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#### Abstract

. Let $S$ be a smooth simply-connected complex projective surface, and let $A$ be a finite abelian group. We define invariants $T_{A}, F_{A}$ and $\sigma_{A}$ for curves $B$ on $S$ by means of étale Galois coverings of the complement of $B$ with the Galois group $A$, and show that they are useful in finding examples of Zariski pairs of curves on $S$. We also investigate the relation between these invariants and the fundamental group of the complement of $B$.


## §1. Introduction

We work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. Let $S$ be a smooth projective surface. Throughout this paper, we assume that $S$ is simplyconnected. By a curve on $S$, we mean a reduced (possibly reducible) curve on $S$.

Let $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ be curves on $S$.
Definition 1.1. We say that a homeomorphism $f: B \xrightarrow{\sim} B^{\prime}$ preserves the classes of irreducible components if we have $\left[B_{i}\right]=\left[f\left(B_{i}\right)\right]$ in $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ for any irreducible component $B_{i}$ of $B$.

Note that, since $S$ is simply-connected, the equality $\left[B_{i}\right]=\left[f\left(B_{i}\right)\right]$ in $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is equivalent to the equality $\left[B_{i}\right]=\left[f\left(B_{i}\right)\right]$ in the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(S)$ of $S$.

Following [5, Definition 2], we make the following:
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Definition 1.2. We say that $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ have the same embedding topology and write $B \sim_{\text {top }} B^{\prime}$ if there exists a homeomorphism between $(S, B)$ and $\left(S, B^{\prime}\right)$ such that the induced homeomorphism $B \xrightarrow{\sim} B^{\prime}$ preserves the classes of irreducible components.

Definition 1.3. A map of equi-configuration is a homeomorphism $(\mathcal{T}, B) \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow}\left(\mathcal{T}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathcal{T} \subset S$ is a tubular neighborhood of $B$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \subset S$ is a tubular neighborhood of $B^{\prime}$, such that the induced homeomorphism $B \xrightarrow{\sim} B^{\prime}$ preserves the classes of irreducible components.

Definition 1.4. We say that $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are of the same configuration type and write $B \sim_{\text {cfg }} B^{\prime}$ if there exist a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{T} \subset S$ of $B$, a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} \subset S$ of $B^{\prime}$, and a map of equi-configuration $(\mathcal{T}, B) \xrightarrow{\simeq}\left(\mathcal{T}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$.

It is obvious that $B \sim_{\text {top }} B^{\prime}$ implies $B \sim_{\text {cfg }} B^{\prime}$.
Definition 1.5. A pair $\left[B, B^{\prime}\right]$ of curves on $S$ is said to be a Zariski pair if $B \sim_{\text {cfg }} B^{\prime}$ but $B \not \chi_{\text {top }} B^{\prime}$.

By a plane curve, we mean a curve on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Since the work of ArtalBartolo [2], Zariski pairs of plane curves have been studied by many authors. See the survey paper [5]. The most classical example of Zariski pairs is the following (Zariski [28], see also Oka [13] and Shimada [15]):

Example 1.6. There exist irreducible plane curves $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ of degree 6 with six ordinary cusps as their only singularities such that $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash B\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$, while $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash B^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$.

As in this example, the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash B\right)$ has been a main tool in finding the examples of Zariski pairs of plane curves.

In this paper, we fix a finite abelian group $A$ and define three invariants $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma), F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ of curves $B$ on $S$ by means of étale Galois coverings $W_{\gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B$ with the Galois group $A$, where $\gamma$ is a homomorphism $H^{2}(B, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow A$ describing the Galois covering. The invariants $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ are defined in terms of the algebraic cycles on a smooth projective completion $X$ of $W_{\gamma}$, while the invariant $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ involves the transcendental cycles of $X$. Using these invariants, we can distinguish topological types of curves on $S$ in the same configuration type, and find many Zariski pairs.

The idea of the invariant $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ comes from Shioda's observation [20, Lemma 3.1] that the transcendental lattice of a smooth projective surface is a birational invariant.

These invariants have been defined and studied for the double coverings of the projective plane branching along plane curves of degree 6
with only simple singularities ([1], [19], [16], [18]). In particular, the invariant $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ was intensively studied in [18] in terms of $Z$-splitting curves.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In $\S 2$, we describe all étale Galois coverings of $S \backslash B$ with the Galois group $A$, and define the invariants $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ in Definition 2.3. In $\S 3$, we investigate $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$, and show that, under certain conditions, $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ is an invariant of the embedding topology of curves (Theorem 3.1). In §4, we define a new invariant $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$, and show that it is an invariant of the configuration types of curves (Theorem 4.3). The invariants $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ are related via $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ (Proposition 4.7). We then present a method of finding examples of Zariski pairs by means of these invariants (Corollary 4.9). In $\S 5$, a relation between $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma), F_{A}(S, B, \gamma), \sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $\pi_{1}(S \backslash B)$ is presented. We then give several sufficient conditions for $\pi_{1}(S \backslash B)$ to be non-abelian (Corollaries 5.11 and 5.12). This result generalizes the theory of dihedral coverings, which has been studied by several authors. (See, for example, Artal et al. [3], [4], [6], Tokunaga [22], [23], [24], Degtyarev [8], [9], Degtyarev-Oka [10]). We conclude this paper by a remark on the computation of these invariants in $\S 6$.

Thanks are due to Professor Alex Degtyarev and the referee for their valuable comments. I also thank Professor Igor Dolgachev for teaching me the history and the references about non-conical six-cuspidal sextics (Example 4.10).

## Conventions.

- A lattice is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $L$ of finite rank with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. For a subset $R$ of a lattice $L$, we denote by $\langle R\rangle$ the submodule generated by $R$.
- Every (co)homology group is the singular (co)homology group with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, unless otherwise stated.
- Let $A$ be a finite abelian group. For a prime number $p$, we denote by $A_{p}$ the $p$-part of $A$, and by $\operatorname{leng}_{p}(A)$ the minimal number of generators of $A_{p}$.
- For a smooth projective surface $Y$, we denote by $\mathrm{NS}(Y) \subset$ $H^{2}(Y) /($ the torsion part) the Néron-Severi lattice of $Y$.


## $\S 2 . \quad$ Definition of the invariants $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$

We fix a finite abelian group $A$ once and for all.

Let $S$ be a smooth simply-connected projective surface, and let $B$ be a curve on $S$ with the irreducible components $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$. We classify all étale Galois coverings of $S \backslash B$ with the Galois group $A$; that is, we describe all surjective homomorphisms $\pi_{1}(S \backslash B) \rightarrow A$. We have

$$
H^{2}(B)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}\left[B_{i}\right]
$$

Since $S$ is smooth and projective, we have $H_{1}(S \backslash B) \cong H^{3}(S, B)$. Since $S$ is simply-connected, we have $H^{3}(S)=0$ and obtain an exact sequence

$$
H^{2}(S) \xrightarrow{r} H^{2}(B) \quad \longrightarrow H_{1}(S \backslash B) \quad \longrightarrow,
$$

where $r$ is the restriction homomorphism. Hence all étale Galois coverings of $S \backslash B$ with the Galois group $A$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the set

$$
\mathcal{C}_{A}(S, B):=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\gamma & \begin{array}{l}
\gamma \text { is a surjective homomorphism } \\
H^{2}(B) \rightarrow A \text { such that } \operatorname{Im} r \subset \operatorname{Ker} \gamma
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

For an element $\gamma$ of $\mathcal{C}_{A}(S, B)$, we denote by

$$
\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B
$$

the étale Galois covering corresponding to $\gamma$.
Since $S$ is simply-connected, $H^{2}(S)$ is torsion-free and we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}(S) \leadsto \operatorname{Hom}\left(H^{2}(S), \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the cup-product. The restriction homomorphism

$$
r_{i}: H^{2}(S) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(B_{i}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[B_{i}\right] \cong \mathbb{Z}
$$

is given by $\left[B_{i}\right] \in H^{2}(S)$ under (2.1). If $\tau:(\mathcal{T}, B) \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathcal{T}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ is a map of equi-configuration, then $\left[B_{i}\right]=\left[\tau\left(B_{i}\right)\right]$ holds in $H^{2}(S)$ and hence we have the following commutative diagram:


Therefore $\tau$ induces a bijection

$$
\tau_{*}: \mathcal{C}_{A}(S, B) \xrightarrow{\leftrightharpoons} \mathcal{C}_{A}\left(S, B^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let

$$
h:(S, B) \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(S, B^{\prime}\right)
$$

be a homeomorphism. Restricting $h$ to a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{T}$ of $B$, we obtain a map of equi-configuration $\left.h\right|_{\mathcal{T}}$, and hence we have a bijection

$$
h^{*}=\left(\left.h\right|_{\mathcal{T}} ^{-1}\right)_{*}: \mathcal{C}_{A}\left(S, B^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{A}(S, B)
$$

For $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{A}\left(S, B^{\prime}\right)$, the étale Galois covering

$$
\varphi_{h^{*} \gamma}: W_{h^{*} \gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B
$$

corresponding to $h^{*} \gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{A}(S, B)$ is obtained as the pull-back of the étale Galois covering $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B^{\prime}$ by the homeomorphism of the complement $h: S \backslash B \cong S \backslash B^{\prime}$. In particular, we see that $W_{h^{*} \gamma}$ is homeomorphic to $W_{\gamma}$.

Definition 2.1. A smooth projective completion of $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B$ is a morphism

$$
\phi: X \rightarrow S
$$

from a smooth projective surface $X$ such that $X$ contains $W_{\gamma}$ as a Zariski open dense subset, and that $\phi$ extends $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B$.

Definition 2.2. A smooth projective completion $\phi: X \rightarrow S$ of $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B$ is said to be A-equivariant if the action of $A$ on $W_{\gamma}$ is extended to the action on $X$.

We choose a smooth projective completion $\phi: X \rightarrow S$ of $\varphi_{\gamma}$ (not necessarily $A$-equivariant), and put

$$
\mathcal{E}(X):=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
E \subset X & \begin{array}{l}
E \text { is a reduced irreducible curve on } \\
X \text { such that } \phi(E) \text { is a point on } S
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We consider

$$
H^{2}(X)^{\prime}:=H^{2}(X) /(\text { the torsion part })
$$

as a lattice under the cup-product. In this lattice, we have two submodules

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{*} \mathrm{NS}(S) & \left.=\left\langle\left[\phi^{*} C\right]\right| C \text { is a curve on } S\right\rangle, \quad \text { and } \\
\langle\mathcal{E}(X)\rangle & =\langle[E] \mid E \in \mathcal{E}(X)\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

which are perpendicular to each other by the cup-product. Note that $\phi^{*} \mathrm{NS}(S)$ is a hyperbolic lattice by the Hodge index theorem, and that
the intersection pairing on $\langle\mathcal{E}(X)\rangle$ is negative-definite by Mumford's result [11]. In particular, the cup-product is non-degenerate on

$$
\Sigma(X):=\phi^{*} \operatorname{NS}(S) \oplus\langle\mathcal{E}(X)\rangle \subset H^{2}(X)^{\prime}
$$

that is, $\Sigma(X)$ is a sublattice of $H^{2}(X)^{\prime}$. We denote by

$$
\Lambda(X):=(\Sigma(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \cap H^{2}(X)^{\prime}
$$

the primitive closure of $\Sigma(X)$ in $H^{2}(X)^{\prime}$.
Definition 2.3. We put

$$
F_{A}(S, B, \gamma):=\Lambda(X) / \Sigma(X)
$$

which is a finite abelian group, and denote by

$$
T_{A}(S, B, \gamma):=\Sigma(X)^{\perp}=\Lambda(X)^{\perp} \subset H^{2}(X)^{\prime}
$$

the orthogonal complement of $\Sigma(X)$, which is a primitive sublattice of $H^{2}(X)^{\prime}$.

Proposition 2.4. Neither the isomorphism class of the finite abelian group $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ nor the isomorphism class of the lattice $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ does depend on the choice of the smooth projective completion $\phi: X \rightarrow S$ of $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B$.

Proof. Suppose that $\phi^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ is another smooth projective completion of $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B$. Then there is a commutative diagram

where $X^{\prime \prime}$ is a smooth projective surface, and $X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow X$ and $X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ are birational morphisms that are isomorphisms over $S \backslash B$. Since a birational morphism between smooth surfaces are composite of blowingups at points, we obtain orthogonal direct-sum decompositions

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\Sigma(X) \oplus\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\langle e_{N}\right\rangle \quad \text { and }  \tag{2.2}\\
H^{2}\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime} & =H^{2}(X)^{\prime} \oplus\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\langle e_{N}\right\rangle \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{N}$ are classes with $e_{i}^{2}=-1$. Hence we obtain

$$
\Lambda(X) / \Sigma(X) \cong \Lambda\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right) / \Sigma\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Sigma(X)^{\perp} \cong \Sigma\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\perp}
$$

The same isomorphisms hold between $X^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime \prime}$.
Q.E.D.

We investigate the action of $A$ on these invariants.
Proposition 2.5. There always exists an $A$-equivariant smooth projective completion.

For the proof, we need the following:
Lemma 2.6. There exist a vector bundle $\eta_{\gamma}: V_{\gamma} \rightarrow S$ on $S$ and a closed subvariety $\bar{W}_{\gamma} \subset V_{\gamma}$ finite over $S$ such that $A$ acts on $V_{\gamma}$ over $S$, that $\bar{W}_{\gamma}$ is stable under this action, and that there exists an A-equivariant isomorphism $W_{\gamma} \cong \bar{W}_{\gamma} \cap \eta_{\gamma}^{-1}(S \backslash B)$.

Proof. First we prove the case where $A$ is cyclic of order $d$. We choose a generator $g$ of $A$ and fix an isomorphism $A \cong \mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$ by $g \mapsto 1$. We also embed $A$ into $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$by $g \mapsto \exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / d)$. Let $a_{i}$ be an integer such that

$$
\gamma\left(\left[B_{i}\right]\right) \equiv a_{i} \bmod d
$$

in $A=\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that the restriction map $r_{i}: H^{2}(S) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(B_{i}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is given by $\left[B_{i}\right] \in H^{2}(S)$ under (2.1). The condition $\operatorname{Im} r \subset \operatorname{Ker} \gamma$ for $\gamma$ implies that there exists a line bundle $\eta_{\gamma}: V_{\gamma} \rightarrow S$ on $S$ such that

$$
a_{1}\left[B_{1}\right]+\cdots+a_{m}\left[B_{m}\right]=d\left[V_{\gamma}\right]
$$

holds in $\operatorname{Pic}(S) \subset H^{2}(S)$. We have a section $s$ of $V_{\gamma}^{\otimes d}$ such that $s=0$ defines the divisor

$$
a_{1} B_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} B_{m}
$$

We denote by $S_{\gamma} \subset V_{\gamma}^{\otimes d}$ the image of the section $s: S \rightarrow V_{\gamma}$. We have a morphism

$$
\delta: V_{\gamma} \rightarrow V_{\gamma}^{\otimes d}
$$

given by $\xi \mapsto \xi^{d}$, where $\xi$ is a fiber coordinate of $V_{\gamma}$. Let $\bar{W}_{\gamma}$ be the pull-back of $S_{\gamma}$ by $\delta$. Then $W_{\gamma}$ is isomorphic to $\bar{W}_{\gamma} \cap \eta_{\gamma}^{-1}(S \backslash B)$. The natural action of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$on $V_{\gamma}$ and the embedding $A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$induces an $A$-action on $V_{\gamma}$ over $S$, under which $\bar{W}_{\gamma}$ is stable and the isomorphism $W_{\gamma} \xrightarrow{\leftrightarrows} \bar{W}_{\gamma} \cap \eta_{\gamma}^{-1}(S \backslash B)$ is $A$-equivariant.

In the general case, we decompose $A$ into a direct sum of cyclic groups $A \cong A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{l}$, and let

$$
\gamma(j): H^{2}(B) \rightarrow A_{j}
$$

be the composite of $\gamma$ with the projection $A \rightarrow A_{j}$. We put

$$
V_{\gamma}:=V_{\gamma(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\gamma(l)}
$$

on which $A$ acts over $S$, and define the closed subvariety $\bar{W}_{\gamma} \subset V_{\gamma}$ by

$$
\bar{W}_{\gamma}=\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{l}\right) \in V_{\gamma} \mid \xi_{j} \in \bar{W}_{\gamma(j)} \subset V_{\gamma(j)} \text { for } j=1, \ldots, l\right\}
$$

which is stable under the action of $A$. Then $W_{\gamma}$ is $A$-equivariantly isomorphic to $\bar{W}_{\gamma} \cap \eta_{\gamma}^{-1}(S \backslash B)$.
Q.E.D.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. By means of the celebrated theorem of Villamayor [25, Corollary 7.6.3], we can make an equivariant embedded desingularization of $\bar{W}_{\gamma} \subset V_{\gamma}$.
Q.E.D.

Combining Propositions 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain the following:
Corollary 2.7. The Galois group $A$ acts on the finite abelian group $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and on the lattice $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$.

## $\S$ 3. The invariant $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$

The invariant $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ is a topological invariant. Recall that a homeomorphism

$$
h:(S, B) \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow}\left(S, B^{\prime}\right)
$$

induces a bijection $h^{*}: \mathcal{C}_{A}\left(S, B^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{A}(S, B)$.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that the classes $\left[B_{i}\right]$ of the irreducible components of $B$ span $\mathrm{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. If $h:(S, B) \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(S, B^{\prime}\right)$ is a homeomorphism, then the lattices $T_{A}\left(S, B, h^{*} \gamma\right)$ and $T_{A}\left(S, B^{\prime}, \gamma\right)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Remark that the classes $\left[h\left(B_{i}\right)\right]$ of the irreducible components of $B^{\prime}$ also span $\operatorname{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Since $W_{h^{*} \gamma}$ is homeomorphic to $W_{\gamma}$, it is enough to show that the lattice $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ is determined by the homeomorphism type of the open surface $W_{\gamma}$. We consider the intersection pairing

$$
\iota_{W}: H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) \times H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

which may be degenerate since $W_{\gamma}$ is not compact. We put

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\iota_{W}\right):=\left\{x \in H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) \mid \iota_{W}(x, y)=0 \text { for all } y \in H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right)\right\}
$$

Then $\iota_{W}$ induces a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$
\bar{\iota}_{W}: H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) / \operatorname{Ker}\left(\iota_{W}\right) \times H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) / \operatorname{Ker}\left(\iota_{W}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

on the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) / \operatorname{Ker}\left(\iota_{W}\right)$. Since the lattice

$$
\left(H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) / \operatorname{Ker}\left(\iota_{W}\right), \bar{\iota}_{W}\right)
$$

is determined by the homeomorphism type of $W_{\gamma}$, the proof is completed by Proposition 3.2 below, which was proved in a slightly different situation in [16] and [19].
Q.E.D.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that the classes $\left[B_{i}\right]$ span $\mathrm{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the lattice $H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) / \operatorname{Ker}\left(\iota_{W}\right)$ is isomorphic to $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$.

Proof. We put $D:=X \backslash W_{\gamma}$, and let $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{M}$ be the reduced irreducible components of $D$. Since the classes $\left[B_{i}\right]$ span $\operatorname{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, the classes $\left[D_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[D_{M}\right]$ span $\Sigma(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. We put

$$
\widetilde{T}:=\left\{x \in H_{2}(X) \mid\left(x,\left[D_{i}\right]\right)_{X}=0 \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, M\right\}
$$

where $(,)_{X}$ is the intersection pairing on $X$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$
T_{A}(S, B, \gamma) \cong \widetilde{T} /(\text { the torsion part })
$$

of lattices. The image of the homomorphism

$$
j_{*}: H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}(X)
$$

induced by $j: W_{\gamma} \hookrightarrow X$ is contained in $\widetilde{T}$. Note that, by definition, the homomorphism $j_{*}$ preserves the intersection pairings. On the other hand, from the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality isomorphisms

$$
H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) \cong H^{2}(X, D) \quad \text { and } \quad H_{2}(X) \cong H^{2}(X)
$$

and the cohomology exact sequence

$$
H^{2}(X, D) \rightarrow H^{2}(X) \rightarrow H^{2}(D)=\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}\left[D_{i}\right]
$$

we see that every homology class in $\widetilde{T}$ is represented by a topological 2-cycle on $W_{\gamma}$. Thus the inclusion $j$ induces a surjective homomorphism

$$
\bar{j}_{*}: H_{2}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) \rightarrow T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)
$$

which preserves the intersection pairings. Since the symmetric bilinear form on $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ is non-degenerate, we can easily prove that $\operatorname{Ker} \bar{j}_{*}$ is equal to $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\iota_{W}\right)$.
Q.E.D.

Definition 3.3. A plane curve $B \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree 6 is called a simple sextic if $B$ has only simple singularities. A simple sextic $B$ is called a maximizing sextic if the total Milnor number $\mu(B)$ attains the possible maximum 19.

Example 3.4. Suppose that $B \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a maximizing sextic. We consider the double covering of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ corresponding to

$$
\gamma: H^{2}(B) \rightarrow A=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

such that $\gamma\left(\left[B_{i}\right]\right) \neq 0$ for any $B_{i}$. Then we have a $K 3$ surface with the Picard number being the possible maximum 20 (i.e. a singular $K 3$ surface in the sense of Shioda) as a smooth projective completion $X$ of $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash B$, and the invariant $T_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B, \gamma\right)$ is the transcendental lattice of $X$, which is a positive-definite even lattice of rank 2 . Using the result of transcendental lattices of conjugate $K 3$ surfaces with the maximal Picard number [14, 17], we have obtained many arithmetic Zariski pairs of degree 6 in [19].

In [1], we have exhibited a pair $\left[B_{+}, B_{-}\right]$of maximizing sextics with the singularities of type $A_{9}+A_{10}$ that are defied over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and are conjugate under the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) / \mathbb{Q})$. The invariants $T_{A}$ for them are calculated as follows:

$$
T_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B_{+}, \gamma\right) \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 28
\end{array}\right], \quad T_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B_{-}, \gamma\right) \cong\left[\begin{array}{ll}
8 & 3 \\
3 & 8
\end{array}\right]
$$

## §4. The invariants $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$

We investigate the algebraicity of the invariant $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$. For $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{A}(S, B)$, we denote by $\gamma^{\sigma} \in \mathcal{C}_{A}\left(S^{\sigma}, B^{\sigma}\right)$ the element corresponding to the étale Galois covering of $S^{\sigma} \backslash B^{\sigma}$ obtained as the pull-back of the morphism $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B$ over Spec $\mathbb{C}$ by $\sigma^{*}: \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}$; that is,

$$
\gamma^{\sigma}: H^{2}\left(B^{\sigma}\right) \rightarrow A
$$

is given by $\gamma^{\sigma}\left(\left[B_{i}^{\sigma}\right]\right)=\gamma\left(\left[B_{i}\right]\right)$, where $B_{i}^{\sigma}$ is the conjugate of $B_{i}$ by $\sigma$. The following is obvious from the definition:

Proposition 4.1. For any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$, the finite abelian groups $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $F_{A}\left(S^{\sigma}, B^{\sigma}, \gamma^{\sigma}\right)$ are isomorphic.

Next we define a new invariant $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$, which is an invariant of the configuration type of $B$. The invariants $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ are related via this invariant.

We recall the definition of the discriminant group of a lattice. Let $L$ be a lattice. Then we can canonically embed $L$ into its dual lattice

$$
L^{\vee}:=\operatorname{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z})
$$

The discriminant group $\operatorname{disc}(L)$ of $L$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{disc}(L):=L^{\vee} / L
$$

Proposition 4.2. The isomorphism class of the discriminant group $\operatorname{disc}(\Sigma(X))$ does not depend on the choice of the smooth projective completion $\phi: X \rightarrow S$ of $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow S \backslash B$.

Proof. The discriminant group of a lattice $\langle e\rangle$ of rank 1 with $e^{2}=$ -1 is trivial. Proposition 4.2 then follows from (2.2) by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.4.
Q.E.D.

Thus the following is well-defined:

$$
\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma):=\operatorname{disc}(\Sigma(X))
$$

We will show that $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ is an invariant of the configuration type.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $\tau:(\mathcal{T}, B) \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow}\left(\mathcal{T}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ is a map of equiconfiguration. Then $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ is isomorphic to $\sigma_{A}\left(S, B^{\prime}, \tau_{*} \gamma\right)$.

For the proof, we recall the definition of equisingularity of plane curve singularities. See [26, Proposition 4.3.9] for details.

Let $P \in \operatorname{Sing} B$ be a singular point of $B$, and let $P^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sing} B^{\prime}$ be a singular point of $B^{\prime}$. Let $B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(k)}$ be the local branches of $B$ at $P$, and let $B^{\prime(1)}, \ldots, B^{\prime\left(k^{\prime}\right)}$ be the local branches of $B^{\prime}$ at $P^{\prime}$.

Definition 4.4. We say that the two germs $(B, P)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ of the plane curve singularity are equisingular if $k=k^{\prime}$ holds and there exists a bijection from $\left\{B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(k)}\right\}$ to $\left\{B^{\prime(1)}, \ldots, B^{\prime\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\}$, given by $B^{(\kappa)} \mapsto B^{\prime(\kappa)}$ after permutations of indices, such that $B^{(\kappa)}$ and $B^{\prime(\kappa)}$ have the same Puiseux characteristic for $\kappa=1, \ldots, k$ and that the equalities of intersection numbers $B^{(i)} \cdot B^{(j)}=B^{\prime(i)} \cdot B^{\prime(j)}$ hold for all $i \neq j$.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. By the equivalence of (i) and (iv) in [26, Theorem 5.5.9], we see that $(B, P)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}, \tau(P)\right)$ are equisingular for any singular point $P$ of $B$. Let $\mu:(\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{B}) \rightarrow(S, B)$ be the minimal good embedded resolution of $B$, and let $\mu^{\prime}:\left(\widetilde{S}^{\prime}, \widetilde{B}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(S, B^{\prime}\right)$ be the minimal good embedded resolution of $B^{\prime}$. (See $[26, \S 3.4]$ for the definition of minimal good embedded resolutions.) Note that $\mu$ induces an analytic isomorphism $\widetilde{S} \backslash \widetilde{B} \cong S \backslash B$, and hence induces a bijection

$$
\mu_{*}: \mathcal{C}_{A}(\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_{A}(S, B)
$$

via the isomorphism $\mu_{*}: \pi_{1}(\widetilde{S} \backslash \widetilde{B}) \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \pi_{1}(S \backslash B)$. By Theorem 8.1.7 or Proposition 8.3.1 of [26], we have a map of equi-configuration

$$
\tilde{\tau}:(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{B}) \rightarrow\left(\widetilde{T}^{\prime}, \widetilde{B}^{\prime}\right)
$$

which induces a commutative diagram of bijections


A smooth projective completion $\widetilde{X} \rightarrow \widetilde{S}$ of an étale Galois covering $W_{\tilde{\gamma}} \rightarrow \widetilde{S} \backslash \widetilde{B}$ corresponding to $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{C}_{A}(\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{B})$ is a smooth projective completion of $W_{\mu_{*} \tilde{\gamma}} \rightarrow S \backslash B$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.2, it is enough to prove

$$
\sigma_{A}(\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{B}, \tilde{\gamma}) \cong \sigma_{A}\left(\widetilde{S}^{\prime}, \widetilde{B}^{\prime}, \tilde{\tau}_{*} \tilde{\gamma}\right)
$$

for any $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{C}_{A}(\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{B})$; that is, we can assume that $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are normal crossing divisors on $S$.

Suppose that $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are normal crossing divisors. Recall the finite covering

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{\gamma}: \bar{W}_{\gamma} \rightarrow S
$$

constructed in Lemma 2.6. Let $\nu: Y_{\gamma} \rightarrow \bar{W}_{\gamma}$ be the normalization of $\bar{W}_{\gamma}$, and consider the finite covering

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{\gamma} \circ \nu: Y_{\gamma} \rightarrow S .
$$

Then $\operatorname{Sing} Y_{\gamma}$ is located over $\operatorname{Sing} B$. If $P \in \operatorname{Sing} B$ is an intersection point of $B_{i}$ and $B_{j}$, then the number and the analytic isomorphism classes of singular points of $Y_{\gamma}$ over $P$ are determined by $\gamma\left(\left[B_{i}\right]\right) \in A$ and $\gamma\left(\left[B_{j}\right]\right) \in A$. We construct the finite covering

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{\tau_{*} \gamma} \circ \nu^{\prime}: Y_{\tau_{*} \gamma} \rightarrow S
$$

of $S$ by a normal surface $Y_{\tau_{*} \gamma}$ branching along $B^{\prime}$ in the same way. Then there exists a bijection

$$
\operatorname{Sing} Y_{\gamma} \cong \operatorname{Sing} Y_{\tau_{*} \gamma}
$$

that covers the bijection $\operatorname{Sing} B \cong \operatorname{Sing} B^{\prime}$ by $\tau$ and preserves the analytic isomorphism classes of the surface singularities. Hence there exist desingularizations

$$
X_{\gamma} \rightarrow Y_{\gamma}, \quad \text { and } \quad X_{\tau_{*} \gamma} \rightarrow Y_{\tau_{*} \gamma}
$$

such that the sets $\mathcal{E}\left(X_{\gamma}\right)$ and $\mathcal{E}\left(X_{\tau_{*} \gamma}\right)$ of exceptional curves have the same configuration. Therefore we have

$$
\Sigma\left(X_{\gamma}\right) \cong \Sigma\left(X_{\tau_{*} \gamma}\right)
$$

By Proposition 4.2, we complete the proof.
Q.E.D.

The isomorphism class of the discriminant group disc $(\Lambda(X))$ of the primitive closure $\Lambda(X)$ of $\Sigma(X)$ is also independent of the choice of the smooth projective completion $X$. More precisely, we have the following:

Proposition 4.5. The discriminant group $\operatorname{disc}(\Lambda(X))$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{disc}\left(T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)$ for any smooth projective completion $X$.

The proof follows from Lemma 4.6 below and the fact that the lattice $H^{2}(X)^{\prime}$ is unimodular.

Lemma 4.6. Let $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ be primitive sublattices of a unimodular lattice $M$ such that $L \perp L^{\prime}$ and that $\left[M: L \oplus L^{\prime}\right]<\infty$. Then $\operatorname{disc}(L)$ and $\operatorname{disc}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic.

Lemma 4.6 is [12, Proposition 1.6.1] without the assumption that lattices be even. See also the proof of [17, Proposition 2.1.1].

The three invariants $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma), F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ are related as follows:

Proposition 4.7. For any $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{A}(S, B)$, we have

$$
\left|\operatorname{disc}\left(T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)\right| \cdot\left|F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right|^{2}=\left|\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right|
$$

Moreover, for any prime integer $p$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\operatorname{disc}\left(T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\operatorname{disc}\left(T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)\right)+2 \operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proposition follows from the following elementary lemma [12] and Proposition 4.5.

Lemma 4.8. Let $L$ be a lattice, and let $M$ be a sublattice of $L$ with finite index. Then we have

$$
M \subset L \subset L^{\vee} \subset M^{\vee}
$$

Since $M^{\vee} / L^{\vee} \cong L / M$, we have $|\operatorname{disc}(M)|=|\operatorname{disc}(L)| \cdot[L: M]^{2}$, and

$$
\operatorname{leng}_{p}(\operatorname{disc}(L)) \leq \operatorname{leng}_{p}(\operatorname{disc}(M)) \leq \operatorname{leng}_{p}(\operatorname{disc}(L))+2 \operatorname{leng}_{p}(L / M)
$$

As a corollary of Theorems 3.1, 4.3 and Proposition 4.7, we obtain the following generalization of [18, Theorem 8.5] and the idea of Xie and Yang in [27]. This corollary shows that the algebraic invariant $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ can be used to distinguish the topological types of $B$.

Corollary 4.9. Suppose that the classes $\left[B_{i}\right]$ span $\mathrm{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\tau:(\mathcal{T}, B) \xrightarrow{\leftrightarrows}\left(\mathcal{T}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ be a map of equi-configuration. If we have $\left|F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right| \neq\left|F_{A}\left(S, B^{\prime}, \tau_{*} \gamma\right)\right|$, then $\tau$ cannot be extended to a homeomorphism $(S, B) \xrightarrow{\simeq}\left(S, B^{\prime}\right)$.

Example 4.10. Let $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ be the plane curves of degree 6 in Example 1.6. Consider the finite abelian group $A=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Then each of $\mathcal{C}_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B^{\prime}\right)$ consists of a single element $\gamma$. We have $F_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B, \gamma\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ while $F_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B^{\prime}, \gamma\right)=0$.

The six-cuspidal sextic $B$ is defined by the torus-type equation

$$
f^{3}+g^{2}=0
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} f=2$ and $\operatorname{deg} g=3$, and $f$ and $g$ are chosen generally. The conic $Q$ defined by $f=0$ passes through $\operatorname{Sing} B$, and hence $B$ is called a conical six-cuspidal sextic. The proper transform of $Q$ by $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ splits into the union two irreducible components $\tilde{Q}^{+}$and $\tilde{Q}^{-}$. The class $\left[\tilde{Q}^{+}\right]$is contained in the primitive closure $\Lambda(X)$, and $F_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B, \gamma\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\left[\tilde{Q}^{+}\right]$.

On the other hand, there exist no conics passing through the 6 cusps Sing $B^{\prime}$. The existence of such a non-conical six-cuspidal sextic $B^{\prime}$ was stated by Del Pezzo without proof, and was proved by B. Segre (see [21, page 407]). Zariski also proved the existence in [28]. The explicit defining equation of a non-conical six-cuspidal sextics was given by Oka [13].

Many Zariski pairs of simple sextics have been discovered in [18] and by Xie and Yang in [27] using the idea of Corollary 4.9.

We also have the following corollary, which plays an important role in the next section:

Corollary 4.11. Let $p$ be a prime integer. If we have

$$
\operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\operatorname{disc}\left(T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)\right)<\operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)
$$

then we have $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)_{p} \neq 0$. In particular, if

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)<\operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)
$$

holds, then $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)_{p} \neq 0$.
The second assertion follows from the observation that, for a lattice $L$, we have $\operatorname{leng}_{p}(\operatorname{disc}(L)) \leq \operatorname{rank}(L)$.

## §5. The fundamental group $\pi_{1}(S \backslash B)$

In this section, we give a result on a relation between $\pi_{1}(S \backslash B)$ and the invariants $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma), F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$.

Definition 5.1. Let $M$ be an abelian group, and let $G$ be a group. Suppose that there exists an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have an action $\sigma_{\Gamma}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(M)$ of $G$ on $M$ defined by

$$
\bar{\gamma}(a):=\gamma a \gamma^{-1}
$$

where $\bar{\gamma} \in G$ is the image of $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and $M$ is regarded as a normal subgroup of $\Gamma$. We call $\sigma_{\Gamma}$ the action associated with (5.1).

In this section, we put

$$
U:=S \backslash B
$$

and fix a base point $b \in U$. Let $\varphi: W \rightarrow U$ be a finite étale Galois covering with the Galois group $G$, which is not necessarily abelian. Then the group $G$ acts on $W$ and hence on $H_{1}(W)$ in a natural way. Let

$$
N:=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\rho: \pi_{1}(U, b) \rightarrow G\right),
$$

be the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $\rho: \pi_{1}(U, b) \rightarrow G$ associated with $\varphi$. Then $N$ is (non-canonically) isomorphic to the fundamental group of $W$, and $H_{1}(W)$ is canonically identified with $N /[N, N]$.

The following is well-known, for example, in the study of Alexander polynomials [7].

Proposition 5.2. The action of $G$ on $H_{1}(W)$ is associated with the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow H_{1}(W) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(U, b) /[N, N] \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 5.3. Suppose that there exists a finite étale Galois covering $W \rightarrow U$ with the Galois group $G$ acting on $H_{1}(W)$ non-trivially. Then $\pi_{1}(U, b)$ is non-abelian.

Corollary 5.4. Let $\Gamma$ be a group that fits in an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{g} G \longrightarrow 1
$$

with $M$ being abelian. Suppose that there is a surjective homomorphism $\gamma: \pi_{1}(U, b) \rightarrow \Gamma$. Let $W \rightarrow U$ be the finite étale Galois covering associated with the composite $g \circ \gamma: \pi_{1}(U, b) \rightarrow G$. Then there exists a surjective homomorphism of $G$-modules $H_{1}(W) \rightarrow M$, where $M$ is considered as a $G$-module by $\sigma_{\Gamma}$.

Proof. We have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \rightarrow & \pi_{1}(W) & \rightarrow & \pi_{1}(U) & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & 1 \\
& & & \text { (exact) } \\
0 & \rightarrow & & & \| & & & \\
& & & & & & G & \rightarrow & 1
\end{array} \text { (exact). }
$$

Hence we have a surjective homomorphism $\pi_{1}(W) \rightarrow M$, which factors through the homomorphism of $G$-modules $H_{1}(W) \rightarrow M$. Q.E.D.

We now return to the finite abelian Galois covering

$$
\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow U=S \backslash B
$$

with the Galois group $G=A$ associated with an element $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{A}(S, B)$. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth projective completion. We put

$$
D:=X \backslash W_{\gamma}=\phi^{-1}(B)
$$

and let $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{M}$ be the reduced irreducible components of $D$. We consider the submodule

$$
\Theta(X):=\left\langle\left[D_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[D_{M}\right]\right\rangle \subset H^{2}(X)^{\prime}
$$

of $H^{2}(X)^{\prime}$ generated by $\left[D_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[D_{M}\right]$, and its primitive closure

$$
\Xi(X):=(\Theta(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \cap H^{2}(X)^{\prime}
$$

We put

$$
F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma):=\Xi(X) / \Theta(X)
$$

We can prove the following by the same argument as Proposition 2.4:
Proposition 5.5. The isomorphism class of the finite abelian group $F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)$ is independent of the choice of the smooth projective completion $\phi: X \rightarrow S$.

Therefore, by choosing an $A$-equivariant smooth completion, we see that $A$ acts on $F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)$.

Proposition 5.6. There exists a natural A-equivariant embedding

$$
F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)^{\vee} \hookrightarrow H_{1}\left(W_{\gamma}\right)
$$

where $F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)^{\vee}:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma), \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$.
Proof. We have a canonical isomorphism $H_{1}\left(W_{\gamma}\right) \cong H^{3}(X, D)$. Hence the cokernel of the restriction homomorphism

$$
r_{X}: H^{2}(X) \rightarrow H^{2}(D)=\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}\left[D_{i}\right]
$$

is contained in $H_{1}\left(W_{\gamma}\right)$. Note that $r_{X}$ factors through

$$
s: H^{2}(X)^{\prime} \rightarrow H^{2}(D)
$$

and that $H^{2}(X)^{\prime}$ is a unimodular lattice by the cup-product. Hence $H^{2}(X)^{\prime}$ is self-dual. The submodule $\Theta(X)$ is the image of the dual homomorphism

$$
s^{\vee}: H^{2}(D)^{\vee} \rightarrow H^{2}(X)^{\prime}
$$

of $s$. Thus we have a decomposition

$$
H^{2}(D)^{\vee} \rightarrow \Theta(X) \hookrightarrow \Xi(X) \hookrightarrow H^{2}(X)^{\prime}
$$

of $s^{\vee}$, where $H^{2}(D)^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H^{2}(D), \mathbb{Z}\right)$. The dual homomorphism $H^{2}(X)^{\prime} \rightarrow \Xi(X)^{\vee}$ of the primitive embedding $\Xi(X) \hookrightarrow H^{2}(X)^{\prime}$ is surjective. The dual homomorphism $\Xi(X)^{\vee} \rightarrow \Theta(X)^{\vee}$ of $\Theta(X) \hookrightarrow \Xi(X)$ is injective and its cokernel is canonically isomorphic to

$$
F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)^{\vee}=\operatorname{Hom}(\Xi(X) / \Theta(X), \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z})
$$

The dual homomorphism $\Theta(X)^{\vee} \rightarrow H^{2}(D)$ of the surjective homomorphism $H^{2}(D)^{\vee} \rightarrow \Theta(X)$ is injective. Thus $\operatorname{Coker}(s)=\operatorname{Coker}\left(r_{X}\right)$ contains $F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)^{\vee}$ in a natural way, and hence so does $H_{1}\left(W_{\gamma}\right)$. Q.E.D.
We investigate the relation between $F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)$ and $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$.
Definition 5.7. For a reduced irreducible curve $F$ on $S$, the strict transform of $F$ is the total transform of $F$ by $\phi: X \rightarrow S$ minus the components that are contracted to points by $\phi$.

Remark that the class of the strict transform of any reduced irreducible curve on $S$ is contained in $\Sigma(X)$.

Suppose that $A$ is a cyclic group of prime order $l$. Then, for any reduced irreducible curve $F$ on $S$, the strict transform of $F$ is either reduced, or of the form $l C$ with $C$ being reduced and irreducible. The later occurs if and only if $F$ is an irreducible component $B_{i}$ of $B$ such that $\gamma\left(\left[B_{i}\right]\right) \neq 0$ in $A \cong \mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$.

Assumption 5.8. We consider the following assumptions:
(a) the finite abelian group $A$ is cyclic of prime order $l$,
(b) the classes $\left[B_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[B_{m}\right]$ span $\operatorname{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, and
(c) $\quad \gamma\left(\left[B_{i}\right]\right) \neq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

Proposition 5.9. Suppose that Assumption 5.8 holds. Then, for any prime $p \neq l$, there exists a surjective homomorphism of $A$-modules from $F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)_{p}$ to $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)_{p}$.

Proof. The assumption (b) implies that $\Theta(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}=\Sigma(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Hence we have $\Xi(X)=\Lambda(X)$. Moreover $\Theta(X) \cap \Sigma(X)$ is of finite index in $\Lambda(X)$. We put

$$
\widetilde{F}_{A}:=\Lambda(X) /(\Theta(X) \cap \Sigma(X)) .
$$

The assumptions (a) and (c) imply that

$$
\Theta(X) /(\Theta(X) \cap \Sigma(X))=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\widetilde{F}_{A} \rightarrow F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)\right)
$$

is an elementary $l$-group. Indeed, if $D_{i} \in \mathcal{E}(X)$, then $\left[D_{i}\right] \in \Sigma(X)$, while if $D_{i} \notin \mathcal{E}(X)$, then $D_{i}$ is the reduced part of the strict transform of an irreducible component $B_{j}$ of $B$, and hence $l\left[D_{i}\right] \in \Sigma(X)$. In particular, the natural projection $\widetilde{F}_{A} \rightarrow F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)$ induces $\left(\widetilde{F}_{A}\right)_{p} \cong F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)_{p}$ for $p \neq l$. Therefore the natural projection

$$
\widetilde{F}_{A} \rightarrow F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)
$$

induces a surjective homomorphism from $F_{A}^{\prime}(S, B, \gamma)_{p}$ to $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)_{p}$ for any $p \neq l$.
Q.E.D.

On the other hand, we have the following:
Proposition 5.10. Suppose that Assumption 5.8 holds. If the order of a non-zero element $f \in F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ is not equal to $l$, then $A$ acts on $f$ non-trivially.

Proof. We choose an $A$-equivariant smooth projective completion $\phi: X \rightarrow S$. Suppose that $R$ is a divisor on $X$ such that

$$
f=[R] \bmod \Sigma(X)
$$

Let $H$ be an ample divisor on $S$. Since $\left[\phi^{*} H\right] \in \Sigma(X)$, we can replace $R$ by $R+n\left(\phi^{*} H\right)$ with sufficiently large $n$ if necessary, and assume that $R$ is effective. We write

$$
R=R_{1}+\cdots+R_{N}
$$

where $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{N}$ are reduced and irreducible. Since $\langle\mathcal{E}(X)\rangle \subset \Sigma(X)$, we can assume that each $R_{i}$ is not in $\mathcal{E}(X)$ and hence is mapped by $\phi$ to a curve on $S$. Let $\bar{R}_{i}$ be the reduced irreducible curve on $S$ that is the image of $R_{i}$. Let $d_{i}$ be the degree of $R_{i} \rightarrow \bar{R}_{i}$, which is either 1 or $l$. The divisor $\sum_{g \in A} g\left(R_{i}\right)$ on $X$ is equal to $d_{i}$ times the strict transform of $\bar{R}_{i}$, and hence its class is contained in $\Sigma(X)$. Therefore we have $\sum_{g \in A} g(f)=0$. Since the order of $f \neq 0$ is not equal to $|A|=l$, we have $g(f) \neq f$.
Q.E.D.

Combining all the results, we obtain the following:
Corollary 5.11. Suppose that Assumption 5.8 holds. If we have $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)_{p} \neq 0$ for some $p \neq l$, then $\pi_{1}(S \backslash B)$ acts on $H_{1}\left(W_{\gamma}\right)$ nontrivially and hence is non-abelian.

By Corollary 4.11, we obtain the following:
Corollary 5.12. Suppose that Assumption 5.8 holds. If we have

$$
\operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)>\operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\operatorname{disc}\left(T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)\right)
$$

for some $p \neq l$, then $\pi_{1}(S \backslash B)$ is non-abelian. In particular, if

$$
\operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)>\operatorname{rank}\left(T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)\right)
$$

for some $p \neq l$, then $\pi_{1}(S \backslash B)$ is non-abelian.
We apply these corollaries to the double covering of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ branching along a curve with only simple singularities. Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a plane curve of even degree $d$. Consider the double covering $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash B$ corresponding to $\gamma: H^{2}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gamma\left(\left[B_{i}\right]\right) \neq 0$ for any irreducible component $B_{i}$ of $B$. Suppose that $B$ has only simple singularities, and let $\mu_{B}$ be the total Milnor number of $\operatorname{Sing} B$. Then the normal surface $Y_{\gamma}$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.3 has only rational double points of the total Milnor number equal to $\mu_{B}$. We choose the minimal resolution $X$ of $Y_{\gamma}$ as the smooth projective completion of $\varphi_{\gamma}: W_{\gamma} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash B$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{rank}(\Sigma(X))=1+\mu_{B} \quad \text { and } \\
& b_{2}(X)=\operatorname{rank}(\Sigma(X))+\operatorname{rank}\left(T_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B, \gamma\right)\right)=d^{2}-3 d+4
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain the following corollary, which has been proved in [23].

Corollary 5.13. If $\mu_{B}+\operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\sigma_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B, \gamma\right)\right)>d^{2}-3 d+3$ for some odd prime $p$, then $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash B\right)$ is non-abelian.

See [23] also for various applications of this corollary.
Note that $\operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\sigma_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B, \gamma\right)\right)$ is easily calculated from the $A D E$ type of $\operatorname{Sing} B$. Note also that $\mu_{B}$ and $\operatorname{leng}_{p}\left(\sigma_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B, \gamma\right)\right)$ are both invariants of the configuration type of $B$.

Another corollary is about the relation between the existence of $Z$ splitting curves and $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash B\right)$.

Definition 5.14. Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be as above. A reduced irreducible curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is said to be $Z$-splitting if the strict transform $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset X$ of $\Gamma$ splits into two irreducible components $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{+}, \widetilde{\Gamma}^{-}$and their classes $\left[\widetilde{\Gamma}^{+}\right]$ and $\left[\widetilde{\Gamma}^{-}\right]$are distinct elements of $\Lambda(X)$. The class order of a $Z$-splitting curve $\Gamma$ is the order of $\left[\widetilde{\Gamma}^{+}\right]$in the finite abelian group $F_{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, B, \gamma\right)$.

Corollary 5.15. If $B$ has a $Z$-splitting curve of class order not equal to a power of 2 , then $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash B\right)$ is non-abelian.

Example 5.16. In [18], we have completely classified all $Z$-splitting curves of degree $\leq 3$ for simple sextics by means of period mapping for complex $K 3$ surfaces.

For example, we have found a maximizing sextic $B=C+Q$ of type $A_{3}+A_{5}+A_{11}$ (a union of a conic $C$ and a quartic $Q$ with $A_{5}$ ) with a $Z$ splitting line of class order 12 . By Corollary 5.15 , we see that $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash B\right)$ is non-abelian.

## §6. Computation of the invariants

We close this paper with a remark on the computation of the invariants $T_{A}, F_{A}$ and $\sigma_{A}$. Suppose that we know the structure of $\operatorname{NS}(S)$. The lattice $\Sigma(X)$ and hence its discriminant group $\sigma_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ can be calculated from the configuration type of $B$. In [1], we have developed a general method of Zariski-van Kampen type to calculate the lattice $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$. Hence the order of the finite abelian group $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ can be also calculated. We also obtain some information about the structure of $F_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ from the discriminant groups of $T_{A}(S, B, \gamma)$ and of $\Sigma(X)$ by using Lemma 4.8.
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