf. [56], Ch. 3.4). So the composition map

$$F := \Psi \circ \Phi : B(H_+) \longrightarrow B_2(H_-)$$

is also holomorphic.

We study next the tangent structure of this map, i.e. the differential of  $F$ . We describe the tangent bundle  $T\mathcal{T}$ , using the definition of  $\mathcal{T}$  in terms of Beltrami differentials

$$\mathcal{T} = B(H_+)/\sim .$$

Due to the homogeneity of  $\mathcal{T}$  with respect to the right action of quasiconformal homeomorphisms, it's sufficient to determine the tangent space  $T_0\mathcal{T}$  at the origin, corresponding to the identity homeomorphism, associated with  $\mu = 0$ .

Let  $\mu \in L^\infty(H_+)$  represents an arbitrary tangent vector from  $T_0B(H_+)$ . Then for the corresponding quasiconformal map  $w^{t\mu}$  we'll have an expansion

$$w^{t\mu}(z) = z + tw_1(z) + o(t)$$

for  $t \rightarrow 0$ , where  $o(t) := t\delta(z, t)$  and  $\delta(z, t) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $z$ , when  $z$  belongs to a compact subset in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The term

$$w_1(z) \equiv \dot{w}[\mu](z)$$

represents the first variation of the quasiconformal map  $w^{t\mu}$  with respect to  $\mu$ . We substitute  $w^{t\mu}$  into the Beltrami equation and differentiate it with respect to  $t$  at

$t = 0$ . Since  $\partial/\partial t$  commutes with  $\partial/\partial z$  and  $\partial/\partial \bar{z}$  for almost all  $z$ , being applied to  $w^{t\mu}(z)$  (cf. [2]), we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}(\dot{w}[\mu](z)) = \mu(z)$$

for almost all  $z$ , i.e.  $\dot{w}[\mu](z)$  satisfies the  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation. Hence it can be represented by the Cauchy-Green integral: if  $\mu$  has a compact support in  $\mathbb{C}$  it has the form

$$-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta \quad \text{for } \zeta = \xi + i\eta$$

plus an arbitrary entire function, which in our case can be only a linear function of the form (cf. [1])

$$A + Bz = (z - 1) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{\zeta} d\xi d\eta - z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{\zeta - 1} d\xi d\eta .$$

Altogether it gives the following formula for  $\dot{w}[\mu](z)$

$$w_1(z) = \dot{w}[\mu](z) = -\frac{z(z-1)}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{\zeta(\zeta-1)(\zeta-z)} d\xi d\eta , \quad (11.2)$$

which holds for all  $\mu \in L^\infty(H_+)$  (the restriction on the support of  $\mu$  being compact is removed by a standard approximation argument, cf. [1]).

We are now able to prove the following

**Proposition 20** ([1, 56]). *The differential of the map*

$$F = \Psi \circ \Phi : B(H_+) \longrightarrow B_2(H_-)$$

at zero is given by the formula

$$d_0(\Psi \circ \Phi)[\mu](z) = -\frac{6}{\pi} \int_{H_+} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^4} d\xi d\eta , \quad z \in H_- , \quad (11.3)$$

for  $\mu \in B(H_+)$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $z_0 \in H_-$ . We want to find the derivative of the function

$$\varphi(t, z) := S[w^{t\mu}](z) = F[t\mu](z)$$

at  $t = 0$ . By denoting  $w := w^{t\mu}$ , the derivative with respect to  $t$  by "dot", and derivative with respect to  $z$  by "prime", we get

$$\dot{\varphi} = \left( \frac{w'''}{w'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{w''}{w'} \right)^2 \right) = \frac{(w')^3 \dot{w}''' - \dot{w}' (w')^2 w''' - 3\dot{w}'' (w')^2 w'' + 3\dot{w}' w' (w'')^2}{(w')^4} .$$

For  $t = 0$  we have  $w(z) \equiv z$ , so  $w' \equiv 1$ ,  $w'' = w''' \equiv 0$ . Hence, the above formula reduces to

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{(w')^3 \dot{w}'''}{(w')^4} = \dot{w}''' .$$

But the formula (11.2) implies that

$$\dot{w}(z) = -\frac{z(z-1)}{\pi} \int_{H_+} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{\zeta(\zeta-1)(\zeta-z)} d\xi d\eta$$

(note that  $\mu \equiv 0$  on  $H_-$ ). Differentiating this formula three times over  $z$ , we obtain the desired formula (11.3).  $\square$

In addition to formula (11.3), it may be proved (cf. [56], Theor. 3.4.5) that the operator  $d_0F$  is a bounded linear operator and estimate its norm by an absolute constant.

We describe the kernel of the differential  $d_0F$ . We note that there is a natural pairing between the space  $A_2(H_+)$  of  $L^1$ -integrable holomorphic quadratic differentials in  $H_+$  and the space  $B(H_+)$  of Beltrami  $(-1, 1)$ -differentials in  $H_+$ , denoted by

$$\langle \mu, \psi \rangle := \int_{H_+} \mu\psi . \tag{11.4}$$

In terms of this pairing, the kernel of  $d_0F$  can be identified as follows.

**Theorem 12** (Teichmüller lemma). *The kernel of  $d_0F$  coincides with the subspace*

$$N \equiv A_2(H_+)^\perp = \{ \mu \in L^\infty(H_+) : \langle \mu, \psi \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in A_2(H_+) \} .$$

The proof of this Lemma may be found in ([1], Sec.IV(D); [56], Sec.3.7).

It will be useful to summarize the previous results also in the case of the unit disc  $\Delta = \Delta_+$ . The Bers embedding for this case coincides with the map

$$F : B(\Delta_+) \longrightarrow B_2(\Delta_-) ,$$

associating with a Beltrami differential  $\mu \in B(\Delta_+)$  in the unit disc  $\Delta_+$  the restriction  $S[w^\mu]|_{\Delta_-}$  of the Schwarzian  $S[w^\mu]$  to the exterior  $\Delta_- = \{|z| > 1\} \cup \infty$  of the closed unit disc  $\bar{\Delta}_+$  on the Riemann sphere  $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ . The image of this map is contained in the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials in  $\Delta_-$  with a finite norm

$$\|\psi\|_2 := \sup_{z \in \Delta_-} (1 - |z|^2)^2 |\psi(z)| < \infty .$$

The formula for the differential  $d_0F$  is given by

$$d_0F[\mu](z) = -\frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\Delta_+} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^4} d\xi d\eta , \quad z \in \Delta_- , \tag{11.5}$$

for  $\mu \in L^\infty(\Delta_+)$ . The kernel of  $d_0F$  is equal to

$$N \equiv A_2(\Delta_+)^\perp = \{ \mu \in L^\infty(\Delta_+) : \langle \mu, \psi \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in A_2(\Delta_+) \} .$$

This definition is equivalent to the following (cf. [56], Sec. 3.7.2)

$$N = \{ \mu \in L^\infty(\Delta) : \int_{\Delta} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^4} d\xi d\eta = 0 \text{ for all } z \in \Delta_- \} .$$

The formulas (11.3),(11.5) suggest how a Kähler metric on  $\mathcal{T}$  can be defined. Namely, we employ the *Ahlfors map* (cf. [3]):  $L^\infty(\Delta) \longrightarrow B_2(\Delta)$ , given by

$$L^\infty(\Delta) \ni \mu \longmapsto \varphi[\mu](z) = \int_{\Delta} \frac{\overline{\mu(\zeta)}}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^4} d\xi d\eta .$$

It associates with any  $\mu \in L^\infty(\Delta)$  a holomorphic quadratic differential  $\varphi[\mu]$  with a finite norm  $\|\varphi\|_2 = \sup_{z \in \Delta} (1 - |z|^2)^2 |\varphi(z)| < \infty$ . The kernel of this map coincides with  $N = A_2(\Delta_+)^{\perp}$ . Now we can define formally a Hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{T}$  by setting for two tangent vectors  $\mu, \nu$  in  $T_0\mathcal{T} = L^\infty(\Delta)/N$ :

$$(\mu, \nu) := \langle \mu, \varphi[\nu] \rangle = \int_{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} \frac{\mu(z)\overline{\nu(\zeta)}}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^4} d\xi d\eta dx dy . \tag{11.6}$$

However, this metric is only densely defined. More precisely (cf. [59]), for a general  $\mu \in L^\infty(\Delta)$  its image  $\varphi[\mu]$  in  $B_2(\Delta)$  may be not integrable, i.e. it does not belong, in general, to  $A_2(\Delta)$ , in which case the integral in (11.6) will diverge. In fact, the formula (11.6) is correctly defined, if the tangent vectors  $\mu, \nu$  in  $T_0\mathcal{T}$  are sufficiently smooth. To formulate this smoothness condition more precisely, we realize  $\mathcal{T}$  as the space of normalized quasiconformal homeomorphisms of  $S^1$ . Then a tangent vector  $\mu \in L^\infty(\Delta) = T_0B(\Delta)$  will correspond under the differential  $d_0\Phi$  to the vector field  $v = v(\theta)\partial/\partial\theta$  on  $S^1$  of the form

$$v(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} = \dot{w}[\mu](z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} , \quad z = e^{i\theta} ,$$

where  $\dot{w}[\mu]$  is the derivative with respect to  $t$  of the one-parameter flow  $w_{t\mu}$  of quasiconformal homeomorphisms:

$$w_{t\mu}(z) = z + t\dot{w}[\mu](z) + o(t) \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow 0 .$$

Then it may be proved (cf. [59]) that the integral in (11.6) converges, if the tangent vectors  $\mu, \nu$  in  $T_0\mathcal{T}$  correspond to  $C^{3/2+\epsilon}$ -smooth vector fields on  $S^1$ . Whenever the metric (11.6) is well-defined, it determines a Kähler metric, in particular, it defines a Kähler metric on the "regular" part of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

### 11.3 Teichmüller spaces $T(G)$ and $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1)$

The universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  contains, as its complex submanifolds, all classical Teichmüller spaces  $T(G)$ , where  $G$  is a *Fuchsian group* (cf. [49, 56]). In particular, it is true for all Teichmüller spaces of compact Riemann surfaces. This property of  $\mathcal{T}$  motivates the use of the term "universal" in the name of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

With an arbitrary Fuchsian group  $G$  we associate the Riemann surface  $X := \Delta/G$ , uniformized by the unit disc  $\Delta$ . By definition,  $T(G)$  consists of quasiconformal homeomorphisms  $f \in \text{QS}(S^1)$ , which are *G-invariant* in the following sense:

$$f \circ g \circ f^{-1} \text{ belongs to } \text{Möb}(S^1) \text{ for all } g \in G ,$$

modulo fractional-linear automorphisms of the disc  $\Delta$ . If we denote by  $\text{QS}(S^1)^G$  the subset of  $G$ -invariant quasiconformal homeomorphisms in  $\text{QS}(S^1)$ , then

$$T(G) = \text{QS}(S^1)^G / \text{Möb}(S^1) .$$



The universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  itself corresponds to the Fuchsian group  $G = \{1\}$ . The various interpretations of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ , given in Sec. 11.1, are compatible with the notion of  $G$ -invariance. In particular, the Teichmüller spaces  $T(G)$  admit a description in terms of  $G$ -invariant Beltrami differentials. More precisely, denote by  $B(\Delta)^G$  the subspace of  $B(\Delta)$ , consisting of Beltrami differentials  $\mu$ , satisfying the relation

$$\mu(gz) \frac{\overline{g'(z)}}{g'(z)} = \mu(z) \quad \text{almost everywhere on } \Delta \text{ for all } g \in G .$$

Then we'll have, as in Sec. 11.1:

$$T(G) = B(\Delta)^G / \sim ,$$

where  $\mu \sim \nu$  iff  $w_\mu = w_\nu$  on  $S^1$  or, equivalently,  $w^\mu|_{\Delta_-} = w^\nu|_{\Delta_-}$ .

We can associate with a  $G$ -invariant Beltrami differential  $\mu$  a Fuchsian group  $G_\mu$ , conjugate to  $G$ :

$$G_\mu := w_\mu G w_\mu^{-1} ,$$

where  $w_\mu$  is the quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , leaving  $\Delta_\pm$  invariant (cf. Sec. 11.1).

We have a natural quasiconformal map of the Riemann surface  $X := \Delta/G$  onto another Riemann surface  $X_\mu := \Delta/G_\mu$ . This map is a homeomorphism which is biholomorphic precisely, when  $\mu \in \text{Möb}(S^1)$ . Hence, one can say that the space  $T(G)$  parametrizes, with the help of the map  $\mu \mapsto G_\mu$ , different complex structures on the Riemann surface  $X := \Delta/G$ , which can be obtained from the original one by quasiconformal deformations.

On the other hand, we can associate with a  $G$ -invariant Beltrami differential  $\mu \in B(\Delta)^G$  another conjugated group

$$G^\mu := w^\mu G (w^\mu)^{-1} ,$$

operating properly discontinuously on the quasidisc  $\Delta^\mu := w^\mu(\Delta)$ . Here,  $w^\mu$  is the quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , which is conformal on  $\Delta_-$  (cf. Sec. 11.1). The group  $G^\mu$  is a Kleinian group, called otherwise a *quasi-Fuchsian group* (cf. [49, 56]). The Riemann surface  $X_\mu$  is biholomorphic to  $\Delta^\mu/G^\mu$  (cf. [56], Theor. 1.3.5). We note also that the Riemann surface  $\Delta_-^\mu/G^\mu$  is biholomorphic to the Riemann surface  $\Delta_-/G$ , due to the conformality of  $w^\mu$  on  $\Delta_-$ .

The definition and main properties of the Bers embedding, given in Sec. 11.2, extend to the Teichmüller spaces  $T(G)$ . For the case of the unit disc  $\Delta \equiv \Delta_+$  the Bers embedding is the map

$$F : B(\Delta_+)^G \longrightarrow B_2(\Delta_-)^G ,$$

associating with a Beltrami differential  $\mu \in B(\Delta_+)^G$  the quadratic differential  $S[w^\mu|_{\Delta_-}]$  on  $\Delta_-$ . The image of this map is contained in the space  $B_2(\Delta_-)^G$  of  $G$ -invariant holomorphic quadratic differentials in  $\Delta_-$  with a finite norm

$$\|\psi\|_2 := \sup_{z \in \Delta_-} (1 - |z|^2)^2 |\psi(z)| < \infty .$$

The formula for the differential  $d_0F$  has the form

$$d_0F[\mu](z) = -\frac{6}{\pi} \int_{\Delta_+} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^4} d\xi d\eta, \quad z \in \Delta_-,$$

for  $\mu \in L^\infty(\Delta_+)^G$ . The kernel of  $d_0F$  is given by

$$N^G \equiv (A_2(\Delta_+)^G)^\perp = \{\mu \in L^\infty(\Delta_+)^G : \langle \mu, \psi \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in A_2(\Delta_+)\}.$$

This definition is equivalent to

$$N^G = \{\mu \in L^\infty(\Delta)^G : \int_{\Delta} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^4} d\xi d\eta = 0 \text{ for all } z \in \Delta_-\}.$$

So the tangent space of  $T(G)$  at the origin coincides with the space  $L^\infty(\Delta)^G/N^G$ .

As in Sec. 11.2, there is the Ahlfors map  $L^\infty(\Delta)^G/N^G \longrightarrow B_2(\Delta)^G$ , given by

$$L^\infty(\Delta)^G \ni \mu \longmapsto \varphi[\mu](z) = \int_{\Delta} \frac{\overline{\mu(\zeta)}}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^4} d\xi d\eta.$$

Using this map, we can define the *Weil–Petersson metric* on  $T(G)$ , as in Sec. 11.2, by setting for two tangent vectors  $\mu, \nu$  in  $T_0T(G) = L^\infty(\Delta)^G/N^G$ :

$$g_G(\mu, \nu) := \int_{\Delta/G} \int_{\Delta} \frac{\mu(z)\overline{\nu(\zeta)}}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^4} d\xi d\eta dx dy. \quad (11.7)$$

As was pointed out in Sec. 11.2, the image  $\varphi[\mu] \in B_2(\Delta)^G$  of the Ahlfors map for a general Fuchsian group  $G$  may not belong to the space  $A_2(\Delta)^G$  of integrable holomorphic quadratic differentials, so the formula (11.7) for the metric  $g_G(\mu, \nu)$  is ill-defined for general Fuchsian groups. But in the case of finite-dimensional Teichmüller spaces  $T(G)$  this difficulty does not show up, since in this situation  $B_2(\Delta)^G = A_2(\Delta)^G$  (cf. [56]), and the introduced metric coincides with the standard Weil–Petersson metric on the finite-dimensional Teichmüller spaces  $T(G)$ . Moreover, S.Nag has proved (cf. [59]) that the metric  $g_G(\mu, \nu)$  on  $T(G)$  can be obtained from the metric  $(\mu, \nu)$  on  $\mathcal{T}$  by a certain regularization procedure. This procedure involves a regularization of the integral

$$(\mu, \nu) = \int_{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} \frac{\mu(z)\overline{\nu(\zeta)}}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^4} d\xi d\eta dx dy = \int_{\Delta} \mu \cdot \varphi[\nu]. \quad (11.8)$$

To define the regularization, we rewrite the integral (11.8) in the form

$$(\mu, \nu) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} g_r(\mu, \nu)$$

where

$$g_r(\mu, \nu) = \int_{\Delta_r} \mu \cdot \varphi[\nu], \quad (11.9)$$

and  $\Delta_r := \{z \in \Delta : |z| < r\}$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ .

In the case when  $\mu, \nu$  are  $G$ -invariant, i.e. belong to  $L^\infty(\Delta)^G/N^G$ , the integral (11.8) coincides with

$$n \int_{\Delta/G} \mu \cdot \varphi[\nu] = n g_G(\mu, \nu) ,$$

where  $n$  is the number of copies of the fundamental domain  $\Delta/G$ , contained in  $\Delta$ . Hence, this integral must diverge, if the group  $G$  has infinitely many elements. The integral (11.9) by the same argument is proportional to  $n_r g_G(\mu, \nu)$ , where  $n_r$  is the number of copies of the fundamental domain  $\Delta/G$ , contained in  $\Delta_r$ . It follows that the integral (11.9) may be regularized by dividing it by a quantity, proportional to  $n_r$ . More precisely, the following assertion is true .

**Proposition 21** ([59]). *For any finite-dimensional Teichmüller space  $T(G)$  its Weil–Petersson metric  $g_G(\mu, \nu)$  may be computed by the formula*

$$\frac{g_G(\mu, \nu)}{g_G(\mu_0, \mu_0)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{g_r(\mu, \nu)}{g_r(\mu_0, \mu_0)} ,$$

where  $\mu, \nu \in L^\infty(\Delta)^G$ , and  $\mu_0 \in L^\infty(\Delta)^G/N^G$  is an arbitrary nonzero tangent vector from  $T_0T(G)$ .

As we have remarked at the beginning of Sec. 11.1, the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  contains the homogeneous space  $\mathcal{S} = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1)$  as its "regular" part:

$$\mathcal{S} = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T} = \text{QS}(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1) .$$

In Sec. 10.3 we have defined the structure of a Kähler–Frechet manifold on  $\mathcal{S}$ . We recall the definition of the Kähler metric  $g$  on this space in terms of Fourier decompositions. For given tangent vectors  $u, v \in T_0\mathcal{S}$  with Fourier decompositions

$$u = \sum_{n \neq -1, 0, 1} u_n e_n \quad \text{and} \quad v = \sum_{n \neq -1, 0, 1} v_n e_n ,$$

the value of  $g$  on these vectors is equal to

$$g(u, v) = 2 \text{Re} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_n \bar{v}_n (n^3 - n) \right) . \tag{11.10}$$

As we have noted before, the series on the right hand side is absolutely converging, if the vector fields  $u, v$  are of the class  $C^{3/2+\epsilon}$  on  $S^1$ .

It was pointed out in [59] that the Kähler metric  $g$  on  $\mathcal{S}$  coincides (up to a constant factor) with the Weil–Petersson metric (11.6) on  $\mathcal{S}$ , induced by the embedding  $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ . (Note that the metric (11.6) on the smooth part  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is correctly defined, as we have remarked in Sec. 11.2.) Using the interpretation of tangent vectors from  $T_0\mathcal{T}$ , given at the end of Sec. 11.2, we can express the equality of these metrics on  $\mathcal{S}$  as follows. Given two tangent vectors  $u, v \in T_0\mathcal{S}$ , written in the form  $u = \dot{w}[\mu]\partial/\partial z$ ,  $v = \dot{w}[\nu]\partial/\partial z$ , we have

$$g(\mu, \nu) = \lambda \int_{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} \frac{\mu(z) \overline{\nu(\zeta)}}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^4} d\xi d\eta dx dy$$

for a suitable choice of the constant  $\lambda$ . By introducing this constant into the definition of the Kähler metric on  $\mathcal{S}$ , we can make the embedding  $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  an isometry.

It is an interesting question, how the smooth part  $\mathcal{S}$  is placed inside the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  with respect to the classical Teichmüller spaces  $T(G)$ . It can be shown (cf. [12]) that the quasidisks, corresponding to all points of  $T(G)$ , except the origin, have fractal boundaries (i.e. boundaries of Hausdorff dimension  $> 1$ ) in contrast with the quasidisks, corresponding to points of  $\mathcal{S}$ , which have  $C^\infty$ -smooth boundaries.

## 11.4 Grassmann realization of the universal Teichmüller space

The Grassmann realization of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  is based on the fact that the group  $QS(S^1)$  of quasiasymmetric homeomorphisms of the circle acts on the Sobolev space  $V$  of half-differentiable functions on  $S^1$  (cf. Sec. 9.2).

Suppose that  $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$ , preserving its orientation. We define an operator  $T_f$  by the formula

$$T_f(\xi) := \xi \circ f - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \xi(f(\theta)) d\theta$$

for  $\xi \in V$ . This operator has the following remarkable property.

**Proposition 22** ([58]). *The operator  $T_f$  acts on  $V$  (i.e.  $T_f(\xi)$  belongs to  $V$  for any  $\xi \in V$ ) if and only if  $f \in QS(S^1)$ . Moreover, if  $f$  extends to a  $K$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism of the disc  $\Delta$ , then the operator norm of  $T_f$  does not exceed  $\sqrt{K + K^{-1}}$ .*

The proof of this assertion, given in [58], uses the interpretation of the space  $V$  in terms of harmonic functions in the disc, given at the end of Sec. 9.1.

Transformations of the form  $T_f$  with  $f \in QS(S^1)$  preserve the symplectic form  $\omega$ , i.e. they are symplectic transformations of  $V$ .

**Proposition 23** ([58]). *If  $f \in QS(S^1)$ , then*

$$\omega(f^*(\xi), f^*(\eta)) = \omega(\xi, \eta)$$

*for any  $\xi, \eta \in V$ . Moreover, the complex-linear extension of the  $QS(S^1)$ -action on  $V$  to the complexification  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  preserves the subspace  $W_+$  (cf. Sec. 9.1) if and only if  $f$  is a Möbius transformation, i.e.  $f \in \text{Möb}(S^1)$ . In the latter case,  $T_f$  acts as a unitary operator on  $W_{\pm}$ .*

*Proof.* For homeomorphisms  $f$  of the class  $C^1$  the first assertion is a corollary of the change of variables formula. For a general quasiasymmetric homeomorphism  $f \in QS(S^1)$  the assertion follows from the fact (cf. [49]) that  $f$  may be uniformly approximated by real analytic quasiasymmetric homeomorphisms of  $S^1$ , having the same quasiconformal constant  $K$  as  $f$ .

If the action of  $f$  on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  preserves  $W_+$ , then it should extend to a map  $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ . This map must be a biholomorphism, since  $f$  is a homeomorphism, hence, it is a Möbius transformation. It is clear from the definition of the inner product on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  (cf. Sec. 9.1) that such a transformation acts unitarily on  $W_{\pm}$ .  $\square$

The symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $V$  is uniquely determined by the invariance property, stated in the above Proposition. In fact, a much stronger assertion is true.

**Proposition 24** ([58]). *Suppose that  $\omega_1$  is a real-valued continuous bilinear skew-symmetric form on  $V$  such that*

$$\omega_1(f^*(\xi), f^*(\eta)) = \omega_1(\xi, \eta)$$

for any  $f \in \text{Möb}(S^1)$  and arbitrary  $\xi, \eta \in V$ . Then  $\omega_1$  is a real multiple of  $\omega$ , in particular, any form  $\omega_1$ , satisfying the hypothesis of the Proposition, coincides necessarily with a symplectic form, invariant under quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of  $S^1$ .

*Proof.* Note that both forms  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1$  define the duality maps

$$\Sigma : V \longrightarrow V^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_1 : V \longrightarrow V^* ,$$

given by

$$\Sigma(\xi) := \omega(\cdot, \xi) , \quad \Sigma_1(\xi) := \omega_1(\cdot, \xi)$$

for  $\xi \in V$ . In the case of  $\omega$  the duality operator  $\Sigma$  coincides, in fact, with the (minus of)  $J^0$ . In particular,  $\Sigma$  is a bounded invertible operator, defining an isomorphism between  $V$  and its dual.

We consider an intertwining operator

$$M := \Sigma^{-1} \circ \Sigma_1 : V \longrightarrow V .$$

It is a bounded linear operator on  $V$ , defined by the equality

$$\omega(\xi, M\eta) = \omega_1(\xi, \eta) .$$

Note that  $M$  commutes with any invertible bounded linear operator on  $V$ , preserving the forms  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1$ . Indeed, if  $T$  is such an operator, then

$$\omega(T\xi, TM\eta) = \omega(\xi, M\eta) = \omega_1(\xi, \eta) = \omega_1(T\xi, T\eta) = \omega(T\xi, MT\eta) .$$

Since  $T$  is invertible, it implies that

$$\omega(\xi, TM\eta) = \omega(\xi, MT\eta)$$

for any  $\xi, \eta \in V$ . Since the duality operator  $\Sigma$ , determined by  $\omega$ , is an isomorphism, the last equality implies that  $TM = MT$ , as asserted.

We have to show that the intertwining operator  $M$  coincides with the scalar operator  $\text{const} \cdot I$ . We prove it by considering the complex-linear extension of  $M$  to the complexification  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Consider the complexified action  $f \mapsto T_f$  of the Möbius group  $\text{Möb}(S^1)$  on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then its restriction to  $W_+$  can be identified with the standard unitary representation of the group  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  on the space of  $L^2$ -holomorphic functions in the disc  $\Delta$  (cf. [58], lemma 4.6), hence, it is irreducible. The same is true for the restriction of  $f \mapsto T_f$  to  $W_-$ . Moreover,  $W_{\pm}$  are the only irreducible invariant subspaces of the representation  $f \mapsto T_f$  of  $\text{Möb}(S^1)$  on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

As we have just proved, the intertwining operator  $M$  commutes with all operators  $T_f : V^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V^{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $f \in \text{Möb}(S^1)$ . Since  $W_{\pm}$  are the only invariant subspaces for all such  $T_f$ , the operator  $M$  should map  $W_+$  either to  $W_+$  or  $W_-$ . If  $M$  maps  $W_+$  into  $W_+$ , then by Schur's lemma it should be a scalar, which is real, since the operator  $M$  was real.

If the other possibility (when  $M$  maps  $W_+$  into  $W_-$ ) would realize, we would substitute  $M$  by the operator  $\tilde{M}$ , given by the composition of  $M$  with the complex conjugation. The operator  $\tilde{M}$  would map  $W_+$  into  $W_+$  and commute with all operators  $T_f$ . As we have just proved, such an operator  $\tilde{M}$  should be a real scalar and so coincide with  $M$ . But in this case  $M$  cannot map  $W_+$  into  $W_-$ , so the second possibility is not realized.  $\square$

The Propositions 22 and 23 imply that the quasisymmetric homeomorphisms from  $\text{QS}(S^1)$  act on the Hilbert space  $V$  by bounded symplectic operators. Hence, we have a map

$$\mathcal{T} = \text{QS}(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}(V)/\text{U}(W_+) . \quad (11.11)$$

Here, by  $\text{Sp}(V)$  we denote the symplectic group of  $V$ , consisting of linear bounded symplectic operators on  $V$ , and by  $\text{U}(W_+)$  its subgroup, consisting of unitary operators, i.e. operators, whose complex-linear extensions to  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  preserve the subspace  $W_+$ . We describe these groups in more detail.

Recall that the complexified Hilbert space  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  is decomposed into the direct sum

$$V^{\mathbb{C}} = W_+ \oplus W_-$$

of subspaces

$$W_+ = \{f \in V^{\mathbb{C}} : f(z) = \sum_{k>0} x_k z^k\} , \quad W_- = \overline{W_+} = \{f \in V^{\mathbb{C}} : f(z) = \sum_{k<0} x_k z^k\} .$$

In terms of this decomposition any linear operator  $A : V^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V^{\mathbb{C}}$  can be written in the block form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where

$$a : W_+ \rightarrow W_+ , \quad b : W_- \rightarrow W_+ , \quad c : W_- \rightarrow W_- , \quad d : W_+ \rightarrow W_- .$$

In particular, the linear operators on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ , obtained by the complex-linear extensions of operators  $A : V \rightarrow V$ , have the block form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where we identify  $W_-$  with the complex conjugate  $\overline{W_+}$ .

An operator  $A : V \rightarrow V$  belongs to the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}(V)$ , if it preserves the symplectic form  $\omega$ . This condition is equivalent to the following relation:

$$A^t J^0 A = J^0 ,$$

where

$$J^0 = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} .$$

In other words, the condition  $A \in \text{Sp}(V)$  can be written in the form:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(V) \iff \bar{a}^t a - b^t \bar{b} = 1, \quad \bar{a}^t b = b^t \bar{a} . \quad (11.12)$$

Here  $a^t, b^t$  denote the transposed operators

$$a^t : W'_+ \rightarrow W'_+ \iff a^t : W_- \rightarrow W_-, \quad b^t : W'_+ \rightarrow W'_- \iff b^t : W_- \rightarrow W_+,$$

where the space  $W'_+$ , dual to  $W_+$ , is identified with  $W_-$  with the help of the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  (cf. Sec. 9.1).

The unitary group  $U(W_+)$  is embedded into  $\text{Sp}(V)$  as a subgroup, consisting of block matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} .$$

We return to the map (11.11). The space

$$\text{Sp}(V)/U(W_+) ,$$

standing on the right hand side of the formula (11.11), can be considered as an infinite-dimensional Siegel disc. To justify this assertion, we should study the action of  $\text{QS}(S^1)$  on compatible complex structures on the space  $V$ .

As we have proved above, Möbius transformations  $f \in \text{Möb}(S^1)$  define, via the representation  $f \mapsto T_f$ , unitary operators in  $U(W_+)$ , in particular such transformations preserve the complex structure  $J_0$  on  $V$ . If a quasisymmetric homeomorphism  $f$  does not belong to  $\text{Möb}(S^1)$ , it does not preserve the original complex structure  $J^0$ , transforming it into another complex structure  $J_f$ , which is also compatible with the symplectic form  $\omega$ . We explain this assertion in more detail.

Any complex structure  $J$  on  $V$ , compatible with  $\omega$ , determines a decomposition

$$V^{\mathbb{C}} = W \oplus \bar{W} \quad (11.13)$$

into the direct sum of subspaces, isotropic with respect to  $\omega$ . This decomposition is orthogonal with respect to the Kähler metric  $g_J$  on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ , determined by  $J$  and  $\omega$ . The subspaces  $W$  and  $\bar{W}$  are identified with, respectively, the  $(-i)$ - and  $(+i)$ -eigenspaces of the operator  $J$  on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Conversely, any decomposition (11.13) of the space  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  into the direct sum of isotropic subspaces determines a complex structure  $J$  on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ , which is equal to  $-i \cdot I$  on  $W$  and  $+i \cdot I$  on  $\bar{W}$  and is compatible with  $\omega$ .

This argument shows that the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}(V)$  acts transitively on the space  $\mathcal{J}(V)$  of complex structures  $J$ , compatible with  $\omega$ . It follows that the space  $\text{Sp}(V)/U(W_+)$  can be identified with the space  $\mathcal{J}(V)$ . Otherwise, it may be considered as the space of the so called *positive polarizations* of  $V$ , i.e. decompositions (11.13) of  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  into the direct sum  $V^{\mathbb{C}} = W \oplus \bar{W}$  of isotropic subspaces of  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ , orthogonal with respect to the Kähler metric  $g_J$  on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

We are ready to give a Siegel disc interpretation of the space  $\text{Sp}(V)/U(W_+)$ . By definition, the *Siegel disc* is the set of bounded linear operators  $Z$  of the form

$$\mathcal{D} = \{Z : W_+ \rightarrow W_- \text{ is a symmetric bounded linear operator with } \bar{Z}Z < I\} .$$

The symmetricity of  $Z$  means, as above, that  $Z^t = Z$  and the condition  $\bar{Z}Z < I$  means that the symmetric operator  $I - \bar{Z}Z$  is positive definite. In order to identify  $\mathcal{J}(V)$  with  $\mathcal{D}$ , consider the action of the group  $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ , given by fractional-linear transformations  $A : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  of the form

$$Z \longmapsto (\bar{a}Z + \bar{b})(bZ + a)^{-1},$$

where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ . The invertibility of the operator  $bZ + a$  follows from the invertibility of the operator  $a$  (cf. (11.12)) and the inequality (cf. (11.12))

$$bZ\bar{Z}\bar{b}^t < b\bar{b}^t < a\bar{a}^t.$$

It's evident that  $A : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ . The isotropy subgroup of the point  $Z = 0$  consists of the operators  $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ , for which  $\bar{b}a^{-1} = 0$ , i.e.  $b = 0$ . This subgroup coincides with  $U(W_+)$ . It remains to check that the action of  $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is transitive, i.e. to construct for a given  $Z \in \mathbb{D}$  an operator  $A$ , sending  $Z = 0$  to this  $Z$ . Such an operator may be given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.14)$$

with  $b = \bar{a}\bar{Z}$  and

$$\bar{a}^t(1 - \bar{Z}Z)a = 1 \Rightarrow (\bar{a}^t)^{-1}a^{-1} = 1 - \bar{Z}Z \Rightarrow a = (1 - \bar{Z}Z)^{-1/2}.$$

This proves that the space

$$\mathcal{J}(V) = \mathrm{Sp}(V)/U(W_+)$$

may be identified with the Siegel disc  $\mathcal{D}$ .

In Sec. 5.1 we have introduced the Grassmanian  $\mathrm{Gr}_b(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ , consisting of the images of bounded linear operators  $W_+ \rightarrow W$ . It is clear from the given description of  $\mathcal{D}$  that it is embedded in  $\mathrm{Gr}_b(V^{\mathbb{C}})$  as a complex submanifold.

Summarizing the argument above, we have the following

**Proposition 25** ([58]). *The map*

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{QS}(S^1)/\mathrm{Möb}(S^1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(V)/U(W_+) = \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_b(V^{\mathbb{C}})$$

*is an equivariant holomorphic embedding of Banach manifolds.*

## 11.5 Grassmann realization of $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/\mathrm{Möb}(S^1)$ and $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/(S^1)$

We have constructed in the previous Sec. 11.4 the natural embedding

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{QS}(S^1)/\mathrm{Möb}(S^1) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(V)/U(W_+) = \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_b(V^{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Recall now that in Sec. 10.3 we have identified the space  $\mathcal{S}$  with the "regular" part of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ . Combining the above embedding

$$\mathcal{T} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(V)/U(W_+)$$



with the embedding  $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , we obtain a map

$$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(V)/\mathrm{U}(W_+) ,$$

yielding an embedding of  $\mathcal{S}$  in the Grassmann manifold  $\mathrm{Gr}_b(V^{\mathbb{C}})$ .

However, this result may be significantly strengthened by replacing the Grassmann manifold  $\mathrm{Gr}_b(V^{\mathbb{C}})$  with its "regular" part, namely, the Hilbert–Schmidt Grassmanian  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$ , introduced in Sec. 5.2.

We recall that this Grassmanian  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$  consists of closed subspaces  $W \subset V$  such that the orthogonal projection  $\mathrm{pr}_+ : W \rightarrow W_+$  is a Fredholm operator, while the orthogonal projection  $\mathrm{pr}_- : W \rightarrow W_-$  is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator. It was shown in Sec. 5.2 that  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$  is a Kähler Hilbert manifold, having as its local model the Hilbert space  $\mathrm{HS}(W_+, W_-)$  of Hilbert–Schmidt operators. Recall (cf. Sec. 5.2) that  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$  is a homogeneous space of the Hilbert–Schmidt unitary group  $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$ , more precisely

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V) = \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)/\mathrm{U}(W_+) \times \mathrm{U}(W_-) .$$

We introduce now, by analogy with the group  $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$ , the *Hilbert–Schmidt symplectic group*  $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$ . Recall that the symplectic group  $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$  consists of bounded linear operators  $A : V^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V^{\mathbb{C}}$ , having the block representations of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where

$$\bar{a}^t a - b^t \bar{b} = 1 , \quad \bar{a}^t b = b^t \bar{a} .$$

By definition, the group  $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V) \subset \mathrm{Sp}(V)$  consists of transformations  $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ , for which the operator  $b$  is Hilbert–Schmidt. The unitary group  $\mathrm{U}(W_+)$  is contained in  $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$  as a subgroup

$$\mathrm{U}(W_+) \ni a \longmapsto A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} .$$

The diffeomorphism group  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  acts on the space  $V$  by symplectic transformations, given by the same formula, as in Sec. 11.4:

$$T_f(\xi) := \xi \circ f - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \xi(f(\theta)) d\theta .$$

As before, the transformation  $T_f$  preserves the subspace  $W_+ \subset V$  if and only if  $f \in \mathrm{Möb}(S^1)$ , and in this case  $T_f \in \mathrm{U}(W_+)$ . The correspondence  $f \mapsto T_f$  defines an embedding

$$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)/\mathrm{U}(W_+) .$$

Moreover, the following result is true.

**Proposition 26** ([57]). *The map*

$$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)/\mathrm{U}(W_+) = \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$$

*is an equivariant holomorphic embedding.*

By analogy with Sec. 11.4, we identify the space  $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)/\mathrm{U}(W_+)$  with the space  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$  of admissible complex structures on  $V$ , compatible with the symplectic form  $\omega$ . As in the previous Section, it has a natural realization as a *Hilbert–Schmidt Siegel disc*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{HS}} = \{Z : W_+ \rightarrow W_- \text{ is a symmetric Hilbert–Schmidt operator with } \bar{Z}Z < I\} .$$

So, the above Proposition yields a holomorphic embedding

$$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)/\mathrm{U}(W_+) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{HS}} .$$

There is another interpretation of the space  $\mathcal{S}$  as the space of complex structures, namely, as the space of admissible complex structures on the loop space  $\Omega G$ .

There is a natural action of the diffeomorphism group of the circle  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on the loop group  $LG$  by the reparametrization of loops. It is given by the formula

$$f_*\gamma(\theta) := \gamma(f(\theta)) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \gamma(f(\theta)) d\theta$$

for  $\gamma \in LG$ ,  $f \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$ . By identifying  $\Omega G$  with the subgroup  $L_1(G)$ , it's evident that this action can be pushed down to the action of  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  on the loop space  $\Omega G$ .

From the definition of the symplectic structure  $\omega$  on  $\Omega G$ , generated by the form

$$\omega_0(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \xi(e^{i\theta}), \eta'(e^{i\theta}) \rangle d\theta ,$$

on  $L\mathfrak{g}$ , it's clear (by the change of variables in the integral) that diffeomorphisms from  $\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  preserve  $\omega$ , i.e. generate symplectomorphisms of the manifold  $\Omega G$ .

The complex structure  $J^0$  on  $\Omega G$  is given at the origin  $o \in \Omega G$  by the formula

$$\xi = \sum_{k \neq 0} \xi_k z^k \in \Omega\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \implies J_o^0 \xi = -i \sum_{k > 0} \xi_k z^k + i \sum_{k < 0} \xi_k z^k ,$$

so the tangent subspaces, consisting of vectors of the type  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$ , have the form

$$T_o^{1,0}(\Omega G) = \{\xi = \sum_{k < 0} \xi_k z^k \in \Omega\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}\}, \quad T_o^{0,1}(\Omega G) = \{\xi = \sum_{k > 0} \xi_k z^k \in \Omega\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}\} .$$

A diffeomorphism  $f \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  transforms the complex structure  $J^0$  into the complex structure

$$J_f := f_*^{-1} \circ J^0 \circ f_* ,$$

where  $f_*$  is the tangent map to  $f$ .

**Proposition 27.** *The complex structure  $J_f$  with  $f \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  coincides with the original complex structure  $J_0$  if and only if  $f \in \mathrm{Möb}(S^1)$ .*

*Proof.* If the diffeomorphism  $f \in \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)$  does not change the original complex structure, i.e. defines a biholomorphism of  $\Omega G$ , provided with the complex structure  $J_0$ , it means, in particular, that it preserves the tangent space  $T_o^{0,1}(\Omega G)$ . Hence, such a diffeomorphism should preserve the subspace  $L^+G^{\mathbb{C}}$ , implying that it extends to a biholomorphism of the unit disc  $\Delta$ . So,  $f \in \mathrm{Möb}(S^1)$ . The converse assertion is obvious.  $\square$

We shall call the complex structures  $J_f$  on  $\Omega G$ :

$$J_f := f_*^{-1} \circ J^0 \circ f_* ,$$

obtained from  $J^0$  by the action of the diffeomorphism group, the *admissible* complex structures on  $\Omega G$ . The Proposition 27 implies that the space of admissible complex structures on  $\Omega G$  can be identified with the manifold  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Recall that the complex structure  $J^0$  on  $\Omega G$  is invariant under the left  $LG$ -translations on the space  $\Omega G$  and compatible with the symplectic structure  $\omega$  (in the sense of Def. 17 from Sec. 1.2.5). Due to the invariance of  $\omega$  with respect to the action of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , the complex structures  $J_f$  are also invariant under the left  $LG$ -translations and compatible with  $\omega$ . In particular, any such complex structure  $J_f$  defines a *Kähler metric*  $g_f$  on  $\Omega G$  by the formula

$$g_f(\xi, \eta) := \omega(\xi, J_f \eta)$$

for any  $\xi, \eta \in T_\gamma(\Omega G)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Omega G$ .

Consider now the space  $\mathcal{R} = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/(S^1)$ . Combining the above embedding

$$\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \text{Sp}_{\text{HS}}(V)/\text{U}(W_+) = \mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$$

with the holomorphic map

$$\mathcal{R} = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/(S^1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S} ,$$

we obtain the Grassmann realization of the space  $\mathcal{R} = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/(S^1)$ :

$$\mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \text{Sp}_{\text{HS}}(V)/\text{U}(W_+) = \mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}} .$$

As in the case of  $\mathcal{S}$ , the space  $\mathcal{R}$  can be also considered as a space of complex structures on the loop space  $\Omega G$ . Recall that the loop space  $\Omega G$ , provided with the complex structure  $J_0$ , admits the following complex homogeneous representation

$$\Omega G = LG^{\mathbb{C}} / L_+ G^{\mathbb{C}} .$$

According to Birkhoff theorem (cf. Sec. 7.3), we can identify a neighborhood of the origin in  $\Omega G$  with a neighborhood of the identity in the loop subgroup  $L_1^- G^{\mathbb{C}}$ . If a diffeomorphism  $f \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  fixes the origin in  $\Omega G$  and generates a biholomorphism of

$$(\Omega G, J_0) = LG^{\mathbb{C}} / L_+ G^{\mathbb{C}} ,$$

it generates also a biholomorphism of  $L_1^- G^{\mathbb{C}}$ . In this case we shall say that the complex structure  $J_f$ , associated with  $f \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , is *equivalent* to the original complex structure  $J_0$ .

**Proposition 28.** *The complex structure  $J_f$  with  $f \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  is equivalent to the original complex structure  $J_0$  in the above sense if and only if  $f$  is a rotation, i.e.  $f \in S^1$ .*

*Proof.* If the diffeomorphism  $f \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  generates a biholomorphism of

$$(\Omega G, J_0) = LG^{\mathbb{C}} / L_+ G^{\mathbb{C}} ,$$

fixing the origin, then it leaves the subspace  $L_+ G^{\mathbb{C}}$  invariant and generates a biholomorphism of  $L_1^- G^{\mathbb{C}}$ . The first property implies that  $f$  extends to a biholomorphism of the unit disc  $\Delta$ , while the second one implies that  $f$  extends to a biholomorphism of its exterior  $\Delta_-$ , fixing the infinity. Then, by Liouville theorem,  $f \in S^1$ .  $\square$

## Bibliographic comments

A key reference for this Chapter is the Nag's book [56]. Most of the assertions in Sec. 11.1, 11.2, 11.3 may be found there. Prop. 21 is proved in the paper [59]. The Grassmann approach to the study of the universal Teichmüller space was initiated by Nag–Sullivan's paper [58]. All assertions from Sec. 11.4 may be found there. Prop. 26 is proved in [57].