# Singularities of maps and characteristic classes 

## Toru Ohmoto

## Dedicated to Professor Shyuichi Izumiya on the occasion of his 60th birthday.


#### Abstract

. We introduce a new branch of the Thom polynomial theory for local and multi-singularities of maps.
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## §1. Introduction

In classical algebraic geometry, numerical characters of complex projective varieties were extensively studied by means of enumerating singular points of naturally associated algebraic maps, e.g., the degree of loci of ramification, polar, multiple points, inflections ... and so on. A modern unified approach to such enumerative problems is the theory of Thom polynomials based on the classification of mono and multi-singularities of maps. In this lecture we introduce a new branch of the theory, in which we replace counting singular points by computing (weighted) Euler characteristics. This theory leads to a number of generalizations of classical
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enumerative formulas, while we here focus on an application to the vanishing topology of $\mathcal{A}$-finite map-germs.

A simple toy example is the Riemann-Hurwitz formula: Let $f: M \rightarrow$ $N$ be a surjective holomorphic map between compact complex curves. To each point of $M$ the multiplicity $\mu=\mu(f)$ is assigned so that the germ of $f$ at the point is written as $z \mapsto z^{\mu+1}+\cdots$. The classical formula says that the number of critical points taking account of multiplicities $\mu$ measures the difference between the topological Euler characteristics $\chi$ of $M$ and $N$, that is written in a slightly modern form as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M} \mu(f) d \chi & =\operatorname{deg} f \cdot \chi(N)-\chi(M) \\
& =c_{1}(T N) \frown f_{*}[M]-c_{1}(T M) \frown[M] \\
& =c_{1}\left(f^{*} T N-T M\right) \frown[M] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here appear major characters playing in this mini-course:

- $c_{i}$ stands for the Chern class of vector bundles and [-] is the fundamental cycle in classical intersection theory (Section 2.2);
- $\int_{M}$ is the integral of constructible functions, which will soon be replaced by the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class (CSM class) (Section 3.2);
- $t p\left(A_{1}\right)=c_{1}\left(f^{*} T N-T M\right)$, the simplest Thom polynomial for $A_{1}$-singularity of equidimensional maps (Section 4.1).
The emphasis is that integrating local invariants of singularities of maps provides global invariants associated to maps, and conversely, localizing global invariants to a critical point (via torus-action) gives local invariants at that point. Our main goal is to present a certain framework for generalizing this picture, based on the well-established classification theory of map-germs (the Thom-Mather theory) and characteristic classes for singular varieties (Chern-Mather and Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes and (singular) Todd class etc). We also show the effectivity of our approach by giving a number of actual computations in concrete examples.

We works in the complex analytic/algebraic context throughout, however, almost all parts can suitably be repeated over algebraically closed field in characteristic zero.

The organization of this note is as follows.
We begin with basic materials: In $\S 2$, some required knowledge in classification theory of map-germs and classical intersection theory are briefly summarized.

A quick introduction to the CSM class is given in $\S 3$. In particular this section contains a digest from [52] about equivariant (co)homology,
the algebraic Borel construction and the theory of equivariant CSM class: Theorem 3.13 is the foundation of this lecture.

The main body is $\S 4$. Given a stable singularity type $\eta$ of holomorphic map-germs from $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, the Thom polynomial $\operatorname{tp}(\eta)$ is by definition a universal polynomial in the quotient Chern classes $c_{i}(f)=$ $c_{i}\left(f^{*} T N-T M\right)$ which expresses the fundamental class of the closure of

$$
\eta(f):=\{x \in M \mid \text { the germ } f \text { at } x \text { is of type } \eta\}
$$

for any stable maps $f: M \rightarrow N$ (Theorem 4.1):

$$
\operatorname{Dual}[\bar{\eta}(f)]=t p(\eta)(c(f))
$$

Obviously, in case that the codimension of $\eta$ is equal to $\operatorname{dim} M, \operatorname{tp}(\eta)$ for $f$ counts the number of $\eta$-singular points. Such universal polynomial expression can be considered for not only the fundamental class but also other certain invariants of the prescribed singular locus of maps. We then focus on the topological Euler characteristics - the higher Thom polynomial $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})$ is introduced so that it universally expresses the CSM class of the $\eta$-type singular point locus $\bar{\eta}(f)$ (Theorem 4.4):

$$
\text { Dual } c^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta}(f))=c(T M) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})
$$

In particular, the degree of the right hand side computes the Euler characteristics $\chi(\bar{\eta}(f))$. Here $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})$ is a power series in $c_{i}=c_{i}(f)$ whose leading term is just the Thom polynomial $t p(\eta)$. To determine those polynomials, there is an effective method, which is described for a typical example in $\S 4.3$. We also discuss (higher) Thom polynomials for multi-singularities.

Indeed we give several universal formulas for (weighted) Euler characteristics of singular loci in the source and the target; for instance, we show in Proposition 6.2 that for a closed singular surface $X$ in a projective 3 -fold $N$ having ordinary singularities, i.e., crosscaps $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and normal crossings (double and triple points), and for its normalization $f: M \rightarrow X \subset N$, it holds that

$$
\chi(X)=\frac{1}{6} \int_{M}\binom{3 c_{1}(T M) c_{1}+6 c_{2}(T M)-3 c_{1}(T M) s_{0}}{-c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}-2 c_{1} s_{0}+s_{0}^{2}+2 s_{1}}
$$

where $c_{i}=c_{i}\left(f^{*} T N-T M\right), s_{0}=f^{*} f_{*}(1), s_{1}=f^{*} f_{*}\left(c_{1}\right)$. This is part of our more general formulas (Theorems 6.5, 6.13).

We remark that as particular examples, applying these (higher) Thom polynomials of multi-singularities to certain maps in projective algebraic geometry, e.g., normalizations of projective surfaces with ordinary singularities, leads us to rediscover a number of classical formulas
in 19 century due to Salmon, Caylay, Zeuthen, Enriques, Baker, .... and actually it gives suitable generalizations: In particular, the computations on higher Thom polynomials involve the 'exclusion-inclusion principle' among multi-singularity loci, that is quite similar to some typical argument in the classical works of those pioneers.
$\S 5$ and $\S 6$ are devoted to our main application. The purpose is to present a new method for studying the vanishing topology of finitely determined weighted homogeneous map-germs by localizing (higher) Thom polynomials via $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action: We exhibit a bunch of numerical computations of

- the number of stable singularities appearing in generic perturbation (0-stable invariants)
- image and discriminant Milnor numbers
for such map-germs of any corank in low dimensions. Our method can provide general formulas in terms of weights and degrees. Those are really new: In fact there has not been known any effective method for computing such invariants of germs without corank condition.

In this lecture note, mainly we deal with maps $f: M \rightarrow N$ of nonnegative relative-codimension $\kappa:=\operatorname{dim} N-\operatorname{dim} M \geq 0$, and the negative codimensional case will be considered in another paper.

The author would like to appreciate the organizers of the 12th Workshop of Real and Complex Singularities in Sao Carlos (2012) for their good organizing and kind hospitality, and also thank Maxim Kazarian for his comments (§6.4). This is partly supported by the JSPS grant no. 24340007 .

## §2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Basics in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{K}$-classifications of map-germs

We describe some basic notions in the Thom-Mather theory, see, e.g., $[5,50,72]$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{m}$ be the local ring of holomorphic function germs $\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{m}=\left\{h \in \mathcal{O}_{m}, f(0)=0\right\}$. Put $\mathcal{E}(m, n)$ to be the $\mathcal{O}_{m}$-module of all homolorphic map-germs $C^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$, and also put

$$
\mathcal{E}_{0}(m, n)=\left\{f: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}, 0 \text { holomorphic }\right\}=\mathfrak{m}_{m} \mathcal{E}(m, n)
$$

Equivalence. The group of biholomorphic germs $\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right)$ (abusing the notation Diff). There are two different kinds of natural equivalence relations on map-germs:


Fig. 1. Cusp $\left(A_{2}\right)$ arises in a generic projection of a surface to the plane

- $\mathcal{A}$-classification (right-left equivalence) classifies map-germs up to isomorphisms of source and target. The right-left group $\mathcal{A}\left(=\mathcal{A}_{m, n}\right)$ is the direct product $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right) \times \operatorname{Diff}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right)$, which acts on $\mathcal{E}_{0}(m, n)$ by

$$
(\sigma, \tau) \cdot f:=\tau \circ f \circ \sigma^{-1}
$$

- K-classification (contact equivalence) classifies up to the isomorphisms of source the zero locus $f^{-1}(0)$ as a scheme, i.e., classifies the ideal

$$
f^{*} \mathfrak{m}_{n}:=\left\langle f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{O}_{m}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{m}
$$

generated by the component functions of $f$; In other words, the $\mathcal{K}$-equivalence measures the tangency of the graphs $y=f(x)$ and $y=0$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}$. The contact group $\mathcal{K}\left(=\mathcal{K}_{m, n}\right)$ consists of pairs $(\sigma, \Phi)$ of $\sigma \in \operatorname{Diff}\left(\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0\right)$ and $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow G L(n, \mathbb{C})$, which acts on $\mathcal{E}_{0}(m, n)$ by

$$
((\sigma, \Phi) \cdot f)(x)=\Phi(x) f(\sigma(x))
$$

- If $f \sim_{\mathcal{A}} g$, then $f \sim_{\mathcal{K}} g$, i.e., $\mathcal{A} . f \subset \mathcal{K} . f$.

Example 2.1. $f=\left(x^{3}+y x, y\right)$ and $g=\left(x^{3}, y\right)$ in $\mathcal{E}_{0}(2,2)$ are $\mathcal{K}$ equivalent but not $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent, so $\mathcal{A}$. $f \neq \mathcal{K}$.f. The $\mathcal{A}$-class of $f=$ $\left(x^{3}+y x, y\right)$ is called an ordinary cusp or stable $A_{2}$-singularity. The discriminant (=singular value curves on the plane) is depicted in Fig. 1.

Tangent spaces. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_{0}(m, n)$. An infinitesimal deformation of $f$ is a vector field-germ along $f$

$$
v: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow T \mathbb{C}^{n}, \quad p \mapsto v(p) \in T_{f(p)} \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

The space of infinitesimal deformations is regarded as the 'tangent space' of $\mathcal{E}(m, n)$ at $f$, and is denoted by

$$
\theta(f)=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}
$$

Note that $\theta(f)$ admits two different module structures via multiplications with source functions in $\mathcal{O}_{m}$ and target functions in $\mathcal{O}_{n}$ through $f^{*}$. The subspace of infinitesimal deformations vanishing at the origin is just $\mathfrak{m}_{m} \theta(f)$, regarded as the tangent space of $\mathcal{E}_{0}(m, n)$ at $f$.

For the identity map $i d_{m}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$,

$$
\theta_{m}:=\theta\left(i d_{m}\right)=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}
$$

is the space of germs of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ at the origin, in other words, the space of infinitesimal deformations of coordinate changes of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ not necessarily preserving the origin. Instead, $\mathfrak{m}_{m} \theta_{m}$ is the space of infinitesimal deformations of coordinate changes preserving the origin. We set an $\mathcal{O}_{m}$-module homomorphism $t f: \theta_{m} \rightarrow \theta(f)$ and an $\mathcal{O}_{n^{-}}$ module homomorphism $\omega f: \theta_{n} \rightarrow \theta(f)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
t f & : v=\sum v_{j}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \\
\omega f & : \quad w=\sum w_{i}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} \longmapsto d(v)=\sum \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}(x) v_{j}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}, \\
& w \circ f=\sum w_{i}(f(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the tangent spaces of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{K}$-orbits of $f$ in $\mathfrak{m}_{m} \theta(f)$ and the extended tangent spaces in $\theta(f)$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T \mathcal{A} . f & :=t f\left(\mathfrak{m}_{m} \theta_{m}\right)+\omega f\left(\mathfrak{m}_{n} \theta_{n}\right), \\
T \mathcal{K} . f & :=t f\left(\mathfrak{m}_{m} \theta_{m}\right)+f^{*} \mathfrak{m}_{n} \theta(f), \\
T \mathcal{A}_{e} \cdot f & :=t f\left(\theta_{m}\right)+\omega f\left(\theta_{n}\right), \\
T \mathcal{K}_{e} . f & :=t f\left(\theta_{m}\right)+f^{*} \mathfrak{m}_{n} \theta(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Determinacy. Let $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{K}$. A map-germ $f$ is $\mathcal{G}$-finitely determined if there is some $k$ so that if $j^{k} g(0)=j^{k} f(0)$ then $g \sim_{\mathcal{G}} f$. Finite determinacy is equivalent to that the orbit $\mathcal{G} . f$ has finite codimension, i.e., $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{m}_{m} \theta(f) / T \mathcal{G} . f<\infty\left(\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \theta(f) / T \mathcal{G}_{e} . f<\infty\right)$. Then, the process for $\mathcal{G}$-classification of finitely determined map-germs is reduced to the level of jets (Taylor polynomials): We may replace $\mathcal{E}_{0}(m, n)$ and $\mathcal{G}$ by jet spaces $J^{k}(m, n)$ and $J^{k} \mathcal{G}$, respectively, which are finite dimensional and the action is algebraic.

Stability. $f: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}, 0$ is a stable germ if any infinitesimal deformation of $f$ is recovered by some infinitesimal deformations of source and target coordinate changes (not necessarily preserving the origin), that is,

$$
\theta(f)=T \mathcal{A}_{e} \cdot f
$$

By the Malgrange preparation theorem this condition is equivalent to that

$$
\theta(f)=T \mathcal{K}_{e} \cdot f+\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{C} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} .
$$

It is known that $f \sim_{\mathcal{K}} g$ if and only if $f \sim_{\mathcal{A}} g$ for stable germs $f, g$. Namely, for a stable germ $f$,

$$
\mathcal{A} . f=\{\text { Stable germs }\} \cap \mathcal{K} . f .
$$

Jet extension. Intuitively, a stable germ $f$ means that for any small perturbation of any representative $f: U \rightarrow V$, still the same type singularity remains at some point nearby the origin. This is justified by the transversality of jet extension. A representative $f: U \rightarrow V$ produces a map

$$
\bar{f}: U \rightarrow V \times \mathcal{E}_{0}(m, n), p \mapsto \operatorname{germ} \text { of } f(x+p) \text { at } x=0
$$

then the image of the derivative of this map at 0 is just the linear subspace $t f\left(T_{0} U\right)$ of $\theta(f)=\omega f\left(T_{0} V\right) \oplus \mathfrak{m}_{m} \theta(f)$. Note that

$$
T \mathcal{A}_{e} . f=t f\left(T_{0} U\right)+\omega f\left(T_{0} V\right)+T \mathcal{A} . f
$$

and $\omega f\left(T_{0} V\right)+T \mathcal{A} . f$ is regarded as the tangent space of $V \times \mathcal{A}$. $f$. Thus we have

$$
\theta(f)=T \mathcal{A}_{e} . f \Longleftrightarrow \bar{f} \text { is transverse to } V \times \mathcal{A} . f \text { at } 0
$$

Also this is equivalent to that $\bar{f}$ is transverse to $V \times \mathcal{K} . f$ at 0 , using the interpretation of the stability in terms of $T \mathcal{K}_{e} . f$.

Precisely saying, we should state the transversality (the right hand side) on the level of jets: Let $J(T M, T N)$ be the jet bundle over $M \times N$ (with fiber $J(m, n)$ of order high enough $(\geq n+1)$ and group $\mathcal{A}$ ), and denote by $j f$ the jet extension which assigns to points $x \in M$ the pair of $f(x) \in N$ and the jet of the germ $f: M, x \rightarrow N, f(x)$ :

$f: M, x \rightarrow N, f(x)$ is stable
$\Longleftrightarrow j f: M \rightarrow J(T M, T N)$ is transverse to the $\mathcal{A}$-orbit at $x$.
$\Longleftrightarrow j f: M \rightarrow J(T M, T N)$ is transverse to the $\mathcal{K}$-orbit at $x$.

Versal unfolding. An unfolding of $f: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}, 0$ is a map-germ

$$
F: \mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{k},(0,0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{k},(0,0), \quad F(x, u)=\left(f_{u}(x), u\right)
$$

so that $F(x, 0)=(f(x), 0)$ (i.e., $\left.f_{0}=f\right)$. Note that $f$ itself is regarded as an unfolding without parameters ( $k=0$ ). Two unfoldings $G, F$ of $f$ with $k$ parameters are equivalent if there are unfoldings of identity maps $i d_{m}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ and $i d_{n}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$,
$\Phi: \mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{k}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{k}, 0, \quad \Psi: \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{k}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{k}, 0$,
respectively, so that $F \circ \Phi=\Psi \circ G$. An unfolding of $f$ is trivial if it is equivalent to the product $\left(f \times i d_{k}\right)(x, u):=(f(x), u)$.

Given a map $h: \mathbb{C}^{\ell}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k}, 0$, the induced unfolding $h^{*} F$ from $F$ via the base-change $h$ is defined by the unfolding $h^{*} F(x, v):=\left(f_{h(v)}(x), v\right)$.

We say that $F$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{e}$-versal unfolding of $f$ if any unfolding of $f$ is equivalent to some unfolding induced from $F$. The so-called versality theorem says that $F$ is $\mathcal{A}_{e}$-versal if and only if it holds that

$$
\theta(f)=T \mathcal{A}_{e} \cdot f+\left.\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{C} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}} f_{u}\right|_{u=0} .
$$

This identity means that the map

$$
U \times W \rightarrow V \times \mathcal{E}_{0}(m, n),(p, u) \mapsto \operatorname{germ} \text { of } f_{u}(x+p) \text { at } x=0
$$

is transverse to $V \times \mathcal{A}$.f at $(p, u)=(0,0)$, where $F: U \times W \rightarrow V \times W$ is a representative.

The $\mathcal{A}_{e}$-codimension of $f$ is defined to be $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \theta(f) / T \mathcal{A}_{e} \cdot f$, which is the minimum number of parameters required for constructing an $\mathcal{A}_{e^{-}}$ versal unfolding of $f$. In particular,

$$
f \text { is a stable germ } \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{e}-\operatorname{codim}(f)=0
$$

$\Longleftrightarrow f$ itself is $\mathcal{A}_{e}$-versal $\Longleftrightarrow$ any unfolding of $f$ is trivial.

### 2.2. Basics in intersection theory

Basic references are, e.g., [47, 25, 21, 67, 36].
Homology. Throughout, $H^{*}$ and $H_{*}$ stand for the singular cohomology ring (with cup product) and the Borel-Moore homology group (=the closed supported homology $=$ the homology of locally finite chains), respectively.

- $H^{*}$ is a contravariant functor: the pullback $f^{*}: H^{*}(Y) \rightarrow$ $H^{*}(X)$ is a ring homomorphism defined for a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, and it holds that $(g \circ f)^{*}=f^{*} \circ g^{*}$.
- $H_{*}$ is covariant for proper maps: the pushforward $f_{*}: H_{*}(X) \rightarrow$ $H_{*}(Y)$ is a group homomorphism defined for a proper continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, and it holds that $(g \circ f)_{*}=g_{*} \circ f_{*}$. For compact spaces it is the same as the usual homology group.
There is a natural pairing (cap product): $\frown H^{k}(X) \times H_{m}(X) \rightarrow$ $H_{m-k}(X)$. The two maps $f^{*}$ and $f_{*}$ are related by the useful projection formula:

$$
f_{*}\left(f^{*} \alpha \frown c\right)=\alpha \frown f_{*} c
$$

for $\alpha \in H^{*}(Y)$ and $c \in H_{*}(X)$. For a (possibly non-compact) complex irreducible variety $X$ of dimension $m$, there always exists the fundamental class $[X] \in H_{2 m}(X)$ : For any regular point $x \in X$, the class generates $H_{2 m}(X, X-x) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ being compatible with the complex orientation. If $M$ is a complex manifold, it yields the well-known Poincaré duality isomorphism

$$
H^{i}(M) \simeq H_{2 m-i}(M), \omega \mapsto \omega \frown[M] .
$$

We denote by Dual $c$ the Poincaré dual to $c \in H_{*}(M)$ but often omit this notation when it would not cause any confusion.

For proper maps $f: M \rightarrow N$ between manifolds of relative codimension $\kappa=\operatorname{dim} N-\operatorname{dim} M$, the Gysin homomorphism is defined by the dual to the homology pushforward (we abuse the notation):

$$
f_{*}=\text { Dual } \circ f_{*} \circ \text { Dual }{ }^{-1}: H^{*}(M) \rightarrow H^{*+\kappa}(N)
$$

For instance, $f_{*}(1)=$ Dual $f_{*}[M]$.
Proposition 2.2. If $f: M \rightarrow N$ between complex manifolds is transverse to a closed subvariety $Y \subset N$, then the pullback of $[Y]$ is expressed by the preimage of Y via $f, f^{*} \operatorname{Dual}[Y]=\operatorname{Dual}\left[f^{-1}(Y)\right] \in$ $H^{*}(M)$.

Chow group. In the context of algebraic geometry, instead of $H_{*}$, we may take the Chow group $A_{*}$ of algebraic cycles under rational equivalence [21]: The group of algebraic $k$-cycles on a variety $M$ is freely generated by symbols [ $V$ ] associated to $k$-dimensional closed irreducible subvarieties $V \subset M$, and two algebraic $k$-cycles are rationally equivalent if they are joined by a family of cycles parametrized by $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ (such a family forms an algebraic $(k+1)$-cycle on $\left.M \times \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$. The pushforward $f_{*}: A_{*}(M) \rightarrow A_{*}(N)$ is defined for proper algebraic morphisms $f: M \rightarrow N$ by $f_{*}[V]=\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.f\right|_{V}\right) \cdot[f(V)]$ if $\operatorname{dim} V=\operatorname{dim} f(V)$, and 0 otherwise. If $M$ is non-singular and of dimension $m$, we put

$$
A^{*}(M)=\oplus A^{k}(M), \quad A^{k}(M):=A_{m-k}(M)
$$

The intersection product of two algebraic cycles is generally defined ([21, $\S 20]$, [36]), that put on $A^{*}(M)$ a ring structure; then it is called the Chow ring of $M$. The pullback $f^{*}: A^{*}(N) \rightarrow A^{*}(M)$ for a morphism between algebraic manifolds is defined by taking a scheme theoretic preimage, i.e., the intersection product of the graph of $f$ and the cartesian product $M$ times subvarieties of $N$. Over the ground field $\mathbb{C}$, there is a ring homomorphism, called the cycle map,

$$
c l: A^{*}(M) \rightarrow H^{2 *}(M)
$$

sending an algebraic cycle to the dual to the fundamental class of the underlying analytic space: cl is compatible with the pullback and the Gysin homomorphism (pushforward). In particular,

$$
\operatorname{cl}([V] \cdot[W])=\operatorname{cl}([V]) \cdot \operatorname{cl}([W])
$$

hence, the algebraic intersection number of cycles (in $A^{*}$ ) coincides with the topological intersection number defined by the cup product (in $H^{*}$ ).

Chern classes. A complex vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow M$ of rank $n$ is a locally trivial fibration with fiber $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and structure group $G L_{n}(\mathbb{C}): E$ is called the total space, $M$ the base space and $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ the fiber, and the zero section $Z \subset E$ is the subvariety consisting of all zero vectors of fibers. The pullback induced by the projection map $p$ provides a canonical isomorphism

$$
p^{*}: H^{*}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{*}(E)
$$

The trivial $n$-bundle $\epsilon^{n}$ means that it is globally trivialized, i.e., isomorphic to the product $M \times \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow M$. To measure 'non-triviality' of a given vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow M$, the most basic invariant is the top Chern class of $E$ defined by the fundamental class of the zero section:

$$
c_{n}(E):=\left(p^{*}\right)^{-1} \text { Dual }[Z] \in H^{2 n}(M ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

For a section $s: M \rightarrow E$ (i.e., $p \circ s=i d_{M}$ ), we have $s^{*}=\left(p^{*}\right)^{-1}$, and if $s: M \rightarrow E$ is transverse to $Z$, then by Proposition 2.2 the top Chern class is represented by the degeneracy locus (zero locus) of $s$ :

$$
c_{n}(E)=s^{*} \operatorname{Dual}[Z]=\operatorname{Dual}\left[s^{-1}(Z)\right]
$$

The top Chern class is regarded as a cohomological obstruction for the existence of a trivial line sub-bundle of $E$ : That means that if such a trivial sub-bundle exists, then there is a section $s$ nowhere zero, i.e., $Z(s)=\emptyset$, thus $c_{n}(E)=0$. In the same manner the lower Chern class $c_{i}(E)$ is introduced as a certain obstruction for the existence of a trivial
sub-bundle of rank $n-i+1$. So for the trivial bundle $\epsilon^{n}$, all Chern classes $c_{i}\left(\epsilon^{n}\right)$ vanish.

The Chern classes are also formulated in the following intrinsic way: Let $\pi: \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow M$ be the projectivized bundle of lines in $E$, then there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow L_{E} \longrightarrow \pi^{*} E \longrightarrow Q_{E} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $L_{E}$ is the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E)$; let $\mathcal{O}_{E}(1):=L_{E}^{*}$ denote the bundle dual to $L_{E}$ and put $t=c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{E}(1)\right)$ (top Chern class). Then $H^{*}(\mathbb{P}(E))$ naturally has a $H^{*}(M)$-module structure via $\pi^{*}$ generated by $t$ : In fact one can define the Chern class $c_{i}(E) \in H^{2 i}(M)$ by the identity

$$
t^{n}+\pi^{*} c_{1}(E) t^{n-1}+\cdots+\pi^{*} c_{n}(E)=0 \in H^{2 n}(\mathbb{P}(E))
$$

which actually generates the relation $I$ of $H^{*}(\mathbb{P}(E))=H^{*}(M)[t] / I$. In particular, in case that $M=p t$, this implies that $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[t] /\left(t^{n}\right)$.

Example 2.3. (Poincaré-Hopf) The Chern class of a complex manifold $M$ means $c(T M)$ of the tangent bundle. If $M$ is compact, the top Chern class corresponds to the Euler characteristic of $M$

$$
c_{n}(T M) \frown[M]=\chi(M) \cdot[p t] \in H_{0}(M),
$$

that is the Poincaré-Hopf theorem for a vector field $v$ on $M$ (a section of $T M$ )

$$
c_{n}(T M)=\operatorname{Dual}\left[v^{-1}(Z)\right]=\sum \operatorname{Ind}(v, p) \stackrel{\text { P.H. }}{=} \chi(M) .
$$

Axiom. Chern classes satisfy the following axiom which is quite useful for actual computation:

- $c_{0}(E)=1$ and $c_{i}(E)=0 \quad(i>n=\operatorname{rank} E)$, i.e.,

$$
c(E):=\sum_{i \geq 0} c_{i}(E)=1+c_{1}(E)+\cdots+c_{n}(E)
$$

which called the total Chern class of $E$.

- (naturality) For pullback via $f: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$,

$$
c\left(f^{*} E\right)=f^{*} c(E)
$$

- (Whitney formula) For any short exact sequence of vector bundles $0 \rightarrow E^{\prime} \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$, it holds that $c(E)=c\left(E^{\prime}\right) \cdot c\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
c_{k}(E)=\sum_{i+j=k} c_{i}\left(E^{\prime}\right) c_{j}\left(E^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

- (normalization) $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1)\right)$ equals the divisor class $a \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$.

For instance, it follows that

- Trivial bundle: For the trivial $n$-bundle, $c\left(\epsilon^{n}\right)=c\left(\oplus \epsilon^{1}\right)=1$.
- Additive group law: For tensor product of line bundles $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$ over $M$ :

$$
c\left(\ell_{1} \otimes \ell_{2}\right)=1+c_{1}\left(\ell_{1}\right)+c_{1}\left(\ell_{2}\right) .
$$

If $E$ splits into line bundles, $E=\ell_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \ell_{n}$,

$$
c(E)=1+c_{1}(E)+\cdots+c_{n}(E)=\prod\left(1+a_{i}\right)
$$

where $a_{i}=c_{1}\left(\ell_{i}\right)$ called the Chern roots of $E$. So the $i$-th Chern class $c_{i}(E)$ is nothing but the $i$-th elementary symmetric function in $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$. This computation is formally allowed for any non-split vector bundle $E$ by regarding it virtually as the sum of line bundles, that is the splitting principle. For instance, the product $E \otimes F$ is virtually regarded as the sum of products $\ell_{i} \otimes \ell_{j}^{\prime}$ of line bundles, hence by additive group law,

$$
c(E \otimes F)=\prod c\left(\ell_{i} \otimes \ell_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\prod\left(1+a_{i}+b_{j}\right)
$$

where $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are Chern roots of $E$ and $F$, respectively. The calculus on Chern classes is essentially the same as the combinatorics of elementary symmetric functions.

Quotient Chern class. To measure in a formal way the difference between two vector bundles $E$ and $F$ of rank $m, n$ over the same base space, we define the quotient Chern class

$$
c(F-E)=\sum_{i \geq 0} c_{i}(F-E):=\frac{1+c_{1}(F)+\cdots}{1+c_{1}(E)+\cdots}=\frac{\prod\left(1+b_{j}\right)}{\prod\left(1+a_{i}\right)}
$$

by using formal expansion $\frac{1}{1-a}=1+a+a^{2}+\cdots$. Obviously, if $F=$ $E \oplus E^{\prime}$, then $c(F-E)=c\left(E^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $P$ be a polynomial in components $c_{i}(E)$ and $c_{j}(F)(i, j=$ $1,2, \cdots)$ i.e., $P=P\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)$ is symmetric in both variables $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$. It is known that $P$ is written as a polynomial in quotient Chern classes $c_{i}(E-F)$ if and only if $P$ is supersymmetric, that is,

$$
P\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m-1}, t, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n-1}, t\right)
$$

does not depend on $t$ (A. Lascoux).

The $K$-group $K_{0}(M)$ is the group completion of the monoid generated by isomorphism classes of vector bundles with the Whitney sum operation $\oplus$. Then the Chern class operation $c_{*}: K_{0}(M) \rightarrow H^{*}(M)$ is well-defined. Moreover, the Chern character of $E$ is defined by $\operatorname{ch}(E)=$ $\sum \exp a_{i}$ using Chern roots $a_{i}$ of $E$, and it produces a natural transformation $c h: K_{0}(M) \rightarrow H^{*}(M)$ as ring homomorphism (where $K_{0}(M)$ is a commutative ring with the tensor product $\otimes$ ).

Example 2.4. (Bézout's theorem) Let $\ell=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)$ be the dual tautological line bundle of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Put $a=c_{1}(\ell) \in$ $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$, the dual to a line. A homogeneous polynomial $P(x, y, z)$ of degree $d$ assigns to each point $[L] \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ the function $L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $t \boldsymbol{v} \mapsto P(\boldsymbol{v}) t^{d}$, which gives a section of the line bundle tensorred $d$ times $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(d):=\ell^{\otimes d}$. The zero locus of this section is nothing but the projective plane curve defined by $P=0$. Since $c\left(\ell^{\otimes d}\right)=1+d \cdot a$, the fundamental class of the plane curve $P=0$ is represented by the top Chern class $d \cdot a$. For two projective plane curves of degree $d$ and $d^{\prime}$ without common factor, the sum of algebraic intersection numbers corresponds to the cup product of their fundamental classes, $c_{1}\left(\ell^{\otimes d}\right)$. $c_{1}\left(\ell^{\otimes d^{\prime}}\right)=d d^{\prime} \cdot a^{2} \in H^{4}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ via the cycle map cl. This means classical Bézout's theorem.

## §3. Chern class for singular varieties

### 3.1. Singular Chern classes

As seen in the previous section, the Chern class of an $n$-dimensional complex manifold $X$ is the total cohomology class

$$
c(T X)=1+c_{1}(T X)+\cdots+c_{n}(T X) \in H^{*}(X) .
$$

Note that $c_{n}(T X) \frown[X]=\chi(X)$ and $1 \frown[X]=[X]$. For a singular variety $X$, there is no longer the tangent bundle, so $c(T X)$ does not make sense at all. However we may have a chance to find some substitute to $T X$, for instance by taking a reasonable partial desingularization $p: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ (e.g. Nash blowing-up, which will be described below) or a deformation to smooth varieties $X_{t}$ if it exists. Then we consider Chern classes of the substitute on $\widehat{X}$ or $X_{t}$. According to the direction of 'arrow' $p$, we switch to homology and take the image of the Chern class via the pushforward $p_{*}: H_{*}(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow H_{*}(X)$ or the specialization map $s p_{*}: H_{*}\left(X_{t}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}(X)$, that provide a kind of "singular Chern classes" defined in $H_{*}(X)$. The Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class (CSM class) is a typical one: It is the most useful 'singular Chern class' from the functorial viewpoint, which we briefly introduce in this section. In particular,
the CSM class of a (compact, irreducible) possibly singular variety $X$ is a total homology class of the form

$$
c^{\mathrm{SM}}(X)=\chi(X) \cdot[p t]+\cdots+[X] \in H_{*}(X) .
$$

Throughout this section, (Borel-Moore) homology $H_{*}$ can be replaced by Chow group $A_{*}$.

### 3.2. Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class

Let $X$ be a complex algebraic variety of dimension $n$. For a subvariety $W \subset X$, we denote by $\mathbb{1}_{W}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the characteristic function which takes value 1 on points of $W$, otherwise 0 . Then a constructible function $\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a function on $X$ given by a finite sum $\alpha=\sum n_{i} \mathbb{1}_{W_{i}}$ with $n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, W_{i}$ subvarieties of $X$. Let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be the abelian group of constructible functions on $X$. The integral of $\alpha$ is defined by

$$
\int_{X} \alpha:=\sum a_{i} \chi\left(W_{i}\right)
$$

where $\chi$ means the Euler characteristics using the Borel-Moore homology of underlying analytic spaces. Furthermore, for morphisms $X \rightarrow Y$, the pushforward is defined by

$$
f_{*}: \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y), \quad f_{*}(\alpha)(y):=\int_{f^{-1}(y)} \alpha \quad(y \in Y)
$$

Note that $\int_{X} \alpha=p t_{*} \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}=\mathcal{F}(p t)$ where $p t: X \rightarrow p t$. It holds that

$$
(f \circ g)_{*}=f_{*} \circ g_{*} .
$$

Also the pullback $f^{*}: \mathcal{F}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ is defined by $f^{*} \alpha:=\alpha \circ f$.
The group of constructible functions $\mathcal{F}$ and the Borel-Moore homology $H_{*}$ define covariant functors $\operatorname{Var} \rightarrow A b$ from the category of complex algebraic varieties and proper morphisms to the category of abelian groups.

Theorem 3.1. [45] There is a unique natural transformation

$$
C_{*}: \mathcal{F}(X) \longrightarrow H_{*}(X)
$$

between these functors so that $C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}\right)=c(T X) \frown[X]$ if $X$ is nonsingular.

Naturality means that

- $C_{*}(\alpha+\beta)=C_{*}(\alpha)+C_{*}(\beta)$ (additive homomorphism)
- $C_{*} f_{*}(\alpha)=f_{*} C_{*}(\alpha)$ for proper morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

In particular, if $p t: X \rightarrow p t$ is proper, we have

$$
p t_{*} C_{*}(\alpha)=C_{*} p t_{*}(\alpha)=\int_{X} \alpha
$$

(where $C_{*}: \mathcal{F}(p t)=H_{0}(p t)$ ), hence for $\alpha=\mathbb{1}_{X}$, the 0-dimensional component of $C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}\right)$ corresponds to $\chi(X)$. For irreducible $X$, the top dimensional component of $C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}\right)$ is the fundamental class $[X]$, as see below.

Definition 3.2. The Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class of $X$ is defined by $c^{\mathrm{SM}}(X):=C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}\right)$. For non-reduced scheme $X$, we define $c^{\mathrm{SM}}(X):=c^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(X_{R e d}\right)$ of the underlying reduced scheme.

In the later sections, we consider the CSM class of $X$ in ambient smooth space $M$; We often write $C_{*}(\alpha) \in H^{*}(M)$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ without the notation Dual, that would not cause any confusion.

Remark 3.3. (Schwartz class) Historically earlier than MacPherson's paper [45], M. Schwartz had defined an obstruction class in the relative cohomology $H^{*}(M, M-X)$ for the existence of radial vector frames over a neighborhood of $X$ in an ambient manifold $M$, that can be seen as a special kind of degeneracy loci class (for frames controlled in a tubular neighborhood of each stratum of a fixed Whitney stratification of $X$ ). The Schwartz class coincides with $C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}\right)$ via the Alexander duality $H^{*}(M, M-X) \simeq H_{2 m-*}(X)$, that was proved in Brasselet-Schwartz [8].

Remark 3.4. (Nash blow-up and Chern-Mather class) We briefly explain about MacPherson's original construction of $C_{*}$ in [45] using a specified desingularization - the Nash blow-up. Assume that $X$ is embedded in an ambient manifold $M$ and is of equidimension $n$. Let $\nu_{M}: \operatorname{Gr}(T M, n) \rightarrow M$ be the Grassmannian bundle of $n$-dimensional linear subspaces in $T M$. Then, there is a unique section $\rho$ over the regular locus $X_{\text {Reg }}$ of $X$ which sends $x \in X_{\text {Reg }}$ to the tangent space $T_{x} X$. We denote by $\widehat{X}$ the closure of the image $\rho\left(X_{\text {Reg }}\right)$ and by $\nu_{X}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ the natural projection, which is called the Nash blow-up of $X$. The Nash tangent bundle $\widehat{T X}$ is defined by the restriction of the tautological $n$ bundle of the Grassmaniann to $\widehat{X}$. Then we define the Chern-Mather class

$$
c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(X):=\nu_{*}(c(\widehat{T X}) \frown[\widehat{X}]) \quad \in H_{*}(X)
$$

which is known to be independent of the choice of the embedding. This is the main ingredient for defining $C_{*}$. The second one is the local Euler obstruction function $E u_{W} \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, which is originally defined using the
obstruction theory for radial vector fields. It satisfies that $E u_{W}(x)=1$ for nonsingular points $x \in W_{\text {reg }}$, and that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is freely generated by $E u_{W}$ of subvarieties $W$ of $X$. Then $C_{*}: \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow H_{*}(X)$ is defined by $C_{*}\left(E u_{W}\right):=\iota_{*} c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(W), \iota: W \rightarrow X$ being the inclusion, and by extending it linearly. In fact, $c^{\mathrm{Ma}}$ and $E u$ behave in a similar way for pushforward, that imply the functoriality of $C_{*}$. If $X$ is smooth, then $c^{\mathrm{SM}}(X)=c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(X)=c(T X) \frown[X]$.

Remark 3.5. (Motivic type description of $C_{*}$ ) There is an alternative convenient description of $C_{*}$ using Hironaka's resolution of singularities. Notice that any constructible function $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ admits a finite sum expression

$$
\alpha=\sum a_{i} \rho_{i *} \mathbb{1}_{M_{i}},
$$

where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\rho_{0}: M_{0} \rightarrow X$ is a proper surjective birational morphism and $\rho_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow X(1 \leq i \leq s)$ is a proper birational morphism mapped to a subvariety of dimension smaller than $\operatorname{dim} X$, and all $M_{i}(0 \leq i \leq s)$ are non-singular. That is easily shown by using resolution of singularities and the induction of the dimension of supports of constructible functions. Then, by properties of $C_{*}$, we see that

$$
C_{*}(\alpha)=C_{*}\left(\sum a_{i} \rho_{i *} \mathbb{1}_{M_{i}}\right)=\sum a_{i} \rho_{i *}\left(c\left(T M_{i}\right) \frown\left[M_{i}\right]\right) .
$$

Now let $M^{+}(X)$ be the free abelian group generated by all equivalence classes of proper morphisms $f: M \rightarrow X$ with non-singular $M$ (morphisms $f_{1}, f_{2}$ mapped to $X$ are equivalent if $f_{1}=f_{2} \circ \sigma$ by some isomorphism of sources), and define the additive homomorphisms $e$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{*}$ by linear extensions of

$$
\begin{gathered}
e[f: M \rightarrow X]:=f_{*} \mathbb{1}_{M}, \\
\mathfrak{c}_{*}[f: M \rightarrow X]:=f_{*}(c(T M) \frown[M]),
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively. Note that $e$ is surjective. Then, MacPherson's Chern class transformation is expressed by $C_{*}=\mathfrak{c}_{*} \circ e^{-1}$ :


We may replace $M^{+}(X)$ by the relative Grothendieck group $K_{0}(\operatorname{Var} / X)$ of varieties over $X$, that enables us to deal with motivic integrations and stringy Chern classes [3], and more generally, the (singular) Hirzebruch classes [9].

### 3.3. Segre-SM classes

Let $M$ be a complex algebraic manifold. We define the Segre-Schwartz-MacPherson class of a closed embedding $\iota: X \hookrightarrow M$ by

$$
s^{\mathrm{SM}}(X, M):=c\left(\iota^{*} T M\right)^{-1} \frown c^{\mathrm{SM}}(X) \quad \in H_{*}(X)
$$

We regard the class $s^{\mathrm{SM}}(X, M)$ in $H^{*}(M)$ via the pushforward $\iota_{*}$ and Dual. Also we set for $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(M)$

$$
s^{\mathrm{SM}}(\alpha, M):=c(T M)^{-1} \cdot C_{*}(\alpha) \in H^{*}(M)
$$

Notice that if $X$ is a closed submanifold of $M$ with the normal bundle $\nu=\iota^{*} T M-T X$, then the Segre-SM class is nothing but the inverse normal Chern class for $X \hookrightarrow M$ :

$$
s^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}, M\right)=\iota_{*} c(-\nu) \quad \in H^{*}(M)
$$

Remark 3.6. (Sign convention) We should remark that we follow the sign convention of the Segre class due to Fulton [21]. The other convention corresponds to the dual version $\iota_{*} c\left(-\nu^{*}\right)$ for smooth embeddings. An advantage of our convention is that it is easy to switch between $C_{*}$ and $s^{\text {SM }}$ via multiplying by the ambient Chern class $c(T M)$. It could be possible to follow the other convention, which fits the positivity property especially, but to do this we had to correct the normalization condition of $C_{*}$ so that $C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}\right)=c\left(T^{*} X\right) \frown[X]$ for non-singular $X$. This causes the change of signs of each component $C_{i}$ by multiplying $(-1)^{i}$.

Remark 3.7. (Fulton's Chern class) The ordinary Segre covariance class $s(X, M)$ of a closed embedding $X \hookrightarrow M$ is defined using the blowing-up $M$ along $X$, and it is totally different from our Segre-SM class in general: The difference concentrates on the singular locus, and in fact these two Segre classes coincide if $X$ is non-singular. Our definition of Segre-SM class is just an analogy to Fulton's Chern class [21] defined by

$$
c^{\mathrm{F}}(X):=c\left(\iota^{*} T M\right) \frown s(X, M) .
$$

The difference between these two homology Chern classes is an important invariant of singularities of $X$, called the Milnor class:

$$
\mathcal{M}(X):=(-1)^{\operatorname{dim} X}\left(c^{\mathrm{F}}(X)-c^{\mathrm{SM}}(X)\right)
$$

The Segre-SM class has an expected nice property for transverse pullback like as the fundamental class in Proposition 2.2.

Proposition 3.8. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a map between complex manifolds, and let $Y$ be a closed singular subvariety of $N$. Assume that $f$ is transverse to (a Whitney stratification of) $Y$. Then it holds that

$$
f^{*} s^{\mathrm{SM}}(Y, N)=s^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(f^{-1}(Y), M\right) \quad \in \quad H^{*}(M)
$$

In fact the formula holds in $H_{*}(X)$. This is a special case of the Verdier type Riemann-Roch formula, see [64, Cor. 0.1] based on microlocal techniques. Here, for the sake of completeness, we give an elementary proof.

Proof :
(Step 1) Assume that $f: M^{m} \rightarrow N^{n}$ is a closed embedding ( $\kappa=n-$ $m \geq 0$ ). Put $E=f^{*} T N / T M$, the normal bundle of $M$ in $N$, and Let $i: Y \hookrightarrow N$ a closed embedding and $p=\operatorname{dim} Y$. Let $\nu_{Y}: \widehat{Y} \rightarrow$ $Y$ be the Nash blowing-up of $Y$ defined in the Grassmaniann bundle $\mu_{N}: \operatorname{Gr}(T N, p) \rightarrow N$. Let $i^{\prime}: X:=f^{-1}(Y) \hookrightarrow M$, the transverse intersection of $M$ with $Y(\operatorname{dim} X=p-\kappa)$, and $\nu_{X}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ the Nash blowing-up of $X$.

Let $\left\{S^{\alpha}\right\}$ be a Whitney stratification of $Y$. By the assumption, $\{M \cap$ $\left.S^{\alpha}\right\}$ is a Whitney stratification of $X$ so that $T\left(M \cap S^{\alpha}\right)_{x}=T M_{x} \cap T S_{x}^{\alpha}$. In particular, if $S^{\alpha}$ is a top dimensional stratum and $S^{\beta}$ is a nearby stratum, then a limiting tangent of $Y$ at $x \in M \cap S^{\beta}, \lambda_{x}=\lim T S_{x_{i}}^{\alpha}$ with $x_{i} \rightarrow x\left(x_{i} \in S^{\alpha}\right)$ corresponds in 1-to-1 to a limiting tangent of $X$ at $x, \lambda_{x}^{\prime}=\lim T\left(M \cap S^{\alpha}\right)_{x_{i}}=T M_{x} \cap \lambda_{x}$; indeed, $T S_{x}^{\beta} \subset \lambda_{x}$ by the $a$-regularity, and $T S_{x}^{\beta}$ is transverse to $T M_{x}$ by the assumption, hence $\lambda_{x}$ is so. Thus $\widehat{X}$ is canonically identified with the restriction of $\widehat{Y}$ over $M \cap Y$, so we have the fiber square where $f$ and $\bar{f}$ are regular embeddings with normal bundles $E$ and $\nu_{X}^{*} E$ :


Note that $\bar{f}^{*} \widehat{T Y}=\widehat{T X} \oplus \nu_{X}^{*} E$. By properties of the refined Gysin pullback in [21, Thm.6.2, Prop. 6.3], we have

$$
f^{*}\left(\nu_{X}\right)_{*}=\left(\nu_{Y}\right)_{*} \bar{f}^{*} \text { and } \bar{f}^{*}[\widehat{Y}]=[\widehat{X}]
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{*} c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(Y) & =f^{*}\left(\nu_{Y}\right)_{*}(c(\widehat{T Y}) \frown[\widehat{Y}]) \\
& =\left(\nu_{X}\right)_{*} f^{*}(c(\widehat{T Y}) \frown[\widehat{Y}]) \\
& =\left(\nu_{X}\right)_{*}\left(\nu_{X}^{*} c(E) \cdot c(\widehat{T X}) \frown[\widehat{X}]\right) \\
& =c(E) \frown\left(\nu_{X}\right)_{*}(c(\widehat{T X}) \frown[\widehat{X}]) \\
& =c(E) \frown c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $f^{*} s^{\mathrm{Ma}}(Y, N)=s^{\mathrm{Ma}}(X, M)$.
(Step 2) General case: Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a map transverse to $Y$. Put $\Delta: M \rightarrow M \times M$ the diagonal map, and consider the graph embedding

$$
g=\left(i d_{M} \times f\right) \circ \Delta: M \rightarrow M \times N .
$$

The normal bundle of $g$ is isomorphic to $f^{*} T N$. Let $Y^{\prime}:=M \times Y$, then $X:=f^{-1}(Y)=g^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$. Since $f$ is transverse to $Y$, the embedding $g$ is transverse to $Y^{\prime}$, hence as seen just above,

$$
g^{*} c^{\mathrm{Ma}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=c\left(f^{*} T N\right) \frown c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(X)
$$

On one hand, since since $C_{*}$ commutes with homology cross product,

$$
c^{\mathrm{Ma}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=c^{M}(M \times Y)=c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(M) \times c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(Y)
$$

For a manifold, $c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(M)=c(T M) \frown[M]$, therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{*} c^{\mathrm{Ma}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) & =\Delta^{*} \circ\left(i d_{M} \times f\right)^{*}\left(c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(M) \times c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(Y)\right) \\
& =c(T M) \frown f^{*} c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows that $f^{*} s^{\mathrm{Ma}}(Y, N)=s^{\mathrm{Ma}}(X, M)$.
(Step 3) Write $\mathbb{1}_{Y}=\sum_{S} n_{S} E u_{S}$ for some subvarieties $S$ of $Y$ (including $Y$ itself; $n_{Y}=1$ ), where $S_{\text {reg }}$ are strata of a Whitney stratification of $Y$. Since $f$ is transverse to each stratum $S_{\text {reg }}$, we obtain $\mathbb{1}_{X}=$ $\sum_{S} n_{S} E u_{M \cap S}$ by a property of the Euler obstruction for transverse intersections [45]. Hence, putting $E=f^{*} T N-T M$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{*} c^{\mathrm{SM}}(Y) & =\sum n_{S} f^{*} c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(S) \\
& =\sum n_{S} c(E) \frown c^{\mathrm{Ma}}(M \cap S) \\
& =c(E) \frown C_{*}\left(\sum n_{S} E u_{M \cap S}\right) \\
& =c(E) \frown c^{\mathrm{SM}}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $f^{*} s^{\mathrm{SM}}(Y, N)=s^{\mathrm{SM}}(X, M)$. This completes the proof.

### 3.4. Equivariant Chern/Segre-SM class

There has been established the theory of equivariant CSM class by the author [52,55], which is based on the equivariant intersection theory [13]. In the latter sections, however, we avoid technical matters in the theory as much as possible, so readers may skip most of this subsection, and, instead, take Definition 3.12 and Theorem 3.13 below as the starting point for reading the following sections.

To state theorems precisely, we briefly explain about the algebraic Borel construction [13]. The idea is classical and simple: Let $G$ be a complex linear algebraic group of dimension $g$. Take a Zariski open subset $U$ in an $\ell$-dimensional linear representation of $G$ so that $G$ acts on $U$ freely. Then the quotient variety $U_{G}:=U / G$ exists, and the inductive limit of the quotient map $U \rightarrow U_{G}$ taken over all representations of $G$ (with respect to inclusions) is regarded as an algebro-geometric counterpart of the universal principal bundle $E G \rightarrow B G$ in topology.

Example 3.9. For the algebraic torus $T=\mathbb{C}^{*}=\mathbb{C}-\{0\}$, the quotient map $U=\mathbb{C}^{N}-\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}=U_{T}$ with dimension $N$ large enough is the substitute to $E T \rightarrow B T$. For the general linear group $G=G L_{n}$, let $U$ be an open set in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{N}\right)$ consisting of injective linear maps, then $U_{G}$ is the Grassmaniann of $n$-planes in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ and the quotient map approximates $E G L_{n} \rightarrow B G L_{n}$.

Let $X$ be an algebraic variety with a $G$-action. Then the diagonal action of $G$ on $X \times U$ is also free, hence the mixed quotient $X_{U}:=$ $(X \times U) / G$ exists so that the projection $p_{U}: X_{U} \rightarrow U_{G}$ is a fiber bundle with fiber $X$ and group $G$. Then the $G$-equivariant cohomology of $X$ is given as the projective limit

$$
H_{G}^{*}(X)=H_{G}^{*}\left(E G \times_{G} X\right)=\lim _{\leftarrow} H^{*}\left(X_{U}\right) .
$$

This becomes a contravariant functor: the pullback of a $G$-morphism $f$ is denoted by $f_{G}^{*}$.

Let $\xi$ be a $G$-equivariant vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ (i.e., $E, X$ are $G$ varieties and the projection is $G$-equivariant so that the action on $E$ preserves fibers linearly). Then we have a vector bundle $E_{U} \rightarrow X_{U}$ over the mixed quotient for each $U$, denoted by $\xi_{U}$, and define the $G$ equivariant Chern class $c^{G}(\xi) \in H_{G}^{*}(X)$ to be the projective limit of Chern classes $c\left(\xi_{U}\right)$.

We define the $i$-th equivariant homology group to be the inductive limit

$$
H_{i}^{G}(X)=\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim } H_{i+2(\ell-g)}\left(X_{U}\right) .
$$

(in fact, the right hand side is stabilized for large $\ell$ ). This group is trivial for $i>2 n$, but unlikely the non-equivariant case, it is nontrivial for $i<0$
in general. The direct sum is denoted by $H_{*}^{G}(X)=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{i}^{G}(X)$. For a proper $G$-morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the pushforwad $f_{*}^{G}$ is defined by taking limit of $\left(f_{U}\right)_{*}: X_{U} \rightarrow Y_{U}$; thus $H_{*}^{G}$ becomes a covariant functor.

The (Borel-Moore) fundamental class $\left[X_{U}\right]$ tends to a unique element of $H_{2 n}^{G}(X)$, denoted by $[X]_{G}$, which is called the $G$-equivariant fundamental class of $X$. It induces a homomorphism

$$
\frown[X]_{G}: H_{G}^{i}(X) \rightarrow H_{2 n-i}^{G}(X), \quad a \mapsto r_{U}(a) \frown\left[X_{U}\right]
$$

where $r_{U}$ denotes the restriction to $X_{U}$. If $X$ is nonsingular, this is isomorphic, called the G-equivariant Poincaré dual. The inverse is denoted by Dual ${ }_{G}$.

We are now ready to state the equivariant version of Therorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{F}_{i n v}^{G}$ denote the group of $G$-invariant constructible functions. We define

$$
C_{i}^{G}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}\right):=p_{U}^{*} c\left(T U_{G}\right)^{-1} \frown C_{i+\ell-g}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X_{U}}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.10. [52, 55] For G-varieties and proper G-morphisms, there is a unique natural transformation

$$
C_{*}^{G}: \mathcal{F}_{i n v}^{G}(X) \rightarrow H_{*}^{G}(X)
$$

so that $C_{*}^{G}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}\right)=c^{G}(T X) \frown[X]_{G}$ if $X$ is non-singular.
Remark 3.11. Each dimensional component of the equivariant CSM class has its support on an invariant algebraic cycle in $X$ [52, $\S 4.1]$. In particular, the lowest and highest terms are as follows: if $X$ is of equidimension $n$, the top term is the fundamental class: $C_{n}^{G}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}\right)=$ $[X]_{G}$. If $X$ is compact, the degree is equal to the weighted Euler characteristics (the pushforward of $p t: X \rightarrow p t$ ): $p t_{*}^{G} C_{0}^{G}(\alpha)=\int_{X} \alpha$.

Next, we introduce the degeneracy loci formula associated to the CSM class which has been formulated in [52]. Let $V=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ on which $G$ acts linearly, and identify

$$
H_{G}^{*}(V)=H^{*}(B G)
$$

via the pullback of the projection $p t: V \rightarrow 0$. For this purpose, the right object is the Segre-SM class rather than the CSM class:

Definition 3.12. For any invariant function $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{\text {inv }}^{G}(V)$, we define

$$
t p_{G}^{\mathrm{SM}}(\alpha):=c^{G}(T V)^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{Dual}_{G} C_{*}^{G}(\alpha) \in H^{*}(B G)
$$

We set $\operatorname{tp}_{G}^{\mathrm{SM}}(W):=\operatorname{tp}_{G}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{W}\right)$ for invariant subvarieties $W$ of $V$.
We have the following:

Theorem 3.13. [52] Let $V=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a $G$-vector space with the fixed point $0 \in V$. Let $W$ be a $G$-invariant affine (irreducible) subvariety with the inclusion $\iota: W \rightarrow V$, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{\text {inv }}^{G}(V)$ an invariant constructible function. Then,
(1) The leading term of $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(W)$ is the $G$-fundamental class:

$$
t p_{G}^{\mathrm{SM}}(W)=\operatorname{Dual}_{G} \iota_{*}^{G}[W]_{G}+\text { h.o.t. }
$$

(2) The $G$-degree of $C_{*}^{G}(\alpha)$ expresses the integral of $\alpha$ :

$$
\operatorname{Dual}_{G} C_{0}^{G}(\alpha)=\left[c^{G}(T V) \cdot t p_{G}^{\mathrm{SM}}(\alpha)\right]_{n}=\left(\int_{V} \alpha\right) \cdot c_{n}^{G}(T V)
$$

(3) For any $G$-morphism $\Psi: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V$ which is transverse to $W$, it holds that

$$
t p_{G}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\Psi^{-1}(W)\right)=\Psi^{*} t p_{G}^{\mathrm{SM}}(W)
$$

(4) (Degeneracy loci formula) Given a vector bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ over a complex manifold $M$ with fiber $V$ and structure group $G$, let $W(E) \rightarrow M$ be the fiber bundle with the fiber $W$ and group $G$. Then, for any holomorphic section $s: M \rightarrow E$ transverse to $W(E)$, it holds that

$$
\text { Dual } s^{\mathrm{SM}}(W(s), M)=\rho^{*} t p_{G}^{\mathrm{SM}}(W) \in H^{*}(M)
$$

where $W(s):=s^{-1}(W(E))$ and $\rho$ is the classifying map for $E \rightarrow M$.

Proof: (1) is obvious since the top term of $C_{*}^{G}\left(\mathbb{1}_{W}\right) \in H_{*}^{G}(V)$ is the equivariant fundamental class $\iota_{*}^{G}[W]_{G}$. (3) follows from Proposition 3.8 and (4) is just [52, Thm. 5.11]. As for (2), we take the maximal torus $T$ of $G$ : Since $H_{G}^{*}(p t) \rightarrow H_{T}^{*}(p t)$ is injective (the splitting lemma), we may think of the degree via the $T$-action, instead. We embed

$$
V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}=\mathbb{P}(V \oplus \mathbb{C})
$$

equivariantly with respect to the $T$-action ( $T$ acts on the second factor $\mathbb{C}$ trivially) and compute in two ways the $T$-degree $p t_{*}^{T} C_{0}^{T}(\alpha) \in H_{T}^{*}(p t)$ where $p t: \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow p t$ is the natural map. As mentioned in Remark 3.11, the degree is equal to $\int_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \alpha$. Since the support of $\alpha$ is in $V$, we have

$$
p t_{*}^{T} C_{0}^{T}(\alpha)=\int_{V} \alpha
$$

Note that $\{0\}$ is a connected component of the $T$-fixed point set $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{T}$, whose normal bundle is $T_{0} V=V$ with the $T$-action. Put $j:\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ the inclusion. We then apply the Atiyah-Bott localization formula [6, $\S 3$ (3.8)]; it can be seen that only the contribution from the fixed point 0 remains, i.e., the contribution from fixed point sets in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}=\mathbb{P}^{n}-V$ becomes zero, hence we have

$$
p t_{*}^{T} C_{0}^{T}(\alpha)=\frac{j^{*} C_{0}^{T}(\alpha)}{c_{n}^{T}(T V)}
$$

Thus (2) is proved (cf. Weber $[73, \S 6]$ ).

## §4. Thom polynomials for singularities of maps

### 4.1. Main Theorems

Two germs with the same relative codimension, say $f: \mathbb{C}^{m+s}, 0 \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}^{n+s}, 0$ and $g: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}, 0$, is called to be stably $\mathcal{K}$-equivalent if $f$ is $\mathcal{K}$-equivalent to the trivial unfolding $g \times i d_{s}$ with $s$ parameters.

Let $\eta$ be a $\mathcal{K}$-singularity type in $\mathcal{E}_{0}(m, n)(\kappa=n-m)$. For a holomorphic map $f: M \rightarrow N$ with relative codimension $\kappa$, we set

$$
\eta(f):=\{x \in M \mid \text { the germ } f \text { at } x \text { is stably } \mathcal{K} \text {-eq. to } \eta\} .
$$

If $f$ is a stable map, then the jet extension $j f$ is transverse to the $\mathcal{K}$-orbit and $\eta(f)$ consists of stable singularities of type $\eta$. We call the (analytic) closure $\overline{\eta(f)} \subset M$ the $\eta$-type singular locus of $f$.

We are concerned with the simplest primary obstruction for the existence of the $\eta$-type singular point for stable map $f$, e.g., $[69,57,62$, 11, 14, 16, 28, 29, 30, 51, 59].

Theorem 4.1. For a stable singularity type $\eta$ as above, there exists a unique polynomial $t p(\eta) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots\right]$ so that for any stable map $f: M \rightarrow N$ of relative codimension $\kappa$, the singular locus of type $\eta$ is expressed by the polynomial evaluated by the quotient Chern class $c_{i}=c_{i}(f)=c_{i}\left(f^{*} T N-T M\right):$

$$
\operatorname{Dual}[\overline{\eta(f)}]=t p(\eta)(c(f)) \quad \in H^{2 \operatorname{codim} \eta}(M)
$$

Definition 4.2. We call $t p(\eta)$ the Thom polynomial of stable singularity type $\eta$.

As an advanced version, the theory of Thom polynomials for stable multi-singularities has been developed by M. Kazarian [29, 30], that merges multiple point formulas (developed by Kleiman [37, 38]) and
the above Thom polynomials for mono-singularities together from the viewpoint of cobordism theory (also see $[60,68]$ ). That is briefly reviewed in Section 4.5.

Remark 4.3. A major problem is to determine the precise form of $t p(\eta)$ for a given contact type $\eta$. A traditional algebro-geometric method for the computation is to construct a suitable embedded resolution of the $\eta$-type singular locus $X=\overline{\eta(f)} \subset M$ using flag bundles [57, 11, 62] or to find a suitable projective resolution of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ (cf. $[19,20])$ but it usually becomes a very hard task. On the other hand, a more effective new method, called the restriction or interpolation method, has been introduced by R. Rimányi [59]. It enables us to compute many $t p$ for stable singularities in nice dimensions, see Section 4.3. Also the Atiyah-Bott type localization formula and the iterated residue formula are very useful for computation of $t p$ 's, about which the reader should be referred to Bérczi-Szenes [7] and Fehér-Rimányi [16]. As for another interesting questions, the positivity of Thom polynomials has firstly been dealt in Pragacz-Weber [58], and for applications to Schubert calculus, see e.g. $[22,15,30]$.

As mentioned in the Introduction, it is natural to expect a similar universal expression not only for the fundamental class but also for some other distinguished cohomology classes supported on the singular locus $X=\overline{\eta(f)}$. For example, if the locus $X$ is a closed submanifold of $M$ with the inclusion $\iota$, e.g., $\overline{A_{k}}$ for Morin maps, then the Gysin homomorphism image $\iota_{*} c(T X) \in H^{*}(M)$ of the total Chern class would be a reasonable candidate; Indeed Ando [2] and Levine [41] partially studied such classes in the case of Morin maps. However, the orbit closure $\bar{\eta}$ is singular along some orbits of more complicated singularities, therefore the $\eta$ type singular locus may be singular. So $c(T X)$ does not make sense in general.

Instead, our strategy is to incorporate the theory of Chern-SchwartzMacPherson classes into the theory of Thom polynomials. There always exists

$$
\iota_{*} c^{\mathrm{SM}}(X)=C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{X}\right) \in H_{*}(M)=H^{*}(M)
$$

and if $X$ is smooth, then it equals $\iota_{*} c(T X)$. The right object is rather the Segre-SM class $s^{\text {SM }}(X, M)$ obtained by multiplying $c(T M)^{-1}$ to the CSM class. Then, the SSM class admits the following Thom polynomial type expresson:

Theorem 4.4. [52, 54]. For $\eta$ as above, there is a unique universal power series $\operatorname{tp}^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta}) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots\right]\right]$ so that for any stable map $f$ :
$M \rightarrow N$ of relative codimension $\kappa$ it holds that

$$
\text { Dual } s^{\mathrm{SM}}(\overline{\eta(f)}, M)=t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})(c(f)) \in H^{*}(M)
$$

In particular, if $M$ is compact, the Euler characteristic of the $\eta$-type singular locus is given by the degree of $C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1} \frac{\eta(f)}{}\right)$, which has a universal expression

$$
\chi(\overline{\eta(f)})=\int_{M} c(T M) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})(c(f)) .
$$

Furthermore, tp $^{\mathrm{SM}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots\right]\right]$ is defined for any $\mathcal{K}$-invariant constructible function $\alpha$ in some jet space $J(m, m+\kappa)$ so that $\operatorname{tp}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\bar{\eta}}\right)=$ $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})$.

Definition 4.5. We call $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})$ the higher Thom polynomial for the orbit closure $\bar{\eta}$ with respect to the Segre-SM class.

The class $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})$ is actually a power series, but do not confuse it with the terminology Thom series in [16] which is a different notion.

Since the top term of the homology Chern class $c^{\mathrm{SM}}(X)$ is the fundamental class $[X]$, it immediately follows from the above definition that switching to the cohomology,

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})=t p(\eta)+\text { higher degree terms },
$$

i.e., the leading term is just the Thom polynomial. The power series $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})$ theoretically exists uniquely, but it is almost hopeless to find the explicit form of the series in general, because the closure $\bar{\eta}$ contains infinitely many boundary strata of high codimension. To compute low degree terms, we use Rimányi's restriction method together with embedded resolution techniques, see $\S 4.3$.

Remark 4.6. A prototype of Theorem 4.4 can be seen in ParusinskiPragacz [56]: They actually considered $c^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{\Sigma^{k}}\right)$ of the first order ThomBoardman strata $\Sigma^{k}$ as a generalization of $\operatorname{tp}\left(\Sigma^{k}\right)$, i.e., the degeneracy loci class arising in the Thom-Porteous formula [57]. In order to make a general statement as above, we appeal to the equivariant theory of CSM class reviewed in the previous section. In particular, theorems can also be formulated appropriately in the context of algebraic geometry over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 using Chow groups under rational equivalence.

Remark 4.7. In the same way, higher Thom polynomials with respect to the other Segre classes (by using blowing-up, conormal sheaves, etc) can be defined. It would be interesting to study the difference between these higher Thom polynomials with respect to different Segre classes, that will be discussed somewhere else.

### 4.2. Proof

Essential is Theorem 3.13. Consequently, Theorem 4.4 for $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}$ is proved in entirely the same way as the standard proof of Theorem 4.1 for $t p$. Here let us see the common proof of Theorem 4.1 and 4.4 along the argument given in [16, §7.2].

By finite determinacy, we may assume that $\eta \subset J(m, n)$, the corresponding $\mathcal{K}$-orbit in a jet space of sufficiently high order. Since $\eta$ is also $\mathcal{A}$-invariant, there is the sub-bundle of the fiber bundle $J(T M, T N) \rightarrow$ $M \times N$ with fiber $\eta$, denoted by $\eta(M, N)$. For stable maps $f: M^{m} \rightarrow$ $N^{n}$, by the definition $\eta(f)=j f^{-1}(\eta(M, N))$ :


In particular, by Proposition 2.2

$$
\operatorname{Dual}[\overline{\eta(f)}]=j f^{*} \operatorname{Dual}[\overline{\eta(M, N)}] \in H^{*}(M)
$$

We then apply Section 3.4 to this setting:

$$
G:=J \mathcal{K}_{m, n}, \quad V:=J(m, n), \quad W:=\bar{\eta}
$$

By Theorem 3.13 (3), there is a universal class for the degeneracy loci class Dual $[\overline{\eta(f)}]$ :

$$
t p_{G}^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta}) \in H_{G}^{*}(J(m, n))
$$

Note that $J(m, n)$ is contractible and $G=J \mathcal{K}_{m, n}$ is homotopic to the 1 -jets $J^{1} \mathcal{K}_{m, n}=G L_{m} \times G L_{n}$. Thus

$$
H_{G}^{*}(J(m, n))=H_{G}^{*}(p t)=H^{*}\left(B G L_{m}\right) \otimes H^{*}\left(B G L_{n}\right)
$$

that is generated by Chern classes of source and of target: In terms of Chern roots $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}$ and $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ for the source and target, respectively,

$$
H_{G}^{*}(J(m, n))=\mathbb{Z}\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right]^{\mathfrak{S}_{m} \times \mathfrak{S}_{n}}
$$

We show that $t p_{G}^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})(a, b)$ is actually written in terms of quotient Chern classes

$$
c=1+c_{1}+c_{2}+\cdots=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+b_{j}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+a_{i}\right)}
$$

The following key lemma is easily checked:

Lemma 4.8. [51, 16]. The natural embedding of jet spaces

$$
\Psi: J(m, n) \rightarrow J(m+s, n+s), \quad \Psi(j g(0)):=j\left(g \times i d_{s}\right)(0)
$$

is transverse to any $\mathcal{K}$-orbits in $J(m+s, n+s)$.
Consider the group $G^{\prime}:=G \times G L_{s} \subset J \mathcal{K}_{m+s, n+s}$ which naturally acts on the jet space $J(m+s, n+s)$ and also acts on $J(m, n)$ by forgetting the $G L_{s}$-part so that $\Psi$ is $G^{\prime}$-equivariant. Notice that the pullback $\Psi^{*}$ for $G^{\prime}$-equivariant cohomology is the same as the identity map of $H^{*}\left(B G^{\prime}\right)$. Put

$$
\eta_{s}:=\mathcal{K}_{m+s, n+s} . \Psi(\eta) \subset J(m+s, n+s),
$$

then the closure $\overline{\eta_{s}}$ is also $G^{\prime}$-invariant, $\Psi^{-1}\left(\overline{\eta_{s}}\right)=\bar{\eta}$ and $\Psi$ is transverse to $\overline{\eta_{s}}$ by Lemma 4.8. Hence Theorem 3.13 (2) shows that

$$
t p_{G^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{\eta_{s}}\right)=t p_{G^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta}) \in H^{*}\left(B G^{\prime}\right)
$$

By the definition, the $G^{\prime}$-SSM class $t p_{G^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{\eta_{s}}\right)$ is written in Chern roots $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ and $t_{1}, \cdots, t_{s}$ but the above formula implies that the SSM class does not depend on $t$-variables, in other words, it is supersymmetric, thus is written in quotient Chern classes. This completes the proof.

### 4.3. Symmetry of singularities

To compute the precise form of $\operatorname{tp}(\eta)$, there is an effective method due to R. Rimányi [59], called the restriction method. This method is also applicable for computing $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})$ up to a certain degree. Below we demonstrate how to compute $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}$ for $A_{2}$ in case of $\kappa=0$ :

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)=\sum_{i \geq 2} t p_{i}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots\right]\right], \quad \operatorname{deg} t p_{i}^{\mathrm{SM}}=i
$$

Leading term $=\mathbf{T p}$ (degree two). First, let us consider $t p_{2}^{S M}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)=$ $t p\left(A_{2}\right)$. It has the form

$$
\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{2}\right)=A c_{1}^{2}+B c_{2}
$$

in quotient Chern classes $c_{i}=c_{i}$ (target - source) and our task is to determine the unknown coefficients $A, B$.

The key point is a simple fact that weighted homogeneous germs admit a natural torus action $T=\mathbb{C}^{*}=\mathbb{C}-\{0\}$ : The normal form of stable type $A_{2}$ is given by a polynomial map

$$
A_{2}: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}, \quad(x, y) \rightarrow\left(x^{3}+y x, y\right)
$$

and the torus actions on the source and the target are diagonal:

$$
\rho_{0}=\alpha \oplus \alpha^{\otimes 2}, \quad \rho_{1}=\alpha^{\otimes 3} \oplus \alpha^{\otimes 2} \quad(\alpha \in T)
$$

so that $A_{2} \circ \rho_{0}=\rho_{1} \circ A_{2}$.
Take the dual tautological line bundle $\ell=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(1)$ over a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ of large dimension $N \gg 0$ (or the classifying space $B T=\mathbb{P}^{\infty}$ of the torus $T$ ). Define two vector bundles of rank 2

$$
E_{0}\left(=E_{0}\left(A_{2}\right)\right):=\ell \oplus \ell^{\otimes 2}, \quad E_{1}\left(=E_{1}\left(A_{2}\right)\right):=\ell^{\otimes 3} \oplus \ell^{\otimes 2}
$$

That is, let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be an open cover of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ giving a local trivialization of $\ell$ with $g_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow T$, then the glueing maps $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ for $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$ are given by $\rho_{0} \circ g_{i j}$ and $\rho_{1} \circ g_{i j}$, respectively.

Since the normal form of $A_{2}$ is invariant under the torus action, we can glue together the product maps $i d_{U_{i}} \times A_{2}: U_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow U_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{2}$. The resulting map $f_{A_{2}}: E_{0} \rightarrow E_{1}$ is a stable map between the total spaces $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$ so that the following diagram commutes and the restriction to each fiber

$$
\mathbb{C}^{2}=\left(E_{0}\right)_{x} \longrightarrow\left(E_{1}\right)_{x}=\mathbb{C}^{2} \quad\left(x \in \mathbb{P}^{N}\right)
$$

is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to the normal form of $A_{2}$. We call $f_{A_{2}}$ the universal map for $A_{2}$.


The loci $A_{2}\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)$ and $\left.f\left(A_{2}\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)\right)\right)$ are just the zero sections of $E_{0}$ and of $E_{1}$, respectively.

Put $a=c_{1}(\ell)$ and then

$$
H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right)=\mathbb{Z}[a] /\left(a^{N+1}\right) \quad(N \gg 0)
$$

and Chern classes of these vector bundles are written by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c\left(E_{0}\right)=c\left(\ell \oplus \ell^{\otimes 2}\right)=(1+a)(1+2 a) \\
& c\left(E_{1}\right)=c\left(\ell^{\otimes 3} \oplus \ell^{\otimes 2}\right)=(1+3 a)(1+2 a)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following argument, we always identify cohomology rings such as

$$
H^{*}\left(E_{0}\right)=H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right)=H^{*}\left(E_{1}\right)
$$



Fig. 2. Universal map for a singularity type
through the pullback $p_{0}^{*}$ and $p_{1}^{*}$. For instance, since the $A_{2}$-locus in the total space $E_{0}$ is the zero section, the top Chern class of the pullback bundle $p_{0}^{*} E_{0}$ represents the locus in $H^{*}\left(E_{0}\right)$; So we regard it as

$$
\text { Dual }\left[\overline{A_{2}}\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)\right]=c_{2}\left(p_{0}^{*} E_{0}\right)=c_{2}\left(E_{0}\right)=2 a^{2}
$$

The tangent bundles $T E_{0}$ and $T E_{1}$ of the total spaces canonically split into the vertical and horizontal components,

$$
T E_{i}=p_{i}^{*}\left(E_{i} \oplus T \mathbb{P}^{N}\right) \quad(i=0,1)
$$

thus we have in the $K$-group $K_{0}\left(E_{0}\right)$

$$
f_{A_{2}}^{*} T E_{1}-T E_{0}=p_{0}^{*}\left(E_{1}-E_{0}\right)
$$

Therefore, again through the identification $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right)=H^{*}\left(E_{0}\right)$ via $p_{0}^{*}$, the quotient Chern class for $f_{A_{2}}$ is written as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(f_{A_{2}}\right) & :=c\left(f_{A_{2}}^{*} T E_{1}-T E_{0}\right) \\
& =c\left(E_{1}-E_{0}\right)=\frac{c\left(E_{1}\right)}{c\left(E_{0}\right)}=\frac{1+3 a}{1+a}=1+2 a-2 a^{2}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

The first and second degree terms are $c_{1}\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)=2 a, c_{2}\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)=-2 a^{2}$, so we have

$$
\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{2}\right)\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)=A c_{1}^{2}+B c_{2}=(4 A-2 B) a^{2}
$$

By Theorem 4.1 it holds that

$$
\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{2}\right)\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)=\operatorname{Dual}\left[\overline{A_{2}}\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)\right]
$$

hence $(4 A-2 B) a^{2}=2 a^{2}$. Thus we have $2 A-B=1$.

Next we apply $t p$ to the universal map of adjacent singularities. Let us take the normal form

$$
A_{1}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad x \mapsto x^{2}
$$

and the associated universal map $f_{A_{1}}: E_{0} \rightarrow E_{1}$, where $E_{0}=E_{0}\left(A_{1}\right)=$ $\ell$ and $E_{1}=E_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)=\ell^{\otimes 2}$ in the same way as above. Obviously, the universal map does not have $A_{2}$-singularity: $A_{2}\left(f_{A_{1}}\right)=\emptyset$, thus by Theorem 4.1 again, we have

$$
\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{2}\right)\left(f_{A_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{Dual}[\emptyset]=0
$$

Since $c\left(f_{A_{1}}\right)=\frac{1+2 a}{1+a}=1+a-a^{2}+\cdots$, we have $A-B=0$.
These two linear equations in $A, B$ have a unique solution $A=B=$ 1 , thus we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{2}\right)=c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}
$$

Degree three term. The next term in $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)$ is of degree 3. Put

$$
t p_{3}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)=A c_{1}^{3}+B c_{1} c_{2}+C c_{3},
$$

and determine unknown coefficients. We need to restrict this class to more complicated singularities than $A_{2}$.

Consider $A_{3}$-singularity: the stable germ has the normal form

$$
A_{3}:(x, y, z) \mapsto\left(x^{4}+y x^{2}+z x, y, z\right)
$$

The $T$-action on the source and target spaces are, respectively,

$$
\rho_{0}=\alpha \oplus \alpha^{\otimes 2} \oplus \alpha^{\otimes 3}, \quad \rho_{1}=\alpha^{\otimes 4} \oplus \alpha^{\otimes 2} \oplus \alpha^{\otimes 3}
$$

which produce the universal map $f_{A_{3}}: E_{0} \rightarrow E_{1}$ over $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ for $A_{3^{-}}$ singularity. Then $c\left(f_{A_{3}}\right)=\frac{1+4 a}{1+a}=1+3 a-3 a^{2}+3 a^{3}-\cdots$, and hence

$$
t p_{3}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)\left(f_{A_{3}}\right)=(27 A-9 B+3 C) a^{3}
$$

The $A_{2}$-locus in the source $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ is a smooth curve tangent to the $x$ axis at 0 and is invariant under the $T$-action, thus $\iota: \overline{A_{2}}\left(f_{A_{3}}\right) \hookrightarrow E_{0}$ is a closed submanifold of codimension 2. The normal bundle is isomorphic to the pullback $\pi^{*} \nu$ of $\nu=\ell^{\otimes 2} \oplus \ell^{\otimes 3}$ via $\pi=p_{0} \circ \iota: \overline{A_{2}}\left(f_{A_{3}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$. Since $c(\nu)=(1+2 a)(1+3 a)$, the fundamental class of the locus in $E_{0}$ is

$$
\iota_{*}(1)=c_{2}\left(p_{0}^{*} \nu\right)=6 a^{2}
$$

Recall that $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}$ is a universal expression of the Segre-SM class $s^{\mathrm{SM}}$, and for a closed submanifold $X \stackrel{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} M$, it is the pushforward of the inverse normal Chern class:

$$
s^{\mathrm{SM}}(X, M)=\iota_{*} c\left(-\nu_{M / X}\right) \in H^{*}(M)
$$

In our case, $X=\overline{A_{2}}\left(f_{A_{3}}\right)$ and $M=E_{0}$, so

$$
\iota_{*}\left(c\left(-\pi^{*} \nu\right)\right)=\iota_{*}\left(\iota^{*} c\left(-p_{0}^{*} \nu\right)\right)=c\left(-p_{0}^{*} \nu\right) \iota_{*}(1)=p_{0}^{*}\left(c(-\nu) c_{2}(\nu)\right) .
$$

Thus through the identification via $p_{0}^{*}$,

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)\left(f_{A_{3}}\right)=c_{2}(\nu) c(-\nu)=\frac{6 a^{2}}{(1+2 a)(1+3 a)}=6 a^{2}-30 a^{3}+\cdots
$$

Compare the degree 3 terms, then we obtain $27 A-9 B+3 C=-30$.
Again, we restrict $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}$ to adjacent singularities $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. For the universal map $f_{A_{2}}$,

$$
t p_{3}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)=(8 A-4 B+2 C) a^{3}
$$

because we have already seen that $c\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)=1+2 a-2 a^{2}+2 a^{3}-\cdots$. Since the locus $A_{2}\left(f_{A_{2}}\right)$ is the zero section of $E_{0}=E_{0}\left(A_{2}\right)$, the pushforward of the inverse normal Chern class is

$$
c_{2}\left(E_{0}\right) c\left(-E_{0}\right)=2 a^{2}-6 a^{3}+\cdots .
$$

Comparing the degree 3 terms, we have $4 A-2 B+C=-3$.
For the universal map $f_{A_{1}}$,

$$
t p_{3}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)\left(f_{A_{1}}\right)=0
$$

since $A_{2}\left(f_{A_{1}}\right)=\emptyset$. Thus $A-B+C=0$.
These three linear equations have a unique solution: $A=-2, B=$ $-3, C=-1$, i.e.,

$$
t p_{3}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)=-\left(2 c_{1}^{3}+3 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right)
$$

Degree four term. Let us consider the degree 4 term. Using the restriction to $A_{k}$-singularities $(k=1,2,3,4)$ we get

$$
t p_{4}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)=3 c_{1}^{4}+6 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+4 c_{2}^{2}+c_{4}+A \cdot t p\left(I_{2,2}\right)
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ is unknown and $t p\left(I_{2,2}\right)=c_{2}^{2}-c_{1} c_{3}$ for the singularity type

$$
I_{2,2}:(x, y, u, v) \mapsto\left(x^{2}+2 u y, y^{2}+2 v x, u, v\right)
$$

This singularity type is of corank 2 and the Milnor number is 3 . In order to determine $A$, we restrict $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}$ to $I_{2,2}$.

The $\overline{A_{2}}$-locus of the polynomial map $I_{2,2}$ is a surface in the source space $\mathbb{C}^{4}$ having an isolated singular point at 0 (it is defined by $x y-u v=$ $x^{2}-u y=y^{2}-v x=0$, so it is not a complete intersection). Note that $\chi\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)=1$.

Let us consider the $T$-action with weights $(1,1,1,1)$ and degrees $(2,2,1,1)$ for the map $I_{2,2}$, which produces the universal map $f_{I_{2,2}}$ : $E_{0} \rightarrow E_{1}$ (where $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$ has rank 4). Then $c\left(f_{I_{2,2}}\right)=1+2 a-a^{2}+$ $a^{4}+\cdots$, and we substitute them into $t \underline{p_{4}^{S M}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)$ described above. Since $c\left(E_{0}\right)=(1+a)^{4}$, the CSM class of the $\overline{A_{2}}$-locus is written by

$$
c\left(E_{0}\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)\left(f_{I_{2,2}}\right)=3 a^{2}+2 a^{3}+(7+A) a^{4}+\cdots .
$$

Now we use Theorem 3.13 (2): The degree of the CSM class is

$$
(7+A) a^{4}=\chi\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right) \cdot c_{4}\left(E_{0}\right)=1 \cdot a^{4}
$$

Thus $A=-6$, and we have

$$
t p_{4}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right)=3 c_{1}^{4}+6 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}-2 c_{2}^{2}-6 c_{1} c_{3}+c_{4}
$$

In order to seek for higher terms of degree greater than four, we need more finer information about the $\overline{A_{2}}$-locus for $I_{2,2}$ and also for more complicated singularity types. Here we should combine the restriction method just as described above with a traditional method using some $T$-equivariant desingularization of the $\overline{A_{2}}$-locus.

Summary. In entirely the same way, we compute the truncated polynomials of $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})$ up to degree 4 (in case $\kappa=0$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{1}}\right) & \equiv c_{1}-c_{1}^{2}+c_{1}^{3}-c_{1}^{4}+P_{1} \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{2}}\right) & \equiv c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}-\left(2 c_{1}^{3}+3 c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right)+3 c_{1}^{4}+6 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+4 c_{2}^{2}+c_{4}+P_{2} \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{3}}\right) & \equiv c_{1}^{3}+3 c_{1} c_{2}+2 c_{3}-\left(3 c_{1}^{4}+12 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+15 c_{2}^{2}+6 c_{4}\right)+P_{3} \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{4}}\right) & \equiv c_{1}^{4}+6 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+2 c_{2}^{2}+9 c_{1} c_{3}+6 c_{4} \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{I_{2,2}}\right) & \equiv c_{2}^{2}-c_{1} c_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
P_{i}=t_{i} \cdot \operatorname{tp}\left(I_{2,2}\right), \quad t_{1}=1, \quad t_{2}=-6, \quad t_{3}=14
$$

As an observation, each term of the above $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{k}}\right)$ for Morin maps (i.e. letting $P_{i}=0$ ) satisfies the positivity both in the Chern monomial
basis and in the Schur polynomial basis after correcting the sign convention mentioned before, i.e., all coefficients are non-negative after multiplying $\pm 1$ accordingly to dimensions. But the general form including $P_{i}$ does not satisfy this property.

Another observation is concerning the Milnor number constructible function. Define $\mu: J(m, m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by assigning to a (jet of) finitely determined germ $f: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0$ its Milnor number $\mu(f)$ (the value 0 , otherwise). This is a constructible function invariant under the $\mathcal{K}$ action and is written by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =1 \mathbb{1}_{A_{1}}+2 \mathbb{1}_{A_{2}}+3 \mathbb{1}_{A_{3}}+4 \mathbb{1}_{A_{4}}+3 \mathbb{1}_{I_{2,2}}+\alpha \\
& =\mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1}}}+\mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{2}}}+\mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{3}}}+\mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{4}}}+\alpha^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ are some constructible functions having the support of codimension greater than 4 . Here, $\mathbb{1}_{A_{2}}$ means the constant function on the $A_{2}$-orbit and $\mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{2}}}=\mathbb{1}_{A_{2}}+\mathbb{1}_{A_{3}}+\cdots$ is the constant function on the orbit-closure. Then, summing up $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\bar{\eta})$, we observe a cancellation of several terms at least up to degree four:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\mu) & =t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{1}}\right)+\cdots+t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{4}}\right)+t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) \\
& =c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, this is a consequence of a more general property of $t p^{S M}$ for the Milnor number of isolated complete intersection germs ( $=\mathcal{K}$-finite germs in $\kappa \leq 0$ ), which will be discussed in detail somewhere else.

### 4.4. Thom polynomials in $\mathcal{A}$-classification

As seen above, the Thom polynomial $t p$ for $\mathcal{K}$-classification of mapgerms is a polynomial in quotient Chern classes $c_{i}$ (source - target). On the other hand, Lemma 4.8 does not hold for $\mathcal{A}$-orbits, thus, tp for $\mathcal{A}$ classification is just a polynomial in Chern classes of source and that of target.

A relevant geometric setting for $\mathcal{A}$-classification is described as follows. Consider the commutative diagram

where $X, Y, B$ are complex manifolds, $p_{0}: X \rightarrow B$ and $p_{1}: Y \rightarrow B$ are submersions of constant relative dimension $m$ and $n$, respectively. For
each $x \in X$, the germ at $x$ of $f$ restricted to the fiber is defined:

$$
\left.f\right|_{p_{0}^{-1}\left(p_{0}(x)\right)}: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}, 0
$$

(local coordinates centered at $x$ and $f(x)$ ). Given an $\mathcal{A}$-finite singularity type $\eta$ of maps $\mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$, the singularity locus $\eta(f) \subset X$ and the bifurcation locus $B_{\eta}(f)=p_{0}(\eta(f)) \subset B$ are defined. It is not difficult to show the following theorem [63]:

Theorem 4.9. Let $\eta$ be an $\mathcal{A}$-finite singularity type. For generic maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$, Dual $[\bar{\eta}(f)] \in H^{*}(X)$ is expressed by a universal polynomial tp ${ }^{\mathcal{A}}(\eta)$ in the Chern class $c_{i}=c_{i}\left(T_{X / B}\right)$ and $c_{j}=c_{j}\left(T_{Y / B}\right)$ of relative tangent bundles. Dual $\left[\overline{B_{\eta}}(f)\right] \in H^{*}(B)$ is also expressed by the pushforward $p_{0 *} t p^{\mathcal{A}}(\eta)$.


Remark 4.10. The case of maps between families of curves (e.g., families of rational functions) has extensively been studied by KazarianLando [32, 33] for the study of Hurwitz numbers.

Example 4.11. ( $\mathcal{A}$-classification of $\left.\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$
Let us see Table 1: the list of $\mathcal{A}$-simple germs of plane-to-plane maps up to $A_{e}$-codimension $2[61]$. For each $\mathcal{A}$-orbit $\eta$, the Thom polynomial is defined to be

$$
t p^{\mathcal{A}}(\eta) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{1}^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}\right]
$$

where $c_{i}, c_{i}^{\prime}$ are Chern classes of relative tangent bundles of source and target, respectively.

Note that for each of swallowtail, butterfly and $I_{2,2}^{1,1}$, the $\mathcal{A}$-orbit is an open dense subset of its $\mathcal{K}$-orbit in $J(2,2)$, thus the closures of the $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{K}$-orbits coincide. That means that the corresponding $t p^{\mathcal{A}}$ coincides with $t p$ for its $\mathcal{K}$-orbits.

For other singularities in the list, the $\mathcal{A}$-orbit has positive codimension in its $\mathcal{K}$-orbit. For instance, look at the case of lips $\left(x^{3}+x y^{2}, y\right)$. It is $\mathcal{K}$-equivalent to the cusp $A_{2}$ but not $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent. The $\mathcal{A}$-miniversal unfolding $\mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}$, 0 with one parmeter $a$ gives a 3 -dimensional normal slice of the $\mathcal{A}$-orbit of lips type in jet space $J(2,2)$. The intersection of the slice with the $\mathcal{K}$-orbit of $A_{2}$ form a smooth curve in the source $\mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}$ of the unfolding; The curve is mapped to the cuspidal

| type | codim | miniversal unfolding |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| lips(beaks) | 3 | $\left(x^{3}+x y^{2}+a x, y\right)$ |
| swallowtail | 3 | $\left(x^{4}+x y+a x^{2}, y\right)$ |
| goose | 4 | $\left(x^{3}+x y^{3}+a x y+b x, y\right)$ |
| gulls | 4 | $\left(x^{4}+x y^{2}+x^{5}+a x y+b x, y\right)$ |
| butterfly | 4 | $\left(x^{5}+x y+x^{7}+a x^{3}+b x^{2}, y\right)$ |
| sharksfin $\left(I_{2,2}^{1,1}\right)$ | 4 | $\left(x^{2}+y^{3}+a y, y^{2}+x^{3}+b x\right)$ |

Table 1


Fig. 3. Lips and Cuspidal edge
edge of the critical value set in the target so that it is tangent to the plane $\mathbb{C}^{2} \times\{0\}$ and transverse to $\mathbb{C}^{2} \times\{a\}(a \neq 0)$, see Fig. 3 .

By the restriction method, we can compute $t p^{\mathcal{A}}$ for lips, gulls and goose [63]. There are applications of these formulas on projective algebraic geometry of surfaces. Here the normal form of gulls is not weighted homogeneous, but it suffices to consider its 4-jet for computing $t p^{\mathcal{A}}$, because the closure of the $\mathcal{A}$-orbit is determined by the 4 -jet. Note that they can not be expressed in terms of quotient Chern classes. On one hand, $t p^{\mathcal{A}}$ for swallowtail, butterfly and $I_{22}$ are also obtained, that coincide with $t p$ for their $\mathcal{K}$-types so that of $1+c_{1}(f)+\cdots=\frac{1+c_{1}^{\prime}+c_{2}^{\prime}}{1+c_{1}+c_{2}}$.

### 4.5. Thom polynomials for stable multi-singularities

This subsection is a quick introduction to M. Kazarian's theory on Thom polynomials for multi-singularities [29, 30, 31].

Definition 4.12. A multi-singularity means an ordered set $\underline{\eta}:=$ $\left(\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{r}\right)$ of mono-singularities $\eta_{i}$ of map-germs $\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}, 0$ (especially, we distinguish the first entry $\eta_{1}$ from others). In case of $\kappa=$

| lips | $-2 c_{1}^{3}+5 c_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{\prime}-4 c_{1} c_{1}^{\prime 2}-c_{1} c_{2}+c_{2} c_{1}^{\prime}+c_{1}^{\prime 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| gulls | $6 c_{1}^{4}-c_{1}^{2} c_{2}-4 c_{2}^{2}-17 c_{1}^{3} c_{1}^{\prime}+4 c_{1} c_{2} c_{1}^{\prime}+17 c_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{\prime 2}-3 c_{2} c_{1}^{\prime 2}$ |
|  | $-7 c_{1} c_{1}^{33}+c_{1}^{\prime 4}+2 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{\prime}+6 c_{2} c_{2}^{\prime}-4 c_{1} c_{1}^{\prime} c_{2}^{\prime}+2 c_{1}^{\prime 2} c_{2}^{\prime}-2 c_{2}^{\prime 2}$ |
| goose | $2 c_{1}^{4}+5 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+4 c_{2}^{2}-7 c_{1}^{3} c_{1}^{\prime}-10 c_{1} c_{2} c_{1}^{\prime}+9 c_{1}^{2} c_{1}^{2}+5 c_{2} c_{1}^{\prime 2}$ |
|  | $-5 c_{1} c_{1}^{\prime 3}+c_{1}^{\prime 4}-2 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}^{\prime}-6 c_{2} c_{2}^{\prime}+4 c_{1} c_{1}^{\prime} c_{2}^{\prime}-2 c_{1}^{\prime 2} c_{2}^{\prime}+2 c_{2}^{\prime 2}$ |

Table 2. $t p^{\mathcal{A}}$ for plane-to-plane germs


Fig. 4. $A_{1} A_{2}$ and $A_{2} A_{1}$ in case of $\kappa=0$
$n-m \leq 0$, we assume that the collection $\underline{\eta}$ contains no submersiongerms.

Example 4.13. For instance, in case of $(m, n)=(3,3)$, there are four non-mono stable types; Double folds $A_{1}^{2}:=A_{1} A_{1}$, Triple folds $A_{1}^{3}:=$ $A_{1} A_{1} A_{1}$ and intersections of fold and cusp $A_{1} A_{2}$ and $A_{2} A_{1}$. The last two types have different meanings in source space but the same in target, that is indicated by Fig. 4.

For a stable map $f: M \rightarrow N$, we set

$$
\underline{\eta}(f):=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
x_{1} \in \eta_{1}(f) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\exists x_{2}, \cdots, x_{r} \in f^{-1} f\left(x_{1}\right)-\left\{x_{1}\right\} \text { s.t. } x_{i} \neq x_{j} \\
(i \neq j) \text { and } f \text { at } x_{i} \text { is of type } \eta_{i}
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and call its analytic closure $\overline{\underline{\eta}(f)} \subset M$ the multi-singularity locus of type $\underline{\eta}$ in source; The image is

$$
f(\underline{\eta}(f)):=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
y \in N & \begin{array}{l}
\exists x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r} \in f^{-1}(y) \text { s.t. } x_{i} \neq x_{j} \\
(i \neq j) \text { and } f \text { at } x_{i} \text { is of type } \eta_{i}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and we call the closure $\overline{f(\underline{\eta(f))}} \subset N$ the multi-singularity locus of $\underline{\eta}$ in target.

The restriction map

$$
f: \overline{\eta(f)} \rightarrow \overline{f(\underline{\eta}(f)})
$$

is finite-to-one: let $\operatorname{deg}_{1} \underline{\eta}$ be the degree of this map, then
$\operatorname{deg}_{1} \underline{\eta}=$ the number of $\eta_{1}$ appearing in the tuple $\underline{\eta}$.
For instance, $\operatorname{deg}_{1} A_{1}^{3}=3, \operatorname{deg}_{1} A_{1} A_{1} A_{2}=2$.
Remark 4.14. For instance, in case of $m=n, A_{1}^{2}(f)$ contains
 $\overline{f\left(A_{3}(f)\right)}$, and so on. This notional convention might not be so common, but it is convenient (economical) for our purpose. This is not essential: we usually take the closure in any cases.

Definition 4.15. The Landweber-Novikov class for proper maps $f: M \rightarrow N$ multi-indexed by $I=\left(i_{1} i_{2} \cdots\right)$ is defined by

$$
s_{I}=s_{I}(f)=f_{*}\left(c_{1}(f)^{i_{1}} c_{2}(f)^{i_{2}} \cdots\right) \in H^{*}(N)
$$

where $c_{i}(f)=c_{i}\left(f^{*} T N-T M\right)$, e.g.,

$$
s_{0}=f_{*}(1), s_{i}=f_{*}\left(c_{1}^{i}\right), s_{i j}=f_{*}\left(c_{1}^{i} c_{2}^{j}\right), s_{i j k}=f_{*}\left(c_{1}^{i} c_{2}^{j} c_{3}^{k}\right), \cdots
$$

For simplicity we often denote $s_{I}$ to stand for its pullback $f^{*} s_{I} \in$ $H^{*}(M)$ (i.e., omit the letter $f^{*}$ ) unless it causes a confusion.

The following statement has first appeared in M. Kazarian [29] with a topological justification using complex cobordism, $h$-principle and Vassiliev's spectral sequence, but there has not yet been any rigorous proof up to the present, as far as the author knows - the proof should be achieved in the context of intersection theory of algebraic geometry. So precisely saying, this is still a conjecture, see also Remark 4.19 below. On one hand, there are some concrete results supporting this statement in restrictive cases. Those are mostly due to S. Kleiman's school in 80's with techniques using Hilbert schemes and the iteration method, see Kleiman [37, 38], also see an unpublished note by Kazarian [31]: For projective maps only with corank one singularities, a certain algorithm for computing the multi-singularity loci (stationary multiple point loci) has been presented with some actual computations in small (co)dimensions, see the dissertation of S. Colley [10], for instance. There is however a very hard technical difficulty to extend directly this approach to general maps having singularities of corank greater than one. Anyway, in
latter chapters, we will make use of some concrete computations and arguments only for (multi) singularities of $A_{k}$-types in particularly low dimensions (Example 4.18 below).
'Theorem' 4.16. (Conjecture [29, 30, 31]) Given a stable multisingularity type $\underline{\eta}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m+\kappa}$, there exists a unique polynomial in $c_{i}$ and $s_{I}$

$$
\operatorname{tp}(\underline{\eta}) \in \mathbb{Q}\left[c_{i}, s_{I} ; i \geq 1, I=\left(i_{1} i_{2} \cdots\right)\right]
$$

so that for any proper stable map $f: M \rightarrow N$ of relative codimension $\kappa$, the locus in source is expressed by the polynomial evaluated by $c_{i}=$ $c_{i}(f)=c_{i}\left(f^{*} T N-T M\right)$ and $s_{I}=s_{I}(f)=f^{*} f_{*}\left(c^{I}(f)\right)$ :

$$
\text { Dual }[\overline{\underline{\eta}(f)}]=t p(\underline{\eta}) \quad \in H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Q}) .
$$

Also the locus in target is expressed by a universal polynomial in $s_{I}(f)$

$$
\operatorname{Dual}[\overline{f(\underline{\eta}(f)})]=\operatorname{tp}_{\text {target }}(\underline{\eta}):=\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}_{1} \underline{\eta}} f_{*} t p(\underline{\eta}) \in H^{*}(N ; \mathbb{Q})
$$

Definition 4.17. We call $t p(\underline{\eta})$ the Thom polynomial of a stable multi-singularity type $\underline{\eta}$ and $t p_{\text {target }}(\underline{\eta})$ the Thom polynomial of $\underline{\eta}$ in target.

Example 4.18. In case of relative codimension $\kappa=0,1$, Thom polynomials for multi-singularities of stable maps in low dimensions are given in the following Tables 3 and 4 [29, 31] - Rimányi's restiction method is also effective for computing these polynomials $t p(\underline{\eta})$. Those polynomials are also computed in e.g. [10] within an entirely different approach.

| type | codim | $t p$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $A_{1}$ | 1 | $c_{1}$ |
| $A_{2}$ | 2 | $c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}$ |
| $A_{1}^{2}$ | 2 | $c_{1} s_{1}-4 c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}$ |
| $A_{3}$ | 3 | $c_{1}^{3}+3 c_{1} c_{2}+2 c_{3}$ |
| $A_{1}^{3}$ | 3 | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}c_{1} s_{1}^{2}-4 c_{2} s_{1}-4 c_{1} s_{2}-2 c_{1} s_{01}-8 c_{1}^{2} s_{1} \\ +40 c_{1}^{3}+56 c_{1} c_{2}+24 c_{3} \\ A_{1} A_{2}\end{array}\right.$ |
| $A_{2} A_{1}$ | 3 | $c_{1} s_{2}+c_{1} s_{01}-6 c_{1}^{3}-12 c_{1} c_{2}-6 c_{3}$ |

Table 3. $\kappa=0$

| type | codim | $t p$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $A_{0}^{2}$ | 1 | $s_{0}-c_{1}$ |
| $A_{1}$ | 2 | $c_{2}$ |
| $A_{0}^{3}$ | 2 | $\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{0}^{2}-s_{1}-2 s_{0} c_{1}+2 c_{1}^{2}+2 c_{2}\right)$ |
| $A_{0} A_{1}$ | 3 | $s_{01}-2 c_{1} c_{2}-2 c_{3}$ |
| $A_{1} A_{0}$ | 3 | $s_{0} c_{2}-2 c_{1} c_{2}-2 c_{3}$ |
| $A_{0}^{4}$ | 3 | $\frac{1}{3!}\binom{s_{0}^{3}-3 s_{0} s_{1}+2 s_{2}+2 s_{01}-3 s_{0}^{2} c_{1}+3 s_{1} c_{1}}{+6 s_{0} c_{1}^{2}+6 s_{0} c_{2}-6 c_{1}^{3}-18 c_{1} c_{2}-12 c_{3}}$ |

Table 4. $\kappa=1$

Remark 4.19. The above 'theorem' infers a sort of manifestation for an expected modern enumerative theory of singularities - the full theory should involve algebraic cobordisms and relative Hilbert schemes within intersection theory. In fact, this touches a deep issue: For instance, the Göttsche conjecture (now theorem) states the existence of universal polynomials of Chern classes for counting nodal curves on a given projective surface, that is actually regarded as a typical example of muti-singularity Thom polynomials for $A_{1}^{k}$; Kontsevich's formula counting rational plane curves (Gromov-Witten invariants) also relates to counting curves with some prescribed singularities, see [29, 31].

## §5. Computing 0-stable invariants of map-germs

### 5.1. Stable perturbation

Let $f: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}, 0$ be a finitely determined map-germ, and $\eta$ a stable (mono/multi-)singularity type of codimension $n$ in the target (equivalently, of codimension $m$ in source). Take a stable perturbation

$$
f_{t}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n} \quad\left(t \in \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}, 0 \in U \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}\right)
$$

so that $f_{0}$ is a representative of $f$ and $f_{t}$ for $t \neq 0$ is a stable map. Then $\eta\left(f_{t}\right)$ for $t \neq 0$ consists of finitely many isolated points (Fig. 5): the number is constant for non-zero $t$ and does not depend on the choice of stable perturbation (note that if $\eta$ is a mono-stable singularity type, it is enough to assume that $f_{0}$ is $\mathcal{K}$-finite, while for multi-singularity type, we need $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness of $\left.f_{0}\right)$. The number of $\eta\left(f_{t}\right)$ is usually called an 0 -stable invariant of the original germ $f$.

Our problem is to compute such a local invariant of map-germs. A major prototype is the famous theorem of J. Milnor in the function case $(n=1)$ : The number of Morse singularities arising in a stable


Fig. 5. $H_{2}$-singularity $\left(x^{3}, x^{5}+x y, y\right)$ - its stable perturbation has two crosscaps and one triple point.
perturbation of $f: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, 0$ is given by the length of the Milnor algebra:

$$
\# A_{1}\left(f_{t}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0} / J_{f}
$$

where $J_{f}$ is the Jacobi ideal. For instance, take $f_{0}=x^{3}$, then the number of $A_{1}$-points is $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O} /\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle=2$, and this is just the degree of the discriminant of the universal unfolding $(x, u) \rightarrow\left(x^{3}-u x, u\right)$ (Fig. $6)$.


Fig. 6. Discriminant of universal unfolding of $A_{2}$

Remark 5.1. In Fukuda-Ishikawa [17] and Gaffney-Mond [23, 24], the formula has been generalized to the case of plane-to-plane germs for counting the numbers of cusps and double fold points in generic perturbation. Since then, several authors, Nuño Ballesteros, Saia, Fukui, Jorge Perez, Miranda [18, 43, 39, 40] etc, have been developing this direction further for higher dimensional cases. The strategy is as follows. For a mono stable singularity type $\eta$ (e.g. a Thom-Boardman type), the first task is to describe the defining ideal of the Zariski closure of the corresponding $\mathcal{K}$-orbit (or TB stratum) in a jet space of certain order. The second task is to determine when the ideal is Cohen-Macaulay: if the ideal is CM, the algebraic intersection number of the Zariski closure $\bar{\eta}$ and the jet extension $j f_{0}$ can easily be computed by the length of an associated algebra because the higher torsion sheaves vanish. If not, one need more tasks to deal with the sygyzy for the ideal. Counting
stable multi-singularities is more involved and indirect. The multiple point schemes are studied using Fitting ideals, and usually one assume that the original germ $f$ is of corank one in order to make it possible to handle.

### 5.2. Thom polynomial approach

We propose a new topological method based on Thom polynomials for computing stable invariants for weighted homogeneous map-germs. This provides a significantly simpler computation without any corank condition and a transparent perspective for the counting problem in weighted homogeneous case. We consider the non-negative codimensional case, $\kappa=n-m \geq 0$.

Let $f: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}, 0$ be a weighted homogeneous germ with weights $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m}$ and degrees $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, i.e., there are diagonal representations of $T=\mathbb{C}^{*}$ in source and target spaces

$$
\rho_{0}=\alpha^{w_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha^{w_{m}}, \quad \rho_{1}=\alpha^{d_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha^{d_{n}}
$$

which stabilizes the map-germ: $f=\rho_{1} \circ f \circ \rho_{0}^{-1}$.
Suppose that $f$ is finitely determined. Then its $\mathcal{A}_{e}$-versal unfolding

$$
F: \mathbb{C}^{m+k}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+k}, 0
$$

is also weighted homogeneous (e.g., see [72]). Let $r_{1}, \cdots, r_{k}$ be the weights of unfolding parameters. Note that by the torus action, $f$ and $F$ can be regarded as polynomial maps on affine spaces $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{m+k}$ respectively. Let $i_{0}: \mathbb{C}^{m} \times\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m+k}$ and $\iota_{0}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \times\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+k}$ be natural inclusions.

Consider a stable mono/multi-singularity type $\underline{\eta}$ of codimension $n$ in the target. Of course, $F$ itself is a stable map, so we have the singularity loci in source and target of $F$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
\mathbb{C}^{m} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C}^{n} & & \\
i_{0} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{0} & & \\
\underline{\eta}(F) \subset & \mathbb{C}^{m+k} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{C}^{n+k} & \supset F(\underline{\eta}(F))
\end{array}
$$

Take a generic (non-equivariant) perturbation $\iota_{t}$ of $\iota_{0}$ by $t \in \mathbb{C}$ sufficiently close to 0 so that $\iota_{t}(t \neq 0)$ is transverse to the critical value set of $F$. For instance, this is achieved by taking a generic affine transition of the subspace $\mathbb{C}^{n} \times\{0\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$. The fiber product of $\iota_{t}$ and $F$ defines a perturbation of the embedding $i_{0}$ of the source space, say $i_{t}: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m+k}$, and it hence gives a stable perturbation $f_{t}$ of the original map $f_{0}=f$ so that $F \circ i_{t}=\iota_{t} \circ f_{t}$. The $\underline{\eta}$-locus of $f_{t}$ in target


Fig. 7. The target space of the universal stable map $F$
is the intersection of $\iota_{t}$ with $F(\underline{\eta}(F))$, which consists of finitely many points because of the assumption that the codimension of $\underline{\eta}$ and the above construction of maps are complementary.

Now, thanks to the torus action, we deal with the global setting associated to the above diagram of polynomial maps. We introduce three vector bundles over $B T=\mathbb{P}^{\infty}$ (or large dimensional projective space) by sums of tensor powers of the canonical line bundle $\ell=\mathcal{O}(1)$ :

$$
E_{0}:=\oplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}\left(w_{i}\right), \quad E_{1}:=\oplus_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}\left(d_{j}\right), \quad E^{\prime}=\oplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{O}\left(r_{i}\right),
$$

which correspond to representations of $T=\mathbb{C}^{*}$ on the source, target and parameter spaces, respectively. Then our weighted homogenous polynomial maps $f$ and $F$ yield well-defined universal maps between the total spaces of these vector bundles. For simplicity, we denote these universal maps by the same notations $f, F, \iota_{0}, i_{0}$, that would not cause any confusion:

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
E_{0} & \xrightarrow{f} & E_{1} & & \\
i_{0} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{0} & \\
\underline{\eta}(F) \subset & E_{0} \oplus E^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{F} & E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime} & \supset F(\underline{\eta}(F))
\end{array}
$$

Perturb the embedding $\iota_{0}$ of $E_{1}$ in order to yield a desired perturbation $f_{t}: E_{0} \rightarrow E_{1}$ of the original map $f_{0}=f$. For instance, this is achieved by taking a section $s \in \Gamma\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
\iota_{t}: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime}, \quad \iota_{t}(p, v):=\iota_{0}(v)+t \cdot s(p)
$$

for $p \in B T, v \in\left(E_{1}\right)_{p}$. For generic $s$, the shifted embedding $\iota_{t}$ is transverse to the critical value locus of $F$ in the total space $E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime}$ over an open dense set of $B T$. The fiber product of $F$ and $\iota_{t}$ defines deformations $f_{t}: E_{0} \rightarrow E_{1}$ and $i_{t}: E_{0} \rightarrow E_{0} \oplus E^{\prime}$ so that $F \circ i_{t}=\iota_{t} \circ f_{t}$ and that $f_{t}:\left(E_{0}\right)_{p} \rightarrow\left(E_{1}\right)_{p}$ is a stable map for allmost all $p \in B T$.


Fig. 8. Perturbation of $i_{0}$ and $\iota_{0}$
By the pullback via $p: E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime} \rightarrow B T$ we identify

$$
H^{*}\left(E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=H^{*}(B T ; \mathbb{Q})=\mathbb{Q}[[a]]
$$

where $a=c_{1}(\ell)$, the first Chern class of the canonical line bundle. The $\eta$-type (multi-)singularity loci of $F$ defines an $n$-dimensional cocycle in the target total space $E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime}$ which is expressed by the target Thom polynomial associated to $\underline{\eta}$ :

$$
[\overline{F(\underline{\eta}(F))}]=t p_{\text {target }}(\underline{\eta})(F)=h \cdot a^{n} \quad(\exists h \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

On one hand, $E_{1} \stackrel{\iota_{0}}{\longrightarrow} E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime}$ is an embedding of the total spaces with the normal bundle $p^{*} E^{\prime}$, hence the fundamental cycle defines a $k$-dimensional cocycle in $E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime}$ which is expressed by the top Chern class of the normal bundle:

$$
\operatorname{Dual}\left[E_{1}\right]=\iota_{0 *}(1)=c_{k}\left(p^{*} E^{\prime}\right)=r_{1} \cdots r_{k} \cdot a^{k}
$$

Now our perturbation $\iota_{t}$ is transverse to the $\underline{\eta}$-locus of stable map $F$ and $\iota_{t}$ is homotopic to $\iota_{0}$, thus the intersection cocycle represents the cohomology cap product in $H^{*}\left(E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\left[\overline{F(\underline{\eta}(F))} \cap \iota_{t}\left(E_{1}\right)\right]=[\overline{F(\underline{\eta}(F))}] \cdot \text { Dual }\left[E_{1}\right] .
$$

Since the intersection cocycle has codimension $m+k$, the cycle must be an integer multiple of the class represented by the zero section of $E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime}$, i.e., the top Chern class $c_{n+k}\left(E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime}\right)$. The multiplicity is equal to the degree of the projection

$$
p^{\prime}: \overline{F(\underline{\eta}(F))} \cap \iota_{t}\left(E_{1}\right) \rightarrow B T .
$$

Looking at generic fiber of $p^{\prime}$, the degree coincides with $\# \underline{\eta}\left(f_{t}\right)$ in the local setting (this number is well-defined by the assumption). Hence we have

$$
\# \underline{\eta}\left(f_{t}\right)=\frac{t p_{\mathrm{target}}(\underline{\eta})(F) \cdot \iota_{0 *}(1)}{c_{n+k}\left(E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{h \cdot r_{1} \cdots r_{k}}{d_{1} \cdots d_{n} \cdot r_{1} \cdots r_{k}}=\frac{h}{d_{1} \cdots d_{n}}
$$

(consequently, $h$ is divisible by the product of degrees).
Remark 5.2. Note that the quotient Chern classes $c\left(f_{0}\right)$ and $c(F)$ are the same (by cancelation of the $E^{\prime}$ factor):

$$
c(F)=c\left(f_{0}\right)=1+c_{1}\left(f_{0}\right)+c_{2}\left(f_{0}\right)+\cdots=\frac{\prod\left(1+d_{j} a\right)}{\prod\left(1+w_{i} a\right)} \in \mathbb{Q}[[a]] .
$$

For a mono-singularity $\underline{\eta}=\eta$, the Thom polynomial $\operatorname{tp}(\eta)$ for $F$ is a polynomial in $c_{i}\left(f_{0}\right)$, so $\overline{i t}$ is computed in terms of weights and degrees. For a multi-singularity $\underline{\eta}$, the Thom polynomial $\operatorname{tp}(\underline{\eta})$ for $F$ is a polynomial in $c_{i}\left(f_{0}\right)$ and $\left.s_{I} \overline{( } f_{0}\right)$. Since $f_{0}: E_{0} \rightarrow E_{1}$ is a proper map (we assume that $m \leq n$ ), the (co)homology pushforward $f_{0 *}$ is defined. The zero locus of $E_{0}$ is mapped via $f_{0}$ identically to the zero locus of $E_{1}$, hence

$$
f_{0 *}\left(c_{m}\left(E_{0}\right)\right)=c_{m}\left(E_{0}\right) f_{0 *}(1)=c_{n}\left(E_{1}\right)
$$

([30, Lem. 4.1]), so we have

$$
s_{0}\left(f_{0}\right)=f_{0 *}(1)=\frac{d_{1} \cdots d_{n}}{w_{1} \cdots w_{m}} a^{n-m}, \quad s_{I}\left(f_{0}\right)=c^{I}\left(f_{0}\right) s_{0}\left(f_{0}\right)
$$

Hence $t p(\underline{\eta})$ (and $t p_{\text {target }}(\underline{\eta})$ ) is written by weights and degrees.
Thus the following theorem is proved:
Theorem 5.3. Let $m \leq n$ and let $f_{0}: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}, 0$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ finitely determined weighted homogeneous map-germ with weight $w_{i}$ and degree $d_{j}$. Given a stable mono/multi-singularity $\underline{\eta}$ of codimension $n$ in target, the corresponding 0 -stable invariant of $f_{0} \overline{i s}$ computed by

$$
\# \underline{\eta}\left(f_{t}\right)=\frac{t p_{\text {target }}(\underline{\eta})}{d_{1} \cdots d_{n}}=\frac{t p(\underline{\eta})}{\operatorname{deg}_{1} \underline{\eta} \cdot w_{1} \cdots w_{m}}
$$

where numerators stand for the coefficient of $a^{m}$ and $a^{n}$ of the Thom polynomials in source and target applied to the universal map $f_{0}: E_{0} \rightarrow$ $E_{1}$, respectively. In particular, for the case of mono-singularity $\underline{\eta}=\left(\eta_{1}\right)$, we have $\# \eta_{1}\left(f_{t}\right)=t p\left(\eta_{1}\right) / w_{1} \cdots w_{m}$.

Remark 5.4. As seen, we restrict the Thom polynomial $t p(\underline{\eta})$ to a more complicated singularity $f=f_{0}$. The resulting class in $H^{*}(\bar{B} T)$ is a sort of incidence class introduced by Rimányi [59].

Remark 5.5. If $m>n$, then $f_{0}$ is not proper, so the argument about $s_{I}\left(f_{0}\right)$ in Remark 5.2 is not available. Instead, since the restriction of $f_{0}$ to the critical point set is generically one-to-one, hence proper, the pushforward of the restricted map is defined and computable. Then a similar formal computation of Thom polynomials works, as pointed out in $[30, \S 4]$.

Remark 5.6. Not only the 0 -stable invariant but also higher stable invariants are defined by the degree of the subvariety $\underline{\eta}\left(f_{t}\right)$ which has positive dimension. Our theorem can also be generalized for computing such stable invariants for finite weighted homogeneous germs.

### 5.3. Computation

Computing the 0 -stable invariants for $f$ via Tp is simply reduced to elementary polynomial algebra, i.e., we compute

$$
\# \eta\left(f_{t}\right)=\frac{t p(\underline{\eta})}{\operatorname{deg}_{1} \underline{\eta} \cdot w_{1} \cdots w_{m}}
$$

by substitution. Below we demonstrate some computations.
Example 5.7. $(m, n)=(2,2)$ : Tp of stable singularities of codimension 2 are

$$
\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{2}\right)=c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}, \quad \operatorname{tp}\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)=c_{1} s_{1}-4 c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{2}
$$

Let $f: \mathbb{C}^{2}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}, 0$ be a finitely determined weighted homogeneous germ with weights $w_{1}, w_{2}$ and degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}$. The quotient Chern class is

$$
c(f)=\frac{\left(1+d_{1} a\right)\left(1+d_{2} a\right)}{\left(1+w_{1} a\right)\left(1+w_{2} a\right)}
$$

so we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=\left(d_{1}+d_{2}-w_{1}-w_{2}\right) a \\
& c_{2}=\left(d_{1} d_{2}-d_{1} w_{1}-d_{2} w_{1}+w_{1}^{2}-d_{1} w_{2}-d_{2} w_{2}+w_{1} w_{2}+w_{2}^{2}\right) a^{2} \\
& s_{0}=\frac{d_{1} d_{2}}{w_{1} w_{2}} \\
& s_{1}=s_{0} c_{1}=\frac{d_{1} d_{2}}{w_{1} w_{2}}\left(d_{1}+d_{2}-w_{1}-w_{2}\right) a
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute them into

$$
\frac{t p\left(A_{2}\right)}{w_{1} w_{2}}, \quad \frac{t p\left(A_{1}^{2}\right)}{2 w_{1} w_{2}}
$$

we obtain the 0-stable invariants of cusp and double folds for $f$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# A_{2}=\frac{1}{w_{1} w_{2}}\binom{d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}+2 w_{1}^{2}+3 d_{1}\left(d_{2}-w_{1}-w_{2}\right)}{+3 w_{1} w_{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}-3 d_{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)} \\
& \# A_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{2 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
d_{1} d_{2}\left(d_{1}+d_{2}-w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{2}-4 w_{1} w_{2}\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right. \\
\left.-w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{2}-2 w_{1} w_{2}\left\{w_{1}^{2}+w_{1} w_{2}+w_{2}^{2}\right. \\
\left.+d_{1}\left(d_{2}-w_{1}-w_{2}\right)-d_{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These coincide with Gaffney-Mond's results [23].
Example 5.8. $(m, n)=(2,3)$ : Tp of stable singularities of codim 2 in source are

$$
\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{1}\right)=c_{2}, \quad t p\left(A_{0}^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{0}^{2}-s_{1}-2 c_{1} s_{0}+2 c_{1}^{2}+2 c_{2}\right)
$$

Expand

$$
c(f)=\frac{\left(1+d_{1} a\right)\left(1+d_{2} a\right)\left(1+d_{3} a\right)}{\left(1+w_{1} a\right)\left(1+w_{2} a\right)}
$$

and substitute terms into

$$
\frac{\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{1}\right)}{w_{1} w_{2}}, \quad \frac{t p\left(A_{1}^{3}\right)}{3 w_{1} w_{2}},
$$

then we obtain the 0 -stable invariants of crosscap and triple point for $f$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# A_{1}=\frac{1}{w_{1} w_{2}}\binom{d_{1} d_{2}+\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right) d_{3}-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right) w_{1}+w_{1}^{2}}{-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}-w_{1}\right) w_{2}+w_{2}^{2}} \\
& \# A_{0}^{3}=\frac{1}{6 w_{1}^{3} w_{2}^{3}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2} d_{3}^{2}-3 d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} w_{1} w_{2}\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right. \\
\left.-w_{1}-w_{2}\right)+2 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2}\left\{d_{1} d_{2}+\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right) d_{3}\right. \\
-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right) w_{1}+w_{1}^{2} \\
+\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}\right)^{2} \\
\left.-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}-w_{1}\right) w_{2}+w_{2}^{2}\right\}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These numbers coincide with the result in Mond [48] obtained by a completely different method.

Example 5.9. $(m, n)=(3,3)$ : Tp for stable (multi-)singularities are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tp}\left(A_{3}\right)=c_{1}^{3}+3 c_{1} c_{2}+2 c_{3} \\
& \operatorname{tp}\left(A_{1} A_{2}\right)=c_{1} s_{2}+c_{1} s_{01}-6 c_{1}^{3}-12 c_{1} c_{2}-6 c_{3} \\
& \operatorname{tp}\left(A_{1}^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\binom{c_{1} s_{1}^{2}-4 c_{2} s_{1}-4 c_{1} s_{2}-2 c_{1} s_{01}-8 c_{1}^{2} s_{1}}{+40 c_{1}^{3}+56 c_{1} c_{2}+24 c_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# A_{3}=\frac{1}{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}}\left(\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)^{3}+3\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}-w_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\left(d_{1} d_{2}+\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right) d_{3}-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right) w_{1}+w_{1}^{2}-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-w_{1}\right) w_{2}+w_{2}^{2}-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}\right) w_{3}+w_{3}^{2}\right)+2\left(d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}-\left(d_{2} d_{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+d_{1}\left(d_{2}+d_{3}\right)\right) w_{1}+\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right) w_{1}^{2}-w_{1}^{3}-\left(d_{1} d_{2}+\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right) d_{3}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right) w_{1}+w_{1}^{2}\right) w_{2}+\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}-w_{1}\right) w_{2}^{2}-w_{2}^{3}-\left(d_{1} d_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right) d_{3}-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}\right) w_{1}+w_{1}^{2}-\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}-w_{1}\right) w_{2}+w_{2}^{2}\right) w_{3} \\
& \left.\left.+\left(d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}\right) w_{3}^{2}-w_{3}^{3}\right)\right) . \\
& \# A_{1} A_{2}=\frac{1}{w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}}\left(d_{1}^{4} d_{2} d_{3}+d_{1}^{3}\left(4 d_{2}^{2} d_{3}+4 d_{2} d_{3}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-6 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)-6 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(d_{2}^{3}+d_{3}^{3}-4 w_{1}^{3}-8 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}-8 w_{1} w_{2}^{2}-4 w_{2}^{3}\right. \\
& +5 d_{2}^{2}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)-8 w_{1}^{2} w_{3}-13 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-8 w_{2}^{2} w_{3}-8 w_{1} w_{3}^{2} \\
& -8 w_{2} w_{3}^{2}-4 w_{3}^{3}-5 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(8 w_{1}^{2}+8 w_{2}^{2}+13 w_{2} w_{3}+8 w_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+13 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)+d_{2}\left(5 d_{3}^{2}+8 w_{1}^{2}+8 w_{2}^{2}+13 w_{2} w_{3}+8 w_{3}^{2}+13 w_{1}\left(w_{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+w_{3}\right)-13 d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)+d_{1}^{2}\left(4 d_{2}^{3} d_{3}+9 d_{2}^{2} d_{3}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& +30 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(-d_{3}+w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{2}\left(4 d_{3}^{3}-30 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-9 d_{3}^{2} w_{1}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(5 w_{1}^{2}+5 w_{2}^{2}+9 w_{2} w_{3}+5 w_{3}^{2}+9 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& +d_{1}\left(d_{2}^{4} d_{3}+4 d_{2}^{3} d_{3}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)-6 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(5 d_{3}^{2}+8 w_{1}^{2}+8 w_{2}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+13 w_{2} w_{3}+8 w_{3}^{2}+13 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)-13 d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right) \\
& +d_{2}^{2}\left(4 d_{3}^{3}-30 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-9 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(5 w_{1}^{2}+5 w_{2}^{2}+9 w_{2} w_{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+5 w_{3}^{2}+9 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)+d_{2}\left(d_{3}^{4}-4 d_{3}^{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& +78 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}^{2}\left(5 w_{1}^{2}+5 w_{2}^{2}+9 w_{2} w_{3}+5 w_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.\left.+9 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)-d_{3}\left(2 w_{1}^{3}+2 w_{2}^{3}+5 w_{2}^{2} w_{3}+5 w_{2} w_{3}^{2}+2 w_{3}^{3}\left(5 w_{2}^{2}+87 w_{2} w_{3}+5 w_{3}^{2}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& +5 w_{1}^{2}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+w_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 5. 0-stable invariants (Swallowtail and Fold+Cuspidal edge) for $\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}, 0$.

The corresponding 0 -stable invariants for weighted homogeneous finite germs $f: \mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}, 0$ are computed below. Note that our method is valid for germs $f$ of any corank.

The iterated Jacobian ideal $J_{111}$ defining the $A_{3}$-locus (i.e, $\Sigma^{1,1,1}$ ) is not Cohen-Macaulay along $\Sigma^{2}$ (communication with Nuño-Ballesteros, also see $[18,39,40]$ ). So the commutative algebra approach requires more hard works, while our topological approach is straightforward and gives the right answer. For instance, consider the following map-germ

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# A_{1}^{3}=\frac{1}{6 w_{1}^{3} w_{2}^{3} w_{3}^{3}}\left(d_{1}^{5} d_{2}^{2} d_{3}^{2}+3 d_{1}^{4} d_{2} d_{3}\left(d_{2}^{2} d_{3}+d_{2} d_{3}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-4 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)-8 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}\left(-5 d_{2}^{3}-5 d_{3}^{3}+15 w_{1}^{3}+32 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}+32 w_{1} w_{2}^{2}+15 w_{2}^{3}\right. \\
& -22 d_{2}^{2}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)+32 w_{1}^{2} w_{3}+54 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}+32 w_{2}^{2} w_{3}+32 w_{1} w_{3}^{2} \\
& +32 w_{2} w_{3}^{2}+15 w_{3}^{3}+22 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)-2 d_{3}\left(16 w_{1}^{2}+16 w_{2}^{2}+27 w_{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+16 w_{3}^{2}+27 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)-2 d_{2}\left(11 d_{3}^{2}+16 w_{1}^{2}+16 w_{2}^{2}+27 w_{2} w_{3}+16 w_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+27 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)-27 d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)+d_{1}^{3}\left(3 d_{2}^{4} d_{3}^{2}+6 d_{2}^{3} d_{3}^{2}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)-42 d_{2} d_{3} w_{1} w_{2}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right) w_{3}+40 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2} \\
& \left.+3 d_{2}^{2} d_{3}\left(d_{3}^{3}-14 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-2 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)^{2}\right)\right) \\
& +d_{1}^{2}\left(d_{2}^{5} d_{3}^{2}+3 d_{2}^{4} d_{3}^{2}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)-176 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}\left(-d_{3}+w_{1}+w_{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+w_{3}\right)+3 d_{2}^{3} d_{3}\left(d_{3}^{3}-14 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-2 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& -2 d_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(21 d_{3}^{3}-88 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-45 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+3 d_{3}\left(8 w_{1}^{2}+8 w_{2}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+15 w_{2} w_{3}+8 w_{3}^{2}+15 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)+d_{2}^{2} d_{3}\left(d_{3}^{4}-3 d_{3}^{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& +90 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{3}\right)+3 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)^{2}-d_{3}\left(w_{1}^{3}+3 w_{1}^{2}\left(w_{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.+w_{3}\right)+\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)^{3}+3 w_{1}\left(w_{2}^{2}+32 w_{2} w_{3}+w_{3}^{2}\right)\right)\right)\right)+2 d_{1} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(-6 d_{2}^{4} d_{3}\right. \\
& -21 d_{2}^{3} d_{3}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)+8 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(11 d_{3}^{2}+16 w_{1}^{2}+16 w_{2}^{2}+27 w_{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+16 w_{3}^{2}+27 w_{3}\right)-27 d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)-d_{2}^{2}\left(21 d_{3}^{3}-88 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& -45 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+3 d_{3}\left(8 w_{1}^{2}+8 w_{2}^{2}+15 w_{2} w_{3}+8 w_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+15 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)-3 d_{2}\left(2 d_{3}^{4}-7 d_{3}^{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& +72 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}^{2}\left(8 w_{1}^{2}+8 w_{2}^{2}+15 w_{2} w_{3}+8 w_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+15 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)-d_{3}\left(3 w_{1}^{3}+3 w_{2}^{3}+8 w_{2}^{2} w_{3}+8 w_{2} w_{3}^{2}+3 w_{3}^{3}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.+8 w_{1}^{2}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+w_{1}\left(8 w_{2}^{2}+87 w_{2} w_{3}+8 w_{3}^{2}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 6. 0 -stable invariant (Triple folds) for $\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}, 0$.
of corank 2

$$
f(x, y, z)=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+x z, x y, z\right)
$$

Substitute weights $(1,1,1)$ and degrees $(1,2,2)$ into a bit long formula of $A_{3}$ as noted above, then it returns the correct answer 2. Namely, this germ has exactly two $A_{3}$ points in any stable perturbation. On one hand, the length computation gives a wrong number $\left(\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{O} / J_{111}(f)=4\right)$. For the same germ, the remaining two formulas in Table answer the number to be 0 , that is, both $A_{1} A_{2}$ and $A_{1}^{3}$ points do not appear in stable perturbation.

For another example of corank 2,

$$
f(x, y, z)=\left(x^{9}+y^{2}+x z, x y, z\right)
$$

we have $\# A_{3}=16, \# A_{1} A_{2}=105, \# A_{1}^{3}=98$. Those numbers coincide with the result in [40]. For counting mono-singularity, our formula is valid also for $\mathcal{K}$-finite germs. For instance, the germ $\left(x^{2}, y^{2}, z^{2}\right)$ has $23 A_{3}$ points in its stable perturbation, while there has been no way to compute such a number for germs of corank 3 so far. On the other hand, applying our formula of $A_{1} A_{2}$ or $A_{1}^{3}$ to non- $\mathcal{A}$-finite germ does not make sense.

For germs $f$ of corank one, the counting formula for each singularity has a significantly simpler form. Put $w_{1}=d_{1}, w_{2}=d_{2}$ and use $w_{0}, d$ instead of $w_{3}, d_{3}$, then we recover a result in Marar-Montaldi-Ruas [43]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# A_{3}=\frac{\left(d-w_{0}\right)\left(d-2 w_{0}\right)\left(d-3 w_{0}\right)}{w_{0} w_{1} w_{2}} \\
& \# A_{1} A_{2}=\frac{\left(d-w_{0}\right)\left(d-2 w_{0}\right)\left(d-3 w_{0}\right)\left(d-4 w_{0}\right)}{w_{0}^{2} w_{1} w_{2}} \\
& \# A_{1}^{3}=\frac{\left(d-w_{0}\right)\left(d-2 w_{0}\right)\left(d-3 w_{0}\right)\left(d-4 w_{0}\right)\left(d-5 w_{0}\right)}{6 w_{0}^{3} w_{1} w_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We emphasize that the most convenient and well-organized expression for general cases is the formula in Theorem 5.3.

Example 5.10. $(m, n)=(3,4)$ : Tp for stable quadruple points is

$$
\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{0}^{4}\right)=\frac{1}{6}\binom{s_{0}^{3}-3 s_{0} s_{1}+2 s_{2}+2 s_{01}-3 s_{0}^{2} c_{1}+3 s_{1} c_{1}}{+6 s_{0} c_{1}^{2}+6 s_{0} c_{2}-6 c_{1}^{3}-18 c_{1} c_{2}-12 c_{3}}
$$

The corresponding 0 -stable invariants is given in Table 7. We omit other singularity types.

For example, consider the map-germ of corank 2

$$
\hat{A}_{k}:\left(x, y^{k}+x z+x^{2 k-2} y, y z, z^{2}+y^{2 k-1}\right)
$$

then the number of quadruple points is $\frac{8}{3}(k-1)^{2}\left(k^{3}-5 k^{2}+9 k-6\right)$.
For germs $f$ of corank one, it holds that

$$
\# A_{0}^{4}=\frac{\left(d_{1}-w_{0}\right)\left(d_{1}-2 w_{0}\right)\left(d_{1}-3 w_{0}\right)\left(d_{2}-w_{0}\right)\left(d_{2}-2 w_{0}\right)\left(d_{2}-3 w_{0}\right)}{6 w_{0}^{4} w_{1} w_{2}}
$$

## §6. Image and discriminant Chern classes

### 6.1. Izumiya-Marar formula

To grasp the main idea quickly, for a moment let us consider a $C^{\infty}$ stable map from a closed (real) surface $M$ into a (real) 3-manifold $N$. Look at its image singular surface $f(M) \subset N$. Stable singularities are of type $A_{1}, A_{0}^{2}$ and $A_{0}^{3}$ (Fig.9).


Fig. 9. Crosscap, double points and triple points in the target space of 2-to-3 maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# A_{0}^{4}=\frac{1}{6 w_{1}^{4} w_{2}^{4} w_{3}^{4}}\left(d _ { 1 } ^ { 3 } \left(d_{2}^{3} d_{3}^{3} d_{4}^{3}-6 d_{2}^{2} d_{3}^{2} d_{4}^{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}+11 d_{2} d_{3} d_{4} w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-6 w_{1}^{3} w_{2}^{3} w_{3}^{3}\right)-6 w_{1}^{3} w_{2}^{3} w_{3}^{3}\left(d_{2}^{3}+d_{3}^{3}+d_{4}^{3}-6 d_{4}^{2} w_{1}+11 d_{4} w_{1}^{2}-6 w_{1}^{3}-6 d_{4}^{2} w_{2}\right. \\
& +17 d_{4} w_{1} w_{2}-11 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}+11 d_{4} w_{2}^{2}-11 w_{1} w_{2}^{2}-6 w_{2}^{3} \\
& +6 d_{3}^{2}\left(d_{4}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)+6 d_{2}^{2}\left(d_{3}+d_{4}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)-6 d_{4}^{2} w_{3} \\
& +17 d_{4} w_{1} w_{3}-11 w_{1}^{2} w_{3}+17 d_{4} w_{2} w_{3}-17 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} \\
& -11 w_{2}^{2} w_{3}+11 d_{4} w_{3}^{2}-11 w_{1} w_{3}^{2}-11 w_{2} w_{3}^{2}-6 w_{3}^{3} \\
& +d_{2}\left(6 d_{3}^{2}+6 d_{4}^{2}+11 w_{1}^{2}+17 w_{1} w_{2}+11 w_{2}^{2}+17 d_{3}\left(d_{4}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+17 w_{1} w_{3}+17 w_{2} w_{3}+11 w_{3}^{2}-17 d_{4}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)+d_{3}\left(6 d_{4}^{2}+11 w_{1}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+11 w_{2}^{2}+17 w_{2} w_{3}+11 w_{3}^{2}+17 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)-17 d_{4}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
& -6 d_{1}^{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(d_{2}^{3} d_{3}^{2} d_{4}^{2}-6 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}\left(-d_{3}-d_{4}+w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& +d_{2}^{2} d_{3} d_{4}\left(d_{3}^{2} d_{4}+d_{3} d_{4}\left(d_{4}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)-5 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right) \\
& \left.+d_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(-5 d_{3}^{2} d_{4}+6 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}+5 d_{3} d_{4}\left(-d_{4}+w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +d_{1} w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}\left(11 d_{2}^{3} d_{3} d_{4}+6 d_{2}^{2}\left(5 d_{3}^{2} d_{4}+5 d_{3} d_{4}\left(d_{4}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-6 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)-6 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(6 d_{3}^{2}+6 d_{4}^{2}+11 w_{1}^{2}+17 w_{1} w_{2}+11 w_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& +17 d_{3}\left(d_{4}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)+17 w_{1} w_{3}+17 w_{2} w_{3}+11 w_{3}^{2} \\
& \left.-17 d_{4}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)+d_{2}\left(11 d_{3}^{3} d_{4}+30 d_{3}^{2} d_{4}\left(d_{4}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& +102 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(-d_{4}+w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(11 d_{4}^{3}-102 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& -30 d_{4}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{4}\left(19 w_{1}^{2}+19 w_{2}^{2}+30 w_{2} w_{3}+19 w_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.\left.+30 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 7. Quadruple points for $\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{4}, 0$

Theorem 6.1. (Izumiya-Marar [27], cf. [66]) For a $C^{\infty}$ stable map $f: M^{2} \rightarrow N^{3}$, being $M$ compact without boundary, the Euler characteristic of the image singular surface satisfies the following formula:

$$
\chi(f(M))=\chi(M)+\frac{1}{2} \# C+\# T
$$

where $C$ and $T$ are the sets of crosscaps and of triple points in target, respectively.

Proof: Recall that in the source space $M$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}(f)=\text { the critical point set of } f \\
& A_{0}(f)=\text { the regular point set of } f \\
& A_{0}^{2}(f)=\left\{x \in A_{0}(f) \mid \exists x^{\prime} \in A_{0}(f), x^{\prime} \neq x, f(x)=f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& A_{0}^{3}(f)=\left\{x \in A_{0}(f) \mid \exists x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in A_{0}(f) \cap f^{-1} f(x), x, x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \text { distint }\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition, $A_{0}^{3} \subset A_{0}^{2} \subset A_{0}$ and the closure $\overline{A_{0}^{2}}=A_{0}^{2} \sqcup A_{1}$. Set

$$
A_{0}^{2 \circ}:=A_{0}^{2}-A_{0}^{3}, \quad A_{0}^{\circ}:=A_{0}-A_{0}^{2}
$$

and

$$
R:=f\left(A_{0}^{\circ}\right), \quad D:=f\left(A_{0}^{2 \circ}\right), \quad T:=f\left(A_{0}^{3}\right), \quad C:=f\left(A_{1}\right)
$$

then $f$ is stratified by

$$
M=A_{0}^{\circ} \sqcup A_{0}^{2 \circ} \sqcup A_{0}^{3} \sqcup A_{1} \xrightarrow{f} R \sqcup D \sqcup T \sqcup C=f(M) .
$$

Obviously,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{1}_{f(M)}=\mathbb{1}_{R}+\mathbb{1}_{D}+\mathbb{1}_{T}+\mathbb{1}_{C} \\
& \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{2}}}=\mathbb{1}_{A_{0}^{2 \circ}}+\mathbb{1}_{A_{0}^{3}}+\mathbb{1}_{A_{1}}, \\
& f_{*} \mathbb{1}_{M}=f_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A_{0}^{\circ}}+\mathbb{1}_{A_{0}^{2 \circ}}+\mathbb{1}_{A_{0}^{3}}+\mathbb{1}_{A_{1}}\right)=\mathbb{1}_{R}+2 \mathbb{1}_{D}+3 \mathbb{1}_{T}+\mathbb{1}_{C},
\end{aligned}
$$

and a simple computation shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}=f_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{M}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{2}}}-\frac{1}{6} \mathbb{1}_{A_{0}^{3}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{A_{1}}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take the integration of constructible functions:

$$
\chi(f(M))=\int_{N} \mathbb{1}_{f(M)}=\int_{M}\left(\mathbb{1}_{M}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{2}}}-\frac{1}{6} \mathbb{1}_{A_{0}^{3}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{A_{1}}\right) .
$$

Now we speak about real geometry: since $\overline{A_{0}^{2}}$ is a union of immersed curves whose double point set is just $A_{0}^{3}$, we have

$$
\chi\left(\overline{A_{0}^{2}}\right)+\chi\left(A_{0}^{3}\right)=\chi(\text { disjoint circles })=0
$$

Hence the integral is rewritten as follows:

$$
\chi(f(M))=\chi(M)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{6}\right) \cdot 3 \# T+\frac{1}{2} \# C=\chi(M)+\# T+\frac{1}{2} \# C .
$$

This competes the proof.
Notice that the above equality (1) is shown by using only the combinatorics of adjacencies of singularities, thus it is valid for complex singularities as well. From now on, let us switch into the complex case. We assume that $M, N$ are compact complex manifolds of dimension 2,3 , respectively, and $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a holomorphic map which admits only (mono/multi-)stable singularities (in other words, $f$ is a normalization of a singular surface in $N$ having ordinary singularities). Put

$$
\alpha_{\text {image }}:=\mathbb{1}_{M}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{2}}}-\frac{1}{6} \mathbb{1}_{A_{0}^{3}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{A_{1}} \in \mathcal{F}(M)
$$

and apply the CSM class transformation to the equality (1) ( $f$ is now proper), then we have

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}\right)=f_{*} C_{*}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right) .
$$

We think of this class in $H^{*}(N)$ via the Poincaré dual and omit the notation Dual. Note that

$$
\chi(f(M))=\int_{N} C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}\right)=\int_{N} f_{*} C_{*}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)=\int_{M} C_{*}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right) .
$$

Look at each term in

$$
C_{*}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)=C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{M}\right)-\frac{1}{2} C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{2}}}\right)-\frac{1}{6} C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A_{0}^{3}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A_{1}}\right) \quad \in H^{*}(M) .
$$

- the normalization of CSM class:

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{M}\right)=c(T M),
$$

- $A_{1}$-locus (crosscaps) is finite: It is given by $t p$ for $A_{1}(\kappa=1)$

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A_{1}}\right)=\left[A_{1}\right]=\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{1}\right)=c_{2}\left(=c_{2}\left(f^{*} T N-T M\right)\right),
$$

- Triple point locus in $M$ is also finite: It is given by $t p$ for $A_{0}^{3}$ ( $\kappa=1$ )

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A_{0}^{3}}\right)=\left[A_{0}^{3}\right]=\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{0}^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{0}^{2}-s_{1}-2 c_{1} s_{0}+2 c_{1}^{2}+2 c_{2}\right),
$$

- Double point curve $\overline{A_{0}^{2}}$ in $M$ : The dual to the CSM class consists of 1 and 2-dimensional components in cohomology $H^{*}(M)$. The first component is the fundamental class of the curve, thus it is given by $t p$ for $A_{0}^{2}(\kappa=1)$, while the second component corresponds to the Euler characteristics, which is easily computed using the fact that the curve has only nodes at $A_{0}^{3}$-points:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1} \overline{A_{0}^{2}}\right)=\left[\overline{A_{0}^{2}}\right]+\text { h.o.t }=\operatorname{tp}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)+\text { h.o.t } \\
& =\left(s_{0}-c_{1}\right)+\left\{c_{1}(T M)\left(s_{0}-c_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(-s_{0}^{2}-s_{1}+2 c_{1} s_{0}+2 c_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up those classes, we obtain a universal expression of complex version of the Izumiya-Marar formula:

Proposition 6.2. Given a stable map $f: M^{2} \rightarrow N^{3}$ of compact complex manifolds. Then it holds that

$$
\chi(f(M))=\frac{1}{6} \int_{M}\binom{3 c_{1}(T M) c_{1}+6 c_{2}(T M)-3 c_{1}(T M) s_{0}}{-c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}-2 c_{1} s_{0}+s_{0}^{2}+2 s_{1}}
$$

where $c_{i}=c_{i}\left(f^{*} T N-T M\right), s_{0}=f^{*} f_{*}(1), s_{1}=f^{*} f_{*}\left(c_{1}\right)$.
Example 6.3. (A classical formula of Enriques) Let $X$ be a projective surface of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ having only ordinary singularities, i.e., crosscap $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and normal crossings. Denote by $C$ the number of crosscaps, by $T$ the number of triple points, and by $\delta$ the degree of the double point curve of $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. Let us take a normalization of $X$; then we have a proper stable map $f: M \rightarrow N=\mathbb{P}^{3}$ so that $M$ is non-singular and the image is just the singular surface $X$ (cf. [46]). It follows from a classical formula of Enriques that the Chern numbers of $M$ are expressed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M} c_{1}(T M)^{2} & =d(d-4)^{2}-(3 d-16) \delta+3 T-C \\
\int_{M} c_{2}(T M) & =d\left(d^{2}-4 d+6\right)-(3 d-8) \delta+3 T-2 C
\end{aligned}
$$

and $f_{*} c_{1}(T M)=(d(4-d)+2 \delta) a^{2}$, where $a=c_{1}(\mathcal{O}(1))$ the divisor class (cf. [70]). Notice that these formulas are quite easily obtained from Thom polynomials: In fact,

$$
C a^{3}=f_{*} t p\left(A_{1}\right), \quad 3 T a^{3}=f_{*} t p\left(A_{0}^{3}\right), \quad 2 \delta a^{2}=f_{*} \operatorname{tp}\left(A_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

while the target Thom polynomials are written in Landweber-Novikov classes, hence their degrees are written by Chern numbers of $M$ and $d$; Therefore, the Chern numbers can be written by $C, T, \delta$ and $d$, that
recovers the above classical formulas. Now let us substitute the Chern numbers into the formula in Proposition 6.2, then we have

$$
\chi(X)=d\left(d^{2}-4 d+6\right)+2(2-d) \delta+T-\frac{3}{2} C .
$$

### 6.2. Image Chern class for stable maps

Universal expression of the Euler characteristics of the image in Proposition 6.2 should be given in a more general form for stable maps $f: M^{m} \rightarrow N^{m+1}(m \geq 1)$ between complex manifolds. In fact, our universal formula has a particularly well-structured form (Theorem 6.5 and Corollary 6.8 below).

Möbius inverse formula for the adjacency poset: Recall the adjacency relation of multi-singularities both in source and target: The diagram of source multi-singularities of $m$-to- $(m+1)$ maps is

where the arrow $\underline{\eta} \rightarrow \underline{\xi}$ means that $\underline{\xi}$ is contained the closure of $\underline{\eta}$. That makes the set of all multi-singularity types to be a poset (partially ordered set).

For a multi-singularity type $\underline{\eta}$ and a stable map $f: M \rightarrow N$, set

$$
\underline{\eta}^{\circ}(f):=\overline{\eta(f)}-\sqcup \underline{\xi}(f) \subset M
$$

where the union runs over all $\underline{\xi}(\neq \underline{\eta})$ with $\underline{\eta} \rightarrow \underline{\xi}$.
The stratum $\underline{\eta}^{\circ}(f)$ is mapped to its image $\bar{f}\left(\underline{\eta}^{\circ}(f)\right)$ as a $\operatorname{deg}_{1} \underline{\eta}$-toone covering, and the image does not depend on the order of entries of the tuple $\underline{\eta}$, e.g., $f\left(A_{0} A_{1}\right)^{\circ}(f)=f\left(A_{1} A_{0}\right)^{\circ}(f)$. Then the source $M$ breaks into the disjoint union of strata $\underline{\eta}^{\circ}(f)$ and the target $N$ is decomposed into the corresponding image strata, that is, $f: M \rightarrow N$ is stratified by those locally closed multi-singularity loci in source and target.

Then the constant function $\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}$ of the stable image is written by the sum of $f_{*} \mathbb{1}_{\underline{\eta}^{\circ}(f)}$ with some rational coefficients. Therefore, by the exclusion-inclusion principle, the Möbius inverse formula for this poset expresses the function $\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}$ by the pushforward via $f_{*}$ of a certain linear combination of constant functions of the closure $\overline{\underline{\eta}(f)}\left(=\overline{\eta^{\circ}(f)}\right)$ with rational coefficients. Namely, extending the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 1 to more general case involving strata of higher
codimension, we obtain a constructible function on the source space $M$ having a generalized form of (1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\text {image }}=\mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}}} & -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{2}}}-\frac{1}{6} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{3}}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1}}} \\
& -\frac{1}{12} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{4}}}+\frac{1}{6} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0} A_{1}}}-\frac{1}{3} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1} A_{0}}}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
f_{*}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)=\mathbb{1}_{f(M)} .
$$

Notice that this constructible function depends only on the classification of stable multi-singularities.

Definition 6.4. We call the CSM class

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}\right)=f_{*} C_{*}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right) \in H^{*}(N)
$$

the image Chern class of stable maps $f: M \rightarrow N$.
For Morin maps $M^{m} \rightarrow N^{m+1}$, that is, stable maps having only corank one singularities, the local structures of $A_{\mu}$ and their multisingularities are well-understood, e.g., stable maps with $m \leq 5$ are Morin maps (cf. $[10,31]$ ). In that case we can prove the following theorem the key point here is again the property of the Segre-SM class for the transverse pullback in Proposition 3.8. Conjecturally the theorem would hold for any dimension and for any stable maps, that is, there must be the Segre-SM class version of Theorem 4.16, see Remark 6.4.

Theorem 6.5. There is a polynomial $\operatorname{tp}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)$ in the quotient Chern class $c_{i}=c_{i}\left(f^{*} T N-T M\right)$ and the Landweber-Noviknov class $s_{I}=f^{*} f_{*}\left(c^{I}\right)$ so that

$$
C_{*}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)=c(T M) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right) \quad \in H^{*}(M)
$$

for any proper stable maps $M^{m} \rightarrow N^{m+1}(m \leq 5)$ : The low degree terms are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)= & 1+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{1}-s_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left(s_{0}^{2}+2 s_{1}-2 c_{1} s_{0}-c_{1}^{2}-c_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{24}\binom{2 c_{1}^{3}-10 c_{1} c_{2}+2 c_{1}^{2} s_{0}+2 c_{2} s_{0}+3 c_{1} s_{0}^{2}}{-s_{0}^{3}+14 s_{01}+5 c_{1} s_{1}-5 s_{0} s_{1}-6 s_{2}} \\
& +\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6.6. Note that for a stable map $f: M \rightarrow N$,

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)=s^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}, M\right) \in H^{*}(M) .
$$

The above theorem implies that the Segre-SM class of the image $f(M)$ in the target space

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}\right):=s^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}, N\right) \quad \in H^{*}(N)
$$

is universally expressed in terms of the Landweber-Novikov classes $s_{I}(f)$. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}\right) & =c(T N)^{-1} C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}\right) \\
& =c(T N)^{-1} C_{*} f_{*}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right) \\
& =c(T N)^{-1} f_{*} C_{*}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right) \\
& =c(T N)^{-1} f_{*}\left(c(T M) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)\right) \\
& =f_{*}\left(c(f)^{-1} \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}\right)= & s_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{0}^{2}+s_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left(s_{0}^{3}-7 s_{01}+3 s_{0} s_{1}+2 s_{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{24}\binom{s_{0}^{4}+6 s_{0}^{2} s_{1}-28 s_{0} s_{01}+8 s_{0} s_{2}}{+24 s_{001}+3 s_{1}^{2}-30 s_{11}+6 s_{3}}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}\right)=c(T N) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}\right) \in H^{*}(N)
$$

is written in the target Chern class $c_{i}(T N)$ and the Landweber-Novikov classes.

Definition 6.7. We call the universal Segre-SM classes $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}$ ( $\alpha_{\text {image }}$ ) and $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\text {image }}\right)$ the source and target higher Thom polynomials for the image of stable maps, respectively.

In particular we obtain a more general statement of Proposition 6.2:
Corollary 6.8. The Euler characteristic of the image of $f: M^{m} \rightarrow$ $N^{m+1}$ is expressed by

$$
\chi(f(M))=\int_{M} c(T M) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)=\int_{N} c(T N) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}\right) .
$$

Remark 6.9. We emphasize that the above image Euler number formula (Corolloary 6.8) has a particularly well-structured form. The second degree term of $c(T M) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)$ is just the Euler characteristic of the image of stable maps from a surface into 3 -fold, that is exactly Proposition 6.2, and the third degree term expresses the Euler characteristic of the image of stable maps from 3 -fold into 4 -fold, ... and so on. Classically, those invariants were separately considered, but they are in fact mutually related in a very convenient way.

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right) & =t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{M}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1} \overline{A_{0}^{2}}-\frac{1}{6} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{3}}}+\cdots\right) \\
& =1-\frac{1}{2} t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{0}^{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{6} t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{0}^{3}}\right)+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, to obtain the explicit form of $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)$ in Theorem 6.5, we compute the Segre-SM classes $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}$ for the closure of individual singularity types

$$
\mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{2}}}, \quad \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{3}}}, \quad \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1}}}, \quad \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{4}}}, \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0} A_{1}}}, \quad \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1} A_{0}}}, \cdots
$$

They are polynomials in $c_{i}$ and $s_{I}$, which are in Table 8 up to degree 3. To get them, the method in $\S 4.3$ is effective, see Example 6.11. The locus of some singularity type in the source and target might be non-reduced, but the CSM class depends only on the underlying reduced scheme by definition.

As a byproduct, other type image Chern classes, e.g., $C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{f\left(A_{0}^{k}(f)\right)}}\right)$ of the $k$-th multiple point locus in target, $C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\overline{f\left(A_{1}(f)\right)}}\right)$ of the singular value set,.. etc are also obtained in entirely the same way.

For instance, there is a constructible function $\alpha_{\text {image }}(2)$ on the source

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{\text {image }}(2)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{2}}}-\frac{1}{6} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0}^{3}}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1}}} \\
&-\frac{1}{12} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1}^{4}}}+\frac{1}{6} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{0} A_{1}}}-\frac{1}{3} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1} A_{0}}}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
f_{*}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}(2)\right)=\mathbb{1}_{\overline{f\left(A_{0}^{2}(f)\right)}}
$$

Hence we have the following theorem:
Theorem 6.10. The CSM class of the double point locus in the target manifold, $\overline{f\left(A_{0}^{2}(f)\right)} \subset N$, of stable maps $f: M^{m} \rightarrow N^{m+1}$ is universally expressed by

$$
C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1} \frac{f\left(A_{0}^{2}(f)\right)}{}\right)=f_{*}\left(c(T M) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{image}}(2)\right)\right) \quad \in H^{*}(N)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{0}^{2}}\right)= & \left(s_{0}-c_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(2 c_{2}+2 c_{1} s_{0}-s_{0}^{2}-s_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left(12 c_{1} c_{2}-3 c_{1} s_{0}^{2}-3 c_{1} s_{1}-6 c_{2} s_{0}+6 c_{3}+s_{0}^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+3 s_{0} s_{1}-7 s_{01}+2 s_{2}\right)+\cdots \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{0}^{3}}\right)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(2 c_{1}^{2}-2 c_{1} s_{0}+2 c_{2}+s_{0}^{2}-s_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(-6 c_{1}^{2} s_{0}\right. \\
& \left.-18 c_{1} c_{2}+6 c_{1} s_{0}^{2}-18 c_{3}-2 s_{0}^{3}+5 s_{01}+2 s_{2}\right)+\cdots \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{1}}\right)= & c_{2}-\left(c_{1} c_{2}+c_{3}\right)+\cdots \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{0}^{4}}\right)= & \frac{1}{6}\left(-6 c_{1}^{3}+6 c_{1}^{2} s_{0}-18 c_{1} c_{2}-3 c_{1} s_{0}^{2}+3 c_{1} s_{1}+6 c_{2} s_{0}\right. \\
& \left.-12 c_{3}+s_{0}^{3}-3 s_{0} s_{1}+2 s_{01}+2 s_{2}\right)+\cdots \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{0} A_{1}}\right)= & \left(s_{01}-2 c_{1} c_{2}-2 c_{3}\right)+\cdots \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{1} A_{0}}\right)= & \left(s_{0} c_{2}-2 c_{1} c_{2}-2 c_{3}\right)+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 8. Universal SSM class for the closure of several singularity types in case of $\kappa=1$.
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}(2)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{0}-c_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(-c_{1}^{2}+5 c_{2}+4 c_{1} s_{0}-2 s_{0}^{2}-s_{1}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{24}\binom{2 c_{1}^{3}+38 c_{1} c_{2}+24 c_{3}+2 c_{1}^{2} s_{0}-22 c_{2} s_{0}-9 c_{1} s_{0}^{2}}{+3 s_{0}^{3}-14 s_{01}-7 c_{1} s_{1}+7 s_{0} s_{1}+2 s_{2}}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, the Euler characteristics is given by

$$
\chi\left(\overline{f\left(A_{0}^{2}(f)\right)}\right)=\int_{M} c(T M) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}(2)\right)
$$

Example 6.11. To compute the universal SSM classes, the way described in $\S 4.3$ for mono-singularity types works also for multi-singularity types. As an example, let us compute the third degree term $t p_{3}^{\text {SM }}\left(\overline{A_{0}^{2}}\right)$ of $c_{i}$ and $s_{I}$ for the double point locus of stable maps with codimension $\kappa=1$. There are 11 unknown coefficients, and all of them are determined by restricting it to mono/multi-singularity types of codimension 3 in the source space. For instance, we shall seek for the restriction equation at each of types $A_{0} A_{1}$ and $A_{1} A_{0}$ for 3 -to- 4 maps. Take the pair $f=f_{1} \coprod f_{2}$ of germs with the same target $\mathbb{C}^{4}$

$$
f_{1}:(x, y, z) \mapsto\left(x, y^{2}, x y, z\right), \quad f_{2}:(u, v, w) \mapsto(u, v, w, 0)
$$

where $f_{1}$ is of type $A_{1} A_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ is of type $A_{0} A_{1}$. The 3 -dimensional torus $T=\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{3}$ acts on the sources of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ via the following representations $\rho_{0}^{(1)}$ and $\rho_{0}^{(2)}$, respectively, and on the common target via $\rho_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\rho_{0}^{(1)}=\alpha \oplus \beta \oplus \gamma, \quad & \rho_{1}=\alpha \oplus \beta^{2} \oplus \alpha \beta \oplus \gamma \\
\rho_{0}^{(2)}=\alpha \oplus \beta^{2} \oplus \alpha \beta, & (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in T
\end{array}
$$

Hence the quotient Chern classes of universal maps for $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are

$$
c\left(f_{1}\right)=1+(a+2 b)+a b-a b^{2}, \quad c\left(f_{2}\right)=1+c \quad \in H^{*}(B T)
$$

where $a, b, c$ are the first Chern classes for standard representations $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$. Also Landweber-Novikov classes are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}(f)=f_{1 *}(1)+f_{2 *}(1)=2(a+b)+c \\
& s_{1}(f)=f_{1 *}\left(c_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)\right)+f_{2 *}\left(c_{1}\left(f_{2}\right)\right)=2(a+b)(a+2 b)+c^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on. Note that in the $x y z$-space, the $\overline{A_{0}^{2}}$-locus is the union of two planes $x=0$ and $z=0$, while in the uvw-space, the locus is just the crosscap $u^{2} v=w^{2}$. Then, the SSM class for $\overline{A_{0}^{2}}$ applied to the universal map $f_{1}$ is given by

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{0}^{2}}\right)\left(f_{1}\right)=\frac{a}{1+a}+\frac{c}{1+c}-\frac{a c}{(1+a)(1+c)}
$$

using the exclusion-inclusion of SSM classes: the plane $x=0$ plus the plane $z=0$ minus the $y$-axis (For the plane $x=0$, the corresponding normal Chern class is $1+a$, hence the SSM class in the ambient space is $\left.a(1+a)^{-1}\right)$. This is the restriction equation at $A_{1} A_{0}$. The SSM class applied to the universal map $f_{2}$ is actually the target SSM class for the image of

$$
A_{1}:(x, y) \mapsto(u, v, w)=\left(x, y^{2}, x y\right)
$$

Since we have already known that

$$
t p_{3}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\text {image }}\right)=\frac{1}{6}\left(s_{0}^{3}-7 s_{01}+3 s_{0} s_{1}+2 s_{2}\right)
$$

(Proposition 6.2), the restriction equation at $A_{0} A_{1}$ is obtained by

$$
t p_{3}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{A_{0}^{2}}\right)\left(f_{2}\right)=t p_{3}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\text {image }}\right)\left(f_{1}\right)=(a+b)\left(4 a^{2}+9 a b+8 b^{2}\right)
$$



Fig. 10. Cuspidal edge $\left(A_{2}\right)$, swallowtail $\left(A_{3}\right)$ and stable multi-singularity loci in the target space of 3 -to- 3 maps

### 6.3. Discriminant Chern class for stable maps

Let us consider the case of $m \geq n$ and the discriminant of proper stable maps $f: M \rightarrow N$

$$
D(f):=\overline{f\left(A_{1}(f)\right)}
$$

Definition 6.12. We call $C_{*}\left(\mathbb{1}_{D(f)}\right) \in H^{*}(N)$ the discriminant Chern class of $f$.

For simplicity, we deal with the equidimensional case $m=n$ below. Stable singularities of codimension up to 3 are $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{1}^{2}, A_{1} A_{2}$, $A_{2} A_{1}, A_{1}^{3}$ (Fig. 10).

The same procedure as in the case of image can be applied to the case of discriminant: There exists a constructible function on $M$

$$
\alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}:=\mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1}}}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1}^{2}}}-\frac{1}{6} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{1}^{3}}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}_{\overline{A_{3}}}+\cdots \in \mathcal{F}(M)
$$

so that

$$
f_{*} \alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}=\mathbb{1}_{D(f)} .
$$

Since the local structures of $A_{k}$-singularities and $\mathcal{K}$-orbits in $\Sigma^{2}$ are wellunderstood, this constructible function can be explicitly written down up to a certain codimension. We can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 6.13. There is a polynomial $\operatorname{tp}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {dis }}\right)$ in the quotient Chern class $c_{i}$ and the Landweber-Novkov class $s_{I}$ so that

$$
C_{*}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}\right)=c(T M) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}\right) \in H^{*}(M)
$$

for proper stable maps $f: M^{n} \rightarrow N^{n}$ in low dimension $(n<9)$. In fact, the low degree terms are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}\right)= & c_{1}+\frac{1}{6}\left(6 c_{1}^{2}+6 c_{2}-3 c_{1} s_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\binom{c_{1}^{3}+11 c_{1} c_{2}+6 c_{3}-2 c_{1} s_{01}-5 c_{1}^{2} s_{1}}{-4 c_{2} s_{1}+c_{1} s_{1}^{2}+2 c_{1} s_{2}}+\text { h.o.t. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6.14. We denote by $t^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{D(f)}\right)$ the universal Segre-SM class for the discriminant $D(f)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{D(f)}\right): & =c(T N)^{-1} C_{*}(D(f)) \\
= & c(T N)^{-1} f_{*} C_{*}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}\right) \\
= & f_{*}\left(c(f)^{-1} \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}\right)\right) \\
= & s_{1}+\left(s_{01}-\frac{1}{2} s_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(s_{001}-s_{01} s_{1}+\frac{1}{6} s_{1}^{3}-\frac{1}{6} s_{11}+\frac{1}{6} s_{3}\right)+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 6.15. The Euler characteristics of the discriminant of a proper stable map is universally expressed by

$$
\chi(D(f))=\int_{M} c(T M) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}\right)=\int_{N} c(T N) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{D(f)}\right)
$$

A reduced divisor $D$ in a complex manifold $N$ is called to be free (in the sense of Kyoji Saito) if the sheaf of germs of logarithmic vector fields $\operatorname{Der}_{N}(-\log D)$ is locally free. As for the CSM class of a free divisor $D$, the following equality was conjectured by P. Aluffi, and was recently proved by X. Liao [34]:

Theorem 6.16 (CSM class of free divisors [34]). If $D$ is locally quasi-homogeneous (i.e., at each point, there is a weighted homogeneous defining equation in some local coordinates), it holds that

$$
c^{\mathrm{SM}}(N-D)=c\left(\operatorname{Der}_{N}(-\log D)\right) \quad \in H^{*}(N)
$$

(in fact, the condition can be more weakened).
In our case, it is known that the discriminant $D(f)$ of a stable map $f: M \rightarrow N$ in Mather's nice dimension is a free divisor in $N$ which is locally quasi-homogeneous. We have seen that the CSM class of $D=$ $D(f)$ in the ambient space $N$ is expressed using our target universal Segre-SM class:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{\mathrm{SM}}(N-D) & =c^{\mathrm{SM}}(N)-c^{\mathrm{SM}}(D(f)) \\
& =c(T N)\left(1-t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{D(f)}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the Chern class $c\left(\operatorname{Der}_{N}(-\log D(f))\right.$ is universally expressed in terms of $s_{I}$ and $c(T N)$. Namely, the meaning of our discriminant SSM class (written in $s_{I}$ ) becomes clearer:

Corollary 6.17. The discriminant SSM class for proper stable maps is exactly the same as the quotient Chern class for the sheaf of logarithmic vector fields and that of ambient vector fields of the target manifold, without the constant 1 :

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{D(f)}\right)=1-c\left(\operatorname{Der}_{N}(-\log D(f))-T N\right)
$$

### 6.4. Generating function of multi-singularty SSM classes

A better treatment of the universal SSM class for multi-singularities of stable maps may be as follows. This is due to a communication with M. Kazarian. Actually, this is parallel to his argument on multisingularity Thom polynomials.

Let $\underline{\eta}=\left(\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{r}\right)$ be a multi-singularity type. Let $|\operatorname{Aut}(\underline{\eta})|$ denote the number of permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{r}$ preserving the types of entries, $\eta_{\sigma(i)}=\eta_{i}(1 \leq i \leq r)$, that is, if $\underline{\eta}$ consists of $k_{i}$ copies of mutually distinct mono-singularities, then $|A \overline{u t}(\underline{\eta})|=k_{1}!\cdots k_{s}!$. Hence, in particular, $\operatorname{deg}_{1} \underline{\eta} \cdot\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\eta_{2}, \cdots, \eta_{r}\right)\right|=|\operatorname{Aut}(\bar{\eta})|$.

For a stable map $f: M \rightarrow N$, let $\bar{M}(\underline{\eta})(f)$ denote the closure of the locus of points $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}, y\right) \in M^{r} \times N$ so that $f\left(x_{1}\right)=\cdots=f\left(x_{r}\right)=y$, $x_{i} \neq x_{j}(i \neq j)$ and $f$ at $x_{i}$ is of type $\eta_{i}$. Put

$$
p_{1}: M^{r} \times N \rightarrow M, p^{\prime}: M^{r} \times N \rightarrow N
$$

the projection to the first and the last factors, respectively. Then the source and target multi-singularity constructible functions are defined by

$$
\alpha_{\underline{\eta}}:=p_{1 *} \mathbb{1}_{M(\underline{\eta})(f)} \in \mathcal{F}(M), \quad \beta_{\underline{\eta}}:=p_{*}^{\prime} \mathbb{1}_{M(\underline{\eta})(f)} \in \mathcal{F}(N) .
$$

It holds that $f_{*} \alpha_{\underline{\eta}}=\beta_{\underline{\eta}}$.
The supports of $\alpha_{\underline{\eta}}$ and $\beta_{\underline{\eta}}$ are the $\underline{\eta}$-singular locus $\overline{\eta(f)} \subset M$ and its image $f(\overline{\eta(f)}) \subset \bar{N}$, respectively: those functions take the values $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\eta_{2}, \cdots, \eta_{r}\right)\right|$ and $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{r}\right)\right|$ on the open parts of their supports, but may take several different values on the boundary strata. The image constant function $\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}$ (resp. $\alpha_{\text {image }}$ ) is written by a linear combination with rational coefficients of $\beta_{\underline{\xi}}$ (resp. $\alpha_{\underline{\underline{\xi}}}$ ) among multisingularity types $\underline{\xi}$ adjacent to $\underline{\eta}$, for instance,

$$
\mathbb{1}_{f(M)}=\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\underline{\eta})|} \cdot \beta_{\underline{\eta}}+\sum_{\text {boudary }} b_{\underline{\xi}} \cdot \beta_{\underline{\xi}}
$$

for some $b_{\underline{\xi}} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We conjecture the existence of source and target universal SegreSM classes for multi-singularity constructible functions, that generalizes simultaneously Theorem 4.4 on $t p^{S M}$ for mono-singularities and Theorem 4.16 on $t p$ of multi-singularities. In some particular cases of low dimension, Thereoms 6.5 and 6.13 support that the conjecture is true.

Conjecture 6.18. For any stable multi-singularity type $\eta$ in relative codimension $\kappa$, there exist power series $\operatorname{tp}^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\underline{\eta}}\right)$ and tp ${ }^{\overline{\mathrm{SM}}}\left(\beta_{\underline{\eta}}\right)$ in quotient Chern classes $c_{i}\left(=c_{i}(f)\right)$ and the Landweber-Novikov clāsses $s_{I}$ such that for any stable maps $f: M \rightarrow N$ of relative codimension $\kappa$ it holds that

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\underline{\eta}}\right)=c(T M)^{-1} C_{*}\left(\alpha_{\underline{\eta}}\right), \quad t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\beta_{\underline{\eta}}\right)=c(T N)^{-1} C_{*}\left(\beta_{\underline{\underline{\eta}}}\right)
$$

in $H^{*}(M)$ and $H^{*}(N)$ respectively.
There two universal multi-singularity universal SSM classes are related in the following form by the naturality of $C_{*}$ : We define

$$
\rho: H^{*}(M) \rightarrow H^{*}(N), \quad \rho(\omega)=f_{*}\left(c(f)^{-1} \cdot \omega\right)
$$

and then it holds that

$$
\rho\left(t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\underline{\eta}}\right)\right)=t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\beta_{\underline{\eta}}\right) .
$$

The conjecture implies a remarkable property that these universal series admit a very particular form; That is parallel to the argument on $t p$ for multi-singularities in $[29, \S 3]$ and $[30, \S 2.6]$. For each stable multisingularity type $\underline{\eta}$, let $R_{\underline{\eta}}$ be the polynomial in quotient Chern classes $c_{i}=c_{i}(f)$ so that

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\underline{\eta}}\right)=R_{\underline{\eta}}+\text { terms containing } f^{*} s_{I}
$$

We call $R_{\underline{\eta}}$ the residual polynomial of $\underline{\eta}$. Recall that the SSM class has a natural property for transverse pullback (Proposition 3.8). Then the same argument as in $[29, \S 3]$ shows that there is a universal recursive relation

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\underline{\eta}}\right)=R_{\underline{\eta}}+\sum_{I} R_{\underline{\eta}_{I}} f^{*} \rho\left(t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\underline{\eta}_{J}}\right)\right)
$$

where the sum is taken over all proper subset $I \subset\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$ containing the element 1 and $J=[r]-I \neq \emptyset$. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\eta_{1}}\right)= & R_{\eta_{1}}=t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\overline{\eta_{1}}\right) \quad \text { (This is Theorem 4.4) } \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\beta_{\eta_{1}}\right)= & \rho\left(R_{\eta_{1}}\right) \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}}\right)= & R_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}}+R_{\eta_{1}} \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{2}}\right), \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\beta_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}}\right)= & \rho\left(R_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}}\right)+\rho\left(R_{\eta_{1}}\right) \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{2}}\right), \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}}\right)= & R_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}}+R_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}} \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{3}}\right)+R_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{3}} \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{2}}\right) \\
& +R_{\eta_{1}} \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}}\right)+R_{\eta_{1}} \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{2}}\right) \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{3}}\right), \\
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\beta_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}}\right)= & \rho\left(R_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}}\right)+\rho\left(R_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}}\right) \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{3}}\right)+\rho\left(R_{\eta_{1}, \eta_{3}}\right) \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{2}}\right) \\
& +\rho\left(R_{\eta_{1}}\right) \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}}\right)+\rho\left(R_{\eta_{1}}\right) \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{2}}\right) \cdot \rho\left(R_{\eta_{3}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, this recursive relation provides an exponential generating function formula for those universal SSM classes. For a mono-singuarity type $\eta$, we take a distinguished variable $t_{\eta}$. For a multi-singularity type $\underline{\eta}=\left(\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{r}\right)$, put $t \underline{\eta}=t_{\eta_{1}} \cdots t_{\eta_{r}}$ (If we denote by $\xi_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots \xi_{s}^{k_{s}}$ the entries in $\underline{\eta}$ (i.e., forgetting the order), then $t \underline{\eta}=t_{\xi_{1}}^{k_{1}} \cdots t_{\xi_{s}}^{k_{s}}$ and $\left.|A u t(\underline{\eta})|=k_{1}!\cdots k_{s}!\right)$. Define the generating function of target SegreSM classes of all stable multi-singularity types

$$
\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{SM}}:=1+\sum_{\underline{\eta}} t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\beta_{\underline{\underline{\eta}}}\right) \cdot \frac{t \underline{\eta}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\underline{\eta})|},
$$

then by the above recursive relation we have

$$
\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{SM}}=\exp \left(\sum_{\underline{\eta}} \rho\left(R_{\underline{\eta}}\right) \cdot \frac{t \underline{\eta}}{|A u t(\underline{\eta})|}\right)
$$

### 6.5. Computing the image and discriminant Milnor numbers

We have seen in $\S 5$ an application of Thom polynomials $t p$ to the problem on counting stable (multi-)singularities in generic deformation. Now we shall go on the same direction, but apply our higher Thom polynomial $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}$.

Image Milnor number: Consider an $\mathcal{A}$-finitely determined weighted homogeneous map-germ $f: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m+1}, 0$ which is not equivalent to any trivial unfolding of map-germ of smaller dimensions. Take a stable
unfolding $F$ of $f$ :


The image hypersurfaces of $f$ and $F$ relate as $\operatorname{Im}(f)=\iota_{0}^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}(F))$. Take a generic (non-equivariant) section $\iota_{t}$, which yields a stable perturbation $f_{t}$ of $f_{0}=f$. Our interest is to compute the vanishing Euler characteristics of the section.

Definition 6.19. $\mu_{I}(f):=(-1)^{m}\left(\chi\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{t}\right)\right)-1\right)$.
It was shown by D. Mond [42, 49, 50] that the singular Milnor fiber $\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{t}\right)$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of $m$-spheres, so the vanishing Euler number $\mu_{I}(f)$ is equal to the middle Betti number of the singular Milnor fiber, called the image Milnor number of $f$. In case of $m=1,2$, it is proved that

$$
\mu_{I}(f) \geq \mathcal{A}_{e^{-}-\operatorname{codim}(f)}
$$

and the equality holds if $f$ is weighted homogeneous. The Mond conjecture claims that the same is true for any $m$ for which the pair $(m, m+1)$ is in Mather's nice dimensions, that has been unproven yet.

Not only the image $\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{t}\right)$ but also the $k$-th multiple point locus $f_{t}\left(\overline{A_{0}^{k}\left(f_{t}\right)}\right)$ in target has the same property about the homotopy type: The $k$-th image Milnor number $\mu_{I_{k}}$ of $f$ is defined in Houston [26] (of course, $\mu_{I}=\mu_{I_{1}}$ ).

Our strategy is the same as in $\S 5$ : Using the natural torus action, we deal with a global setting of universal maps associated to the above diagram of map-germs: we have the diagram of universal maps over $B T=\mathbb{P}^{N}(N \gg 0)$ where $T=\mathbb{C}^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E_{0} & \xrightarrow{f} & E_{1} \\
i_{0} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_{0} \\
E_{0} \oplus E^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{F} & E_{1} \oplus E^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Put $M=E_{0}, N=E_{1}$ the total spaces of source and target of the universal map for the original germ. A perturbation $\iota_{t}$ of $\iota_{0}$ is transverse to the image variety of the universal stable map $F$, which produces a stable perturbation $f_{t}: M \rightarrow N$.

By Proposition 3.8 (the property of our Segre-SM class for transversal pullback) and $\iota_{0}^{*}=\iota_{t}^{*}$,

$$
t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f_{t}(M)}\right)=\iota_{0}^{*} t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\operatorname{Im}(F))
$$

which is thought of as the specialization of $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}(\operatorname{Im}(F))$ via $\iota_{0}$. Note that

$$
c(F)=c(f)=c\left(E_{1}-E_{0}\right) \in H^{*}(B T)\left(=H^{*}\left(E_{0}\right)=H^{*}\left(E_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Then Theorem 6.5 (or Remark 6.6) shows that by the naturality of CSM classes

$$
c\left(E_{1}\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f_{t}(M)}\right)=f_{*}\left(c\left(E_{0}\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)\right) .
$$

On the other hand, the general slice of the image variety $f_{t}(M)$ via a fiber of the projection $N=E_{1} \rightarrow B T$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{t}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ in the local setting and

$$
\chi\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{t}\right)\right)=\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} \mathbb{1}_{\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{t}\right)}=\int_{\mathbb{C}^{m}} \alpha_{\text {image }}\left(f_{t}\right) .
$$

By a similar argument of the proof of (2) in Theorem 3.13, we see that the $n$-dimensional component (some multiple of $a^{n}$ )

$$
\left[c\left(E_{1}\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f_{t}(M)}\right)\right]_{n} \in H^{2 n}(B T)
$$

is equal to the top Chern class $c_{n}\left(E_{1}\right)$ multiplied by the Euler number $\chi\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{t}\right)\right)$. In fact, the above arguments can properly be stated in the $T$-equivariant setting: we then appeal to the Verdier specialization via $\iota_{0}$ and the Atiyah-Bott localization to the fixed point 0 of $T$-equivariant CSM classes $C_{*}^{T}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}(F)\right)$ and $C_{*}^{T}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\text {Image }(F)}\right)$.

Consequently, we have
Theorem 6.20. The following formula holds:

$$
\chi\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{t}\right)\right)=\frac{\left[c\left(E_{1}\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{f_{t}(M)}\right)\right]_{n}}{c_{n}\left(E_{1}\right)}=\frac{\left[c\left(E_{0}\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)\right]_{m}}{c_{m}\left(E_{0}\right)}
$$

where the notation in numerators $[\omega]_{n}$ means the coefficient of $a^{n}$ in $\omega \in H^{*}(B T)=\mathbb{Q}[[a]]$, and the denominators mean the products of weights and degrees: $c_{m}\left(E_{0}\right)=w_{1} \cdots w_{m} a^{m}$ and $c_{n}\left(E_{1}\right)=d_{1} \cdots d_{n} a^{n}$. In particular, this formula enables us to compute the image Milnor number $\mu_{I}\left(f_{0}\right)$.

Notice that our formula above is valid for weighted homogeneous $\mathcal{A}$-finite germs with any corank. Comparing the above theorem with Thereom 5.3 , their similarity is clear.

In the following examples, we compute the image Milnor number $m$-to- $(m+1)$ map-germs. Recall that for stable maps in relative codimension one, there is a unique universal Segre-SM class $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)$ for the image of maps (Theorem 6.5).

Example 6.21. $(m, n)=(2,3)$ : For weighted homogeneous mapgerms $\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}, 0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
c\left(f_{\eta}\right)=\frac{\left(1+d_{1} a\right)\left(1+d_{2} a\right)\left(1+d_{3} a\right)}{\left(1+w_{1} a\right)\left(1+w_{2} a\right)}, \\
s_{0}=f_{\eta *}(1)=\frac{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}{w_{1} w_{2}} a, s_{I}=f_{\eta *}\left(c^{I}\right)=c^{I} s_{0}, \\
C_{*}^{T}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)=\left(1+w_{1} a\right)\left(1+w_{2} a\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)\left(f_{0}\right), \\
c_{\text {top }}\left(E_{0}\right)=w_{1} w_{2} a^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Our computation on $\mu_{I}$ is straightforward like Example 5.8. We have the following result, which completely coincides with D. Mond's computation [48], the methods are quite different, though.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{I}=-1+\left[\frac{1}{w_{1} w_{2}}\left(1+w_{1} a\right)\left(1+w_{2} a\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)\left(f_{0}\right)\right]_{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{6 w_{1}^{3} w_{2}^{3}}\left(d_{1}^{2}\left(d_{2}^{2} d_{3}^{2}-w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2}\right)-w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2}\left\{d_{2}^{2}+d_{3}^{2}+5 w_{1}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +9 w_{1} w_{2}+5 w_{2}^{2}-6 d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)+3 d_{2}\left(d_{3}-2\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \left.-3 d_{1} w_{1} w_{2}\left\{w_{1} w_{2}\left(d_{3}-2\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)\right)+d_{2}\left(w_{1} w_{2}+d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)\right)\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 6.22. $(m, n)=(3,4)$ : For weighted homogeneous mapgerms $\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{4}, 0$, the image Milnor numbers $\mu_{I}$ and $\mu_{I_{2}}$ are given in the following Tables 9 and 10.

For corank one map-germs $\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{4}, 0$, take weights $w_{0}, w_{1}, w_{2}$ and degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}=w_{1}, d_{4}=w_{2}$, then we obtain a new general formula for corank one germs:

$$
\mu_{I}=\frac{\left(w_{0}-d_{1}\right)\left(w_{0}-d_{2}\right)}{24 w_{0}^{4} w_{1} w_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
d_{1}^{2}\left(d_{2}^{2}+3 d_{2} w_{0}+2 w_{0}^{2}\right) \\
+d_{1} w_{0}\left(3 d_{2}^{2}-d_{2}\left(19 w_{0}+4\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.+2 w_{0}\left(w_{0}-2\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
+2 w_{0}^{2}\left(d_{2}^{2}+d_{2}\left(w_{0}-2\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
\left.+2\left(5 w_{0}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)+3 w_{1} w_{2}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

The classification of $\mathcal{A}$-simple germs of corank one can be seen in [26], and it is checked that for weighted homogeneous germs appearing in the list, our formulas above recover the same answers on image Milnor numbers as computed in [26]. For instance,

$$
Q_{k}:\left(x, y, x z+y z^{2}, z^{3}+y^{k} z\right)
$$

has weights $(k, 2, k+2)$ and degrees $(k+2,2,2 k+2,3 k)$, and the above formula gives $\mu_{I}=k$ and $\mu_{I_{2}}=0$.

Some examples of corank 2 germs of $\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{4}, 0$ are recently considered in [1] in a completely different approach. It would be nice to compare the computations: As a test, let us take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{A}_{k}:\left(x, y^{k}+x z+x^{2 k-2} y, y z, z^{2}+y^{2 k-1}\right) \\
& \hat{B}_{2 k+1}:\left(x, y^{2}+x z, x^{2}+x y, y^{2 k+1}+y^{2 k-1} z^{2}+z^{2 k+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Those are $\mathcal{A}$-finite germs, and weights and degrees are ( $1,2,2 k-1$ ) and $(1,2 k, 2 k+1,2(2 k-1))$ for $\hat{A}_{k}$, and $(1,1,1)$ and $(1,2,2,2 k+1)$ for $\hat{B}_{2 k+1}$. Our formula gives the answer in Table 11.

For another example,

$$
\left(x^{2}+z^{\ell} y, y^{2}-z^{\ell} x, x^{3}+x^{2} y+x y^{2}-y^{3}, z\right)
$$

we have $\mu_{I}=45 \ell-12$ which coincides with [1, Prop.4.4, 4.6].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{I}=1-\left[\frac{\left(1+w_{1} a\right)\left(1+w_{2} a\right)\left(1+w_{3} a\right)}{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}} \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}\right)\left(f_{0}\right)\right]_{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{24 w_{1}^{4} w_{2}^{4} w_{3}^{4}}\left(d_{1}^{3}\left(d_{2}^{3} d_{3}^{3} d_{4}^{3}+2 d_{2}^{2} d_{3}^{2} d_{4}^{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-d_{2} d_{3} d_{4} w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}-2 w_{1}^{3} w_{2}^{3} w_{3}^{3}\right)\right. \\
& +2 d_{1}^{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(d_{2}^{3} d_{3}^{2} d_{4}^{2}+2\left(d_{3}+d_{4}\right) w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}+d_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& \left(-9 d_{3}^{2} d_{4}+2 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}+9 d_{3} d_{4}\left(-d_{4}+w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right) \\
& \left.+d_{2}^{2} d_{3} d_{4}\left(d_{3}^{2} d_{4}-9 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}+d_{3} d_{4}\left(d_{4}-3\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& +2 w_{1}^{3} w_{2}^{3} w_{3}^{3}\left(-d_{2}^{3}-d_{3}^{3}+2 d_{3}^{2} d_{4}-d_{4}^{3}+2 d_{2}^{2}\left(d_{3}+d_{4}\right)+d_{4} w_{1}^{2}-9 d_{4} w_{1} w_{2}\right. \\
& +9 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}+d_{4} w_{2}^{2}+9 w_{1} w_{2}^{2}-9 d_{4} w_{1} w_{3}+9 w_{1}^{2} w_{3}-9 d_{4} w_{2} w_{3} \\
& +27 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}+9 w_{2}^{2} w_{3}+d_{4} w_{3}^{2}+9 w_{1} w_{3}^{2}+9 w_{2} w_{3}^{2} \\
& +d_{3}\left(2 d_{4}^{2}+w_{1}^{2}+w_{2}^{2}-9 w_{2} w_{3}+w_{3}^{2}-9 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)-3 d_{4}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right) \\
& +d_{2}\left(2 d_{3}^{2}+2 d_{4}^{2}+w_{1}^{2}-9 w_{1} w_{2}+w_{2}^{2}-9 w_{1} w_{3}-9 w_{2} w_{3}+w_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-3 d_{4}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(9 d_{4}-3\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& -d_{1} w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}\left(d_{2}^{3} d_{3} d_{4}+2 d_{2}^{2}\left(9 d_{3}^{2} d_{4}+9 d_{3} d_{4}\left(d_{4}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-2 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)-2 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(2 d_{3}^{2}+2 d_{4}^{2}+w_{1}^{2}-9 w_{1} w_{2}+w_{2}^{2}-9 w_{1} w_{3}\right. \\
& \left.-9 w_{2} w_{3}+w_{3}^{2}-3 d_{4}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(9 d_{4}-3\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +d_{2}\left(d_{3}^{3} d_{4}+18 d_{3}^{2} d_{4}\left(d_{4}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)+6 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& \left(-3 d_{4}+w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(d_{4}^{3}-18 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-18 d_{4}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.+d_{4}\left(17 w_{1}^{2}+17 w_{2}^{2}+6 w_{2} w_{3}+17 w_{3}^{2}+6 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 9. Image Milnor numbers for $\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{4}, 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{I_{2}}=1-\left[\frac{\left(1+w_{1} a\right)\left(1+w_{2} a\right)\left(1+w_{3} a\right)}{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}} \cdot t^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {image }}(2)\right)\left(f_{0}\right)\right]_{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{24 w_{1}^{4} w_{2}^{4} w_{3}^{4}}\left(d _ { 1 } ^ { 3 } \left(3 d_{2}^{3} d_{3}^{3} d_{4}^{3}-2 d_{2}^{2} d_{3}^{2} d_{4}^{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-3 d_{2} d_{3} d_{4} w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+2 w_{1}^{3} w_{2}^{3} w_{3}^{3}\right)+2 w_{1}^{3} w_{2}^{3} w_{3}^{3}\left(d_{2}^{3}+d_{3}^{3}+d_{4}^{3}-24 d_{4}^{2} w_{1}+47 d_{4} w_{1}^{2}-24 w_{1}^{3}\right. \\
& -24 d_{4}^{2} w_{2}+57 d_{4} w_{1} w_{2}-33 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}+47 d_{4} w_{2}^{2}-33 w_{1} w_{2}^{2}-24 w_{2}^{3} \\
& -24 d_{4}^{2} w_{3}+57 d_{4} w_{1} w_{3}-33 w_{1}^{2} w_{3}+57 d_{4} w_{2} w_{3}-51 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-33 w_{2}^{2} w_{3} \\
& +47 d_{4} w_{3}^{2}-33 w_{1} w_{3}^{2}-33 w_{2} w_{3}^{2}-24 w_{3}^{3} \\
& +d_{3}^{2}\left(22 d_{4}-24\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)+d_{2}^{2}\left(22 d_{3}+22 d_{4}-24\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right) \\
& +d_{3}\left(22 d_{4}^{2}+47 w_{1}^{2}+47 w_{2}^{2}+57 w_{2} w_{3}+47 w_{3}^{2}+57 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)-69 d_{4}\left(w_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)+d_{2}\left(22 d_{3}^{2}+22 d_{4}^{2}+47 w_{1}^{2}+57 w_{1} w_{2}+47 w_{2}^{2}+57 w_{1} w_{3}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+57 w_{2} w_{3}+47 w_{3}^{2}-69 d_{4}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(75 d_{4}-69\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right) \\
& -2 d_{1}^{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(d_{2}^{3} d_{3}^{2} d_{4}^{2}+2 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}\left(-11 d_{3}-11 d_{4}+12\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right. \\
& -d_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(-21 d_{3}^{2} d_{4}+22 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-3 d_{3} d_{4}\left(7 d_{4}-9\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \left.+d_{2}^{2} d_{3} d_{4}\left(d_{3}^{2} d_{4}+21 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}+d_{3} d_{4}\left(d_{4}+3\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)+d_{1} w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2} \\
& \left(-3 d_{2}^{3} d_{3} d_{4}+2 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(22 d_{3}^{2}+22 d_{4}^{2}+47 w_{1}^{2}+57 w_{1} w_{2}+47 w_{2}^{2}+57 w_{1} w_{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+57 w_{2} w_{3}+47 w_{3}^{2}-69 d_{4}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(75 d_{4}-69\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +d_{2}^{2}\left(-42 d_{3}^{2} d_{4}+44 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-6 d_{3} d_{4}\left(7 d_{4}-9\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
& -3 d_{2}\left(d_{3}^{3} d_{4}+2 d_{3}^{2} d_{4}\left(7 d_{4}-9\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)+2 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(-25 d_{4}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+23\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)+d_{3}\left(d_{4}^{3}-50 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-18 d_{4}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.+d_{4}\left(17 w_{1}^{2}+17 w_{2}^{2}+18 w_{2} w_{3}+17 w_{3}^{2}+18 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 10. Second image Milnor numbers for $\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{4}, 0$.

| type | $\mu_{I}$ | $k=2,3,4,5,6, \cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\hat{A}_{k}$ | $\frac{1}{3} k\left(-3+15 k-20 k^{2}+6 k^{3}+2 k^{4}\right)$ | $18,186,844,2620,6510, \cdots$ |
| $\hat{B}_{2 k+1}$ | $3 k^{2}(1+10 k)$ | $252,837,1968,3825,6588, \cdots$ |

Table 11

Discriminant Milnor number: Next, let us consider $f: \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0$ in case of $m \geq n$. Assume that $f$ is $\mathcal{A}$-finitely determined. In the same way as above, we set the vanishing Euler characteristics:

Definition 6.23. $\mu_{\Delta}\left(f_{0}\right):=(-1)^{n-1}\left(\chi\left(D\left(f_{t}\right)\right)-1\right)$.

It is shown by Damon-Mond [12] that the discriminant $D\left(f_{t}\right)$ of a stable perturbation has the homotopy type of a wedge of $(n-1)$-spheres, so the vanishing Euler number $\mu_{\Delta}(f)$ is equal to the middle Betti number of $D\left(f_{t}\right)$, called the discriminant Milnor number. It is proved in [12] that if $(m, n)$ is in nice dimensions,

$$
\mu_{\Delta}(f) \geq \mathcal{A}_{e}-\operatorname{codim}(f)
$$

and the equality holds if $f$ is weighted homogeneous.
For a finitely determined weighted homogeneous germ $f$, we compute $\mu_{\Delta}(f)$ by localizing our higher Thom polynomials:

Theorem 6.24. It holds that

$$
\chi\left(D\left(f_{t}\right)\right)=\frac{\left[c\left(E_{1}\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{D\left(f_{t}\right)}\right)\right]_{n}}{c_{n}\left(E_{1}\right)}=\frac{\left[c\left(E_{0}\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}\right)\right]_{m}}{c_{m}\left(E_{0}\right)} .
$$

Thus we can compute the discriminant Milnor number $\mu_{\Delta}\left(f_{0}\right)$ in terms of weights and degrees.

Recall the discriminant Segre-SM class $t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\text {dis }}\right)$ for $m$-to- $m$ maps is given in Theorem 6.13. We use the low degree terms of this power series for the study of vanishing topology of germs $\mathbb{C}^{m}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m}, 0, m=$ 2,3 .

Example 6.25. $(m, n)=(2,2)$ : For weighted homogeneous mapgerms $\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}, 0$, we recover the computational result in GaffneyMond [23] in a completely different way.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\Delta}= & 1-\left[\frac{1}{w_{1} w_{2}}\left(1+w_{1} a\right)\left(1+w_{2} a\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}\right)\left(f_{0}\right)\right]_{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2}}\left(d_{1} d_{2}-2 w_{1} w_{2}\right) \\
& \left(d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}+w_{1}^{2}+2 d_{1}\left(d_{2}-w_{1}-w_{2}\right)+w_{2}^{2}-2 d_{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 6.26. $(m, n)=(3,3)$ : For discriminant Milnor number of finitely-determined weighted homogeneous finite germs $\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}, 0$, we have the following formula in Table 12. In particular, for corank one map-germs,

$$
\mu_{\Delta}=\frac{d-2 w_{0}}{6 w_{0}^{3} w_{1} w_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
d^{4}-4 d^{3} w_{0}+d^{2} w_{0}\left(8 w_{0}-3\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)\right) \\
+2 d w_{0}^{2}\left(3\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)-4 w_{0}\right) \\
+3 w_{0}^{2}\left(w_{0}^{2}-w_{0}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)+2 w_{1} w_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{\Delta}=-1+\left[\frac{1}{w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}}\left(1+w_{1} a\right)\left(1+w_{2} a\right)\left(1+w_{3} a\right) \cdot t p^{\mathrm{SM}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{dis}}\right)\left(f_{0}\right)\right]_{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{6 w_{1}^{3} w_{2}^{3} w_{3}^{3}}\left(d_{1}^{5} d_{2}^{2} d_{3}^{2}+3 d_{1}^{4} d_{2} d_{3}\left(d_{2}^{2} d_{3}+d_{2} d_{3}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)+w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}\left(d_{2}^{3}+d_{3}^{3}-6 w_{1}^{3}-7 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}-7 w_{1} w_{2}^{2}-6 w_{2}^{3}-7 w_{1}^{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& -15 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-7 w_{2}^{2} w_{3}-7 w_{1} w_{3}^{2}-7 w_{2} w_{3}^{2}-6 w_{3}^{3}-8 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right) \\
& +d_{3}\left(13 w_{1}^{2}+13 w_{2}^{2}+15 w_{2} w_{3}+13 w_{3}^{2}+15 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right) \\
& +2 d_{2}^{2}\left(7 d_{3}-4\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)+d_{2}\left(14 d_{3}^{2}+13 w_{1}^{2}+13 w_{2}^{2}+15 w_{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+13 w_{3}^{2}+15 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)-27 d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +d_{1}^{3}\left(3 d_{2}^{4} d_{3}^{2}+6 d_{2}^{3} d_{3}^{2}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)+w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}-3 d_{2} d_{3} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& \left(5 d_{3}-4\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)+3 d_{2}^{2} d_{3}\left(d_{3}^{3}-5 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-2 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)^{2}\right)\right)+d_{1}^{2}\left(d_{2}^{5} d_{3}^{2}+3 d_{2}^{4} d_{3}^{2}\left(d_{3}-w_{1}-w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& -2 w_{1}^{2} w_{2}^{2} w_{3}^{2}\left(-7 d_{3}+4\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right) \\
& +3 d_{2}^{3} d_{3}\left(d_{3}^{3}-5 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-2 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& -d_{2} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(15 d_{3}^{3}-14 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-30 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+3 d_{3}\left(5 w_{1}^{2}+5 w_{2}^{2}+8 w_{2} w_{3}+5 w_{3}^{2}+8 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +d_{2}^{2} d_{3}\left(d_{3}^{4}-3 d_{3}^{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+30 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& +3 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)^{2}-d_{3}\left(w_{1}^{3}+3 w_{1}^{2}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)^{3}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+3 w_{1}\left(w_{2}^{2}+14 w_{2} w_{3}+w_{3}^{2}\right)\right)\right)\right)+d_{1} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(-3 d_{2}^{4} d_{3}-3 d_{2}^{3} d_{3}\right. \\
& \left(5 d_{3}-4\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)+w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(14 d_{3}^{2}+13 w_{1}^{2}+13 w_{2}^{2}+15 w_{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+13 w_{3}^{2}+15 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)-27 d_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)-d_{2}^{2}\left(15 d_{3}^{3}-14 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right. \\
& \left.-30 d_{3}^{2}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+3 d_{3}\left(5 w_{1}^{2}+5 w_{2}^{2}+8 w_{2} w_{3}+5 w_{3}^{2}+8 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
& -3 d_{2}\left(d_{3}^{4}-4 d_{3}^{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+9 w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right. \\
& +d_{3}^{2}\left(5 w_{1}^{2}+5 w_{2}^{2}+8 w_{2} w_{3}+5 w_{3}^{2}+8 w_{1}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)\right) \\
& -d_{3}\left(2 w_{1}^{3}+4 w_{1}^{2}\left(w_{2}+w_{3}\right)+w_{1}\left(4 w_{2}^{2}+21 w_{2} w_{3}+4 w_{3}^{2}\right)+2\left(w_{2}^{3}+2 w_{2}^{2} w_{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.+2 w_{2} w_{3}^{2}+w_{3}^{3}\right)\right)\right)\right) \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 12. Discriminant Milnor number for germs $\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}, 0$

This general formula also seems to be new. It can be checked that this agrees with known computational results for weighted homogeneous germs appearing in $\mathcal{A}$-classification, e.g. [44].

As examples of corank 2 singularity types, for $\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+x z, x y, z\right)$, $\mu_{\Delta}=1$, and for $\left(x^{9}+y^{2}+x z, x y, z\right), \mu_{\Delta}=183$.

## References

[1] A. Altintas, Examples of finitely determined map-germs of corank 2 from $n$-space to $(n+1)$-space, preprint, ArXiv: 1202.4992 [math.AG] (2012).
[ 2 ] Y. Ando, On Higher Thom Polynomials of Morin Singularities, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 23 (1987), 195-207.
[3] P. Aluffi, Modification systems and integration in their Chow groups, Selecta Math. 11 (2005), 155-202.
[4] P. Aluffi, Grothendieck classes and Chern classes of hyperplane arrangements, Int. Math. Res. Notices, vol. 8 (2013), 1873-1900.
[5] V. I. Arnold, S. Gusein-Zade, A. Varchenko, Singularities of Differentiable Maps vol. I, Monographs Math. 82, Birkhäuser, (1985).
[6] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, The moment map and equivariant cohomology, Topology, vol. 23, no. 1 (1984), 1-28.
[7] G. Bérczi and A. Szenes, Thom polynomials of Morin singularities, Annals of Mathematics 175 (2012), 567-629.
[8] J. P. Brasselet and M. H. Schwartz, Sur les classes de Chern d'une ensemble analytique complexe, Astérisque 82-83 (1981), 93-148.
[9] J. P. Brasselet, J. Schürmann and S. Yokura, Hirzebruch classes and motivic Chern classes for singular spaces, Journal of Topology and Analysis, Vol. 2 (1) (2010), 1-55.
[10] S. J. Colley, Enumerating stationary multiple-points, Advances in Math. 66 (1987), 149-170.
[11] J. Damon, Thom Polynomials for Contact Class Singularities, Doctoral Dissertation, Harvard University (1972).
[12] J. Damon and D. Mond, $\mathcal{A}_{e}$-codimension and the vanishing topology of discriminants, Invent. Math. 106 (1991), 217-242.
[13] D. Edidin and W. Graham, Equivariant intersection theory, Invent. Math. 131 (1998), 595-634.
[14] L. Fehér and R. Rimányi, Calculation of Thom polynomials and other cohomological obstructions for group actions, Real and Complex Singularities (Sao Carlos, 2002), Contemp. Math. 354, A.M.S. (2004), 69-93.
[15] L. Fehér and R. Rimányi, Schur and Schubert polynomials as Thom polynomials - cohomology of moduli spaces, Cent. Euro. J. Math. 4 (2003), 418-434.
[16] L. Fehér and R. Rimányi, Thom series of contact singularities, Annals of Mathematics 176 (2012), 1381-1426.
[17] T. Fukuda and G. Ishikawa, On the number of cusps of stable perturbations of a plane-to-plane singularity, Tokyo J. Math. 10 (1987), 375-384.
[18] T. Fukui, J. J. Nuño Ballesteros and M. J. Saia, On the number of singularities in generic deformations of map germs, J. London Math. Soc. 2, 58 (1998), 141-152.
[19] T. Fukui and J. Weyman, Cohen-Macaulay properties of Thom-Boardman strata I: Morin's ideal, Proc. London Math. Soc., 80 (2000), 257-303.
[20] T. Fukui and J. Weyman, Cohen-Macaulay properties of Thom-Boardman strata II: The defining ideals for $\Sigma^{i j}$, Proc. London Math. Soc., 87 (2003), 137-163.
[21] W. Fulton, Intersection Theory, second edition, Springer-Verlag, (1998).
[22] W. Fulton and P. Pragacz, Schubert varieties and degeneracy loci, Lecture Notes in Math. 1689 (1998).
[23] T. Gaffney and D. Mond, Weighted homogeneous maps from the plane to the plane, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 109 (1991), 451-470.
[24] T. Gaffney and D. Mond, Cusps and double folds of germs of analytic maps $\mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$, Jour. London Math. Soc. (2) 43 (1991), 185-192.
[25] F. Hirzebruch, Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, SpringerVerlag (1962)
[26] K. Houston and N. P. Kirk, On the classification and geometry of corank 1 map-germs from three-space to four-space, Singularity Theory (ed. J. Bruce and D. Mond), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 263 (1999), 325-351.
[27] S. Izumiya and W. L. Marar, The Euler characteristic of a generic wavefront in a 3-manifold, Proc. A.M.S. 118 (1993), 1347-1350.
[28] M. E. Kazarian, Characteristic classes of Singularity theory, ArnoldGelfand MathematicalSeminars, Birkhäuser (1997), 325-340.
[29] M. E. Kazarian, Multisingularities, cobordisms and enumerative geometry, Russian Math. Survey 58:4 (2003), 665-724 (Uspekhi Mat. nauk 58, 2988).
[30] M. E. Kazarian, Thom polynomials, Proc. sympo. "Singularity Theory and its application" (Sapporo, 2003), Adv. Stud. Pure Math. vol. 43 (2006), 85-136.
[31] M. E. Kazarian, Thom polynomials for Morin singularities, preprint (2008).
[32] M. E. Kazarian and S. K. Lando, Towards an intersection theory on Hurwitz spaces, Izvestiya Math. 68:5 (2004) 935-964.
[33] M. E. Kazarian and S. K. Lando, Thom polynomials for maps of curves with isolated singularities, Proceedings of the Steklov institute of Mathematics, vol. 258, (2007), 87-99.
[34] X. Liao, Chern classes of logarithmic derivations for free divisors with Jacobian ideal of linear type, preprint, ArXiv: 1210.6079 [math.AG] (2012).
[35] G. Kennedy, Specialization of MacPherson's Chern classes, Math. Scand. 66 (1990), 12-16.
[36] S. L. Kleiman, The enumerative theory of singularities, Proc. Nordic Summer School/NAVF, Symposium in Math., Oslo, 1976, Sijthoff and Noordhoff Inter. Publ., 297-396.
[37] S. L. Kleiman, Multiple point formulas I: Iteration, Acta Math., 147 (1981), 13-49.
[38] S. L. Kleiman, Multiple point formulas II: The Hilbert scheme, Lecture Note in Math. Springer 1436 (1990), 101-138.
[39] V. H, Jorge Pérez, Counting isolated singularities of a stable perturbation of a finitely $\mathcal{A}$-determined map-germ $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}, 0\right)$ with $n<p$, Real and
complex singularities, Contemp. Math., 459, Amer. Math. Soc. (2008), 73-85.
[40] V. H, Jorge Pérez, A. J. Miranda and M. J. Saia, Counting singularities via Fitting ideals, Internat. J. Math. 23 (2012), 1250062 (18 pp.).
[41] H. Levine, The singularities $S_{q}$, Illinois J. Math. 8 (1964), 152-168.
[42] W. L. Marar and D. Mond, Multple point schemes for corank 1 maps, Jour. London Math. Soc. (2) 39 (1989), 553-567.
[43] W. L. Marar, J. A. Montaldi and M. A. S. Ruas, Multiplicities of zeroschemes in quasihomogeneous corank 1 singularities $\mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$, L.M.S. Lecture Notes Series 263, Cambridge University Press (1999), 353- 367.
[44] W. L. Marar and F. Tari, On the geometry of simple germs of corank 1 maps from $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 119 (1996), 369-481.
[45] R. MacPherson, Chern classes for singular algebraic varieties, Ann. of Math. 100 (1974), 421-432.
[46] J. Mather, Stable map-germs and algebraic geometry, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 678 (1978), 196-235.
[47] J. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, Characteristic Classes, Annals of Math. Studies no. 76, Princeton Univ. Press (1974)
[48] D. Mond, The number of vanishing cycles for a quasi homogeneous mappings from $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 42 (1991), 335-345.
[49] D. Mond, Some remarks on the geometry and classification of germs of maps from surfaces to 3-space, Topology 26 (1987), 361-383.
[50] D. Mond, Lecture note in this volume.
[51] T. Ohmoto, Vassiliev complex for contact classes of real smooth map-germs, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ. (1994) 1-12.
[52] T. Ohmoto, Equivariant Chern classes of singular algebraic varieties with group actions, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 140 (2006), 115-134.
[53] T. Ohmoto, Chern classes and Thom polynomials, Singularities in Geometry and Topology (ICTP, Trieste, Italy, 2005), World Scientific (2007), 464-482.
[54] T. Ohmoto, Generating functions of orbifold Chern classes I: Symmetric Products, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 144 (2008), 423-438.
[55] T. Ohmoto, A note on Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class, Singularities in Geometry and Topology - Strasbourg 2009, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, European Math. Soc., Vol. 20 (2012), 117-132.
[56] A. Parusiński and P. Pragacz, Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes and the Euler characteristic of degeneracy loci and special divisors, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1995), no. 4, 793-817.
[57] I. R. Porteous, Simple singularities of maps, Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities Symposium I Lecture Notes in Math. 192 (1971), 286-307.
[58] P. Pragacz and A. Weber, Positivity of Schur function expansions of Thom polynomials, FundamentaMath., 195 (2007), 85-95.
[59] R. Rimányi, Thom polynomials, symmetries and incidences of singularities, Invent. Math. 143 (2001), 499-521.
[60] R. Rimányi, Multiple-point formulas - a new point of view, Pacific Jour. Math., 202 (2) (2002), 475-489.
[61] J. H. Rieger and M. A. S. Ruas, Classification of $\mathcal{A}$-simple germs from $k^{n}$ to $k^{2}$ Compositio Math., 79 (1991) 99-108.
[62] F. Ronga, Le calcul des classes duales aux singularités de Boardman d'ordre deux, Comment. Math. Helvetici, 47 (1972), 15-35.
[63] T. Sasajima and T. Ohmoto, Thom polynomials in $\mathcal{A}$-classification I: counting singular projections of a surface, preprint (2016).
[64] J. Schürmann, A generalized Verdier-type Riemann-Roch theorem for Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes, aarXiv: math/0202175 [math. AG].
[65] J. Schürmann and S. Yokura, A survey of characteristic classes of singular spaces, Singularity Theory (Marseille, 2005), World Scientific (2007), 865-952. (arXiv:math.AG/0511175).
[66] V. Sedykh, Resolution of corank 1 singularities in the image of a stable map to a space of higher dimension, Izvestiya: Math. 71 (2007), 391-437.
[67] T. Suwa, Indices of vector fields and residues of singular holomorphic foliations, Actualités Mathématiques, Hermann, Paris (1998).
[68] A. Szücs, Cobordism of singulars maps, Geometry and Topology 12 (2008), 2379-2452.
[69] R. Thom, Les singularités des applications différentiables, Ann. Inst. Fourier 6 (1955-56), 43-87.
[70] S. Tuboi, The Chern numbers of the normalization of an algebraic threefold with ordinary singularities, Séminarires e Congrès 10 (2005), 351-372.
[71] J. L. Verdier Spécialization des classes de Chern, Astérisque 82-83 (1981), 149-159.
[72] C. T. C. Wall, Finite determinacy of smooth map-germs, Bull. London Math. Soc. 13 (6) (1981), 481-539.
[73] A. Weber, Equivariant Chern classes and localization theorem, Journal of Singularities, 5 (2012), 153-176.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
E-mail address: ohmoto@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

