

On the Manifolds of Periodic Geodesics

Hajime Sato

Let S^n be the n -dimensional sphere with a Riemannian metric g . If all geodesics are periodic with the same period l , we say that the Riemannian manifold (S^n, g) is a C_l -manifold, or g is a C_l -metric on S^n . (For detail see Besse [2]). Let g_0 be the canonical metric of S^n . Then (S^n, g_0) is a $C_{2\pi}$ -manifold. There are some examples of C_l -metric on S^n (Zoll [10], Weinstein [2], Guillemin [5]) other than the canonical metric. These examples are all obtained from deformations of g_0 in the space of C_l -metrics.

Let $T_1(S^n, g) = T_1(S^n)$ denote the tangent sphere bundle of radius 1 of a C_l -manifold (S^n, g) . Then the geodesic flow induces a free S^1 -action on T_1S^n . Since the geodesic flow vector field is a contact vector field on T_1S^n , the quotient space T_1S^n/S^1 is a $(2n-2)$ -dimensional symplectic manifold. We call T_1S^n/S^1 the manifold of geodesics and denote by $\text{Geod}(S^n, g)$. The manifold $\text{Geod}(S^n, g_0)$ is symplectically diffeomorphic to the Kähler manifold Q^{n-1} , called hyperquadric and defined by the equation

$$Z_0^2 + Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_n^2 = 0$$

in CP^n . Since every known example of C_l -manifold (S^n, g) is a deformation of (S^n, g_0) , the manifold of geodesics $\text{Geod}(S^n, g)$ for such manifold is symplectically diffeomorphic to Q^{n-1} .

A result of Weinstein [7] says that, if $\text{Geod}(S^n, g_1)$ and $\text{Geod}(S^n, g_2)$ are symplectically diffeomorphic, then the eigenvalues of the Laplacian on two C_l -manifolds (S^n, g_1) and (S^n, g_2) are asymptotically similar.

Our problem is as follows. For any C_l -metric g on S^n , is $\text{Geod}(S^n, g)$ diffeomorphic to Q^{n-1} ? In this paper we study the tangent bundle of $\text{Geod}(S^n, g)$ and its characteristic classes.

Since $Sp(n-1, \mathbf{R})$ is homotopy equivalent to $U(n-1)$, the symplectic manifold $\text{Geod}(S^n, g)$ has the unique almost complex structure up to homotopy.

Let γ denote the complex line bundle associated to the S^1 -principal

bundle $T_1S^n \rightarrow \text{Geod}(S^n, g)$. Recall the structure of the tangent bundle τ_0 of $\text{Geod}(S^n, g_0) = Q^{n-1}$. We have

$$\tau_0 \otimes \gamma \oplus \gamma \oplus \gamma^* = \varepsilon^{n+1}$$

where γ^* is the dual of γ and ε^{n+1} is the trivial $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex vector bundle over Q^{n-1} . Let $g \in H^2(\text{Geod}(S^n, g); \mathbb{Z})$ be the class represented by the symplectic form. For the Chern class C_i of $\tau_0 \otimes \gamma$, we have

$$C_i(\tau_0 \otimes \gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & i: \text{odd} \\ g^i & i: \text{even.} \end{cases}$$

The characteristic class of $\text{Geod}(S^n, g_0)$ is determined by this equation. The bundle $\gamma \oplus \gamma^*$ is isomorphic to $\gamma_R \otimes_R C$, where γ_R denote the underlying real vector bundle of γ .

By a stable class of a bundle η , we mean the Whitney sum of η with a trivial bundle of sufficient dimension. We write η^{st} for the stable class of η .

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem. *Let (S^n, g) be a C_1 -manifold and let τ be the tangent bundle of $\text{Geod}(S^n, g)$. Then there exists a real vector bundle ξ over $\text{Geod}(S^n, g)$ such that*

$$(\tau \otimes \gamma)^{st} = \xi \otimes_R C.$$

Remark that there are many examples of free S^1 -action on T_1S^n , not coming from a geodesic vector field, such that the tangent bundle of the orbit space does not satisfy the relation in Theorem.

The cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Geod}(S^n, g); \mathbb{Z})$ is known to be isomorphic to $H^*(Q^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z})$ which has no torsion (Yang [9]).

Corollary 1. *Every odd dimensional Chern class of the bundle $\tau \otimes \gamma$ vanishes.*

If $n \leq 4$, then the non-zero Chern class of $\tau \otimes \gamma$ is only $C_2(\tau \otimes \gamma) \in H^4(\text{Geod}(S^n, g); \mathbb{Z})$. The $(n-1)$ -dimensional Chern class $C_{n-1}(\tau)$ of τ is representable by $C_2(\tau \otimes \gamma)$, which must be equal to the Euler class.

By identifying the isomorphic cohomology rings $H^*(\text{Geod}(S^n, g); \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(Q^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z})$, we have the following.

Corollary 2. *If $n \leq 4$, then the Chern classes of the manifold $\text{Geod}(S^n, g)$ are equal to that of $\text{Geod}(S^n, g_0)$.*

S. Sasao has shown the author the following result.

Proposition. *Let M be a simply connected 6-dimensional closed manifold with cohomology ring $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ isomorphic to $H^*(Q^3; \mathbb{Z})$. If the second Stiefel class $w_2(M) \neq 0$, then M is homotopy equivalent to Q^3 .*

By using the Browder-Novikov's surgery technique, we obtain

Corollary 3. *For any C_i -metric g on S^4 , the manifold $\text{Geod}(S^4, g)$ is diffeomorphic to Q^3 .*

For the proof of Theorem, we need an inverse of Thom isomorphism in K -theory, a global Jacobi equation written in terms of the horizontal lift of connections and a topological study of Sturm-Liouville equations by means of Morse theory.

We outline our argument. Detailed proof will appear elsewhere.

I. Topological Preliminaries

1. Projectable bundles

Let X be a smooth manifold and let $\pi: L \rightarrow X$ be the projection of an S^1 -principal bundle.

Definition. A vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow L$ over L is projectable onto X , if there exists a vector bundle $\hat{E}: \hat{E} \rightarrow X$ over X such that $\pi^*\hat{E} = E$. The map π induces the bundle map $\pi_1: E \rightarrow \hat{E}$, which we call the projection. The bundle \hat{E} is called the projected bundle.

Let x be a point in X . For any $a, b \in \pi^{-1}(x) = S^1$, we have a linear isomorphism

$$\Phi_{ab}: p^{-1}(a) \longrightarrow p^{-1}(b)$$

of vector spaces defined by $\Phi_{ab}(u) = v$, where $\pi_1(u) = \pi_1(v)$. Then we have, for $a, b, c \in \pi^{-1}(x)$,

$$(1) \quad \Phi_{bc}\Phi_{ab} = \Phi_{ac}.$$

Let $\pi^*L = \{(a, b) \in L \times L, \pi(a) = \pi(b)\}$ be the induced S^1 -bundle over L from L . We have two projections $\pi_1, \pi_2: \pi^*L \rightarrow L$ defined by $\pi_1(a, b) = a$ and $\pi_2(a, b) = b$. Let π_i^*E ($i=1, 2$) be the induced vector bundle. The map $\Phi: \pi^*L \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\pi_1^*E, \pi_2^*E)$ defined by $\Phi(a, b) = \Phi_{ab}$ is a continuous cross section of the bundle $\text{Iso}(\pi_1^*E, \pi_2^*E)$ over π^*L .

We call Φ the projecting isomorphism associated with the projectable bundle E . Given a cross section Φ satisfying (1) and a vector bundle E

over L , we can regard E as a projectable bundle with $\tilde{\Phi}$ as the projecting isomorphism.

Assume that the vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow L$ is isomorphic to the trivial bundle. Then we may regard $\tilde{\Phi}_{ab}$ as an element of the general linear group GL . The bundle $\text{Iso}(\pi_1^*E, \pi_2^*E)$ is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $GL \times \pi^*L$ over π^*L . The space π^*L is naturally homeomorphic to $S^1 \times L$. Let G be a subgroup of GL such that $\tilde{\Phi}_{ab}$ is contained in G for any $(a, b) \in \pi^*L$. Then $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a map from $S^1 \times L$ to G . Define a map $\tilde{\Phi}: L \rightarrow L \times \Omega G$ by $\tilde{\Phi}(a) = (a, \Phi(\cdot, a))$. We have the action of S^1 on L . On the loop space ΩG , the group S^1 acts by $(t\omega)(s) = \omega(t+s) \cdot \omega(t)^{-1}$, where $t, s \in S^1$, $\omega \in \Omega G$, and \cdot denote the composition of G . Thus we have the product action of S^1 on $L \times \Omega G$. Using (1), we can easily see that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is S^1 -equivariant. The factor space $L \times_{S^1} \Omega G$ is the total space of a fiber bundle over $X = L/S^1$. Thus $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a cross section of the bundle $L \times_{S^1} \Omega G$, i.e. $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Gamma(X, L \times_{S^1} \Omega G)$.

2. Homotopy theorem

Let \mathcal{A} denote the complex line bundle over X associated to L and let X^4 denote the Thom space of \mathcal{A} . Let $\text{Vect}(X^4)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of vector bundles over X . Let $\text{II}\Gamma(X, L \times_{S^1} \Omega G)$ denote the set of homotopy classes of $\Gamma(X, L \times_{S^1} \Omega G)$. By taking the homotopy classes of $\tilde{\Phi}$, we have the set map

$$\Phi: \text{Vect}(X^4) \longrightarrow \text{II}\Gamma(X, L \times_{S^1} \Omega G).$$

Now suppose that we are given an element f in $\Gamma(X, L \times_{S^1} \Omega G)$. Let E be a trivial bundle over L . Then we naturally obtain a projecting isomorphism Ψ_f of E which satisfy (1). We write $\Psi_f(E)$ for the projected bundle over X .

Proposition. *If f_1, f_2 in $\Gamma(X, L \times_{S^1} \Omega G)$ are homotopic, then $\Psi_{f_1}(E)$ and $\Psi_{f_2}(E)$ are isomorphic vector bundles over X .*

3. Stable case

Suppose that the dimension of the fiber of the trivial bundle E is sufficiently large. Let $G = GL(\mathbf{R}, \infty)$, $GL(\mathbf{C}, \infty)$ or $GL(\mathbf{H}, \infty)$. According to Bott [4], the space ΩG is homotopy equivalent to the space of minimal geodesics, on which S^1 acts trivially.

Proposition. *The fiber bundle $L \times_{S^1} \Omega G$ is homotopy equivalent to the trivial bundle $X \times \Omega G$.*

If $G = GL(C, \infty)$, then $\Gamma\Pi(X, L \times_{s^1} \Omega G) = K(X)$. The map Φ in Section 2 may be regarded as a map

$$\Phi: \tilde{K}(X^A) \longrightarrow K(X).$$

Let $\tau: K(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(X^A)$ be the Thom isomorphism defined by $\tau(x) = \lambda_A x$, where $\lambda_A \in \tilde{K}(X^A)$ is defined by the exterior algebra of A ([1]).

Proposition. *The map Φ is the inverse of τ .*

Corollary. *For $f \in \Gamma(X, L \times_{s^1} \Omega GL(C, \infty))$,*

$$\{\psi_f(E)\} = (1 - [A])\{f\} \in \tilde{K}(X).$$

If $G = GL(R, \infty)$, then the projected bundle is isomorphic to the complexification of a real vector bundle. Let $i: [X, \Omega GL(R, \infty)] \rightarrow [X, \Omega GL(C, \infty)]$ be the natural homomorphism.

Corollary. *For any $\gamma \in K(X)$ represented by a line bundle over X , and for any $\varepsilon \in [X, \Omega GL(R, \infty)]$, there exists a real vector bundle β on X , such that*

$$(1 - \gamma)i(\varepsilon) = \beta_C \in \tilde{K}(X),$$

where β_C is the complexification $\beta \otimes_R C$.

II. The Manifold of Geodesics

4. Global Jacobi differential equation

Let ∇ be a connection on the tangent bundle TM of a smooth manifold M , and let ∇^H be the horizontal lift of ∇ (Yano-Ishihara [8]). Then ∇^H is a connection on the tangent bundle TTM of TM . We decompose the tangent space $T_v TM$, $v \in TM$, as the sum of the horizontal part and the vertical part

$$T_v TM = H_v TM + V_v TM.$$

We write $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ for $X = x_1^H + x_2^V \in T_v TM$, where $x_1, x_2 \in T_{\pi(v)}M$, x_1^H and x_2^V are horizontal and vertical lifts, π is the projection $TM \rightarrow M$. For a vector field X on M , by definition, we have

$$\nabla_{x^V}^H \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \nabla_{x^H}^H \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_X Y_1 \\ \nabla_X Y_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let g be a Riemannian metric on M . For $v \in T_x M$, we define a linear symmetric transformation R_v of $T_x M$ by

$$R_v w = R(w, v)v,$$

where $w \in T_x M$ and R is the curvature tensor of (M, g) . To each $v \in T_x M$, we define a linear endomorphism P_v of $T_v TM$ by

$$P_v \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -R_v & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This defines a smooth cross section P of the bundle $\text{Hom}(TTM, TTM)$ over TM . Let Z be the geodesic flow vector field. Then we have $Z(v) = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $v \in TM$. We define a linear differential equation on TM by

$$(2) \quad \nabla_Z^H Y = PY,$$

where $Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a time dependent smooth cross section of the bundle TTM over TM . We call (2) the global Jacobi differential equation. It is a second order differential equation.

5. The tangent bundle of the manifold of geodesics

Let (M, g) be a C_1 -manifold. Then the geodesic flow is periodic and defines a free S^1 -action on the unit tangent sphere bundle $T_1 M$. The manifold of geodesics $\text{Geod}(M, g)$ is the quotient space $T_1 M/S^1$. We give the canonical metric on TM . Let \tilde{F} be the subbundle of TTM consisting of vectors orthogonal to X^H and x^V at $x \in TM$. Let F be the restriction of \tilde{F} on $T_1 M$. Then the global Jacobi equation (2) can be restricted on F and it defines a projecting isomorphism on F . Since the solution of the Jacobi equation is the integral curve of the complete lift ([8]) of the geodesic flow vector field, we obtain

Proposition. *The projected bundle \hat{F} defined by the global Jacobi differential equation is isomorphic to the tangent bundle $T\text{Geod}(M, g)$ of $\text{Geod}(M, g)$.*

III. Differential Equation and Morse Theory

6. Sturm-Liouville equation and a symmetric space

We want to study geometrically families of curves defined by vector-valued Sturm-Liouville equations

$$(3) \quad y'' - q_x(t)y = 0,$$

where y'' means d^2y/dt^2 and $q_x(t)$ is a symmetric matrix-valued continuous function on $t \in \mathbf{R}$ parameterized by a point x in a smooth manifold X . Put

$z = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \end{pmatrix}$ and we obtain

$$(4) \quad z' - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ q_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} z = 0.$$

Since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ q_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is contained in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(m, \mathbf{R})$, the fundamental solution $W_x(t)$ with $W_x(0) = I$ is contained in the Lie group $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$.

We embed $GL^+(m, \mathbf{R})$ in $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ by regarding $x \in GL^+(m, \mathbf{R})$ as $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ in $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$. Let N be the subgroup of $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ defined by

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ C & I \end{pmatrix}; {}^t C = C \right\}.$$

Then $N \cap GL^+(m, \mathbf{R}) = I$ and $xNx^{-1} = N$ for all $x \in GL^+(m, \mathbf{R})$. Thus $N \cdot GL^+(m, \mathbf{R}) = GL^+(m, \mathbf{R}) \cdot N$, which we denote by H . Let Q be the space of right cosets $H \backslash Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ and let

$$\mu: Sp(m, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow Q = N \cdot GL^+(m, \mathbf{R}) \backslash Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$$

be the natural projection. Since $U(m) \cap H = SO(m)$, we have $SO(m) \backslash U(m) = H \backslash Sp(m, \mathbf{R}) = Q$. We also denote by μ the projection $U(m) \rightarrow Q$. Let \mathfrak{h} be the Lie algebra of H and let \mathfrak{M} be the subspace of $\mathfrak{u}(m) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(M, \mathbf{R})$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{M} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ -B & 0 \end{pmatrix}; {}^t B = B \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{sp}(m, \mathbf{R}) &= \mathfrak{M} + \mathfrak{h}, & \mathfrak{u}(m) &= \mathfrak{M} + \mathfrak{so}(m), \\ [\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{so}(m)] &\subset \mathfrak{M}, & [\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M}] &\subset \mathfrak{so}(m). \end{aligned}$$

The space Q has a $U(m)$ -invariant metric such that Q is a Riemannian symmetric space.

7. Sturm-Liouville curve

We identify $\mathfrak{sp}(m, \mathbf{R})$ with the right invariant vector field on $Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$. Define a subspace $\text{stl}(m)$ of $\mathfrak{sp}(m, \mathbf{R})$ by

$$\text{stl}(m) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix}; {}^t C = C \right\}.$$

We say that a smooth curve $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ is a Sturm-Liouville curve (abbrev. SL-curve) if dc/dt is contained in the set $\text{stl}(m)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let \mathfrak{M}^+ be the subspace of \mathfrak{M} defined by

$$\mathfrak{M}^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ -B & 0 \end{pmatrix}; {}^t B = B > 0 \right\}.$$

For $x \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$, we write \mathfrak{M}_x^+ for the subspace of $T_x Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ corresponding to \mathfrak{M}^+ . If $\mu(x_1) = \mu(x_2)$ for $x_1, x_2 \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$, then

$$\mu_*(\mathfrak{M}_{x_1}^+) = \mu_*(\mathfrak{M}_{x_2}^+).$$

For $q \in Q$, we define a subspace $\mu_*(\mathfrak{M}^+)$ of $T_q Q$ by $\mu_*(\mathfrak{M}_x^+)$ for some $x \in Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$, $\mu(x) = q$.

A smooth curve $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow Q$ is called a positive curve (abbrev. (+)-curve) if dc/dt is contained in $\mu_*(\mathfrak{M}^+)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. The image $\hat{c} = \mu c: [0, 1] \rightarrow Q$ of a SL-curve $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ is a (+)-curve. Let us define a space $\Omega^+(Q)$ by the set of all piecewise smooth (+)-curves $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow Q$ with $c(0) = c(1) = p_0$, where $p_0 = \{H\} \in Q$. An element in $\Omega^+(Q)$ is called a (+)-loop. We give a topology on $\Omega^+(Q)$ as the subspace of the loop space $\Omega(Q)$. The energy function E is given by $E(\omega) = \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{d\omega}{dt} \right\|^2 dt$ for $\omega \in \Omega^+(Q)$. A (+)-loop ω in $\Omega^+(Q)$ is a critical point for the function E if and only if ω is a geodesic (+)-loop. Remark that a geodesic of Q emanating from p_0 is given by

$$\gamma_x(t) = p_0 \exp tX$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Remark that we can define the space of (+)-curves in $\Omega(U(m))$ to be the inverse image of $\Omega^+(Q)$ by the natural projection $\Omega(U(m)) \rightarrow \Omega(Q)$. The following arguments are also valid. But for our purpose, the definition is sufficient.

8. Morse theory on $\Omega^+(Q)$

We study the weak homotopy type of the space $\Omega^+(Q)$ by using the Morse theory, where $Q = SO(m) \backslash U(m)$. On $\Omega = \Omega(Q)$, we have the energy function E defined by

$$E(\omega) = \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{d\omega}{dt} \right\|^2 dt,$$

for $\omega \in \Omega(Q)$. Given $c > 0$, let $\Omega_c = \Omega_c(Q)$ denote the closed subset $E^{-1}([0, c]) \subset \Omega(Q)$ and let $\text{Int } \Omega_c$ denote the open subset $E^{-1}((0, c])$. Put $\Omega_c^+ = \Omega_c \cap \Omega^+(Q)$ and $\text{Int } \Omega_c^+ = \text{Int } \Omega_c \cap \Omega^+(Q)$. Regard S^1 as $[0, 1]/\{0\} \sim \{1\}$. We can choose a subdivision $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = 1$ of $[0, 1]$ so that, for any $\omega \in \Omega_c$, the geodesic connecting $\omega(t_{i-1})$ and $\omega(t_i)$ is uniquely and differentiably determined by the two end points, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ (cf. [6, § 16]). For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{2^j-1} = 1$ be the vertexes of the $(j-1)$ -th barycentric subdivision of the polyhedron $[0, 1]$ with the vertexes t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k . We define ${}^j\Omega_c$ to be the subspace of Ω_c consisting of loops $\omega \in \Omega_c$ such that $\omega|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is the geodesic for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{j-1}k$. Put ${}^j\Omega_c^+ = {}^j\Omega_c \cap \Omega^+$, and $\text{Int } \Omega_c^+ = \text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c \cap \Omega^+$. We have the natural inclusions

$${}^1\Omega_c^+ \subset {}^2\Omega_c^+ \subset \dots \subset {}^j\Omega_c^+ \subset \dots$$

We study the homotopy type of ${}^j\Omega_c^+$ and show that the inclusions are homotopy equivalences. Note that $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c$ has the structure of a smooth finite dimensional manifold, and $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c^+$ is an open submanifold of $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c$. Choose a Riemannian metric on $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c$, and consider the gradient vector field $\text{grad}(-E) = -\text{grad } E$. Let ϕ_s be the associated local one-parameter group of transformations. The main result of this section is the following

Proposition. *For any $\omega \in \text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c^+$, the maximal integral curve $\phi_s(\omega)$ for $s \geq 0$ of $-\text{grad } E$ in $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c$ is contained in $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c^+$.*

For the proof, we need the following. For a real symmetric $(m \times m)$ -matrix A , we associate a real number $\sigma(A)$ defined by

$$\sigma(A) = \min_{\|a\|=1} \langle Aa, a \rangle,$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\langle \ \rangle$ is the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^m .

Obviously we have

Lemma. *For any two real symmetric $(m \times m)$ -matrices A and B , we have*

$$\sigma(A+B) \geq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B).$$

Let p be a point in Q . We can express p by $p = SO(m)g$ for some g in $U(m)$. We identify T_pQ with $\mathfrak{M} = T_{p_0}Q$ by the right translation $(R_g)_*$. Thus a vector $X \in T_pQ$ is identified with $A \in \mathfrak{M}$, where $X = (R_g)_*A$. The space \mathfrak{M} is naturally identified with the set of real symmetric $(m \times m)$ -matrices. We define a real number $\sigma(X)$ by

$$\sigma(X) = \sigma(A).$$

Lemma. *The value $\sigma(X)$ is independent of the choice of g in $U(m)$.*

Thus σ is a well-defined function on $T_p(Q)$. Let h be an element of $U(m)$. For any $p \in Q$ and $X \in T_pQ$, we have

$$\sigma((R_h)_*X) = \sigma(X).$$

Now let ω be an element in $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c$. Then ω is a broken geodesic with $E(\omega) < c$. Put $V_i = d\omega/dt$, and

$\Delta_i V = V_{t_+} - V_{t_-}$ = discontinuity in the velocity vector at t , where $0 < t < 1$.

Then $\Delta_i V = 0$ except for $t = t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{2^j-1k-1}$. We define a real number $\sigma(\omega)$ by

$$\sigma(\omega) = \min_{i=0,1,\dots,2^j-1k-1} \sigma(V_{t_{i+}}).$$

Remark that $\sigma(V_{t_{i+}}) = \sigma(V_{t_{i+1}-}) = \sigma(V_i)$ for $t_i < t < t_{i+1}$. Obviously σ is a continuous function on the manifold $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c$. More precisely, we have the following

Lemma. *For any smooth curve $\psi: R \rightarrow \text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c$, the function $\psi^*\sigma$ is a piecewise smooth function on R .*

Remark that $\omega \in \text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c$ is contained in $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c^+$ if and only if $\sigma(\omega) > 0$.

Let ω be an element in $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c^+$, and let $\phi: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c^+$ be the integral curve of the vector field $-\text{grad } E$ with $\phi(0) = \omega$.

Lemma. *Suppose that $\phi^*\sigma$ is smooth at 0. Then we have*

$$(-\text{grad } E)_\omega(\sigma) \geq 0.$$

The proof is given as follows. By the first variational formula, we have

$$(-\text{grad } E)_\omega = \sum_i \Delta_i V.$$

We show that, for each i , $(\Delta_i V)(\sigma) \geq 0$. The integral curve $\omega(s)(t) = \omega^s(t)$ of $\Delta_i V$ with $\omega^0(t) = \omega(t)$ in $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c^+$ for $-\epsilon < s < \epsilon$, ϵ small, is given as follows. Let $\eta(t)$, $0 \leq t$, be the geodesic with $\eta(0) = \omega(t_i)$ such that $d\eta/dt(0) = \Delta_i V$. We define the loop ω^s by

$$\omega^s(t) = \begin{cases} \omega(t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq t_{i-1} \text{ and } t_{i+1} \leq t \leq 1, \\ \text{geodesic connecting } \omega(t_{i-1}) \text{ and } \eta(s) & \text{for } t_{i-1} \leq t \leq t_i, \\ \text{geodesic connecting } \eta(s) \text{ and } \omega(t_{i+1}) & \text{for } t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

Put $V_t^s = d\omega^s/dt$. By the definition of $\sigma(\omega)$, it is sufficient to show that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} 1/s(\sigma(V_{t_i-}^s) - \sigma(V_{t_i-})) \geq 0$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} 1/s(\sigma(V_{t_i+}^s) - \sigma(V_{t_i+})) \geq 0$. For fixed s , let $\alpha^s(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq s$) denote the geodesic such that $\alpha^s(0) = \omega(t_i - s)$ and $\alpha^s(s) = \eta(s)$. Let $\exp: u(m) \rightarrow U(m)$ be the exponential mapping of the group $U(m)$. Fix an identification of $T_{\omega(t_i-s)}\mathcal{Q}$ with \mathfrak{M} and identify $T_{\omega(t_i)}\mathcal{Q}$ with $T_{\omega(t_i-s)}\mathcal{Q}$ by the action of $\exp(V_{t_i-})$. Then the velocity vector $d\alpha^s/dt(0)$ is given by $\mu_*\{\exp^{-1}(\exp(s\Delta_{t_i}V) \exp(sV_{t_i-s}))\}$, where $\mu_*: u(m) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ is the projection. Since $V_{t_i-s} = V_{t_i-}$ by the identification, we have $\Delta_{t_i}V + V_{t_i-s} = V_{t_i+}$. Consequently we have

$$\frac{d\alpha^s}{dt}(0) = \mu_*\left\{sV_{t_i+} + \frac{s^2}{2}[\Delta_{t_i}V, V_{t_i-}] + o(s^3)\right\},$$

where $o(s^3)$ denote a $\mathfrak{a}(m)$ -valued function of order s^3 . Since $\sigma(V_{t_i+}) > 0$, we have $((d\alpha^s/dt)(0)) > 0$ for small $s > 0$. Let $\text{Exp} = \text{Exp}_{\eta(s)}: T_{\eta(s)}\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be the exponential mapping of the symmetric space \mathcal{Q} . In the vector space $T_{\eta(s)}\mathcal{Q}$, we have equalities

$$\text{Exp}^{-1}(\omega(t_i - s)) = -\frac{d\alpha^s}{dt}(s), \quad \text{Exp}^{-1}(\omega(t_{i-1})) = -V_{t_{i-1}}^s.$$

Since

$$\{\text{Exp}^{-1}(\omega(t_i - s)) - \text{Exp}^{-1}(\omega(t_{i-1}))\} + \{-\text{Exp}^{-1}(\omega(t_i - s))\} = -\text{Exp}^{-1}(\omega(t_{i-1})),$$

we have

$$\sigma(\text{Exp}^{-1}(\omega(t_i - s)) - \text{Exp}^{-1}(\omega(t_{i-1}))) + \sigma\left(\frac{d\alpha^s}{dt}(s)\right) \leq \sigma(V_{t_{i-1}}^s).$$

Note that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \{\text{Exp}^{-1}(\omega(t_i - s)) - \text{Exp}^{-1}(\omega(t_{i-1}))\} = V_{t_i-} \in T_{\omega(t_i)}\mathcal{Q}$$

and

$$\sigma\left(\frac{d\alpha^s}{dt}(s)\right) = \sigma\left(\frac{d\alpha^s}{dt}(0)\right).$$

Consequently we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0+} \{\sigma(V_{t_i-}^s) - \sigma(V_{t_{i-1}})\} \geq 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \{\sigma(V_{t_i-}^s) - \sigma(V_{t_{i-1}})\} \geq 0$$

if it exists. The proof that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \{ \sigma(V_{t_i^+}^s) - \sigma(V_{t_i^+}) \} \geq 0$$

is quite similar.

Let W_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, p$) be the collection of critical manifolds in $\text{Int } {}^j\Omega_c^+$ (Bott [4]). Remark that these collections are equal for every $j=1, 2, \dots$. Let ξ_i be the negative bundle of W_i ([4]).

Corollary. *For any $j \geq 1$, the space ${}^j\Omega_c$ is homotopy equivalent to the CW-complex*

$$K = \xi_1 \cup \xi_2 \cup \dots \cup \xi_p.$$

Corollary. *The inclusions*

$${}^1\Omega_c^+ \subset {}^2\Omega_c^+ \subset \dots \subset {}^j\Omega_c^+ \subset \dots$$

are homotopy equivalences.

Put ${}^{pj}\Omega_c^+ = \varinjlim_j {}^j\Omega_c^+$. Then ${}^{pj}\Omega_c^+$ is homotopy equivalent to ${}^j\Omega_c^+$ for any $j \geq 1$.

The following is easy to see.

Proposition. *For any compact topological space X , the inclusion $i: {}^{pj}\Omega_c^+ \hookrightarrow \Omega_c^+$ induces an isomorphism*

$$i_*: [X, {}^{pj}\Omega_c^+] \cong [X, \Omega_c^+].$$

9. Degree and index of (+)-loops

For $x \in Q = SO(m) \setminus U(m)$, we have the determinant $\det(x) \in S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \|z\|=1\}$. For a map $\omega: S^1 \rightarrow Q$, the degree $d(\omega)$ is defined to be the winding number of the composition $\det \cdot \omega: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$. Two elements ω_1 and ω_2 in $\Omega(Q)$ are contained in the same connected component if and only if $d(\omega_1) = d(\omega_2)$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, put ${}_k\Omega(Q) = \{\omega \in \Omega(Q); d(\omega) = k\}$ and ${}_k\Omega^+(Q) = \Omega^+(Q) \cap {}_k\Omega(Q)$.

The following follows from results of Section 8.

Proposition. *Every (+)-loop ω is homotopic in $\Omega^+(Q)$ to a geodesic loop.*

Each geodesic issuing from $p_0 = \{SO(m)\}$ is written as $p_0 \exp tA$ for some $A \in \mathfrak{N}$. Diagonalize A , and we obtain

Proposition. For $Q = SO(m) \setminus U(m)$, ${}_k\Omega^+(Q)$ is non-vacuous if and only if $k \geq m$.

The critical manifold in ${}_m\Omega^+(Q)$ consists of one point γ_0 defined by $p_0 \exp \frac{t}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition. The space ${}_m\Omega^+(SO(m) \setminus U(m))$ is contractible (up to weak homotopy type).

Now to each (+)-loop $\omega \in \Omega^+(Q)$, we will associate a non-negative integer $i(\omega)$ called the index of ω . Let $\Pi: \mathfrak{sp}(m, \mathbf{R}) = \mathfrak{M} + \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ be the projection. A (+)-loop $\omega \in \Omega^+(Q)$ is the image by μ of a piecewise smooth curve $\zeta: [0, 1] \rightarrow Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(0) &= I, \quad \zeta(1) \subset H, \\ \Pi\left(\frac{d\zeta}{dt}(t)\zeta^{-1}(t)\right) &\subset \mathfrak{M}^+ \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We say that ζ is a lifting of ω . Express the $\mathfrak{sp}(m, \mathbf{R})$ -valued function $d\zeta/dt \cdot \zeta^{-1}$ on $[0, 1]$ as

$$\frac{d\zeta}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -{}^tA \end{pmatrix} \zeta.$$

Then $\Pi(d\zeta/dt \cdot \zeta^{-1}) \subset \mathfrak{M}^+$ if and only if $B > 0$. The curve

$$\zeta(t) = \begin{pmatrix} X(t) & Y(t) \\ Z(t) & W(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

is the fundamental matrix of the differential equation

$$(5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -{}^tA \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \end{pmatrix}.$$

We say that $0 < t \leq 1$ is conjugate to 0 if there exists a non-zero solution $\begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \end{pmatrix}$ of (5) such that

$$(6) \quad U(0) = U(t) = 0.$$

Thus t with $0 < t \leq 1$ is conjugate to 0 if and only if $\det Y(t) = 0$. The multiplicity of the conjugate point t is defined to be the dimension of the solutions $\begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \end{pmatrix}$ of (5) which satisfy (6). We define the k -th conjugate point by counting multiplicities. The index of the curve $\zeta: [0, 1] \rightarrow Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$ is defined to be the number of conjugate points in $(0, 1)$ counted with their multiplicities.

By a (+)-variation of the curve $\zeta(t) = \zeta_0(t)$, we mean a continuous mapping

$$\zeta: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow Sp(m, \mathbf{R})$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

- *) ζ_s is piecewise smooth,
- ***) $\Pi((d\zeta_s/dt)(t)) \in \mathfrak{M}^+$ for all $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, where we put $\zeta_s(t) = \zeta(s, t)$.

By the classical method in the calculus of variations, we obtain

Proposition. *For a (+)-variation, the k -th conjugate points vary continuously for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Suppose that ζ_s is a (+)-variation of ζ , such that $\zeta_s(0) = e$, and $\zeta_s(1) \in H$ for all $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Then the point 1 is conjugate to 0 with multiplicity equal to m . Since m is the maximum of possible multiplicities, conjugate points do not cross the point 1.

Proposition. *Suppose that a (+)-variation ζ_s satisfies the relation $\zeta_s(0) = e$, $\zeta_s(1) \in H$ for all $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Then, for any $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, the index of ζ_s is equal to the index of ζ_0 .*

For an element $\omega \in \Omega^+(Q)$, we define the index $i(\omega)$ to be the index of a lifting ζ . Obviously we have

Lemma. *If ω_0 and ω_1 lie in the same arcwise connected component of $\Omega^+(Q)$, then $i(\omega_0) = i(\omega_1)$.*

The sum of conjugate points in $(0, 1]$ of a geodesic loop $\gamma \in \Omega(Q)$ is equal to $2d(\gamma)$.

Lemma. *For a geodesic loop $\gamma \in \Omega^+(Q)$, we have*

$$i(\gamma) = 2d(\gamma) - m.$$

Combining lemmas, we obtain

Proposition. *For a (+)-loop $\omega \in \Omega^+(Q)$,*

$$i(\omega) = 2d(\omega) - m.$$

IV. C_l -metrics on S^n

10. A stable bundle

Let g be a C_l -metric on S^n . We may assume that $l=1$. We embed

S^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} as the unit sphere. We give a Riemannian metric h on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} such that h is equal to the product $g \times g_0$ on a tubular neighborhood of S^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , where g_0 is the standard metric on $(-1, 1)$. The metric h naturally induces the Riemannian metric h on $T\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Let $L = T_1S^n$ be the unit tangent sphere bundle of S^n . Define a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional vector bundle E over L by $E = TTR^{n+1}|L$. Of course E is isomorphic to the trivial bundle. The geodesic flow defines a free S^1 -action on L such that the base space X is equal to $\text{Geod}(S^n, g)$. We give a projecting isomorphism Φ on E as follows. Let B be the $(2n-2)$ -dimensional subbundle of E consisting of tangent vectors of L orthogonal to the geodesic flow vector. Thus we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$E = A \oplus B,$$

where A is the real 4-dimensional vector bundle isomorphic to the trivial bundle. Consider the following differential equation on E ,

$$(7) \quad \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^H \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{P} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\dot{\gamma}$ is the geodesic flow vector, and \tilde{P} is the linear endomorphism of E defined by,

$$\tilde{P}_v = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{on } A \\ P_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -R_v & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{on } B, \end{cases}$$

where $v \in L \subset T\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and R is the curvature tensor of (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, h) . We write $\tilde{P}_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ P^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on E . Then all the solutions of (7) are periodic and it defines a projecting isomorphism Φ on E . Remark that Φ can be restricted to subbundles A and B . Let \hat{A} and \hat{B} be the projected bundle. Then the projected bundle \hat{E} is isomorphic to the Whitney sum $\hat{A} \oplus \hat{B}$. The natural complex structure of the bundle TTR^{n+1} induces the complex structure on \hat{E} such that \hat{A} and \hat{B} are complex subbundles. By the result of Section 5, \hat{B} is isomorphic to the tangent bundle TX .

Let γ denote the complex line bundle associated to the S^1 -principal bundle $T_1S^n \rightarrow X$, and γ^* be the dual bundle.

Proposition. *The complex bundle A is isomorphic to the bundle $2\gamma^* = \gamma^* \oplus \gamma^*$.*

11. Proof of Theorem

Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{n+1} be a global orthonormal frame of (R^{n+1}, h) . We have the horizontal and the vertical lifts

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1^H, Z_2^H, \dots, Z_{n+1}^H, \\ Z_1^V, Z_2^V, \dots, Z_{n+1}^V, \end{aligned}$$

on the manifold TR^{n+1} . Define an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrix valued function $A=(a_{ij})$ on $L=T_1S^n$ by

$$V_i Z_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_{ik} Z_k,$$

where $\dot{\gamma}$ is the geodesic flow vector field. Remark that $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$. For unknown vectors Y_1 and Y_2 , write

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1^i &= \sum_j f_{ij} Z_j, \\ Y_2^i &= \sum_j g_{ij} Z_j. \end{aligned}$$

The vector $Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is represented by $(2n+2, n+1)$ -matrix $\begin{pmatrix} F \\ G \end{pmatrix}$, where $F=(f_{ij})$ and $G=(g_{ij})$. Consider the following differential equation,

$$(8) \quad (Y, Z)' = (Y, Z) \begin{pmatrix} -A & 0 \\ 0 & -A \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ P^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} (Y, Z),$$

where Y and Z are $(2n+2, n+1)$ -matrices and $'$ means d/dt . The solutions Y and Z of (8) give the solutions of (7). Let U and V be the fundamental matrix of the differential equations

$$U' = U \begin{pmatrix} -A & 0 \\ 0 & -A \end{pmatrix}, \quad V' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ P^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} V.$$

Then the matrix equation W of (8) is given by

$$W = VU.$$

Since $A \in \mathfrak{o}(n+1, \mathbf{R})$, U is contained in $SO(n+1, \mathbf{R})$. Since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ P^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sp}(n+1, \mathbf{R})$, $V \in Sp(n+1, \mathbf{R})$. Thus W is contained in $Sp(n+1, \mathbf{R})$. By the projection $\mu: Sp(n+1, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow Q = H \setminus Sp(n+1, \mathbf{R}) = SO(n+1) \setminus U(n+1)$, U is mapped trivially. Since $W(0) = W(1) = e$, we have $\mu V(0) = \mu V(1) = p_0$. Thus μV can be regarded as a map $X \rightarrow \Omega Q$. For $W^{-1} = U^{-1}V^{-1}$, we have $\mu(W^{-1}) = \mu(V^{-1})$. Since $\dim X = 2n-2$, the set of homotopy classes

$[X, \Omega Sp(n+1, \mathbf{R})]$ and $[X, \Omega Q]$ are abelian groups and μ induces a homomorphism. As elements in $[x, \Omega Q]$,

$$\{\mu v\} = -\{\mu(V^{-1})\} = -\{\mu(W^{-1})\} = \{\mu W\}.$$

Note that μV belongs to $\Omega^+(Q)$.

Using the fact that the index of geodesics of a C_t -metric of S^n is equal to $n-1$ (Bott [3]), we obtain

Lemma. *The degree of the (+)-loop μV is equal to $n+1$.*

From Section 8, it follows that the homotopy class of μV is trivial. The trivial homotopy class gives the bundle $(n+1)\gamma^*$.

The proof of the main theorem is given as follows. From Section 10, we see that the equation (8) gives the projecting isomorphism Φ such that

$$\hat{E} = TX \oplus 2\gamma^*.$$

Let $i: [X, \Omega GL(\mathbf{R}, \infty)] \rightarrow K(X) = [X, \Omega GL(\mathbf{C}, \infty)]$ be the natural homomorphism. The difference between $\Phi(\hat{E})$ and the trivial class lies in the image of $[X, \Omega GL(\mathbf{R}, \infty)]$. By Corollary of Section 3, we obtain

$$\hat{E} - (n+1)\gamma^* = (1-\gamma)i(\varepsilon),$$

for some $\varepsilon \in [X, \Omega GL(\mathbf{R}, \infty)]$. Thus

$$TX + 2\gamma^* = (n+1)\gamma^* + (1-\gamma)i(\varepsilon).$$

Write τ for TX . Tensoring γ to both sides, we obtain

$$\tau \otimes \gamma = (n-1) + (1-\gamma)\gamma i(\varepsilon).$$

Since $(1-\gamma)\gamma = (1-\gamma^2) - (1-\gamma)$, by Corollary in Section 3, we have real vector bundles ξ_1 and ξ_2 such that

$$(1-\gamma)\gamma i(\varepsilon) = \xi_1 \otimes_R C - \xi_2 \otimes_R C.$$

Thus we have

$$(\tau \otimes \gamma)^{st} = \xi \otimes_R C,$$

for some real vector bundle ξ over X , which is the conclusion of Theorem.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks to Takashi Sakai for helpful remarks.

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, *K-theory*, Benjamin, 1967.
- [2] A. Besse, *Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed*, *Ergebnisse der Math.*, **93** (1978), Springer.
- [3] R. Bott, *On manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed*, *Ann. of Math.*, **60** (1954), 375–382.
- [4] R. Bott, *The stable homotopy of the classical groups*, *Ann. of Math.*, **70** (1959), 313–337.
- [5] V. Guillemin, *The Radon transform on Zoll surface*, *Adv. in Math.*, **22** (1976), 85–119.
- [6] J. Milnor, *Morse theory*, *Ann. of Math. Studies*, **51**, Princeton University Press (1962).
- [7] A. Weinstein, *Fourier integral operators, quantization, and the spectra of Riemannian manifolds*, *Géométrie symplectique et physique mathématique*, *Colloq. Intern. C.N.R.S.*, **237** (1976), 289–298.
- [8] K. Yano and S. Ishihara, *Tangent and Cotangent Bundles*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [9] C. T. C. Yang, *Odd-dimensional Wiedersehen manifolds are spheres*, *J. Differential Geom.*, **15** (1980), 91–96.
- [10] O. Zoll, *Über Flächen mit Scharen Geschlossener Geodätischer Linien*, *Math. Ann.*, **57** (1903), 108–133.

*Department of Mathematics
Tohoku University
Sendai 980
Japan*