

Cyclotomic Z_p -extensions of $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$

Yûji Kida

Dedicated to Professor Kenkichi Iwasawa on his 70th birthday

In the theory of Z_p -extensions of a number field, the λ -invariant has a special meaning that it is an analogue of the genus of an algebraic curve. In this point of view, one can naturally hope that there exists a uniform bound for λ_p independent of p when the base field is fixed, and this bound might be regarded as the genuine analogue of the genus for a number field. This question has been studied by Ferrero [1, 2] and Metsänkylä [5, 6].

In this paper, we refine Ferrero's results for some imaginary quadratic fields, in particular for $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$.

§ 1.

We describe briefly how to get the exact values of a p -adic measure α defined below. We follow Sinnott [7] to construct a p -adic L -function. Let θ be an odd Dirichlet character with conductor d . We assume d is not a power of p . Define a rational function for θ by

$$F_\theta(X) = \sum_{a=1}^d \theta(a)(1+X)^a / \{(1+X)^d - 1\}.$$

Let \mathcal{O} be the integer ring of the field generated over \mathcal{Q}_p by the values of θ , and let π be a prime element of \mathcal{O} . Then $F_\theta(X)$ can be expanded into a formal power series with \mathcal{O} -coefficients. Let α be the \mathcal{O} -valued p -adic measure corresponding to F_θ . Replace the period d in F_θ by dp^n . Then we get the following congruence from the fundamental correspondence between measures and power series:

$$\alpha(r + (p^n))(1+X)^r \equiv \{\sum' \theta(a)(1+X)^a\} / \{(1+X)^{dp^n} - 1\} \\ \pmod{(1+X)^{p^n} - 1},$$

where r is an integer satisfying $0 \leq r < p^n$, and the sum \sum' is taken over all integers a with $1 \leq a < dp^n$, $a \equiv r \pmod{p^n}$. Put $X=0$. Then we have

$$\alpha(r + (p^n)) = (\sum' \theta(a)a) / dp^n.$$

Assume further d is not divisible by p . Then we can easily get $\alpha(r + (p^n)) = \theta(p)^n \{ (1/d) \sum_{a=1}^d \theta(a)a + \sum_{a=1}^{s-1} \theta(a) \}$, where s is defined as the unique integer satisfying $1 \leq s \leq d, sp^n \equiv r \pmod{d}$.

We denote by α^* the restriction of α to \mathbb{Z}_p^* . That is, $\alpha^*(r + (p^n)) = \alpha(r + (p^n))$ if $(r, p) = 1$, and $\alpha^*(r + (p^n)) = 0$ if $(r, p) \neq 1$.

We choose the isomorphism from $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ to \mathbb{Z}_p which sends x to $(1/p) \log(x)$, where $\log(x)$ is the usual p -adic logarithm function. Put the resulting power series as $f(\theta, X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n X^n$. Then we have

$$c_0 = \{1 - \theta(p)\} (1/d) \left\{ \sum_{a=1}^d \theta(a)a \right\},$$

$$c_1 = \int \frac{1}{p} \log(x) d\alpha(x),$$

where $\log(x)$ is Iwasawa's p -adic logarithm function.

To calculate λ_p , it is sufficient to know the π -divisibility of c_n . Therefore, we can replace $(1/p) \log(x)$ by $l(x) = (1/p)(1 - x^{p-1})$, and hence

$$c_1 \equiv \sum' l(a)\alpha(a + (p^2)) \pmod{p},$$

where the sum is taken over all a with $0 \leq a < p^2, (a, p) = 1$. This gives a criterion of the π -divisibility of c_1 . But since this formula contains essentially p^2 terms, it is not convenient to calculate it for large p . If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$, we can give a criterion containing essentially p terms.

Theorem 1. *If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$, then $\lambda_p > 1$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{x=1}^d \left\{ \sum_{z=1}^{x-1} \alpha(z + (p^2)) \right\} \{ \sum' l(y) \} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi},$$

where the last sum is taken over all integers y satisfying $1 \leq y < p, y \equiv x \pmod{d}$.

Proof. For any integer x prime to p , define $y_x \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ by $x \equiv \omega + y_x p \pmod{p^2}$, where ω is a $(p-1)$ -st root of unity. Then we have $l(x) \equiv y_x/x \pmod{p}$. For simplicity, we denote $\alpha(x + p^2)$ by $\alpha(x)$ in the rest of this paper. Put

$$S(a) = \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} l(a + bp)\alpha(a + bp).$$

Then we have

$$S(a) \equiv \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \{ (y_a + b)/a \} \alpha(a + b) \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv (1/a) \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} b\alpha(a + b) \pmod{p}.$$

We assume $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Divide the sum in the right hand side by every d terms. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{b=1}^d b\alpha(a+b) &= \sum_{z=1}^a (d+z-a)\alpha(z) + \sum_{z=a+1}^d (z-a)\alpha(z) \\ &= d \sum_{z=1}^a \alpha(z) + \sum_{z=1}^d z\alpha(z) - a \sum_{z=1}^d \alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha(z)$ is a periodic function of period d and $c_0 = \sum_{z=1}^{p^2} \alpha^*(z)$, the vanishing of c_0 implies the vanishing of the 3rd term. Denote the 2nd term by T . Since $\alpha(p^2 - z) = \alpha(z)$, we have $\alpha(d+1-z) = \alpha(z)$. Therefore $T = \sum_{z=1}^d (d+1-z)\alpha(z)$. Hence $2T = (d+1) \sum_{z=1}^d \alpha(z)$, which is 0 by the above. Thus the 2nd term is also 0. Now we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(a) &\equiv (1/a) \{(p-1)/d\} d \sum_{z=1}^a \alpha(z) \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv -(1/a) \sum_{z=1}^a \alpha(z) \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$S = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} l(a+bp)\alpha(a+bp).$$

Then we have

$$S \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} l(a)\alpha(a) - \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} (1/a) \sum_{z=1}^a \alpha(z) \pmod{p}.$$

Since $1/a \equiv l(a) - l(p-a) \pmod{p}$, we get $S \equiv \sum l(a) \{\alpha(a) - \beta(a) + \beta(p-a)\} \pmod{p}$, where $\beta(a) = \sum_{z=0}^a \alpha(z)$. Since $\beta(p-a) = -\beta(a-1)$, we have

$$S \equiv -2 \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} l(a)\beta(a-1) \pmod{p}.$$

Since $c_1 \equiv S \pmod{p}$, Theorem 1 is proved.

Q.E.D.

For $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, clearly $c_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if and only if $\theta(p) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, which is equivalent to $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Therefore we obtain the following criterion for $\lambda_p > 1$. Since the coefficients of $l(x)$ are rational integers, we can write it in the product form.

Corollary (cf. Ferrero [2, p. 19]).

(1) For $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, $\lambda_p > 0$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Further, $\lambda_p > 1$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $(\prod_1 y / \prod_2 y)^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, where the 1st product \prod_1 is taken over all y with $1 \leq y < p$, $y \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and the 2nd product \prod_2 is taken over all y with $1 \leq y < p$, $y \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

(2) For $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, $\lambda_p > 0$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Further, $\lambda_p > 1$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $(\prod_1 y / \prod_2 y)^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, where the 1st product \prod_1 is taken over all y with $1 \leq y < p$, $y \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and the 2nd product \prod_2 is taken over all y with $1 \leq y < p$, $y \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Numerical examples.

For $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, the only value $p < 150000$ with $\lambda_p > 1$ is $p = 29789$.

For $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, the only values $p < 150000$ with $\lambda_p > 1$ are $p = 13, 181, 2521, 76543$.

Remark. If there were only a finite number of p with $\lambda_p > 1$, we could give an affirmative answer to the question stated in the introduction.

§ 2.

We shall use standard notation in the theory of \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions. Let k_∞ be the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension of k and k_n its unique subfield of degree p^n over k . Let L_∞ be the maximal unramified abelian p -extension over k_∞ , and $X(k)$ the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L_\infty/k_\infty)$ with the action of $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$.

Theorem 2. Let $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with $m = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15$ or 19 . Then for each prime number p , we have $\lambda_p < p$.

Proof. Let θ be the nontrivial Dirichlet character attached to k . Ferrero proved ([1, p. 407]) for these fields that if $\lambda_p \geq p$ the power series $f(\theta, X)$ corresponding to the p -adic L -function for θ (cf. § 1) is divisible by $(1+X)^p - 1$. Then, the theorem of Mazur-Wiles tells that the characteristic polynomial of the Iwasawa module $X(k)$ is also divisible by $(1+X)^p - 1$. This means that the p -rank of the $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k_1)$ -invariant submodule of $X(k)$ is at least p . On the other hand, formula for ambiguous class numbers (cf. [4, Lemma 1]) tells the number of the invariant classes in k_n/k_1 is equal to the product of the class number of k_1 and $p^{(n-1)}$. Therefore the p -rank of this submodule is 1. This contradiction proves Theorem 2. Q.E.D.

Theorem 3. Let k be as in Theorem 2. Then for any $p > 2$, we have $e_{p,n} = \lambda_p \cdot n$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $e_{p,n}$ is the exponent of the maximal power of p dividing the class number of k_n .

Proof. If p does not split in k/\mathbf{Q} , then $e_{p,n} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Thus the theorem holds in this case. If p splits in k/\mathbf{Q} , then $c_0 = 0$ (cf. § 1). Therefore $f(\theta, X)$ is a product of X and a power series whose λ_p is less

than $p-1$ by Theorem 2. Applying Iwasawa's argument [3, p. 93] to each power series, we get $e_{p,n+1} - e_{p,n} = \lambda_p$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $e_{p,0} = 0$, we have Theorem 3. Q.E.D.

Remark. For $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, Theorem 3 holds also for $p=2$. In fact, $e_{2,n} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.

References

- [1] Ferrero, B., An explicit bound for Iwasawa's λ -invariant, *Acta Arith.*, **33** (1977), 405–408.
- [2] ———, Iwasawa invariants of abelian number fields, *Math. Ann.*, **234** (1978), 9–24.
- [3] Iwasawa, K., *Lectures on p -adic L -functions*, *Ann. of Math. Studies*, **74**, Princeton University Press 1972.
- [4] Kida, Y., l -Extensions of CM -Fields and Cyclotomic Invariants, *J. Number Theory*, **12** (1980), 519–528.
- [5] Metsänkylä, T., An upper bound for the λ -invariant of Imaginary abelian fields, *Math. Ann.*, **264** (1983), 5–8.
- [6] ———, A simple method for estimating the Iwasawa λ -invariant, *J. Number Theory*, **27** (1987), 1–6.
- [7] Sinnott, W., On the μ -invariant of the Γ -transform of a rational function, *Invent. math.*, **75** (1984), 273–282.

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Kanazawa University
Kanazawa 920, Japan*