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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $R_{2}$ be the two-dimensional euclidean space, the plane. Let
$1<\mathrm{p}<\infty$ and $\mathrm{s}=1,2,3 \ldots$. Then
(1) $\quad W_{p}^{S}\left(R_{2}\right)=\left\{f\left|f \in L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right),\left\|f\left|W_{p}^{S}\left(R_{2}\right)\left\|=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq S}\right\| D^{\alpha} f\right| L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|<\infty\right\}\right.$
are the classical Sobolev spaces, where $I_{p}\left(R_{2}\right)$ has the usual meaning (complex-valued functions). It is well-known that the trace-operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
R: f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow f\left(x_{1}, 0\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a retraction from $W_{p}^{S}\left(R_{2}\right)$ onto the special Besov space ( $\sim$ Lipschitz space) $B_{p}^{s-1 / p}\left(R_{1}\right)$ on the real line $R_{1}$. Here retraction means that there exists a linear and bounded extension operator $S$ from $B_{p}^{s-1 / p}\left(R_{1}\right)$ (the trace space) into $W_{p}^{S}\left(R_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R S=\text { id (identity in } B_{p}^{S-1 / p}\left(R_{1}\right) \text { ) } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, if a trace-operator is a retraction then this assertion covers both the "direct" and the "inverse" embedding theorems and indicates that $R$ is a mapping "onto". The above-mentioned special Besov spaces $B_{p}^{\sigma}\left(R_{1}\right)$ with $\sigma>0$ and $1<p<\infty$ are defined as follows. If $t \in R_{I}$ and $\tau \in R_{I}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{\tau}^{1} f\right)(t)=f(t+\tau)-f(t), \quad \Delta_{\tau}^{m}=\Delta_{\tau}^{1} \Delta_{\tau}^{m-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m=2,3, \ldots$ are the usual differences. Then ${ }_{B_{p}^{\sigma}}^{\sigma}\left(R_{1}\right)$ is the collection of all complex-valued functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f \mid B_{p}^{\sigma}\left(R_{1}\right)\right\|_{m}=\left\|f I_{p}\left(R_{1}\right)\right\|+\left(\int_{0}^{1} \tau^{-\sigma p}\left\|\left(\Delta_{\tau}^{m} f\right)(\cdot) \mid L_{p}\left(R_{1}\right)\right\|^{p} \frac{d \tau}{\tau}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite, where $m$ is an arbitrary (but fixed) natural number with $m>\sigma$ (different $m^{\text {i }} s$ yield equivalent norms).

It is well-known that the above trace assertion can be extended easily to smooth curves in the plane, e.g. to the boundary of a bounded $C^{\infty}$-domain in the plane. The situation changes drastically if one deals with anisotropic Sobolev spaces: As far as the trace-operator $R$ from (2) is concerned one has a final answer since the early sixties, but there is no "standard way" to extend this result to traces on smooth curves, in general.

First we give some definitions and describe what is known. Let again $1<p<\infty$ and $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ be a couple of natural numbers, where we always assume that. $S_{1} \leqq S_{2}$. Then we put
(6a) $\quad\left\|f\left|W_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)\|=\| f\right|_{L_{p}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|+\left\|\frac{\partial^{S_{1}}}{\partial_{X_{1}}{ }^{S} I_{1}}\left|L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right)\|+\| \frac{\partial^{S_{2}}}{\partial_{X_{2}}{ }_{2}}\right| I_{p}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|$, and the anisotropic Sobolev space $W_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ is the collection of all $f \in L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right)$ such that this norm is finite. Anisotropic Besov spaces $B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ with $1<p<\infty$ and $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$, where $0<s_{1} \leqq s_{2}<\infty$. are as defined via the norm
(6b)
where $\bar{m}=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ is a couple of natural numbers with $m_{1}>s_{1}$ and $m_{2}>s_{2}$. Furthermore, $\Delta_{\tau_{1} I}^{m_{1}}$ must be understood in the sense of (4). where the differences are taken with respect to the $\mathrm{x}_{1}$-direction, whereas $x_{2}$ is fixed, e.g. $\left(\Delta_{\tau, 1}^{I} f\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=f\left(x_{1}+\tau_{,} x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f \mid B_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|-\|f=\| L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right) \|+\left(\int_{0}^{1} \tau^{-S_{1} p}\left\|\left(\Delta_{\tau, 1}^{m} I^{\prime}\right)(\cdot) \mid L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{p} \frac{d \tau}{\tau}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& +\left(\int_{0}^{1} \tau^{-s_{2} p} \|\left(\Delta_{\tau, 2}^{m}{ }_{2} f(\cdot) \mid L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right) \|^{p} \frac{d \tau}{\tau}\right)^{l / p},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

similarly $\Delta_{\tau, 2}^{m_{2}}$ is explained. For these anisotropic Sobolev-Besov spaces a final answer for the trace-operator $R$ from (2) is wellknown: Let $1<\mathrm{p}<\infty, 0<s_{1} \leqq s_{2}$ (nat. numbers in the case of the Sobolev spaces) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=s_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{p s_{2}}\right)>0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $R$ is a retraction from $W_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ onto $B_{p}^{\sigma}\left(R_{1}\right)$ and from $B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ onto $B_{p}^{\sigma}\left(R_{1}\right)$, ef. [1]. The difference between the isotropic Sobolev. Besov spaces and the anisotropic ones can be explained (in our context) as follows. Let $y=\psi(x)$ be a diffeomorphic map of $R_{2}$ onto itself, say, with $\psi(x)=x$ if $|x|$ is large. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \rightarrow f(\psi(x)) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphic map of the isotropic (i.e. $s_{1}=s_{2}$ ) Sobolevmbesov spaces onto itself. [This is the basis to extend trace-assertions from lines to smooth curves.] However anisotropic spaces of Sobolev Besov type have not this property, in general. On the other hand it had been observed by Uspenskij ( $\sim 1966$ ) that one has at least the following substitute: Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=\left(x_{1}, \psi_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right), \quad \text { where } x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in R_{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a fibre-preserving diffeomorphic map of $R_{2}$ onto $R_{2}$ with, say, $\psi(x)=x$ if $|x|$ is large. Then (8) yields an isomorphic map from $W_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ onto itself and from $B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ onto itself (recall that always $\mathbf{s}_{2} \geqq \mathbf{s}_{1}$ ). On this way, trace-assertions can be extended from the line $\left(x_{1}, 0\right)$ to the curve $\left(x_{1}, \psi_{2}\left(x_{1}, 0\right)\right)$. What about traces on other curves, e.g. on $\{x|x|=1\}$ or on the model curve $C_{\rho}$ defined below? The aim of this paper is to describe some results in this direction, to outline the method, and to sketch applications to
boundary value problems for semi-elliptic differential equations. Detailed proofs may be found $[2,3]$. As far as basic assertions for the above anisotropic spaces are concerned we refer to [1].
2. OUTLINE OF METHODS

The above-mentioned curve $C_{\rho}$ is defined as follows. Let
$0<\rho<1$ and let $\lambda(t)$ be a monotonically increasing $C^{\infty}$-function on $(0, \infty)$ with $\lambda(t)=2$ if $t \geqq 2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t)=t^{\rho} \quad \text { if } \quad 0<t<1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $C_{\rho}=\{(t, \lambda(t)), 0<t<\infty\}$ is our "model curve". We ask for traces of functions belonging to $W_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ or $B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ on this curve. By the above-mentioned observation by Uspenskij only a neighbourhood of the origin is of interest. Let again $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ with $0<s_{1} \leqq s_{2}<\infty$ be the given anisotropic smoothness. We introduce the mean smoothness $s$ and the anisotropy $\bar{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{s_{1}}+\frac{1}{s_{2}}\right), \quad a_{1}=\frac{s}{s_{1}}, \quad a_{2}=\frac{s}{s_{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Of course, $a_{1}+a_{2}=2$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|_{-}=\left(\left|x_{1}\right|^{2 / a_{1}}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2 / a_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in R_{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the anisotropic distance of $x$ from the origin. Near the origin we introduce an anisotropic smooth resolution of unity $\phi=\left\{\phi_{j}(x)\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ with the following properties: The $\phi_{j}$ 's are non-negative infinitely differentiable functions in $R_{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{supp} \phi_{0} \subset\left\{x|\quad| x \left\lvert\, \frac{a}{a} \geqq \frac{1}{2}\right.\right\}
$$

$\operatorname{supp} \phi_{j} \subset\left\{x\left|2^{-j-1}<|x| \frac{-}{a}<2^{-j+1}\right\} \quad\right.$ if $j=1,2,3, \ldots ;$
for any multi-index $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ there exists a positive number ${ }^{c}{ }_{\alpha}$
with
$2^{-j \alpha_{1} a_{1}-j \alpha_{2} a_{2}}\left|D \alpha_{\phi_{j}}(x)\right| \leqq c_{\alpha}$ for all $j=0,1,2 \ldots$ and all $x \in R_{2}$ : and

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi_{j}(x)=1 \quad \text { for all } x \in R_{2}-\{0\}
$$

The collection of all these systems $\phi$ is denoted by $\Phi \bar{a}$. It is easy to see that $\bar{\Phi} \bar{a}$ is not empty. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f \mid \dot{W}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{\phi}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|\phi_{j} f \mid W_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f \mid \dot{B}_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{\phi}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|\phi_{j} f \mid B_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi \in \Phi_{\bar{a}}$ and $1<p<\infty$. (Recall that in the case of Sobolev spaces we always assume that $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ in $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ are natural numbers.) We ask the question whether

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left|{ }_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{~S}}^{-}\left(R_{2}\right)\left\|^{\phi} \sim\right\| f\right| \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\| \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(equivalent norms). If one tries to estimate the left-hand side of (15) from above by the right-hand side then it becomes clear that an inequality of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||x|_{\bar{a}}^{-s} f(x)\left|I_{p}\left(R_{2}\right)\|\leqq c\| f\right| B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

would be very helpful (recall that $s$ stands for the mean smoothness).
Such an inequality cannot be expected for any $f \in B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$, but it is quite clear that $f(x)$ must tend to zero sufficiently strong if $|x| \rightarrow 0$. Recall the following well-known embedding theorem (cf. e.g.
[1]): Let $f \in B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ and let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ be a muiti-index with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{s_{1}}\left(\alpha_{1}+\frac{1}{p}\right)+\frac{1}{s_{2}}\left(\alpha_{2}+\frac{1}{p}\right)<1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then ( $D^{\alpha}$ f) (x) is a continuous function on $R_{2}$ and there exists a
constant $\mathrm{c}>0$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in R_{2}}\left|\left(D^{\alpha} f\right)(x)\right| \leqq C\left\|f \mid B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\| \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha Z Z \quad f \in B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$. In particular, $\left(D^{\alpha} f\right)(0)=0$ makes sense for those $\alpha^{\prime}$ s. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{f \mid f \in B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right),\left(D^{\alpha_{f}}\right)(0)=0 \text { if (17) holds }\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a finite-codimensional subspace of $B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$. Now it comes out that the anisotropic Hardy inequality (16) holds for all functions from the space described in (19) , provided that $\bar{s}$ is "non-critical" (the precise formulation will be given in the next section). This is the crucial point of the theory. With the help of (6b) and (16) one can prove (15) (for non-critical $\bar{s}$ ). Now the problem of the trace of functions from $B_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ (or better from the space described in (19)) on the curve $C_{\rho}$ can be attacked as follows. By (15) the trace of $f$ on $C_{\rho}$ can be reduced to the traces of $\phi_{j} f$ on

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\rho} \cap\left\{x\left|2^{-j-1}<|x| \frac{-}{a}<2^{-j+1}\right\}\right. \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of affine transformations one maps
$\left\{x\left|2^{-j-1}<|x| \frac{a}{a}<2^{-j+1}\right\}\right.$ onto a standard domain, hopefully that the curves (20) are transformed in curves with uniformly bounded slopes.
This causes an additional restriction: $\frac{s_{1}}{s_{2}} \leqq \rho$. However if this restriction is satisfied, then one can apply the trace-assertions from Sect. 1 to these transformed curves with embedding-constants which are independent of $j$. Re-transformation and a careful calculation of the dependence of all constants on $j$ yields the desired result: The trace of functions from spaces described in (19) on $C_{\rho}$ is a weighted Besov space on $C_{\rho}$. Afterwards one can extend this result to functions from $B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$, however we shall not do this in this paper.

## 3. HARDY'S INEQUALITY

Let $p$ with $1<p<\infty$ be fixed. Then the couple $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ with $0<s_{1} \leqq s_{2}<\infty$ is called critical if there exists non-negative integers $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{s_{1}}\left(m_{1}+\frac{1}{p}\right)+\frac{1}{s_{2}}\left(m_{2}+\frac{1}{p}\right)=1 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise $\bar{s}$ is called non-critical. Of course, critical couples are exceptional couples.

Theorem 1. Let $1<\mathrm{p}<\infty$ and Let $\overline{\mathrm{s}}=\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}\right)$ with $0<\mathrm{s}_{1} \leqq \mathrm{~s}_{2}<\infty$ be non-critical.
(i) There exists a positive number $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R_{2}}|x|_{\bar{a}}^{-s p}|f(x)|^{p} d x \leqq c\left\|\left.f\right|_{p} ^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{p} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\overline{\mathrm{s}}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{\alpha_{f}}\right)(0)=0 \quad \text { if } \frac{1}{s_{1}} \cdot\left(\alpha_{1}+\frac{1}{p}\right)+\frac{1}{s_{2}}\left(\alpha_{2}+\frac{1}{p}\right)<1 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Let additionally $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ be natural numbers. Then there exists a positive number $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R_{2}}|x|_{\bar{a}}^{-s p}|f(x)|^{p} d x \leqq c\left\|f \mid w_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{p} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\mathrm{E} \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\overline{\mathrm{s}}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ with (23).
Remark 1. This is an anisotropic inequality of Hardy type. Recall that the mean smoothness $s$, the anisotropy $\bar{a}$; and $|x|_{\bar{a}}$ have been defined in (11) and (12). An extension of (22) or (24) to critical couples $\bar{s}$ is not possible. On the other hand for non-critical
couples $\bar{s}$, the right-hand side of (22) can be replaced by
$c\left\|f \mid H_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|$ or $c\left\|f \mid F_{p, q}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|$ with $0<q<\infty$, where $H_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ are
anisotropic Bessel-potential spaces, and $F_{p, q}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ are anisotropic versions of the spaces $F_{p, q}^{S}\left(R_{2}\right)$ which have been considered in [4].

## 4. DECOMPOSITIONS

We return to the problem (15). Let again p with $1<p<\infty$ be fixed. Let $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ with $0<s_{1} \leqq s_{2}<\infty$ be an arbitrary
couple. $s, \bar{a}$, and $|x| \bar{a}$ have been defined in (11) and (12). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left|\dot{B}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\|=\| f\right| B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|+\left\||x|_{\bar{a}}^{-s} f(x) \mid L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\| \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{B}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)=\left\{f\left|f \in L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right),\left\|f \mid \dot{B}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|<\infty\right\}\right. \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If additionally $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are integers then we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left|\dot{w}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\|=\|_{f}\right| W_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|+\left\|\left.\left.\right|_{x}\right|_{\bar{a}} ^{-s} f(x) \mid L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\| \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{w}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)=\left\{f\left|f \in L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right),\left\|f \mid \dot{w}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|<\infty\right\}\right. \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary. Let $\bar{s}$ be non-critical. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{B}_{p}^{\bar{S}_{p}}\left(R_{2}\right)=\left\{f \mid f \in B_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right) \quad \text { with (23) }\right\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (if additionally $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are natural numbers)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{W}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)=\left\{f \mid f \in W_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right) \quad \text { with (23) }\right\} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. The corollary is a consequence of Theorem 1.
Let $\phi \in \Phi_{\bar{a}}$. Then $\left\|f \mid \dot{B} \dot{\mathrm{~B}}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\overline{\mathrm{s}}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{\phi}$ and $\left\|f \mid \dot{W}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{\phi}$ have been defined in (14) and (13), respectively.

Theorem 2. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{\bar{a}}, l<p<\infty$, and $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ with
$0<s_{1} \leqq s_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{B}_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)=\left\{f\left|f \in L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right),\left\|f \mid \dot{B}_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{\phi}<\infty\right\}\right. \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (if additionally $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are natural numbers)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{W}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)=\left\{f\left|f \in L_{p}\left(R_{2}\right),\left\|f \mid \dot{W}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right)\right\|^{\phi}<\infty\right\}\right. \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3. This theorem and the above corollary are the precise version of the decomposition method which we mentioned in sect. 2. In particular, for different systems $\phi \in \Phi_{\overline{\bar{a}}}$ the corresponding norms in (31) are equivalent. Similarly in (32).

## 5. TRACES ON CURVES

Let $0<\rho<1$ and let $C_{\rho}$ be the curve described at the beginning of sect. 2. Let $\tau$ be the arc length on $C_{\rho}$, where $\tau=0$ corresponds to $x=0$. Let $d(\tau)$ be a monotonically increasing $C^{\infty}-$ function on $(0, \infty)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\tau)=\tau \quad \text { if } 0<\tau<1 \quad \text { and } \quad d(\tau)=2 \text { if } \tau>2 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce weighted Besov spaces on $C_{\rho}$, where the weights are powers of the distance-function $d(\tau)$. Let $I_{p}\left(C_{\rho}\right)$ with $1<p<\infty$ be the usual $I_{p}$-space on $C_{\rho}$ with respect to the Lebesque measure $d \tau$, where $\tau$ stands for the arc length on $C_{\rho}$. Then $\left\|\left.f\right|_{B_{p}} ^{\sigma}\left(C_{\rho}\right)\right\|_{m}$ is defined by (5) with $C_{\rho}$ instead of $R_{1}$ and where the differences $\Delta_{\tau}^{m}$ are taken with respect to the arc length. Let $I<p<\infty, \sigma>0$ and $\mu \in R_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g \mid B_{p}^{\sigma}\left(C_{\rho}, \mu\right)\right\|_{m}=\| d^{-\sigma-\mu_{g}\left|L_{p}\left(C_{\rho}\right)\|+\| d^{-\mu_{g}}\right| B_{p}^{\sigma}\left(C_{\rho}\right) \|_{m}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m>\sigma$, and ${ }_{B}{ }_{p}^{\sigma}\left(C_{\rho}, \mu\right)$ is the collection of all complex-valued locally integrable functions $g$ on $C_{\rho}$ such that $\left\|g \mid B_{p}^{\sigma}\left(C_{\rho}, \mu\right)\right\|$ is
finite. Again, different m's yield equivalent norms. This is a weighted Besov space; only the behaviour of the elements of these spaces near the origin is of interest.

Theorem 3. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ with $0<s_{1}<s_{2}<\infty$. Let $\frac{\mathrm{s}_{1}}{\mathrm{~s}_{2}} \leqq \rho<1, \mathrm{~s}_{2}>\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=s_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{p s_{2}}\right), \quad \mu=\left(\frac{1}{\rho}-1\right)\left(\sigma-\frac{1}{p}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the trace-operator $R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R: f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow f \mid C_{\rho} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(restriction of f to $\mathrm{C}_{\rho}$, is a retraction from

$$
\dot{B}_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right) \quad \text { onto } \quad B_{p}^{\sigma}\left(C_{\rho}, \nu\right)
$$

If additionally $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are natural numbers then $R$ is a retraction from

$$
\dot{\bar{W}}_{p}^{\bar{s}}\left(R_{2}\right) \quad \text { onto } \quad B_{p}^{\sigma}\left(C_{\rho}, \mu\right)
$$

Remark 4. The formulas (35), (36) are the counterparts of (7). (2). The method how to prove the above theorem is quite clear now: The decomposition procedure which has been mentioned in Sect. 2 as the basic ingredient has been fully established by Theorem 2 (Remark 3 and the Corollary). Now one can proceed as indicated at the end of Sect. 2 .

## 6. BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR SEMI-ELLIPTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Let $K=\{x| | x \mid<l\}$ be the unit disc in the plane and let $\partial K=\{x| | x \mid=l\}$ be its boundary. There are no problems to study spaces of the type $\dot{B}_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ and $\dot{W}_{p}^{\bar{S}}\left(R_{2}\right)$ with $1<p<\infty$ and
$\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right), 0<s_{1} \leqq s_{2}<\infty \quad\left(s_{1}\right.$ and $s_{2}$ nat. numbers in the case of Sobolev spaces), where the "singular point" 0 is replaced by the two singular points $x^{0}=(-1,0)$ and $x^{1}=(1,0)$ on $\partial K$. Then ${ }_{B_{p}}^{\bar{s}}(K)$ and $\stackrel{W}{p}_{p}^{\bar{s}}(K)$ can be defined as the restriction of the corresponding spaces on $R_{2}$ (in the just explained modified sense) to $K$. In the sequel we always assume that $. s_{2}=2 s_{1}$. In this case one has both a satisfactory inner description of the spaces. $\dot{B}_{p}^{\bar{s}}(K)$ and $\dot{W}_{p}^{\bar{s}}(K)$ (where only values $f(x)$ with $x \in K$ are needed) and a final answer as far as traces of functions belonging to these spaces are concerned. The latter problem is just a technical modification of the results from Sect. 5 where now $\rho=\frac{1}{2}$. It is almost clear how to define weighted Besov spaces $B_{p}^{\sigma}\left(\partial K_{i} \mu\right), \sigma>0, \mu \in R_{1}$ and $1<p<\infty$, where $x^{0}$ and $x^{1}$ are the singular points of $\partial k$ (instead of $O$ on $C_{\rho}$ ). We omit any details and refer to $[2,3]$. Let $n(t)$ be a $c^{\infty}$-function on $\{t||t| \leqq 1\}$ with $\eta(t)>0$ if $|t|>1$ and

$$
\eta(t) \sim 1-t \text { near } t=1, \quad \eta(t) \sim 1+t \text { near } t=-1 .
$$

Then we consider the semi-elliptic differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{\nu} f\right)(x)=-\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(x)+\frac{\partial^{4} f}{\partial x_{2}^{4}}(x)+\frac{\nu}{n^{2}\left(x_{1}\right)} f(x) \quad, \quad x \in K \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is a real number. It is not really necessary that the weight $\frac{\nu}{\eta^{2}\left(x_{1}\right)}$ has this special form, but one needs in the theorem below some qualitative behaviour of a weight in front of the term with $f(x)$ in (37). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\nu} f=\left(A_{\nu} f, f\left|\partial K, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right| \partial K\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the two latter expressions in (38) stand for the trace of $f$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}$ on $\partial K$, respectively.

Theorem 4. Let $1<p<\infty$. There exists a number $\nu_{0}$ with the following property: If $\nu>\nu_{0}$ and $s>0$ then $A_{\nu}$ yields an one-to-one mapping from $\dot{B}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\mathrm{s}+2,2 \mathrm{~s}+4)}(\mathrm{K})$ onto

$$
\dot{B}_{p}^{(s, 2 s)}(K) \times B_{p}^{s+2-\frac{1}{2 p}}\left(\partial K, s+2-\frac{3}{2 p}\right) \times B_{p}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2 p}}\left(\partial K, s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{2 p}\right)
$$

and if $\mathrm{s}=0,1,2 \ldots$, from $\dot{\mathrm{w}}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(s+2,2 s+4)}(\mathrm{K})$ onto

$$
\dot{W}_{p}^{(s, 2 s)}(K) \times B_{p}^{s+2-\frac{1}{2 p}}\left(\partial K, s+2-\frac{3}{2 p}\right) \times B_{p}^{s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2 p}}\left(\partial K, s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{3}{2 p}\right) .
$$

Remark 5. Detailed formulations and proofs may be found in [3]. For the unweighted case, i.e. $V f(x)$ in (37) instead of $\frac{V}{\eta^{2}\left(x_{1}\right)} f(x)$, one has not such a final result.
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