

## Stiefel-Whitney Homology Classes and Riemann-Roch Formula

Akinori Matsui and Hajime Sato

### § 1. Introduction

In this note, we give a Riemann-Roch type theorem for certain maps between Euler spaces. These are the cases where Halperin's conjecture holds, although it is not true in general [6].

Let  $X$  be a locally compact  $n$ -dimensional polyhedron. For a point  $x$  in  $X$ , let  $\chi(X, X-x)$  denote the Euler number of the pair  $(X, X-x)$ . The polyhedron  $X$  is called a *mod 2 Euler space* or simply an *Euler space* if for each  $x$  in  $X$ ,  $\chi(X, X-x) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  (Halperin and Toledo [3]).

Let  $K'$  denote the barycentric subdivision of a triangulation  $K$  of a polyhedron  $X$ . If  $X$  is an Euler space, the sum of all  $k$ -simplexes in  $K'$  is a mod 2 cycle and defines an element  $s_k(X)$  in  $H_k(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  (cf. [3]). The element  $s_k(X)$  is called the  $k$ -th *Stiefel-Whitney homology class* of  $X$ .

In the book [2], Fulton and MacPherson defined the notion of a homologically normally nonsingular map. As an analogy to the Riemann-Roch formula for singular algebraic spaces, they introduced Halperin's conjecture ([2, p. 112]):

*If  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  is a homologically normally nonsingular map of Euler spaces, then*

$$s_*(X) = \phi^! s_*(Y) \cap (wN_\phi)^{-1},$$

*where  $(wN_\phi)^{-1}$  is the inverse of the cohomology Stiefel-Whitney class of the normal space of  $\phi$  defined by Thom's formula using the Steenrod squares.*

If  $Y$  is an Euclidean space and  $\phi$  is an embedding, then  $\phi$  is homologically normally nonsingular if and only if  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homology manifold. In this case, Halperin's conjecture is equal to the equation

$$s_*(X) = [X] \cap w^*(X),$$

which is proved by Taylor [8], Veljan [9] and Matsui [4].

But we have shown in [6] that, in general, there are many examples where the conjecture does not hold.

The main result of this paper is the following.

**Theorem.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Euler spaces and  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  be an embedding such that it has a normal block bundle  $\nu$  (Rourke-Sanderson [7]). Then the following Riemann-Roch theorem holds,*

$$s_*(X) = \phi^! s_*(Y) \cap w(\nu)^{-1}$$

where  $w(\nu)^{-1}$  is the inverse of the Stiefel-Whitney cohomology classes of  $\nu$ .

A similar result is announced in [2, p. 67]. By virtue of a result of Taylor [8], this theorem will probably hold when  $\phi$  has a normal  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -homology bundle.

In this paper, homologies and cohomologies are always with  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  coefficient.

## § 2. Characterization of Stiefel-Whitney homology class

In this section, we give a characterization of the Stiefel-Whitney homology classes of an Euler space. Let  $X$  be an Euler space embedded in a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $R$  be a regular neighborhood of  $X$ ,  $\bar{R}$  its boundary, and  $\phi: X \rightarrow R$  be the embedding. Let  $\mathfrak{N}_*(R, \bar{R})$  denote the unoriented differentiable bordism group (cf. [1]). We define a homomorphism

$$e_\phi: \mathfrak{N}_*(R, \bar{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$$

as follows ([4, p. 322]).

Let  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (R, \bar{R})$  be an element in  $\mathfrak{N}_*(R, \bar{R})$ . Then there exist a triangulation of  $M$  and a  $PL$ -embedding  $g: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (R \times D^\beta, \bar{R} \times D^\beta)$ , where  $D^\beta$  is the disc of sufficiently large dimension such that  $g \simeq f \times \{0\}$  and  $(\phi \times \text{id})(X \times D^\beta)$  is block transverse to  $g$  by Transversality Theorem [4]. Put  $Z = (\phi \times \text{id})(X \times D^\beta) \cap g(M)$ . Then  $Z$  is an Euler space. We define  $e_\phi(f, M)$  to be the modulo 2 Euler number  $e(Z)$  of  $Z$ . This definition is independent of the choice of the representative  $(f, M)$  by Transversality Theorem.

**Proposition 1** (characterization of Stiefel-Whitney homology class). *Let  $X$  be an Euler space embedded in a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $R$  be a regular neighborhood of  $X$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and let  $\phi: X \rightarrow R$  be the embedding. Then the Stiefel-Whitney homology class  $s_*(X)$  is the unique homology class in  $H_*(X)$  satisfying the relation*

$$\langle ([R] \cap)^{-1} \phi_*(s_*(X)), f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = e_\phi(f, M),$$

for any  $(f, M) \in \mathfrak{N}_*(R, \bar{R})$ .

This is a conjunction of Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 of Matsui [5]. In [4], this is proved when  $X$  is an Euler space satisfying the Poincaré duality. In Veljan [9], this is proved when  $X$  is an Euler manifold, a little narrower category.

### § 3. Characteristic classes of block bundles

Let  $\xi$  be a  $q$ -block bundle over a complex  $K$  [7]. In this paper, we write

$$\xi = (E, B, \phi),$$

where  $B = |K|$ ,  $E$  is the total space and  $\phi: B \rightarrow E$  is the inclusion. We write  $\bar{E}$  for the total space of the  $(q-1)$ -sphere bundle of  $E$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}_*(E, \bar{E})$  denote the unoriented bordism group consisting of  $PL$ -maps from Euler space pairs to  $(E, \bar{E})$  (see [4]). We will define a homomorphism

$$e_\xi: \mathfrak{B}_*(E, \bar{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$$

as follows ([4, p. 326]).

Let  $R$  be a regular neighborhood of the polyhedron  $B$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$ , for  $\alpha$  sufficiently large. Let  $i: B \hookrightarrow R$  be the inclusion and let  $p: R \rightarrow B$  be the retraction. Let  $p^*\xi = (p^*E, R, \phi_R)$  be the induced bundle. For each  $(g, N) \in \mathfrak{B}_*(E, \bar{E})$ , we can choose an embedding  $h: (N, \partial N) \rightarrow (p^*E, p^*\bar{E})$  such that  $h \simeq i \circ g$ . By Transversality theorem, we can assume that  $h(N)$  is block transverse to  $\phi_R: R \rightarrow p^*E$ . We define  $e_\xi(g, N)$  to be the modulo 2 Euler number  $e(Z)$  of the intersection  $Z = \phi_R(R) \cap h(N)$ . This is independent of the choice of  $(g, N)$  by Transversality Theorem.

Let  $U_\xi \in H^q(E, \bar{E})$  be the Thom class of  $\xi$  and let  $T_\xi^*: H^*(B) \rightarrow H^{*+q}(E, \bar{E})$  be the Thom isomorphism defined by  $T_\xi^*(x) = (\phi^*)^{-1}(x) \cup U_\xi$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\xi = (E, B, \phi)$  be a block bundle over a polyhedron  $B$ . Then the inverse Stiefel-Whitney cohomology class  $w(\xi)^{-1}$  is the unique cohomology class in  $H^*(B)$  satisfying the relation*

$$\langle T_\xi^*(w(\xi)^{-1}), g_*(s_*(N)) \rangle = e_\xi(g, N),$$

for any  $(g, N) \in \mathfrak{B}_*(E, \bar{E})$ .

*Proof.* There exists a unique cohomology class  $\Phi$  in  $H^*(E, \bar{E})$  satisfying the relation  $\langle \Phi, g_*(s_*(N)) \rangle = e_\xi(g, N)$  for any  $(g, N) \in \mathfrak{B}_*(E, \bar{E})$

([4, Lemma 3.2]). Since the natural map  $\sigma: \mathfrak{N}_*(E, \bar{E}) \rightarrow H_*(E, \bar{E})$  defined by  $\sigma(g, N) = \sum_i g_* s_i(N)$  is surjective, we can suppose that  $N$  is a triangulation of a smooth manifold. Then, as in the definition of  $e_\xi$ , we can choose an embedding  $h: (N, \partial N) \rightarrow (p^*E, p^*\bar{E})$ ,  $h \simeq i \circ g$ , such that  $Z = \phi_R(R) \cap h(N)$  is a  $PL$ -manifold. Since  $s_*(h(N)) = [h(N)] \cap w^*(h(N))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T_\xi^*(w(\xi)^{-1}), g_*(s_*(N)) \rangle \\ &= \langle T_{p^*\xi}^*(w(p^*\xi)^{-1}), h_*(s_*(N)) \rangle \\ &= \langle (\phi_R^*)^{-1}(w(p^*\xi)^{-1}) \cup U_{p^*\xi}, [h(N)] \cap w^*(h(N)) \rangle \\ &= \langle (\phi_R^*)^{-1}(w(p^*\xi)^{-1}) \cup w^*(h(N)), [h(N)] \cap U_{p^*\xi} \rangle \\ &= \langle (\phi_R^*)^{-1}(w(p^*\xi)^{-1}) \cup w^*(h(N)), (\phi_R)_*[Z] \rangle \\ &= \langle w^*(Z), [Z] \rangle \\ &= e(Z), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

**Remark.** When  $\xi$  is a vector bundle, Proposition 2 is proved in [9], [4] using the axioms of Stiefel-Whitney cohomology classes. The proposition will still hold for  $Z_2$ -homology bundles by a result of Taylor [8].

As a special case of Proposition 2, we have the following.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\xi = (E, B, \phi)$  be a block bundle. If the base space  $B$  is an Euler space, then*

$$\langle T_\xi^*(w(\xi)^{-1}), s_*(E) \rangle = e(B).$$

*Proof.* Since  $B$  is an Euler space, so is  $E$ . Thus  $(\text{id}, E)$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{B}_*(E, \bar{E})$ . Let  $i: B \rightarrow R$  be the inclusion. The composition  $i \circ \text{id}$  is already transverse to  $\phi_R$ . Consequently the intersection  $Z$  is equal to  $B$ . Thus  $e_\xi(\text{id}, E) = e(B)$ , which completes the proof.

#### § 4. Proof of Theorem

In order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to consider the case when  $Y$  itself is the total space of a block bundle  $\nu = (Y, X, \phi)$  over an Euler space  $X$ . Then  $Y$  is an Euler space with boundary. The definition of an Euler space with boundary is a natural extension of the definition of an Euler space (without boundary), and is given, e.g., in [4]. The Stiefel-Whitney homology class  $s_*(Y)$  is an element in  $H_*(Y, \partial Y)$ . Let  $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^\alpha$  be an embedding for  $\alpha$  sufficiently large and let  $R$  be a relative regular neighborhood of  $(Y, \partial Y)$  in  $(\mathbf{R}_+^\alpha, \partial \mathbf{R}_+^\alpha)$ . Put  $\bar{R} = \partial R$ . We may

suppose that  $R$  is also a regular neighborhood of  $X$  in  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$ . We regard  $\psi$  as an embedding

$$\psi: (Y, \partial Y) \longrightarrow (R, \bar{R}).$$

Put

$$W = (\phi_*)^{-1}(s_*(Y) \cap U_\nu) \cap w(\nu)^{-1} \in H_*(X),$$

where  $U_\nu$  is the Thom class of the bundle  $\nu$  as before. Since  $\phi^1 s_*(Y) = (\phi_*)^{-1}(s_*(Y) \cap U_\nu)$ , it suffices to show that  $s_*(X) = W$ . By Proposition 1, this is equivalent to prove that

$$\langle ([R] \cap)^{-1}(\psi\phi)_* W, f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle = e_\phi(f, M),$$

for any  $(f, M) \in \mathfrak{N}_*(R, \bar{R})$ . Note that  $W = (\phi_*)^{-1}(s_*(Y) \cap T_\nu(w(\nu)^{-1})$ . We may assume that  $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (R, \bar{R})$  is an embedding which is already block transverse to  $\psi\phi(X)$  and  $\psi(Y)$ . Let  $\xi = (E, M, f_E)$  be the normal block bundle of  $M$  in  $R$  such that the restriction  $\xi|_{\partial M}$  is the normal block bundle of  $\partial M$  in  $\bar{R}$ . Here  $f_E: M \rightarrow E$  is equal to  $f$  with the restricted target space. Put

$$Z = f(M) \cap X, \quad Y_E = Y \cap E.$$

Then  $Y_E$  is the total space of the Whitney sum  $\xi|_Z \oplus \nu|_Z$  over  $Z$ . We write

$$\psi_E: Y_E \longrightarrow E, \quad j_E: Y_E \longrightarrow Y$$

for the inclusions. Let  $\bar{E}$  be the boundary of  $E$  and let  $q: R \rightarrow E/\bar{E}$  be the Thom map defined by collapsing  $R - E$  to the one point  $\{\bar{E}\}$  in  $E/\bar{E}$ . Since  $w^*(M) = w(\xi)^{-1}$ , we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle ([R] \cap)^{-1}(\psi\phi)_* W, f_*([M] \cap w^*(M)) \rangle \\ &= \langle f_*([R] \cap)^{-1}(\psi\phi)_* W \cup w(\xi)^{-1}, [M] \rangle \\ &= \langle f_*([R] \cap)^{-1}(\psi\phi)_* W \cup w(\xi)^{-1}, (f_E^*)^{-1}([E] \cap U_\xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle (f_E^*)^{-1}(f_*([R] \cap)^{-1}(\psi\phi)_* W \cup w(\xi)^{-1}) \cup U_\xi, [E] \rangle \\ &= \langle (f_E^*)^{-1}w(\xi)^{-1} \cup U_\xi, [E] \cap (f_E^*)^{-1}f_*([R] \cap)^{-1}(\psi\phi)_* W \rangle \\ &= \langle (f_E^*)^{-1}w(\xi)^{-1} \cup U_\xi, q_*(\psi\phi)_* W \rangle \\ &= \langle T_\xi^*(w(\xi)^{-1}), (q\psi)_*(s_*(Y) \cap T_\nu^*(w(\nu)^{-1})) \rangle \\ &= \langle T_\xi^*(w(\xi)^{-1}), (\psi_E)_*(s_*(Y_E) \cap j_E^* T_\nu^*(w(\nu)^{-1})) \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_E^*(T_\xi^*(w(\xi)^{-1}) \cup j_E^* T_\nu^*(w(\nu)^{-1})), s_*(Y_E) \rangle \\ &= \langle T_{(\xi|_Z \oplus \nu|_Z)}^*(w(\xi|_Z \oplus \nu|_Z)^{-1}), s_*(Y_E) \rangle \\ &= e(Z) \quad \text{by Corollary 3.} \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

## References

- [ 1 ] P. Conner and E. Floyd, Differentiable periodic maps, *Ergebnisse der Math.* **33**, Springer, Berlin, Heiderberg, New York, 1964.
- [ 2 ] W. Fulton and R. MacPherson, Categorical framework for the study of singular spaces, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, **243** (1981).
- [ 3 ] S. Halperin and D. Toledo, Stiefel-Whitney homology classes, *Ann. of Math.*, **96** (1972), 511–525.
- [ 4 ] A. Matsui, Stiefel-Whitney homology classes of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Poincaré-Euler spaces, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **35** (1983), 321–339.
- [ 5 ] ———, Axioms for Stiefel-Whitney homology classes of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -Euler spaces, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **37** (1985), 27–32.
- [ 6 ] ——— and H. Sato, Stiefel Whitney homology classes and homotopy type of Euler spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **37** (1985), 437–453.
- [ 7 ] C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, Block bundles, I, II, III, *Ann. of Math.*, **87** (1968) 1–28, 255–277, 431–483.
- [ 8 ] L. Taylor, Stiefel-Whitney homology classes, *Quart. J. Oxford*, **28** (1977), 381–387.
- [ 9 ] D. Veljan, Axioms for Stiefel-Whitney homology classes of some singular spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **277** (1983), 285–305.

Akinori Matsui  
*Ichinoseki National College of Technology*  
*Ichinoseki, 021*  
*Japan*

Hajime Sato  
*Mathematical Institute*  
*Tôhoku University*  
*Sendai, 980 Japan*