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# Invariants for Representations of Weyl Groups, Two-sided Cells, and Modular Representations of Iwahori-Hecke Algebras 

Akihiko Gyoja, Kyo Nishiyama and Kenji Taniguchi

## §1. Introduction

## 1.1. $q$-Series identity.

Let $s_{\lambda}(x)$ be the Schur function in infinite variables $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ corresponding to a Young diagram $\lambda$. For each node $v$ in the diagram $\lambda$, $h(v)$ denotes the hook length of $\lambda$ at $v$. Cf. [9] for the Young diagrams and related notions. In a recent work [7], Kawanaka obtained a $q$-series identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda} I_{\lambda}(q) s_{\lambda}(x)=\prod_{i} \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1+x_{i} q^{r+1}}{1-x_{i} q^{r}} \prod_{i<j} \frac{1}{1-x_{i} x_{j}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}(q)=\prod_{v \in \lambda} \frac{1+q^{h(v)}}{1-q^{h(v)}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sum on the left hand side of (1) is taken over all Young diagrams $\lambda$. If $q=0$, then (1) reduces to the Schur-Littlewood identity.

Using (1), Kawanaka showed that for a Youndg diagram $\lambda$ with $n$ nodes, (2) is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}(q)=\left|\mathfrak{S}_{n}\right|^{-1} \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} \chi_{\lambda}\left(s^{2}\right) \frac{\operatorname{det}(1+q \rho(s))}{\operatorname{det}(1-q \rho(s))} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{\lambda}$ is the irreducible character of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ corresponding to $\lambda$ and $\rho: \mathfrak{S}_{n} \rightarrow G L_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ by permutation matrices.

Since (3) is expressed in terms of the symmetric group and its representation, we can generalize such rational function for characters of other Weyl groups.

Definition 1.1. Let $W$ be a Weyl group acting on a complex vector space $\mathfrak{h}$ faithfully as a reflection group. For a character $\chi$ of a finite dimensional representation $\pi$ of $W$, we define a rational function of an indeterminate $q$ by

$$
I_{W}(\chi ; q)=|W|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi\left(w^{2}\right) \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(1+\left.q w\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.q w\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)}
$$

and we call it the Kawanaka invariant of $\pi$.
The main object of this paper is the expression for the Kawanaka invariants. We have obtained it in the $B_{l}$-case, which is stated in $\S 2$ (Theorem 2.1). This is not an immediate corollary of Kawanaka's result; in fact, we need a non-trivial argument. If we proceed to the $D_{l}$-case, the situation becomes much more difficult. We succeeded in expressing it by means of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients (Theorem 2.2) and we obtained a conjectural formula for it (Conjecture 3.2). These are included in $\S 2$ and $\S 3$.

### 1.2. Invariants for cells.

The Kawanaka invariant plays a role as an invariant for two-sided cells.

In [4], a polynomial invariant

$$
\tau^{*}(\chi ; t):=\chi(e)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi(w) t^{\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{h}^{w}}
$$

is defined for a character $\chi$ of a finite dimensional representation $\pi$ of $W$. Here, $\mathfrak{h}^{w}$ is the subset of $w$-fixed vectors in $\mathfrak{h}$. It is observed that, if $W$ is of type $A_{l}$ or $B_{l}$, then $\tau^{*}$ characterizes the two-sided cells. If $W$ is not of these types, some deviation occurs. Trying to save this defect, a modified invariant

$$
\tilde{\tau}(\chi ; q, y):=|W|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi(w) \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(1+\left.y w\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.q w\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)}
$$

motivated by [1]Chap. V, §5, Ex. 3, is introduced, and the relationship between $\tilde{\tau}$ and the two-sided cells is studied in [4]. Note that

$$
|W|^{-1} \tau^{*}(\chi ; t)=\lim _{q \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\tau}(\chi ; q,-1+t(1-q))
$$

Hence, in principle, we can extract information on $\tau^{*}$ from $\tilde{\tau}$. In other words, $\tilde{\tau}$ is a refinement of the invariant $\tau^{*}$.

Because of the resemblance between the definition of $\tilde{\tau}$ and $I_{W}$, we expect that the Kawanaka invariant is also related to the two-sided cells. Detailed discussion on the two-sided cells and invariants $\tau^{*}, \tilde{\tau}, I_{W}$ is contained in $\S 4$.

## Added on March 23, 1999.

After completing the first draft, the authors learned from Kawanaka his recent result, which incidentally implies our Conjecture 3.2. Thus our conjecture is affirmatively settled.

## §2. Expression of the Kawanaka invariant

In this section, we present closed expression for Kawanaka invariants.

## 2.1. $A_{l}$-case.

As is explained in $\S 1$, the Kawanaka invariant for representations of symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{l}$ is given by

$$
I_{\mathfrak{S}_{l}}\left(\chi_{\lambda} ; q\right)=\prod_{v \in \lambda} \frac{1+q^{h(v)}}{1-q^{h(v)}}
$$

## 2.2. $\quad B_{l}$-case.

In the $B_{l}$-case, we have similar expression. The irreducible representation of $W=W\left(B_{l}\right) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{l} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{l}$ is parametrized by the ordered pair ( $\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}$ ) of Young diagrams (cf. [8]). Let $\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ be the corresponding irreducible character.

Theorem 2.1 ([5]). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{W\left(B_{l}\right)}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right) & =\prod_{v^{\prime} \in \lambda^{\prime}} \frac{1+q^{2 h\left(v^{\prime}\right)}}{1-q^{2 h\left(v^{\prime}\right)}} \prod_{v^{\prime \prime} \in \lambda^{\prime \prime}} \frac{1+q^{2 h\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)}}{1-q^{2 h\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)}} \\
& =I_{\mathfrak{S}_{l^{\prime}}}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}} ; q^{2}\right) I_{\mathfrak{S}_{l^{\prime \prime}}}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l^{\prime}=\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|$ and $l^{\prime \prime}=\left|\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right|$.

## 2.3. $D_{l}$-case.

Let us denote the restriction of $\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ of $W\left(B_{l}\right)$ to $W\left(D_{l}\right) \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{l} \ltimes$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{l-1}$ by the same symbol $\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}}$. If $\lambda^{\prime} \neq \lambda^{\prime \prime}$, then $\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ is an irreducible
character. If $\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime \prime}$, then $\chi_{\lambda, \lambda}$ decomposes into two inequivalent irreducible characters $\chi_{\lambda}^{I}$ and $\chi_{\lambda}^{I I}$, which are interchanged by the outer automorphism induced from the conjugation by the non-unit element of $W\left(B_{l}\right) / W\left(D_{l}\right)$. So we have $I_{W\left(D_{l}\right)}\left(\chi_{\lambda}^{I} ; q\right)=I_{W\left(D_{l}\right)}\left(\chi_{\lambda}^{I I} ; q\right)=$ $I_{W\left(D_{l}\right)}\left(\chi_{\lambda, \lambda} ; q\right) / 2$. Therefore, it is enough to compute $I_{W\left(D_{l}\right)}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)$ for obtaining Kawanaka invariants in the $D_{l}$-case.

Denote by $\varepsilon$ the one dimensional representation of $W\left(B_{l}\right)$, induced from $W\left(B_{l}\right) \rightarrow W\left(B_{l}\right) / W\left(D_{l}\right) \simeq\{0,1\} \ni \epsilon \mapsto(-1)^{\epsilon}$. Since $W\left(D_{l}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon$ and $\left|W\left(B_{l}\right)\right|=2\left|W\left(D_{l}\right)\right|$, we have

$$
I_{W\left(D_{l}\right)}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)=I_{W\left(B_{l}\right)}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)+I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right),
$$

where

$$
I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)=\left|W\left(B_{l}\right)\right|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W\left(B_{l}\right)} \chi\left(w^{2}\right) \varepsilon(w) \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(1+\left.q w\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.q w\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)}
$$

Since the explicit form of $I_{W\left(B_{l}\right)}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)$ is known (Theorem 2.1), in order to determine the explicit form of $I_{W\left(D_{l}\right)}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)$ it is enough to determine $I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)$.

Unfortunately, we have not obtained a closed formula of $I^{*}$. The next theorem is the expression by means of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.

Theorem 2.2 ([5]). Denote by $c_{\nu, \mu}^{\lambda}$ the Littlewood-Richardson coefficient. Then $I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)= & \sum_{N=0}^{\min \left\{\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|,\left|\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\}} q^{l-2 N}  \tag{4}\\
& \times \sum_{\nu^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime \prime}}\left(\sum_{|\mu|=N} c_{\nu^{\prime}, \mu}^{\lambda^{\prime}} c_{\nu^{\prime \prime}, \mu}^{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right) G\left(\chi_{\nu^{\prime}} ; q^{2}\right) G\left(\chi_{\nu^{\prime \prime}} ; q^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
G\left(\chi_{\lambda} ; q\right)=q^{n(\lambda)} \prod_{v \in \lambda} \frac{1+q^{c(v)}}{1-q^{h(v)}}
$$

### 2.4. Other cases.

For Weyl groups of exceptional types and for dihedral groups, we have calculated the Kawanaka invariants of all the irreducible representations explicitly.

## §3. Conjectures on Kawanaka invariants of type $D_{l}$

In this section, we give two conjectures, which are formulated in [5]. The first one follows from the second one. The second one is of purely combinatorial nature, which involves only an identity of polynomial functions.

### 3.1. Conjectural formula for $I^{*}$.

For partitions $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ with $l\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \leq 3$ and $\left|\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 3$, we calculated (4) explicitly with the help of Mathematica, and we obtained a conjectural formula of $I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)$.

Definition 3.1 (The rational function $\left.T_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}}(q)\right)$. If $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime} \geq\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{2}^{\prime} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}^{\prime} \geq 0\right)$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime} \geq \lambda_{2}^{\prime \prime} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}^{\prime \prime} \geq 0\right)$ are a pair of partitions, put $\mu_{i}^{\prime}:=\lambda_{i}^{\prime}+n-i, \mu_{i}^{\prime \prime}:=\lambda_{i}^{\prime \prime}+n-i$, and define new partitions by $\mu^{\prime}:=\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots\right)$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime}:=\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots\right)$. Put

$$
\begin{array}{r}
T_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}}(q):=2^{n} q^{\left|\mu^{\prime}\right|+\left|\mu^{\prime \prime}\right|} \prod_{v^{\prime} \in \lambda^{\prime}} \frac{1+q^{2 h\left(v^{\prime}\right)}}{1-q^{2 h\left(v^{\prime}\right)}} \prod_{v^{\prime \prime} \in \lambda^{\prime \prime}} \frac{1+q^{2 h\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)}}{1-q^{2 h\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)}} \\
\times \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(q^{2 \mu_{j}^{\prime}}+q^{2 \mu_{i}^{\prime}}\right)\left(q^{2 \mu_{j}^{\prime \prime}}+q^{2 \mu_{i}^{\prime \prime}}\right)}{\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}\left(q^{2 \mu_{i}^{\prime}}+q^{2 \mu_{j}^{\prime \prime}}\right)} .
\end{array}
$$

Our first conjecture is as follows.
Conjecture 3.2 (A closed formula for $\left.I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)\right)$.

$$
I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)=T_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}}(q)
$$

Example 3.3. 1. If $\lambda^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$, we get $I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \emptyset} ; q\right)=T_{\lambda^{\prime}, \emptyset}(q)$ from (4).
2. If $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ correspond to trivial representations, i.e. $\lambda^{\prime}=\left[l^{\prime}\right]$, $\lambda^{\prime \prime}=\left[l^{\prime \prime}\right]$, we can prove $I^{*}\left(\chi_{\left[l^{\prime}\right],\left[l^{\prime \prime}\right]} ; q\right)=T_{\left[l^{\prime}\right],\left[l^{\prime \prime}\right]}(q)$ by induction on $\min \left\{l^{\prime}, l^{\prime \prime}\right\}$.
3. As is written at the beginning of this subsection, if $l\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \leq 3$ and $\left|\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 3$, our conjecture is true. We check it by the aid of Mathematica.

Remark 3.4. If $\lambda=\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime \prime}$, it is not difficult to see

$$
T_{\lambda, \lambda}(q)=\left(\prod_{v \in \lambda} \frac{1+q^{2 h(v)}}{1-q^{2 h(v)}}\right)^{2}=I_{\mathfrak{S}_{|\lambda|}}\left(\chi_{\lambda} ; q^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

### 3.2. A recursive formula for $I^{*}$.

Toward the proof of Conjecture 3.2, we exploited a recursive formula for $I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)$.

Define an inner product on the space of symmetric functions with $n$ variables $y=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ by $\left\langle s_{\lambda^{\prime}}(y), s_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}(y)\right\rangle_{G L_{n}(y)}:=\delta_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}}$, where $s_{\lambda}(y)$ 's are the Schur functions. For infinitely many variables $x=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right)$, consider $s_{\lambda}(x, y)$ 's as symmetric functions in $y$, and put $\tilde{I}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime} ; \lambda^{\prime \prime}}, x\right):=\left\langle s_{\lambda^{\prime}}(x, y), s_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}(x, y)\right\rangle_{G L_{n}(y)}$. Consider the specialization

$$
\text { elementary symmetric function } e_{r}(x) \mapsto q^{r} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1+q^{2 i-2}}{1-q^{2 i}}
$$

By this specialization, $s_{\lambda}(x)$ becomes $q^{|\lambda|} G\left(\lambda ; q^{2}\right)$, and $I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; q\right)$ is the result coming out from $\tilde{I}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}} ; x\right)=\sum_{\mu} s_{\lambda^{\prime} / \mu}(x) s_{\lambda^{\prime \prime} / \mu}(x)$.

Theorem 3.5 (A recursive formula for $I^{*}$ ). Fix partitions $\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}$ and a positive integer $r$. Denote by $V(r)$ the set of all vertical $r$-strips, i.e., the skew diagrams which have at most one square in each row. Then

$$
\sum_{\substack{\mu^{\prime} \\ \mu^{\prime}-\lambda^{\prime} \in V(r)}} I^{*}\left(\chi_{\mu^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}}, q\right)=\sum_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ i+j=r}} e_{i} \sum_{\substack{\mu^{\prime \prime} \\ \lambda^{\prime \prime}-\mu^{\prime \prime} \in V(j)}} I^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime \prime}}, q\right)
$$

Thanks to this theorem, our first conjecture reduces to the following second conjecture.

Conjecture 3.6. $\quad T_{\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ satisfies the same recursive formula.

## §4. Application - Invariants for two-sided cells

In this section, we discuss the two-sided cells and the invariants $\tau^{*}$, $\tilde{\tau}, I_{W}$.

Here we do not reproduce the definition of the two-sided cell [8] §4.2, but we note that this concept is important in the representation theory, e.g., in the work of A. Joseph [6] on the classification of primitive ideals of the enveloping algebras of complex semisimple Lie algebras, and in the work of G.Lusztig [8] on the classification and the description of irreducible characters of finite Chevalley groups.

### 4.1. Invariant $\tau^{*}$.

Let us recall the definition of $\tau^{*}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$. We assume the same notation as in Definition 1.1.

Definition 4.1. For a character $\chi$ of a finite dimensional representation of a Weyl group $W$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{*}(\chi ; t) & =\chi(e)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi(w) t^{\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{h}^{w}} \quad \text { and } \\
\tilde{\tau}(\chi ; q, y) & =|W|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi(w) \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(1+\left.y w\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(1-\left.q w\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 4.2. Let $\chi_{\lambda}$ be the irreducible character of $\mathfrak{S}_{l}$, associated to the Young diagram $\lambda$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{*}\left(\chi_{\lambda} ; t\right) & =\prod_{v \in \lambda}(t+c(v)) \text { and } \\
\tilde{\tau}\left(\chi_{\lambda} ; q, y\right) & =q^{n(\lambda)} \prod_{v \in \lambda} \frac{1+y q^{c(v)}}{1-q^{h(v)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c(v)$ 's are the contents, and

$$
n(\lambda):=\sum_{i>0}(i-1) \lambda_{i} \text { where } \lambda=\left(\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots\right)
$$

For the Weyl group of type $B_{l}$, we also have a similar formula for $\tau^{*}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ (Cf. [4]). Especially, they are factorized analogously.

Looking over these results, we can observe a curious phenomenon.
Observation 4.3 ([4]). Let $W$ be the Weyl group of type $A_{l}$ or $B_{l}$ $(l>2)$, then for two irreducible character $\chi$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ of $W$, the two invariants $\tau^{*}(\chi ; t)$ and $\tau^{*}\left(\chi^{\prime} ; t\right)$ coincide if and only if $\chi$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ belong to the same two-sided cell.

The arguments used in the theory of two-sided cells is sometimes very deep, based on IC-complexes, $D$-modules, and so on. Sometimes it is very ad hoc. Therefore it is surprising that such an easy invariant like $\tau^{*}$ characterizes two-sided cells. However such a heavenly simple picture is not true in general. Even if we replace $\tau^{*}$ by $\tilde{\tau}$ in the Observation 4.3, we can not extend the simple picture Observation 4.3 for general $W$. Therefore we want to understand the deviation itself.

### 4.2. Refined two-sided cells.

For the above purpose, we introduce a certain refinement of the two-sided cells.

Definition 4.4 (Iwahori-Hecke algebra). For an irreducible Weyl group $W$, let $S$ be the set of simple reflections. Let $\left\{q_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}$ be a set of indeterminates such that $q_{s}=q_{s^{\prime}}$ if and only if $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are $W$ conjugate and such that the different $q_{s}$ 's are algebraically independent. Put $R:=\mathbb{Z}\left[q_{s}^{1 / 2}, q_{s}^{-1 / 2}\right]_{s \in S}$. Let $K$ be the fractional field $\operatorname{Frac}(R)$ of $R$, and $H(W)_{R}=\bigoplus_{w \in W} R T_{w}$ the free $R$-module generated by the formal basis parametrized by $W$. Then an associative $R$-algebra structure of $H(W)_{R}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{w} T_{w^{\prime}}=T_{w w^{\prime}} \text { if } l(w)+l\left(w^{\prime}\right)=l\left(w w^{\prime}\right), \text { and } \\
& \left(T_{s}+1\right)\left(T_{s}-q_{s}\right)=0 \text { for } s \in S
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider the specialization

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \xrightarrow{\bmod p} \operatorname{Frac}(R \otimes \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the modular representation theory of $H(W)_{K}:=H(W)_{R} \otimes$ $K$ with respect to this specialization; in particular, consider the blocks of $H(W)_{K}^{\vee}$. Here $H(W)_{K}^{\vee}$ is the set of irreducible characters of $H(W)_{K}$, or equivalently, the set of irreducible representations modulo isomorphism.

Recall that $H(W)_{K}^{\vee}$ can be identified with $W^{\vee}$ :

$$
H(W)_{K}^{\vee}=W^{\vee}
$$

Definition 4.5 (The equivalence relation $\sim$ ). For two characters $\chi, \chi^{\prime} \in H(W)_{K}^{\vee}=W^{\vee}$, and for a prime number $p$, define equivalence relations $\underset{p}{\sim}$ and $\underset{*}{\sim}$ by

1. $\chi \underset{p}{\sim} \chi^{\prime}$ if and only if $\chi$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ belong to the same block of $H(W)_{K}^{\vee}$ with respect to the specialization (5).
$2 . \chi \underset{*}{\sim} \chi^{\prime}$ if and only if there exist prime numbers $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ and irreducible characters $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n-1}$ such that

$$
\chi \underset{p_{1}}{\sim} \chi_{1} \underset{p_{2}}{\sim} \cdots \underset{p_{n-1}}{\sim} \chi_{n-1} \underset{p_{n}}{\sim} \chi^{\prime}
$$

Theorem 4.6 ([3], [4] § 4.2). Assume that $W$ is of type $A_{l}, D_{l}$ or $E_{l}$. Then $\chi \sim \chi^{\prime}$ if and only if $\chi$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ belong to the same two-sided cell. In general, the implication 'only if' holds.

In the sequel, let us call refined two-sided cells, the equivalence classes in $W^{\vee}$ with respect to the equivalence relation $\underset{*}{\sim}$.

### 4.3. Invariants $\tilde{\tau}$ and $I_{W}$.

We have calculated $\tilde{\tau}$ 's and the Kawanaka invariants systematically using Mathematica and MAPLE in [4] and [5]. Looking over the results of the calculation, we have made some observations. For the statement of our observation, we need the following definition.

Definition 4.7 (Modified exceptional representations). Put

$$
W_{\text {ex.m }}^{\vee}= \begin{cases}\left\{\chi \in W^{\vee} \mid \operatorname{dim} \chi=2\right\}, & \text { if } W=W\left(G_{2}\right) \\ \left\{\chi \in W^{\vee} \mid \operatorname{dim} \chi=512\right\}, & \text { if } W=W\left(E_{7}\right) \\ \left\{\chi \in W^{\vee} \mid \operatorname{dim} \chi=4096\right\}, & \text { if } W=W\left(E_{8}\right) \\ \phi, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Observation 4.8. 1. An irreducible character $\chi \in W^{\vee} \backslash W_{\text {ex.m }}^{\vee}$ forms a refined two-sided cell by itself if and only if

$$
\tilde{\tau}(\chi ; q, y)=q^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1+y q^{c_{i}}}{1-q^{h_{i}}}, \quad l=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{h}
$$

with some integers $n,\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l}$ and $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq l}$, which are uniquely determined by $\chi$.
2. If $\chi \in W^{\vee}$ forms a refined two-sided cell by itself, then

$$
I_{W}(\chi ; q)=\prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1+q^{h_{i}}}{1-q^{h_{i}}}, \quad l=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{h}
$$

with the same integers $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i}$ as above.
Note that, in the $A_{l}$ or $B_{l}$-case, every irreducible character $\chi \in W^{\vee}$ forms a refined two-sided cell by itself and $\tilde{\tau}$ is factorized as above. See Example 4.2.

In this way, we observed that the invariants $\tilde{\tau}$ and the Kawanaka invariants $I$ are related to the two-sided cells and the refined two-sided cells.
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