

## Representations of nonnegative solutions for parabolic equations

Minoru Murata

### §1. Introduction

This paper is an announcement of results on integral representations of nonnegative solutions to parabolic equations, and gives a representation theorem which is general and applicable to many concrete examples for establishing explicit integral representations.

We consider nonnegative solutions of a parabolic equation

$$(1.1) \quad (\partial_t + L)u = 0 \quad \text{in } D \times (0, T),$$

where  $T$  is a positive number,  $D$  is a non-compact domain of a Riemannian manifold  $M$ ,  $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$ , and  $L$  is a second order elliptic operator on  $D$ . We study the problem:

Determine all nonnegative solutions of the parabolic equation (1.1). This problem is closely related to the Widder type uniqueness theorem for a parabolic equation, which asserts that any nonnegative solution is determined uniquely by its initial value. (For Widder type uniqueness theorems, see [1], [5], [10], [13] and references therein.) We say that **[UP]** (i.e., uniqueness for the positive Cauchy problem) holds for (1.1) when any nonnegative solution of (1.1) with zero initial value is identically zero. When **[UP]** holds for (1.1) the answer to our problem is extremely simple: for any nonnegative solution of (1.1) there exists a

---

Received March 22, 2005.

Revised April 21, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 31C35, 35C15, 31C12, 35J99, 35K15, 35K99, 58J99.

*Key words and phrases*. parabolic equation, nonnegative solution, integral representation, Martin boundary, intrinsic ultracontractivity, semismall perturbation.

unique Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $D$  such that

$$u(x, t) = \int_D p(x, y, t) d\mu(y), \quad x \in D, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

where  $p$  is the minimal fundamental solution for (1.1) (cf. [2], [1]). While [UP] does not hold, however, only few explicit integral representations of nonnegative solutions to parabolic equations are given (cf. [8], [4], [14]). On the other hand, for elliptic equations, there has been a significant progress in determining explicitly Martin boundaries in many important cases (cf. [12] and references therein). Recall that any nonnegative solution of an elliptic equation is represented by an integral of Martin kernels with respect to a Borel measure on the Martin boundary.

The aim of this paper is to give explicit integral representations of nonnegative solutions to parabolic equations for which [UP] does not hold. We give a general and sharp condition under which any nonnegative solution of (1.1) with zero initial value is represented by an integral on the product of the Martin boundary of  $D$  for an elliptic operator associated with  $L$  and the time interval  $[0, T)$ .

## §2. Main results

Let  $M$  be a connected separable  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold with Riemannian metric of class  $C^0$ . Denote by  $\nu$  the Riemannian measure on  $M$ .  $T_x M$  and  $TM$  denote the tangent space to  $M$  at  $x \in M$  and the tangent bundle, respectively. We denote by  $\text{End}(T_x M)$  and  $\text{End}(TM)$  the set of endomorphisms in  $T_x M$  and the corresponding bundle, respectively. The inner product on  $TM$  is denoted by  $\langle X, Y \rangle$ , where  $X, Y \in TM$ ; and  $|X| = \langle X, X \rangle^{1/2}$ . The divergence and gradient with respect to the metric on  $M$  are denoted by  $\text{div}$  and  $\nabla$ , respectively. Let  $D$  be a non-compact domain of  $M$ . Let  $L$  be an elliptic differential operator on  $D$  of the form

$$(2.1) \quad Lu = -m^{-1} \text{div}(mA\nabla u) + Vu,$$

where  $m$  is a positive measurable function on  $D$  such that  $m$  and  $m^{-1}$  are bounded on any compact subset of  $D$ ,  $A$  is a symmetric measurable section on  $D$  of  $\text{End}(TM)$ , and  $V$  is a real-valued measurable function on  $D$  such that

$$V \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(D, m d\nu), \quad \text{for some } p > \max\left(\frac{n}{2}, 1\right).$$

Here  $L_{\text{loc}}^p(D, m d\nu)$  is the set of real-valued functions on  $D$  locally  $p$ -th integrable with respect to  $m d\nu$ . We assume that  $L$  is locally uniformly

elliptic on  $D$ , i.e., for any compact set  $K$  in  $D$  there exists a positive constant  $\lambda$  such that

$$\lambda|\xi|^2 \leq \langle A\xi, \xi \rangle \leq \lambda^{-1}|\xi|^2, \quad x \in K, (x, \xi) \in TM.$$

We assume that the quadratic form  $Q$  on  $C_0^\infty(D)$  defined by

$$Q[u] = \int_D (\langle A\nabla u, \nabla u \rangle + V|u|^2) m d\nu$$

is bounded from below, and put

$$\lambda_0 = \inf \{ Q[u]; u \in C_0^\infty(D), \int_D |u|^2 m d\nu = 1 \}.$$

Denote by  $L_D$  the selfadjoint operator in  $L^2(D; m d\nu)$  associated with the closure of  $Q$ . We assume that  $\lambda_0$  is an eigenvalue of  $L_D$ . Let  $\phi_0$  be the normalized positive eigenfunction for  $\lambda_0$ . Let  $p(x, y, t)$  be the minimal fundamental solution for (1.1), which is equal to the integral kernel of the semigroup  $e^{-tL_D}$  on  $L^2(D, m d\nu)$ .

Our main assumptions are [IU] (i.e., intrinsic ultracontractivity) and [SSP] (i.e., semismall perturbation) as follows.

[IU] For any  $t > 0$ , there exists  $C_t > 0$  such that

$$p(x, y, t) \leq C_t \phi_0(x)\phi_0(y), \quad x, y \in D.$$

This condition implies that  $L_D$  admits a complete orthonormal base of eigenfunctions  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$  repeated according to multiplicity. Furthermore,

$$(2.2) \quad p(x, y, t) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty e^{-\lambda_j t} \phi_j(x)\phi_j(y)$$

(cf. [3], [12] and references therein). Recall that if [IU] holds, then [UP] does not hold for (1.1) and the equation admits a positive solution with zero initial value (cf. [9]); and for a class of parabolic equations, [IU] is equivalent to the existence of such a solution (cf. [10]).

[SSP] For some  $a < \lambda_0$ ,  $1$  is a semismall perturbation of  $L - a$  on  $D$ , i.e., for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact subset  $K$  of  $D$  such that for any  $y \in D \setminus K$

$$\int_{D \setminus K} G(x^0, z)G(z, y)m(z)d\nu(z) \leq \varepsilon G(x^0, y),$$

where  $G$  is the Green function of  $L - a$  on  $D$ , and  $x^0$  is a reference point fixed in  $D$ .

This condition implies that for any  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  the function  $\phi_j/\phi_0$  has a continuous extension  $[\phi_j/\phi_0]$  up to the Martin boundary  $\partial_M D$  of  $D$  for  $L - a$ . (For semismall perturbations, see [11], [16], [12].) The union  $D \cup \partial_M D$  is a compact metric space called the Martin compactification of  $D$  for  $L - a$ . We denote by  $\partial_m D$  the minimal Martin boundary of  $D$  for  $L - a$ . This is a Borel subset of  $\partial_M D$ . Here, we note that  $\partial_M D$  and  $\partial_m D$  are independant of  $a$  in the following sense: if [SSP] holds, then for any  $b < \lambda_0$  there is a homeomorphism  $\Phi$  from the Martin compactification of  $D$  for  $L - a$  onto that for  $L - b$  such that  $\Phi|_D = \text{identity}$  and  $\Phi$  maps the Martin boundary and minimal Martin boundary of  $D$  for  $L - a$  onto those for  $L - b$ , respectively (cf. Theorem 1.4 of [11]).

Now, we are ready to state our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume [IU] and [SSP]. Then, for any nonnegative solution  $u$  of (1.1) there exists a unique pair of Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $D$  and  $\lambda$  on  $\partial_M D \times [0, T)$  such that  $\lambda$  is supported by the set  $\partial_m D \times [0, T)$ ,*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \int_D p(x, y, t) d\mu(y) \\ &+ \int_{\partial_M D \times [0, t)} q(x, \xi, t - s) d\lambda(\xi, s), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x \in D$ ,  $0 < t < T$ . Here  $q(x, \xi, \tau)$  is a continuous function on  $D \times \partial_M D \times (-\infty, \infty)$  defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} q(x, \xi, \tau) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j \tau} \phi_j(x) [\phi_j/\phi_0](\xi), \quad \tau > 0, \\ q(x, \xi, \tau) &= 0, \quad \tau \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the series in (2.4) converges uniformly on  $K \times \partial_M D \times (\delta, \infty)$  for any compact subset  $K$  of  $D$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Furthermore,

$$(2.5) \quad q > 0 \text{ on } D \times \partial_M D \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.6) \quad (\partial_t + L)q(\cdot, \xi, \cdot) = 0 \text{ on } D \times (-\infty, \infty).$$

Conversely, for any Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $D$  and  $\lambda$  on  $\partial_M D \times [0, T)$  such that  $\lambda$  is supported by  $\partial_m D \times [0, T)$  and

$$(2.7) \quad \int_D p(x^0, y, t) d\mu(y) < \infty, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{\partial_M D \times [0,t]} q(x^0, \xi, t - s) d\lambda(\xi, s) < \infty, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

where  $x^0$  is a point fixed in  $D$ , the right hand side of (2.3) is a nonnegative solution of (1.1).

The proof of this theorem is based upon the abstract parabolic Martin representation theorem and Choquet's theorem (cf. [7], [6], [15]), and its key step is to identify the parabolic Martin boundary.

### §3. Examples

In this section we give concrete examples as applications of Theorem 2.1.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  and

$$L = -\Delta + (1 + |x|^2)^{\alpha/2} \quad \text{on} \quad D = \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Then [UP] holds for (1.1) if and only if  $\alpha \leq 2$ ; while [IU] (or [SSP] with  $a = -1$ ) is satisfied if and only if  $\alpha > 2$  (cf. [10], [12]).

(i) Suppose that  $\alpha \leq 2$ . Then for any nonnegative solution  $u$  of (1.1) there exists a unique Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $D$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad u(x, t) = \int_D p(x, y, t) d\mu(y), \quad x \in D, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

Conversely, for any Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $D$  satisfying (2.7), the right hand side of (3.1) is a nonnegative solution of (1.1).

(ii) Suppose that  $\alpha > 2$ . Then the conclusions of Theorem 2.1 hold with

$$(3.2) \quad \partial_M D = \partial_m D = \infty S^{n-1},$$

where  $\infty S^{n-1}$  is the sphere at infinity of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and the Martin compactification  $D^*$  of  $D = \mathbf{R}^n$  with respect to  $L$  is obtained by attaching a sphere  $S^{n-1}$  at infinity:  $D^* = \mathbf{R}^n \sqcup \infty S^{n-1}$ .

Note that the Martin boundary  $\partial_M D$  in the case  $-2 < \alpha \leq 2$  is also equal to that for  $\alpha > 2$ . Nevertheless, when [UP] holds, the elliptic Martin boundary disappears in the parabolic representation theorem; while it enters when [UP] does not hold.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $L = -\Delta$  on a bounded John domain  $D \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , i.e.  $D$  is a bounded domain, and there exist a point  $z^0 \in D$  and a positive

constant  $c_J$  such that each  $z \in D$  can be joined to  $z^0$  by a rectifiable curve  $\gamma(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , with  $\gamma(0) = z$ ,  $\gamma(1) = z^0$ ,  $\gamma \subset D$ , and

$$\text{dist}(\gamma(t), \partial D) \geq c_J \ell(\gamma[0, t]), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

where  $\ell(\gamma[0, t])$  is the length of the curve  $\gamma(s)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . Then the conditions [IU] and [SSP] with  $a = 0$  are satisfied (cf. Example 10.4 of [12]). Thus the conclusions of Theorem 2.1 hold.

Note that the Martin boundary  $\partial_M D$  of  $D$  with respect to  $L = -\Delta$  may be different from the topological boundary  $\partial D$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , although they are equal if  $\partial D$  is not bad (for example, when  $D$  is a Lipschitz domain).

Note added in proof. It has turned out that the condition [IU] implies the condition [SSP] (see Theorem 1.1 of the paper: M. Murata and M. Tomisaki, Integral representations of nonnegative solutions for parabolic equations and elliptic Martin boundaries, Preprint, April 2006). Thus the assumption [SSP] of Theorem 2.1 in this paper is redundant.

## References

- [1] D. G. Aronson, Non-negative solutions of linear parabolic equations, *Ann. Sci. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **22** (1968), 607–694.
- [2] A. Ancona and J. C. Taylor, Some remarks on Widder's theorem and uniqueness of isolated singularities for parabolic equations, in *Partial Differential Equations with Minimal Smoothness and Applications*, eds. Dahlberg et al., Springer, New York, 1992, 15–23.
- [3] E. B. Davies, *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [4] E. B. Fabes, N. Garofalo and S. Salsa, A backward Harnack inequality and Fatou theorem for nonnegative solutions of parabolic equations, *Illinois J. Math.*, **30** (1986), 536–565.
- [5] K. Ishige and M. Murata, Uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations on manifolds or domains, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **30** (2001), 171–223.
- [6] K. Janssen, Martin boundary and  $H^p$ -theory of harmonic spaces, in *Seminar on Potential Theory II*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **226**, Springer, Berlin, 1971, 102–151.
- [7] F.-Y. Maeda, Martin boundary of a harmonic space with adjoint structure and its applications, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **21** (1991), 163–186.
- [8] B. Mair and J. C. Taylor, Integral representation of positive solutions of the heat equation, in *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **1096**, Springer, Berlin, 1984, 419–433.
- [9] M. Murata, Uniform restricted parabolic Harnack inequality, separation principle, and ultracontractivity for parabolic equations, in *Functional*

- Analysis and Related Topics, 1991, Lecture Notes in Math., **1540**, Springer, Berlin, 1993, 277–288.
- [10] M. Murata, Non-uniqueness of the positive Cauchy problem for parabolic equations, *J. Diff. Eq.*, **123** (1995), 343–387.
  - [11] M. Murata, Semismall perturbations in the Martin theory for elliptic equations, *Israel J. Math.*, **102** (1997), 29–60.
  - [12] M. Murata, Martin boundaries of elliptic skew products, semismall perturbations, and fundamental solutions of parabolic equations, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **194** (2002), 53–141.
  - [13] M. Murata, Heat escape, *Math. Ann.*, **327** (2003), 203–226.
  - [14] K. Nyström, The Dirichlet problem for second order parabolic operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **46** (1997), 183–245.
  - [15] R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's Theorem*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1966.
  - [16] Y. Pinchover, Maximum and anti-maximum principles and eigenfunctions estimates via perturbation theory of positive solutions of elliptic equations, *Math. Ann.*, **314** (1999), 555–590.

Minoru Murata

*Department of Mathematics*

*Tokyo Institute of Technology*

*Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 152-8551 Japan*

*E-mail address: minoru3@math.titech.ac.jp*