

## Kato class functions of Markov processes under ultracontractivity

Kazuhiro Kuwae and Masayuki Takahashi

*Dedicated to Professor Shintaro Nakao on his Sixtieth  
Birthday*

### Abstract.

We show that  $f \in L^p(X; m)$  implies  $|f|dm \in S_K^1$  for  $p > D$  with  $D > 0$ , where  $S_K^1$  is a subfamily of Kato class measures relative to a semigroup kernel  $p_t(x, y)$  of a Markov process associated with a (non-symmetric) Dirichlet form on  $L^2(X; m)$ . We only assume that  $p_t(x, y)$  satisfies the Nash type estimate of small time depending on  $D$ . No concrete expression of  $p_t(x, y)$  is needed for the result.

### §1. Introduction

A measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is said to be in the *Kato class*  $K_d$  if

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|x-y| < r} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{d-2}} dy &= 0 \text{ for } d \geq 3, \\ \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|x-y| < r} (\log|x-y|^{-1}) |f(y)| dy &= 0 \text{ for } d = 2, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|x-y| < 1} |f(y)| dy &< \infty \text{ for } d = 1. \end{aligned}$$

---

Received March 15, 2005.

Revised April 11, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 31-XX, 31C15, 31C25, 60G52, 60Jxx, 60J25, 60J45, 60J60, 60J65, 60J75.

*Key words and phrases.* Dirichlet form, Markov process, Kato class, Dynkin class, heat kernel, semigroup kernel, resolvent kernel, Green kernel, ultracontractivity, Nash type inequality, Sobolev inequality, Brownian motion, symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process, relativistic Hamiltonian process, Brownian motion penetrating fractals.

The first author was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 16540201 from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Let  $\mathbf{M}^w = (\Omega, B_t, P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The following theorem is shown in Aizenman and Simon [1]:

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 1.3(ii) in [1]).  *$f \in K_d$  if and only if*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} E_x \left[ \int_0^t |f(B_s)| ds \right] = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^t p_s(x, y) ds \right) |f(y)| dy \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

where  $p_t(x, y) := \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp[-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2t}]$  is the heat kernel of  $\mathbf{M}^w$ .

Zhao [13] extends this in more general setting including a subclass of Lévy processes, but his result does not assure the low dimensional case even if the process is  $\mathbf{M}^w$ . The following is also shown in [1]:

**Theorem 1.2** (cf. Theorem 1.4(iii) in [1]).  *$L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset K_d$  holds if  $p > d/2$  with  $d \geq 2$ , or  $p \geq 1$  with  $d = 1$ .*

Note that there is an  $f \in L^{d/2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus K_d$  for  $d \geq 2$ . Indeed, taking  $g \in C_0([0, 2/e[ \rightarrow [0, \infty))$  with  $g(r) := 1/(r^2 \log r^{-1})$  if  $d \geq 3$ ,  $:= 1/(r^2 (\log r^{-1})^{1+\varepsilon})$ ,  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1[$  if  $d = 2$  for  $r \in [0, 1/e[$ ,  $f(x) := g(|x|)$  does the job through the proof of Proposition 4.10 in [1]. Here (4.10) in [1] should be changed to  $\int_0^{1/e} r (\log r^{-1}) |V(r)| dr < \infty$  if  $d = 2$ .

In the framework of strongly local regular Dirichlet forms with the notions of volume doubling and weak Poincaré inequality, Biroli and Mosco [3] gave a similar result with Theorem 1.2 (see Proposition 3.7 in [3]). Their definition of Kato class depends on the volume growth of balls. The purpose of this note is to show that Theorem 1.2 holds true in more general context replacing  $K_d$  with  $S_K^1$  the family of Kato class smooth measures in the strict sense in terms of semigroup kernel of Markov processes associated with (non-symmetric) Dirichlet forms (see Theorem 2.1 below).

Finally we will announce the content of [10]. In [10], we extend Theorem 1.1, that is, under some conditions, we establish  $K_{d,\beta} = S_K^1$  in the framework of symmetric Markov processes which admits a semigroup kernel possessing upper and lower estimates, which includes the low dimensional case. Here  $K_{d,\beta}$  is the family of Kato class measures in terms of a Green kernel depending on  $d, \beta > 0$ . In particular, Theorem 2.1 below can be strengthened by replacing  $L^p(X; m)$  with  $L_{\text{unif}}^p(X; m)$ .

## §2. Result

Let  $X$  be a locally compact separable metric space and  $m$  a positive Radon measure with full support. Let  $X_\Delta := X \cup \{\Delta\}$  be a one point compactification of  $X$ . We consider and fix a (non-symmetric)

regular Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  on  $L^2(X; m)$ . Then there exists a pair of Hunt processes  $(\mathbf{M}, \widehat{\mathbf{M}})$ ,  $\mathbf{M} = (\Omega, X_t, \zeta, P_x)$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}} = (\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{X}_t, \widehat{\zeta}, \widehat{P}_x)$  such that for each Borel  $u \in L^2(X; m)$ ,  $T_t u(x) = E_x[u(X_t)]$   $m$ -a.e.  $x \in X$  and  $\widehat{T}_t u(x) = \widehat{E}_x[u(\widehat{X}_t)]$   $m$ -a.e.  $x \in X$  for all  $t > 0$ , where  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  (resp.  $(\widehat{T}_t)_{t>0}$ ) is the semigroup associated with  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  (resp.  $(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}})$ ), where  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(u, v) := \mathcal{E}(v, u)$  for  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$  is the dual form of  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ . Here  $\zeta := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid X_t = \Delta\}$  (resp.  $\widehat{\zeta} := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid \widehat{X}_t = \Delta\}$ ) denotes the life time of  $\mathbf{M}$  (resp.  $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$ ). Further, we assume that there exists a kernel  $p_t(x, y)$  defined for all  $(t, x, y) \in ]0, \infty[ \times X \times X$  such that  $E_x[u(X_t)] = P_t u(x) := \int_X p_t(x, y)u(y)m(dy)$  and  $\widehat{E}_x[u(\widehat{X}_t)] = \widehat{P}_t u(x) := \int_X \widehat{p}_t(x, y)u(y)m(dy)$  for any  $x \in X$ , bounded Borel function  $u$  and  $t > 0$ , where  $\widehat{p}_t(x, y) := p_t(y, x)$ .  $p_t(x, y)$  is said to be a *semigroup kernel*, or sometimes called a *heat kernel* of  $\mathbf{M}$  on the analogy of heat kernel of diffusions. Then  $P_t$  and  $\widehat{P}_t$  can be extended to contractive semigroups on  $L^p(X; m)$  for  $p \geq 1$ . The following are well-known:

- (a)  $p_{t+s}(x, y) = \int_X p_s(x, z)p_t(z, y)m(dz)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in X, \forall t, s > 0$ .
- (b)  $p_t(x, dy) = p_t(x, y)m(dy)$ ,  $\forall x \in X, \forall t > 0$ .
- (c)  $\int_X p_t(x, y)m(dy) \leq 1$ ,  $\forall x \in X, \forall t > 0$ .

The same properties also hold for  $\widehat{p}_t(x, y)$ .

**Definition 2.1** (Kato class  $S_K^0$ , Dynkin class  $S_D^0$ ). For a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X$ ,  $\mu$  is said to be in *Kato class relative to the semigroup kernel*  $p_t(x, y)$  (write  $\mu \in S_K^0$ ) if

$$(2.1) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in X} \int_X \left( \int_0^t p_s(x, y)ds \right) \mu(dy) = 0$$

and  $\mu$  is said to be in *Dynkin class relative to the semigroup kernel*  $p_t(x, y)$  (write  $\mu \in S_D^0$ ) if

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{x \in X} \int_X \left( \int_0^t p_s(x, y)ds \right) \mu(dy) < \infty \text{ for } \exists t > 0.$$

Clearly,  $S_K^0 \subset S_D^0$ . The notions  $\widehat{S}_K^0$  and  $\widehat{S}_D^0$  are similarly defined by replacing  $p_t(x, y)$  with  $\widehat{p}_t(x, y)$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Measures of finite energy integrals:  $S_0, S_{00}$ , cf. [6]). A Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is said to be of *finite energy integral* with respect to  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  (write  $\mu \in S_0$ ) if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\int_X |v|d\mu \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_1(v, v)}, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{F} \cap C_0(X).$$

In that case, for every  $\alpha > 0$ , there exist  $U_\alpha\mu, \hat{U}_\alpha\mu \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha(U_\alpha\mu, v) = \mathcal{E}_\alpha(v, \hat{U}_\alpha\mu) = \int_X v(x)\mu(dx), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{F} \cap C_0(X).$$

Moreover we write  $\mu \in S_{00}$  (resp.  $\mu \in \hat{S}_{00}$ ) if  $\mu(X) < \infty$  and  $U_\alpha\mu \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty(X; m)$  (resp.  $\hat{U}_\alpha\mu \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty(X; m)$ ) for some/all  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Definition 2.3** (Smooth measures in the strict sense:  $S_1$ , cf. [6]). A Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is said to be a *smooth measure in the strict sense* with respect to  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  (write  $\mu \in S_1$ ) if there exists an increasing sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of Borel sets such that  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I_{E_n}\mu \in S_{00}$  and  $P_x(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{X \setminus E_n} \geq \zeta) = 1$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ . Here  $\zeta$  is the life time of  $\mathbf{M}$ . The family of *smooth measure in the strict sense* with respect to  $(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{F})$  (write  $\hat{S}_1$ ) can be similarly defined.

**Definition 2.4.** We define  $S_K^1 := S_K^0 \cap S_1$ ,  $S_D^1 := S_D^0 \cap S_1$ ,  $\hat{S}_K^1 := \hat{S}_K^0 \cap \hat{S}_1$  and  $\hat{S}_D^1 := \hat{S}_D^0 \cap \hat{S}_1$ .

We fix  $D > 0$  and assume the Nash type estimate: for each  $t_0 > 0$  we have

$$(2.3) \quad \exists C_{D,t_0} > 0 \text{ s.t. } \sup_{x,y \in X} p_t(x,y) \leq C_{D,t_0} t^{-D}, \quad \forall t \in ]0, t_0[.$$

*Remark 2.1.* The condition (2.3) implies the following:

- (a)  $\exists C_{D,t_0} > 0$  s.t.  $\| |P_t| \|_{1 \rightarrow \infty} \leq C_{D,t_0} t^{-D}$  for any  $t \in ]0, t_0[$ .
- (b) For each  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\exists C_{D,p,t_0} > 0$  s.t.  $\| |P_t| \|_{p \rightarrow \infty} \leq C_{D,p,t_0} t^{-D/p}$  for any  $t \in ]0, t_0[$ .

If  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is a symmetric Dirichlet form, (2.3) is equivalent to one (hence all) of (a),(b). If further  $D > 1$ , (2.3) is also equivalent to the Sobolev inequality (see [5]): there exists  $C_D^* > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$(c) \quad \|u\|_{\frac{2D}{D-1}} \leq C_D^* \mathcal{E}_\gamma(u, u) \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Next theorem extends Theorem 1.2 and the lower estimate of  $p$  in this theorem is best possible as remarked after Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose (2.3) and  $p > D$  with  $D \in [1, \infty[$  or  $p \geq 1$  with  $D \in ]0, 1[$ . Then  $f \in L^p(X; m)$  implies  $|f|dm \in S_K^1 \cap \hat{S}_K^1$ .*

### §3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We set  $r_\alpha(x, y) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} p_t(x, y) dt$ . First we show the following:

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\mu \in S_K^0$  is equivalent to

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in X} \int_X r_\alpha(x, y) \mu(dy) = 0$$

and  $\mu \in S_D^0$  is equivalent to

$$(3.2) \quad \sup_{x \in X} \int_X r_\alpha(x, y) \mu(dy) < \infty, \quad \exists \alpha > 0.$$

*Proof.* We first show (2.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3.1). Take  $\alpha_0 > 0$  with  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X r_\alpha(x, y) \mu(dy) \\ &= \int_X \int_0^t e^{-\alpha s} p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy) + \int_X \int_t^\infty e^{-\alpha s} p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy) \\ &\leq \int_X \int_0^t p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy) + e^{-(\alpha - \alpha_0)t} \int_X \int_t^\infty e^{-\alpha_0 s} p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \int_t^\infty e^{-\alpha_0 s} p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy) &= \int_X \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{kt}^{(k+1)t} e^{-\alpha_0 s} p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_X \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_0(u+kt)} p_{u+kt}(x, y) du \mu(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p_{u+kt}(x, y) = \int_X p_{kt}(x, z) p_u(z, y) m(dz)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \int_t^\infty e^{-\alpha_0 s} p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-\alpha_0 kt} \int_X p_{kt}(x, z) \int_X \int_0^t e^{-\alpha_0 u} p_u(z, y) du \mu(dy) m(dz) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-\alpha_0 kt} \int_X p_{kt}(x, z) \int_X \int_0^t p_u(z, y) du \mu(dy) m(dz). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1),  $N_t := \sup_{z \in X} \int_X \int_0^t p_u(z, y) du \mu(dy) < \infty$ . Then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in X} \int_X r_\alpha(x, y) \mu(dy) \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in X} \int_X \int_0^t p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy) + \frac{e^{-\alpha t}}{1 - e^{-\alpha_0 t}} N_t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in X} \int_X r_\alpha(x, y) \mu(dy) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \int_X \int_0^t p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Next we show (3.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.1). We have

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{x \in X} \int_X \int_0^t p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy) \leq e^{\alpha t} \sup_{x \in X} \int_X r_\alpha(x, y) \mu(dy).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in X} \int_X \int_0^t p_s(x, y) ds \mu(dy) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \int_X r_\alpha(x, y) \mu(dy) \xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

The implications (3.2)  $\iff$  (2.2) are clear from (3.3) and (3.4). □

**Lemma 3.2.** *The following are equivalent to each other.*

- (a)  $\mu \in S_D^0$ .
- (b)  $\sup_{x \in X} \int_X \left( \int_0^t p_s(x, y) ds \right) \mu(dy) < \infty$  for  $\forall t > 0$ .
- (c)  $\sup_{x \in X} \int_X r_\alpha(x, y) \mu(dy) < \infty$  for  $\forall \alpha > 0$ .

*Proof.* We first show (a)  $\implies$  (b). Suppose that (a) holds for some  $t_0 > 0$ . For any  $t > 0$ , we take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $t \leq nt_0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in X} \int_X \left( \int_0^t p_s(x, y) ds \right) \mu(dy) \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in X} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_X p_{kt_0}(x, z) \left( \int_0^{t_0} \int_X p_s(z, y) \mu(dy) ds \right) m(dz) \\ & \leq n \sup_{x \in X} \int_0^{t_0} \left( \int_X p_s(x, y) \mu(dy) \right) ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(b)  $\implies$  (c) is clear from (3.3) and (c)  $\implies$  (a) is clear. □

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\mu \in S_D^0$  is a positive Radon measure on  $X$ . Then  $\mu \in S_1$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for a positive Radon measure  $\mu \in S_D^0$ ,  $I_K \mu \in S_0$  for any compact set  $K$ . Indeed, there exists an increasing sequence  $\{G_n\}$  of relatively compact open set with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n = X$ . Then we see  $I_{G_n} \mu \in S_{00}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which implies  $\mu \in S_1$  by Theorem 5.1.7(iii) in [6]. Though the framework of Theorem 5.1.7(iii) in [6] is symmetric, its proof only depends on the quasi-left-continuity of  $\mathbf{M}$  and

remains valid in the present context. We show  $I_K\mu \in S_0$  for a compact set  $K$ . Fix  $\alpha > 0$  and set  $R_\alpha\mu(x) := \int_X r_\alpha(x, y)\mu(dy)$ . First we show  $R_\alpha(I_K\mu) \in L^2(X; m)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_\alpha(I_K\mu)\|_2^2 &\leq \|R_\alpha(I_K\mu)\|_\infty \|R_\alpha(I_K\mu)\|_1 \\ &= \|R_\alpha(I_K\mu)\|_\infty \langle I_K\mu, \hat{R}_\alpha 1 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \|R_\alpha(I_K\mu)\|_\infty \mu(K) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove  $R_\alpha(I_K\mu) \in \mathcal{F}$ . It suffices to show

$$\sup_{\beta > 0} \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(\beta)}(R_\alpha(I_K\mu), R_\alpha(I_K\mu)) < \infty,$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(\beta)}(u, v) := \beta(u - \beta R_{\beta+\alpha}u, v)_m$  for  $u, v \in L^2(X; m)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\beta > 0} \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(\beta)}(R_\alpha(I_K\mu), R_\alpha(I_K\mu)) &= \sup_{\beta > 0} \beta(R_{\beta+\alpha}(I_K\mu), R_\alpha(I_K\mu))_m \\ &= \|R_\alpha(I_K\mu)\|_\infty \sup_{\beta > 0} \beta \langle I_K\mu, \hat{R}_{\beta+\alpha} 1 \rangle \\ &\leq \|R_\alpha(I_K\mu)\|_\infty \mu(K) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we prove  $I_K\mu \in S_0$  and  $R_\alpha(I_K\mu) = U_\alpha(I_K\mu)$ . It suffices to show that for any  $v \in \mathcal{F} \cap C_0(X)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\alpha(R_\alpha(I_K\mu), v) &= \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{(\beta)}(R_\alpha(I_K\mu), v) \\ &= \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \beta(R_{\beta+\alpha}(I_K\mu), v)_m \\ &= \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \beta \langle I_K\mu, \hat{R}_{\beta+\alpha} v \rangle = \langle I_K\mu, v \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the right continuity of the sample paths of  $\widehat{M}$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* By duality, it suffices only to prove that  $f \in L^p(X; m)$  implies  $|f|dm \in S_K^1$ . Take  $p > D$  with  $D \in [1, \infty[$  or  $p \geq 1$  with  $D \in ]0, 1[$ . Since  $\|P_t\|_{p \rightarrow \infty} \leq C_{D,p,t_0} t^{-D/p}$  for  $t \in ]0, t_0[$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in X} \int_X \left( \int_0^t p_s(x, y) ds \right) |f(y)| m(dy) &= \sup_{x \in X} \int_0^t \left( \int_X |f(y)| p_s(x, y) m(dy) \right) ds \\ &\leq C_{D,p,t_0} \|f\|_p \int_0^t s^{-D/p} ds \\ &= C_{D,p,t_0} \|f\|_p \frac{p}{p-D} t^{1-D/p} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $|f|dm \in S_K^0$ . Since  $|f|dm$  with  $f \in L^p(X; m)$  is a Radon measure, we conclude  $|f|dm \in S_1$  by Proposition 3.1. Therefore  $|f|dm \in S_K^1$ .  $\square$

#### §4. Examples

**Example 4.1** (Symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process). Take  $\alpha \in ]0, 2[$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}^\alpha = (\Omega, X_t, P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$  be the symmetric  $\alpha$ -stable process on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , that is, Lévy process satisfying  $E_0[e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle \xi, X_t \rangle}] = e^{-t|\xi|^\alpha}$ . It is well-known that  $\mathbf{M}^\alpha$  admits a semigroup kernel  $p_t(x, y)$  satisfying the following (cf. [2],[7]):  $\exists C_i = C_i(\alpha, d) > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  such that for all  $(t, x, y) \in ]0, \infty[ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\frac{C_1}{t^{d/\alpha}} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{t^{1/\alpha}}\right)^{d+\alpha}} \leq p_t(x, y) \leq \frac{C_2}{t^{d/\alpha}} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{t^{1/\alpha}}\right)^{d+\alpha}}.$$

Similar estimate holds for jump type process over  $d$ -sets (see [4]). In particular, there exists  $C_2 = C_2(\alpha, d) > 0$  with  $p_t(x, y) \leq C_2 t^{-d/\alpha}$  for  $(t, x, y) \in ]0, \infty[ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then we have that  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  implies  $\int f(x)dx \in S_K^1$  if  $p > d/\alpha$  with  $d \geq \alpha$ , or  $p \geq 1$  with  $d < \alpha$ .

**Example 4.2** (Relativistic Hamiltonian process). Let  $\mathbf{M}^H$  be the relativistic Hamiltonian process on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with mass  $m > 0$ , that is,  $\mathbf{M}^H = (\Omega, X_t, P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$  is a Lévy process satisfying

$$E_0[e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle \xi, X_t \rangle}] = e^{-t(\sqrt{|\xi|^2 + m^2} - m)}.$$

It is shown in [8], the semigroup kernel  $p_t(x, y)$  of  $\mathbf{M}^H$  is given by

$$p_t(x, y) = (2\pi)^{-d} \frac{t}{\sqrt{|x-y|^2 + t^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{mt} e^{-\sqrt{(|x-y|^2 + t^2)(|z|^2 + m^2)}} dz.$$

Hence we have that for each  $t_0 > 0$ , there exist  $C_i = C_i(d) > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  independent of  $t_0$  such that for any  $t \in ]0, t_0[$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\frac{C_1}{t^d} \frac{e^{-m|x-y|}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|^2}{t^2}\right)^{(d+1)/2}} \leq p_t(x, y) \leq \frac{C_2}{t^d} \frac{e^{mt_0}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|^2}{t^2}\right)^{(d+1)/2}}.$$

In particular,  $\sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, y) \leq C_2 e^{mt_0} / t^d$  for  $t \in ]0, t_0[$ . Then we have that  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  implies  $\int f(x)dx \in S_K^1$  for  $p > d$ .

**Example 4.3** (Brownian motion penetrating fracrals, cf. [9]). The diffusion process on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  constructed in [9] admits the heat kernel  $p_t(x, y)$  which has the following upper estimate: there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x,y) \leq Ct^{-d/2}$  if  $t \in ]0,1]$ . Hence  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  implies  $|f(x)|dx \in S_K^1$  for  $p > d/2$  with  $d \geq 2$  or  $p \geq 1$  with  $d = 1$ .

**Example 4.4** (Diffusions with bounded drift). Let  $a$  be the symmetric matrix valued measurable function such that  $\lambda|\xi|^2 \leq \langle a(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq \Lambda|\xi|^2, \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for  $0 < \exists \lambda \leq \exists \Lambda$ . Let  $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded measurable function and assume  $\text{div } b \geq 0$  in the distributional sense. Consider  $(\mathcal{E}^{a,b}, C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^{a,b}(u,v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle a(x)\nabla u(x), \nabla v(x) \rangle dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle b(x), \nabla u(x) \rangle v(x) dx$$

for  $u, v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then we see  $\mathcal{E}^{a,b}(u,u) \geq 0$  for  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $(\mathcal{E}^{a,b}, C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$  is closable on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (see Chapter II 2(d) in [11]). We denote by  $(\mathcal{E}^{a,b}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$  its closure on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $(\mathcal{E}^{a,b}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$  is a non-symmetric Dirichlet form on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Let  $\{T_t^{a,b}\}_{t>0}$  be the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -semigroups associated with  $(\mathcal{E}^{a,b}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . Then, by §II. 2 in [12],  $T_t^{a,b}$  admits a heat kernel  $p_t^{a,b}(x,y)$  on  $]0, \infty[ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $P_t^{a,b}f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t^{a,b}(x,y)f(y)dy$  is an  $m$ -version of  $T_t^{a,b}f$  for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $p_t^{a,b}(x,y)$  satisfies the Aronson's estimates: (see (II. 2.4) in [12]) there exists an  $M := M(\lambda, \Lambda, d) \in [1, \infty)$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in ]0, 1[$

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{Mt^{d/2}} e^{-M(t+|x-y|^2/t)} \leq p_t^{a,b}(x,y) \leq \frac{M}{t^{d/2}} e^{Mt-|x-y|^2/Mt}.$$

In particular,  $\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d} p_t^{a,b}(x,y) \leq Me^M/t^{d/2}$  for all  $t \in ]0, 1[$ , hence  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  implies  $|f(x)|dx \in S_K^1 \cap \hat{S}_K^1$  for  $p > d/2$  with  $d \geq 2$ , or  $p \geq 1$  with  $d = 1$ .

### References

- [ 1 ] M. Aizenman and B. Simon, Brownian motion and Harnack inequality for Schrödinger operators, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **35** (1982), 209–273, MR MR644024 (84a:35062).
- [ 2 ] A. Bendikov, Asymptotic formulas for symmetric stable semigroups, *Exposition. Math.*, **12** (1994), 381–384, MR MR1297844 (95j:60029).
- [ 3 ] M. Biroli and U. Mosco, Kato space for Dirichlet forms, *Potential Anal.*, **10** (1999), 327–345, MR MR1697253 (2000h:31008).
- [ 4 ] Z. Q. Chen and T. Kumagai, Heat kernel estimates for stable-like processes on  $d$ -sets, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **108** (2003), 27–62, MR MR2008600 (2005d:60135).
- [ 5 ] T. Coulhon, Heat kernels on non-compact Riemannian manifolds: a partial survey, *Séminaire de Théorie Spectrale et Géométrie*, No. 15, Année

- 1996–1997, Sémin. Théor. Spectr. Géom., vol. 15, Univ. Grenoble I, Saint, 1998, pp. 167–187, MR MR1604279 (99e:58175).
- [ 6 ] M. Fukushima, Y. Ōshima and M. Takeda, Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **19**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994, MR MR1303354 (96f:60126).
- [ 7 ] A. Grigor'yan, Heat kernels and function theory on metric measure spaces, Heat kernels and analysis on manifolds, graphs, and metric spaces (Paris, 2002), Contemp. Math., **338**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 143–172, MR MR2039954 (2005c:60096).
- [ 8 ] I. W. Herbst and A. D. Sloan, Perturbation of translation invariant positivity preserving semigroups on  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **236** (1978), 325–360, MR MR0470750 (57 #10496).
- [ 9 ] T. Kumagai, Brownian motion penetrating fractals: an application of the trace theorem of Besov spaces, J. Funct. Anal., **170** (2000), 69–92, MR MR1736196 (2001a:31009).
- [10] K. Kuwae and M. Takahashi, Kato class measures of symmetric Markov processes under heat kernel estimates, preprint, 2005.
- [11] Z. M. Ma and M. Röckner, Introduction to the theory of (nonsymmetric) Dirichlet forms, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992, MR MR1214375 (94d:60119).
- [12] D. W. Stroock, Diffusion semigroups corresponding to uniformly elliptic divergence form operators, Séminaire de Probabilités, XXII, Lecture Notes in Math., **1321**, Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 316–347, MR MR960535 (90b:35071).
- [13] Z. Zhao, A probabilistic principle and generalized Schrödinger perturbation, J. Funct. Anal., **101** (1991), 162–176, MR MR1132313 (93f:60116).

Kazuhiro Kuwae

*Department of Mathematics*

*Faculty of Education*

*Kumamoto University*

*Kumamoto 860–8500*

*Japan*

*E-mail address: kuwae@gpo.kumamoto-u.ac.jp*

Masayuki Takahashi

*The Japan Research Institute, Limited*

*Toei Mishuku Bldg. 1-13-1*

*Mishuku, Setagaya-ku*

*Tokyo 154–0005*

*Japan*

*E-mail address: masayuki\_0116@hotmail.com*