

## Diameter and Area Estimates for $S^2$ and $P^2$ with Nonnegatively Curved Metrics

Takashi Shioya

### §0. Introduction

We consider the quantity

$$F(M) := \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{\text{Diam}(M)^n}$$

for any closed Riemannian  $n$ -manifold  $M$ , which is a homothety invariant, where  $\text{Vol}$  and  $\text{Diam}$  denote the volume and the diameter respectively. If the Ricci curvature of  $M$  is nonnegative everywhere, Bishop's volume comparison theorem implies that  $F(M) < \pi$ . A.D. Alexandrov conjectured in [A, p.417] (see also [BZ, p.42]) that for any nonnegatively curved metric  $g$  on the 2-sphere  $S^2$ ,

$$F(S^2, g) \leq \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and the equality holds only if  $g$  is homothetic to the metric of the double of the Euclidean unit disk  $\bar{B}(1) := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid d(x, o) \leq 1\}$ , which is a singular metric of nonnegative Toponogov curvature. Note that Alexandrov deals a class of surfaces containing such a singular space, namely surfaces of bounded curvature in the sense of [AZ]. The volume and the diameter of any such singular surface can be approximated by those of Riemannian 2-manifolds, and thus it suffices to consider only regular metrics.

Alexandrov's conjecture has not been proved as of now. Concerning this, there are two known results as follows.

**Theorem** (Sakai, [S]). *For any nonnegatively curved Riemannian metric  $g$  on the 2-sphere  $S^2$ ,*

$$F(S^2, g) < 0.985\pi.$$

---

Received January 28, 1991.

Revised April 28, 1991.

**Theorem** (Grove-Petersen, [GP1, Theorem B]). *For any integer  $n \geq 2$  there exists an  $\epsilon(n) > 0$  such that any compact Riemannian  $n$ -manifold  $M$  with nonnegative sectional curvature satisfies*

$$F(M) < V(n) - \epsilon(n),$$

where  $V(n)$  is the volume of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean unit ball.

In the present paper, we try to extend the above estimates in the 2-dimensional case, i.e. the estimates for the 2-sphere  $S^2$  and the real projective 2-space  $P^2$  with nonnegatively curved metrics, and the 2-torus  $T^2$  and the Klein bottle  $K^2$  with flat metrics. We easily observe that, when  $M = (T^2, g)$  or  $(K^2, g)$  for a flat metric  $g$ , then  $F(M) \leq 2$ , where the equality holds only if  $g$  is the canonical flat metric. Sakai's proof cannot be extended to the case of  $P^2$ . On the other hand, although Grove-Petersen's theorem is more general, their proof gives no calculable constant. Accordingly, we develop a proving method independent of the topology and have the following finer estimates.

**Main Theorem.** (1) *For any nonnegatively curved Riemannian metric  $g$  on the 2-sphere  $S^2$ , we have*

$$F(S^2, g) \leq \left( \frac{5}{2} \sqrt{10} - 7 \right) \pi < 0.906\pi.$$

(2) *For any nonnegatively curved Riemannian metric  $g$  on the real projective 2-space  $P^2$ , we have*

$$F(P^2, g) \leq \frac{7\sqrt{7} - 10}{9} \pi < 0.947\pi.$$

Different from the case of  $S^2$ , the maximum of  $F(P^2, g)$  for all nonnegatively curved metrics  $g$  on  $P^2$  seems to be  $F(P^2, g_c) = 8/\pi > 0.810\pi$ , where  $g_c$  is the canonical metric on  $P^2$ , namely the metric of constant curvature 1.

## §1. Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be a (not necessarily closed) complete Riemannian 2-manifold without boundary and  $p$  a fixed point in  $M$ . Consider the metric balls  $B(p, r) := \{x \in M \mid d(p, x) < r\}$  and the metric spheres  $S(p, r) := \{x \in M \mid d(p, x) = r\}$  centered at  $p$  for radii  $r > 0$ , where  $d$  denotes the distance function of  $M$  induced from the metric. Following Hartman [H] we define the notion of an exceptional radius as follows (actually, he called it an exceptional  $t$ -value).

**Definition [H].** A radius  $r > 0$  is said to be *exceptional* if and only if there exists a cut point  $q$  in  $S(p, r)$  from  $p$  satisfying one of the following three conditions.

- (1)  $q$  is a first conjugate point of  $p$  along some minimal geodesic segment joining  $p$  and  $q$ .
- (2) There exist more than two distinct minimal geodesic segments joining  $p$  and  $q$ .
- (3) There exist exactly two geodesic segments joining  $p$  and  $q$ , and moreover the angle between these segments at  $q$  is equal to  $\pi$ .

A radius is said to be *nonexceptional* if and only if it is not exceptional.

Note that if  $M$  is compact,  $S(p, r)$  for any sufficiently large radius  $r > 0$  is empty and hence any such  $r$  is nonexceptional. Hartman has proved in [H] that the set of all exceptional radii is a closed and measure zero subset of  $\mathbf{R}$  and that  $S(p, r)$  for each nonexceptional  $r > 0$  consists of finitely many simple closed curves of class  $C^\infty$  except the cut points in  $S(p, r)$  from  $p$ , the number of which is finite. For any nonexceptional  $r > 0$  we denote by  $q_{r,1}, \dots, q_{r,n(r)}$  ( $0 \leq n(r) < +\infty$ ) the cut points in  $S(p, r)$  from  $p$ . Then  $S(p, r) - \{q_{r,1}, \dots, q_{r,n(r)}\}$  consists of  $n(r)$  disjoint smooth open arcs  $\alpha_{r,1}, \dots, \alpha_{r,n(r)}$ . Define a continuous function  $\rho: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  by

$$\rho(x) := \sup_{y \in M} d(x, y) \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

Clearly,  $\rho(x) = +\infty$  if and only if  $M$  is open. Denote by  $F_{r,i}$  the set of interior points of the minimal segments joining  $p$  and all points in  $\alpha_{r,i}$  for any nonexceptional  $0 < r < \rho(p)$  and any  $1 \leq i \leq n(r)$ . Then,  $F_{r,i}$  is the open disk bounded by the triangle whose sides are  $\alpha_{r,i}$  and two minimal segments joining  $p$  and the endpoints of  $\alpha_{r,i}$  provided  $n(r) \geq 1$ . Denote by  $\kappa_{r,i}(u)$  the integral of the geodesic curvature of the arc  $S(p, u) \cap F_{r,i}$  with respect to  $B(p, u)$  for any nonexceptional  $u$  and  $r$  with  $0 < u < r < \rho(p)$  and for any  $i = 1, \dots, n(r)$ . Now we will prove

$$(*) \quad \text{Vol}(F_{r,i}) = \int_0^r \int_0^t \kappa_{r,i}(u) \, du \, dt.$$

Indeed, considering the geodesic polar coordinates  $(\theta, t)$  on  $F_{r,i}$  ( $\theta$  is the angle at  $p$  and  $t$  is the distance from  $p$ ), the volume of  $F_{r,i}$  is expressed as

$$\text{Vol}(F_{r,i}) = \int_0^r \int_0^{\Theta_{r,i}} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\| d\theta \, dt,$$

where  $\Theta_{r,i}$  is the inner angle of  $F_{r,i}$  at  $p$ . Moreover, since the geodesic curvature of  $S(p,t) \cap F_{r,i}$  with respect to  $B(p,t)$  is equal to

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\|^{-2} \left\langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right\rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\|,$$

we have

$$\int_0^{\Theta_{r,i}} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\| d\theta = \int_0^t \kappa_{r,i}(u) du.$$

This proves (\*).

In particular, if  $\bar{B}(p,r) := B(p,r) \cup S(p,r)$  contains no cut points from  $p$ , we have

$$\text{Vol}(B(p,r)) = \int_0^r \int_0^t \kappa(B(p,u)) du dt,$$

where  $\kappa(D)$  denotes the sum of the integral of the geodesic curvature of the boundary  $\partial D$  of  $D$  with respect to  $D$  and of the exterior angles at all vertices of  $D$  (we remark that  $B(p,r)$  has no vertices in this case). Fiala [F] and Hartman [H] have extended this to the case where  $B(p,r)$  may contain cut points from  $p$  as follows.

**Lemma** [F], [H]. *For any  $0 < r \leq \rho(p)$  we have*

$$(**) \quad \text{Vol}(B(p,r)) = \int_0^r \int_0^t [\kappa(B(p,u)) - h_p(u)] du dt$$

where  $h_p$  is the nonnegative function defined by

$$h_p(u) := \sum_{i=1}^{n(u)} \left( 2 \tan \frac{\varphi_{u,i}}{2} - \varphi_{u,i} \right)$$

and where  $\varphi_{u,i}$  for each nonexceptional  $0 < u < \rho(p)$  denotes the angle at  $q_{u,i}$  between the two minimal segments joining  $p$  and  $q_{u,i}$ .

Note that Fiala and Hartman deal only with the case where  $M = (\mathbf{R}^2, g)$  (Fiala [F] proved (\*\*)) for manifolds with real analytic metrics and Hartman [H] later extended this to the case of manifolds with  $C^2$ -metrics). However, we observe that their discussions are independent of the topology of  $M$  (see [ST]).

## §2. Some partial estimates

Assume that  $M$  is a nonnegatively curved Riemannian 2-manifold diffeomorphic to either  $S^2$  or  $P^2$  the diameter of which is normalized as  $\text{Diam}(M) = 1$ . Every curve in  $M$  is assumed to have arclength parameter and is often identified with its image. For a while, let  $p$  be any fixed point in  $M$ .

First we state a basic topological lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $0 < r < \rho(p)$  be any nonexceptional radius. Then the Euler characteristic  $\chi(B(p, r))$  of  $B(p, r)$  satisfies*

$$\chi(B(p, r)) \leq 1,$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $B(p, r)$  is a disk.

Note that  $B(p, r)$  for a nonexceptional  $r > 0$  is a disk if and only if it is contractible.

*Proof.* Since  $B(p, r)$  is not closed, the 2-dimensional homology  $H_2(B(p, r), \mathbf{Z})$  vanishes, and the first Betti number  $b_1(B(p, r))$  is equal to zero if and only if  $B(p, r)$  is contractible, namely a disk. Moreover we have

$$\chi(B(p, r)) = 1 - b_1(B(p, r)).$$

This completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

*Remark.* It follows from Lemma 1 and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem that

$$\kappa(B(p, r)) = 2\pi\chi(B(p, r)) - c(B(p, r)) \leq 2\pi$$

for any nonexceptional  $0 \leq r < \rho(p)$ , where  $c(D)$  denotes the total curvature of  $D$ , namely the integral  $\int_D K dv$  of Gaussian curvature  $K$  over  $D$  with respect to the volume element  $dv$  of  $M$ .

Applying (\*\*) to  $B(q, \inf \rho)$  for a point  $q$  in  $M$  with  $\rho(q) = \inf \rho$  and using the above remark, the following consequence is directly proved.

**Proposition 2.** *We have*

$$\text{Vol}(M) \leq \pi \cdot (\inf \rho)^2.$$

Note that this is also obtained from Bishop's volume comparison theorem.

The following two lemmas are needed to prove Propositions 5 and 6.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $0 < R < \rho(p)$  and  $a \geq 0$  be any given constants. If  $\kappa(B(p, r)) \leq a$  for every nonexceptional  $r$  with  $R < r < \rho(p)$ , then*

$$\text{Vol}(M) \leq \frac{a}{2} + (2\pi - a) \left( R - \frac{R^2}{2} \right).$$

*Proof.* By (\*\*) and  $\rho(p) \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(M) &\leq \int_0^{\rho(p)} \int_0^t \kappa(B(p, u)) \, du \, dt \\ &\leq \int_0^R \int_0^t 2\pi \, du \, dt + \int_R^{\rho(p)} \left( \int_0^R 2\pi \, du + \int_R^t a \, du \right) dt \\ &\leq \frac{a}{2} + (2\pi - a) \left( R - \frac{R^2}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

**Lemma 4.** *If  $\bar{B}(p, r)$  for a number  $0 < r < \rho(p)$  is not contractible, then there exists a geodesic loop with base point  $p$  which is entirely contained in  $\bar{B}(p, r)$ .*

*Proof.* Take a continuous loop  $\gamma: [0, l] \rightarrow \bar{B}(p, r)$  with base point  $p$  such that

$$L(\gamma) = \inf \{ L(c) \mid c \text{ is a loop with base point } p \text{ which is not homotopic to the point } p \text{ in } \bar{B}(p, r) \}.$$

If  $\gamma$  does not intersect  $S(p, r)$ , it is a geodesic loop. Thus we consider the case where  $\gamma$  intersect  $S(p, r)$ . Then  $l = L(\gamma) \geq 2r$ . Let us first prove the following

**Claim.**  $\gamma$  forms a geodesic biangle consisting of two geodesics with length  $r$ .

It suffices to show that  $2r = l$ . Now suppose that  $2r < l$ . For a minimal segment  $\sigma$  of  $M$  joining  $p$  and a point  $\gamma(t)$  with  $r < t < l - r$ , one of the two closed curves  $\gamma([0, t]) \cup \sigma$  and  $\gamma([t, l]) \cup \sigma$  is not homotopic to the point  $p$  in  $\bar{B}(p, r)$ . Denoting this by  $\gamma_1$  we have

$$L(\gamma_1) < L(\gamma)$$

because of  $L(\sigma) \leq r$ . This contradicts the definition of  $\gamma$  and completes the proof of the claim.

We will prove that  $\gamma$  does not break at  $\gamma(r)$ . Suppose the contrary. For each  $0 \leq t \leq r$  we take a minimal segment  $\sigma_t$  joining  $\gamma(r-t)$  and  $\gamma(r+t)$  and set  $\gamma_t := \gamma([0, r-t]) \cup \sigma_t \cup \gamma([r+t, 2r])$ . Since  $\gamma$  breaks we have

$$L(\gamma_t) < L(\gamma) = 2r \quad \text{and hence} \quad \gamma_t \subset B(p, r)$$

for any  $0 < t \leq r$ . Moreover, there is a small  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $[0, \epsilon] \times [0, 1] \ni (t, s) \mapsto \gamma_t(sL(\gamma_t))$  is a smooth variation entirely contained in  $\bar{B}(p, r)$ , which is a homotopy with  $\gamma_0 = \gamma$  in particular. This contradicts the definition of  $\gamma$ . Q.E.D.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $0 < R < \rho(p)$ . If there exists a number  $0 < r_0 \leq R$  such that  $\bar{B}(p, r_0)$  is not contractible, then*

$$\text{Vol}(M) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}(1 + 2R - R^2).$$

*Proof.* Take any fixed nonexceptional  $r$  with  $R < r < \rho(p)$ . If  $B(p, r)$  is not a disk, Lemma 1 implies  $\chi(B(p, r)) \leq 0$  and hence

$$\kappa(B(p, r)) \leq 0$$

by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. In the case where  $B(p, r)$  is a disk, Lemma 4 implies that  $\bar{B}(p, r_0)$  contains a geodesic loop, which bounds a disk in  $B(p, r)$  whose total curvature greater than  $\pi$ , because of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. Therefore we have  $c(B(p, r)) > \pi$  and hence by Lemma 1

$$\kappa(B(p, r)) = 2\pi\chi(B(p, r)) - c(B(p, r)) < \pi.$$

As a result, in either case we have  $\kappa(B(p, r)) < \pi$  for any nonexceptional  $r$  with  $R < r < \rho(p)$ . Applying Lemma 3 under  $a := \pi$ , the proof is completed. Q.E.D.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $0 < R < \rho(p)$ . Then we have*

$$\text{Vol}(M) \leq \pi - \frac{1}{2}(1 - R)^2 \min\{c(B(p, R)), 2\pi\}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem and Lemma 1 that  $\kappa(B(p, r)) \leq 2\pi - c(B(p, r))$  for all nonexceptional  $r$  with  $R < r < \rho(p)$ . Since the function  $t \mapsto c(B(p, t))$  is monotone nondecreasing, we have

$$\kappa(B(p, r)) \leq 2\pi - c(B(p, R))$$

for all nonexceptional  $r$  with  $R < r < \rho(p)$ . Setting

$$a := \max\{2\pi - c(B(p, R)), 0\},$$

Lemma 3 completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

### §3. Proof of Main Theorem

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $0 < R < \rho(p)$ . If  $B(p, r)$  for every  $0 < r \leq R$  is contractible, then*

$$\text{Vol}(B(p, R)) \geq \frac{1}{2}R^2(2\pi - c(B(p, R))).$$

*Proof.* In the case where  $R$  is exceptional, the above inequality for every nonexceptional  $R'$  with  $0 < R' < R$  yields the conclusion since the set of nonexceptional radii is dense in  $[0, +\infty)$ . Thus we may consider only the case where  $R$  is nonexceptional. Under the notations as in section 1, it follows from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem that  $\kappa_{R,i}(t) = \Theta_{R,i} - c(F_{R,i} \cap B(p, t)) \geq \Theta_{R,i} - c(F_{R,i})$  for all nonexceptional  $0 < t \leq R$ . This and (\*) imply

$$\text{Vol}(F_{R,i}) \geq \int_0^R \int_0^r (\Theta_{R,i} - c(F_{R,i})) dt dr$$

and hence, by setting  $F_R := \bigcup_{i=1}^{n(R)} F_{R,i}$  and  $\Theta_R := \sum_{i=1}^{n(R)} \Theta_{R,i}$ ,

$$\text{Vol}(B(p, R)) \geq \text{Vol}(F_R) \geq \int_0^R \int_0^r (\Theta_R - c(F_R)) dt dr.$$

On the other hand, since  $B(p, R) - F_R$  is the union of  $n(R)$  disks bounded by geodesic biangles, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem shows that

$$c(B(p, R) - F_R) > 2\pi - \Theta_R.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(B(p, R)) &\geq \int_0^R \int_0^r (2\pi - c(B(p, R))) dt dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2}R^2(2\pi - c(B(p, R))). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

**Lemma 8.** For a given constant  $R > 0$  we have

$$\text{Vol}(M) \geq \frac{c(M) \inf_{p \in M} \text{Vol}(B(p, R))}{\sup_{p \in M} c(B(p, R))}.$$

Recall that

$$c(M) = \begin{cases} 4\pi & \text{if } M \cong S^2 \\ 2\pi & \text{if } M \cong P^2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that

$$\int_M c(B(p, R)) dp = \int_M K(p) \text{Vol}(B(p, R)) dp,$$

where  $dp$  is the volume element with respect to a variable  $p$  of  $M$ . Define the function  $\varphi: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$\varphi(p, q) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d(p, q) < R \\ 0 & \text{if } d(p, q) \geq R \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } p, q \in M.$$

By Fubini's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M c(B(p, R)) dp &= \int_M \int_M \varphi(p, q) K(q) dq dp \\ &= \int_M K(q) \int_M \varphi(p, q) dp dq \\ &= \int_M K(q) \text{Vol}(B(q, R)) dq. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

*Proof of Main Theorem.* Let us define a constant  $0 < R < 1$  by

$$R := \frac{4 - \sqrt{4 + 3c(M)/2\pi}}{4 - c(M)/2\pi} = \begin{cases} 2 - \sqrt{10}/2 & \text{if } M \cong S^2 \\ (4 - \sqrt{7})/3 & \text{if } M \cong P^2. \end{cases}$$

In the case where  $\inf \rho \leq R$ , Proposition 2 implies

$$\text{Vol}(M) \leq \pi R^2 < \begin{cases} 0.176\pi & \text{if } M \cong S^2 \\ 0.204\pi & \text{if } M \cong P^2, \end{cases}$$

which concludes Main Theorem in particular. Thus assume that  $\inf \rho > R$ . If there is a point  $p$  in  $M$  such that  $c(B(p, R)) \geq 2\pi$ , then by

Proposition 6 we have

$$\text{Vol}(M) \leq \pi \cdot (2R - R^2) < \begin{cases} 0.663\pi & \text{if } M \cong S^2 \\ 0.700\pi & \text{if } M \cong P^2. \end{cases}$$

If there are a point  $p$  in  $M$  and a radius  $0 < r_0 \leq R$  such that  $\bar{B}(p, r_0)$  is not contractible, then Proposition 5 implies

$$\text{Vol}(M) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}(1 + 2R - R^2) < \begin{cases} 0.832\pi & \text{if } M \cong S^2 \\ 0.850\pi & \text{if } M \cong P^2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, it suffices to consider the case where  $c(B(p, R)) < 2\pi$  and  $\bar{B}(p, r)$  is contractible for all points  $p$  in  $M$  and all  $0 < r \leq R$ . Now, setting

$$c := \sup_{p \in M} c(B(p, R)),$$

we have  $0 < c \leq 2\pi$ . Lemmas 7 and 8 show

$$\text{Vol}(M) \geq \frac{R^2 c(M)(2\pi - c)}{2c}.$$

On the other hand, we have by Proposition 6

$$(\#) \quad \text{Vol}(M) \leq \pi - \frac{1}{2}(1 - R^2)^2 c.$$

Combining these two formulas, we have the quadratic inequality:

$$(1 - R)^2 c^2 - (2\pi + R^2 c(M))c + 2\pi R^2 c(M) \leq 0,$$

which gives the estimate of  $c$ :

$$c \geq \frac{2\pi + R^2 c(M) - \sqrt{b}}{2(1 - R)^2},$$

where  $b$  is the constant defined by

$$b := (2\pi + R^2 c(M))^2 - 8\pi R^2 (1 - R^2) c(M).$$

By this and (#) we obtain

$$\text{Vol}(M) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(R^2 c(M) - \sqrt{b}).$$

This completes the proof of Main Theorem.

Q.E.D.

Note that  $R$  is determined as the last estimate is finest.

## References

- [A] A.D. Alexandrov, "Die innere Geometrie der konvexen Flächen", Akad. Verl., Berlin, 1955.
- [AZ] A.D. Alexandrov and V.A. Zalgaller, "Intrinsic geometry of surfaces", Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1967.
- [BZ] Yu.D. Burago and V.A. Zalgaller, "Geometric inequalities", Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [F] F. Fiala, Le problème isopérimétrique sur les surfaces convexes à courbure positive, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **13** (1941), 293–346.
- [GP1] K. Grove and P. Petersen, Manifolds near the boundary of existence.
- [GP2] ———, Volume comparison à la Aleksandrov.
- [H] P. Hartman, Geodesic parallel coordinates in the large, *Amer. J. Math.*, **86** (1964), 705–727.
- [S] T. Sakai, On the isodiametric inequality for the 2-sphere, in "Geometry of Manifolds", *Perspectives in Math.* 8 (K. Shiohama, ed.), Academic Press, 1989, pp. 303–315.
- [ST] K. Shiohama and M. Tanaka, An isoperimetric problem for infinitely connected complete open surfaces, in "Geometry of Manifolds", *Perspectives in Math.* 8 (K. Shiohama, ed.), Academic Press, 1989, pp. 317–343.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Science*  
*Kyushu University*  
*Fukuoka 812*  
*Japan*