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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [12], Rochberg has studied the Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the PaleyWiener space in one dimension, and has got the characterizations for the Schattenvon Neumann class $S_{p}$ criteria. In the end of [12], Rochberg proposed what are analogs of the results in several dimensions. In [11], Peng has studied the case of cube $I^{d}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:-\pi<\xi_{j}<\pi, j=1, \ldots, d\right\}$. In this paper, we study the case of disk $D=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|\xi|<1\right\}$.

Let $D$ denote the unit disk in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and let $\chi_{D}$ denote the characteristic function of $D$. The Paley-Wiener space on the unit disk, $P W(D)$, is defined to be the image of $L^{2}(D)$ under inverse Fourier transformations $F^{-1}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P W(D)=\left\{F^{-1}\left(\chi_{D} f\right): f \in L^{2}(D)\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P_{1}, P_{2}$ denote the projections defined by $\left(P_{1} g\right)^{\wedge}=\chi_{D} \hat{g}$ and $\left(P_{2} g\right)^{\wedge}=\chi_{2 D} \hat{g}$, separately.

The Toeplitz operator on $P W(D)$ with symbol $b$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{b}(f)=P_{1}(b f), \quad \text { for } f \in P W(D) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the Hankel operator on $P W(D)$ with symbol $b$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{b}(f)=P_{1}(b \bar{f}), \quad \text { for } f \in P W(D) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $P W(D)$ is preserved when taking complex conjugates, these two operators on $P W(D)$ are unitary equivalent. But as they have properties similar to those of classical Hankel operators (see below), we prefer the name Hankel operators in both cases.

Note that $T_{b}=T_{P_{2} b}$, so we assume that supp $\hat{b} \subset 2 D$ throughout this paper.
Taking Fourier transform, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T_{b}(f)}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \hat{b}(\xi-\eta) \chi_{D}(\xi) \chi_{D}(\eta) \hat{f}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This turns out to be a paracommutator. But as in the case of cube, it can not be dealt with in the framework of Janson and Peetre [4].

Our idea is the same as that in [11], that is to give a decomposition of $D$, then to define a kind of the Besov spaces $B_{p}^{s, q}(D)$ so that they characterize the Schatten-von Neumann class $S_{p}$ of $T_{b}$.

As is well known, the disk multiplier is bounded only on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. It is quite different from the cube multiplier. Our results on the Schatten-von Neumann class criteria of Hankel operators on $P W(D)$ are also different from either classical case or the case of cube. In fact we get the necessary and sufficient condition of $T_{b} \in S_{p}$ only for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, that is $T_{b} \in S_{p}$ if and only if $b \in B_{p}^{\frac{3}{2}, p}(2 D)$. For $2<p \leq \infty$, we get only the necessary condition. (See below Theorems 3.1 and 4.1.)

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi_{D}}(x)=a \frac{e^{i|x|}}{|x|^{3 / 2}}+b \frac{e^{-i|x|}}{|x|^{3 / 2}}+O\left(|x|^{-5 / 2}\right), \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is interesting to point out the index $\frac{3}{2}$ is different from either that of classical case or of the case of cube, but is same to the degree of principal part of $\widehat{\chi_{D}}(x)$.

The sufficient conditions of $2<p \leq \infty$ are still open.
In $\S 2$, we give a decomposition of $D$, define a kind of Besov spaces of PaleyWiener type $B_{p}^{s, q}(D)$, and discuss their elementary functional properties. In $\S 3$, we prove the sufficient conditions for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. In $\S 4$, we prove the necessary conditions for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

## 2. $\operatorname{BESOV} \operatorname{SPACES} B_{p}^{s, q}(D)$

Let $S_{D}=\left\{f \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right): \operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset \bar{D}\right\}, S_{D}^{\prime}=\left\{f \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right): \operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset \bar{D}\right\}$, and let $I^{\sigma}$ denote a kind of fractional integration operators defined by

$$
\left(I^{\sigma} f\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)=(1-|\xi|)^{\sigma} \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \text { for } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, f \in S_{D}^{\prime}
$$

Definition (2.1). For $1 \leq p \leq \infty, S \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
H_{p}^{s}(D)=\left\{f \in S_{D}^{\prime}:\|f\|_{H_{p}^{s}(D)}=\left\|I^{s} f\right\|_{L^{p}}<\infty\right\}
$$

It is obvious that $I^{\sigma}$ maps $H_{p}^{s}(D)$ isomorphically onto $H_{p}^{s-\sigma}(D)$, and that $H_{2}^{0}(D)=P W(D)$.

To define a kind of Besov spaces of Paley-Wiener type on $D$, we give a decomposition of $D$ as follows.

Let $Q_{j, k_{j}}=\left\{r e^{i \theta} \in D: 4^{j-1} \leq 1-r \leq 4^{j},\left(k_{j}-1\right) 2^{j} \pi \leq \theta \leq k_{j} 2^{j} \pi\right\}$, for $j=-1,-2, \ldots, k_{j} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{-j+1}\right\}, Q_{0, k_{0}}=\left\{r e^{i \theta} \in D: 0 \leq r \leq \frac{3}{4},\left(k_{0}-1\right) \pi \leq\right.$ $\left.\theta \leq k_{0} \pi\right\}, k_{0}=1,2$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\bigcup_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{-} \\ k_{j} \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{-j+1}\right\}}} Q_{j, k_{j}}, \quad \text { where } \mathbb{Z}_{-}=\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $Q_{j, k_{j}}$ has its height $3 \times 4^{j-1}$ which is comparable to the distance from the boundary, and has its length $r 2^{j} \pi$ which is comparable to the square root of the distance from the boundary.

Definition (2.2). Let $\Phi(D)$ be the collection of all test function systems $\left\{\varphi_{j, k_{j}}\right\}$ such that
(i) $\operatorname{supp} \hat{\varphi}_{j, k_{j}} \subset \bar{Q}_{j, k_{j}}=\left\{r e^{i \theta} \in D: \frac{3}{4} \times 4^{j-1} \leq 1-r \leq \frac{5}{4} \times 4^{j},\left(k_{j}-\frac{3}{2}\right) 2^{j} \pi \leq \theta \leq\right.$ $\left.\left(k_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{j} \pi\right\}$,
(ii) $\hat{\varphi}_{j, k_{j}} \geq 0, \hat{\varphi}_{j, k_{j}}(\xi) \geq C>0$ for $\xi \in Q_{j, k_{j}}, \hat{\varphi}_{j, k} \in C_{0}^{\infty}$,
(iii) $C_{1} \leq \sum \hat{\varphi}_{j, k_{j}}(\xi) \leq C_{2}$ for $\xi \in D$.

Moreover, we can also require that $\sum \hat{\varphi}_{j, k_{j}}(\xi) \equiv 1$ for $\xi \in D$.
Definition (2.3). Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0<p, q \leq \infty,\left\{\varphi_{j, k_{j}}\right\} \in \Phi(D)$.

$$
B_{p}^{s, q}(D)=\left\{f \in S_{D}^{\prime}:\|f\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(D)}=\left[\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{-} \\ k_{j} \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{-j+1}\right\}}}\left(4^{s j}\left\|f * \varphi_{j, k_{j}}\right\|_{p}\right)^{q}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}<\infty\right\}
$$

The following Theorem contains some of the elementary functional properties of $B_{p}^{s, q}(D)$.

## THEOREM (2.1).

(i) $B_{p}^{s, q}(D)$ is a quasi-Banach space if $s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0<p, q \leq \infty$ (Banach space if $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ ), and the quasi-norms $\|f\|_{B_{p}^{s, q}(D)}^{\varphi}$ with $\varphi \in \Phi(D)$ are equivalent.
(ii) $B_{2}^{s, 2}(D)=H_{2}^{s}(D)$.
(iii) $S_{D} \subset B_{p}^{s, q}(D) \subset S_{D}^{\prime}$.
(iv) If $p, q<\infty, S_{D}$ is dense in $B_{p}^{s, q}(D)$.
(v) $\forall \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, I^{\sigma}$ maps $B_{p}^{s, q}(D)$ isomorphically onto $B_{p}^{s-\sigma, q}(D)$.
(vi) $\left(B_{p}^{s, q}(D)\right)^{\prime}=B_{p^{\prime}}^{-s, q^{\prime}}(D)$, for $S \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \leq p, q<\infty, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1, \quad \frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$.
(vii) $\left(B_{p_{0}}^{s_{0}, q_{0}}(D), B_{p_{1}}^{s_{1}, q_{1}}(D)\right)_{[\theta]}=B_{p^{*}}^{s^{*}, q^{*}}(D)$, for $s_{0}, s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p_{0}, p_{1}, q_{0}, q_{1} \leq \infty$, $0<\theta<1, s^{*}=(1-\theta) s_{0}+\theta s_{1}, \frac{1}{p^{*}}=\frac{1-\theta}{p_{0}}+\frac{\theta}{p_{1}}, \frac{1}{q^{*}}=\frac{1-\theta}{q_{0}}+\frac{\theta}{q_{1}}$.

Proof. All of the conclusions can be proved similarly to the ones of classical case. (See, e.g. Peetre [7], Triebel [15], also cf. Peng [11] for (vi) and (vii)).

We can also define $B_{p}^{s, q}(2 D)$ similarly according to the decomposition of $2 D$ :

$$
2 D=\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{-} \\ k_{j} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{-j+1}\right\}}} Q_{j, k_{j}}^{\prime}
$$

where $Q_{j, k_{j}}^{\prime}=\left\{r e^{i \theta} \in 2 D: 2 \times 4^{j-1} \leq 2-r \leq 2 \times 4^{j},\left(k_{j}-1\right) 2^{j} \pi \leq \theta \leq k_{j} 2^{j} \pi\right\}$ for $j=-1,-2, \ldots, k_{j} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{-j+1}\right\}, Q_{0, k_{0}}^{\prime}=\left\{r e^{i \theta} \in 2 D: 0 \leq r \leq \frac{3}{2},\left(k_{0}-1\right) \pi \leq\right.$ $\left.\theta \leq k_{0} \pi\right\}$. And $B_{q}^{s, q}(2 D)$ have the properties in Theorem (2.1).

## 3. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR $1 \leq p \leq 2$ 。

We adopt the notation of Janson and Peetre [4] for $\|k(\xi, \eta)\|_{S_{p}(U \times V)}$. Extending the definition of $T_{b}$, we consider $T_{b}^{s, t}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T_{b}^{s, t}} f(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \hat{b}(\xi-\eta)(1-|\xi|)^{s}(1-|\eta|)^{t} \chi_{D}(\xi) \chi_{D}(\eta) \hat{f}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.
THEOREM (3.1). Suppose that $1 \leq p \leq 2, b \in B_{p}^{\frac{3}{2 p}, p}(2 D)$. Then $T_{b} \in S_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{b}\right\|_{S_{p}} \leq C\|b\|_{B_{p}^{\frac{3}{2 p}, p}(2 D)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need two lemmas.

LEMMA (3.1). For $b \in S_{D}^{\prime}, T_{b} \in S_{2}$ if and only if $b \in B_{2}^{\frac{3}{4}, 2}(2 D)$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{b}\right\|_{S_{2}} \simeq\|b\|_{B_{2}^{\frac{3}{2,2}}(2 D)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. According to Janson and Peetre [4], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{b}\right\|_{S_{2}}^{2} & =\iint\left|\hat{b}(\xi-\eta) \chi_{D}(\xi) \chi_{D}(\eta)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta \\
& =\int_{2 D}|\hat{b}(\xi)|^{2}\left(2 \arcsin \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4-|\xi|^{2}}-\frac{|\xi|}{2} \sqrt{4-|\xi|^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& \simeq \int_{2 D}|\hat{b}(\xi)|^{2}(4-|\xi|)^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \\
& =\|b\|_{H_{2}^{\frac{3}{4}}(2 D)}^{2} \\
& \simeq\|b\|_{B_{2}^{\frac{3}{2,2}(2 D)}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$
LEMMA (3.2). If $b \in B_{1}^{s+t+\frac{3}{2}, 1}(2 D), s, t>-\frac{1}{2}$. Then $T_{b}^{3, t} \in S_{1}$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{b}^{s, t}\right\|_{S_{1}} \leq C\|b\|_{B_{1}^{o+t+\frac{3}{2}, 1}(2 D)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\varphi_{j, k_{j}}\right\} \in \Phi(2 D)$ such that $\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{-} \\ k_{j} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{-j+1}\right\}}} \hat{\varphi}_{j, k_{j}}(\xi)=1$ on $2 D$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{b}^{s, t}\right\|_{S_{1}} & \leq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{-} \\
k_{j} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{-j+1}\right\}}}\left\|\hat{b}(\xi-\eta) \hat{\varphi}_{j, k_{j}}(\xi-\eta)(1-|\xi|)^{s}(1-|\eta|)^{t}\right\|_{S_{1}(D \times D)} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{-} \\
k_{j} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{-j+1}\right\}}} I_{j, k_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $j<-10$, let us estimate $I_{j, k_{j}}$ as follows. Note that
$\operatorname{supp} \hat{\varphi}_{j, k_{j}} \subset \bar{Q}_{j, k_{j}}^{\prime}$

$$
=\left\{r e^{i \theta} \in 2 D: \frac{3}{2} \times 4^{j-1} \leq 2-\gamma \leq \frac{5}{2} \times 4^{j},\left(k_{j}-\frac{3}{2}\right) 2^{j} \pi \leq \theta \leq\left(k_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{j} \pi\right\}
$$

if $\xi \notin\left\{r_{1} e^{i \theta_{1}}: 1-r_{1} \leq 4^{j+2},\left(k_{j}-4\right) 2^{j} \pi \leq \theta_{1} \leq\left(k_{j}+3\right) 2^{j} \pi\right\}$ or $\eta \notin\left\{r_{2} e^{i \theta_{2}}: 1-r_{2} \leq\right.$ $\left.4^{j+2},\left(k_{j}-4\right) 2^{j} \pi+\pi \leq \theta_{2} \leq\left(k_{j}+3\right) 2^{j} \pi+\pi\right\}$ then $\xi-\eta \notin \bar{Q}_{j, k_{j}}^{\prime}$.

Thus we have, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 of Janson and Peetre [4],

$$
I_{j, k_{j}} \leq C\left\|b * \varphi_{j, k_{j}}\right\|_{1} \sum_{l_{1}=-\infty}^{j+2} \sum_{l_{2}=-\infty}^{j+2}\left\|(1-|\xi|)^{s}(1-|\eta|)^{t}\right\|_{S_{1}\left(Q_{l_{1}, k_{j}} \times Q_{l_{2}, k_{j}^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

(where $Q_{l, k_{j}}=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 4^{l-1} \leq 1-r \leq 4^{l},\left(k_{j}-4\right) 2^{j} \pi \leq \theta \leq\left(k_{j}+3\right) 2^{j} \pi\right\}, \quad k_{j}^{\prime}=2^{-j}+k_{j}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C\left\|b * \varphi_{j, k_{j}}\right\|_{1} \sum_{l_{1}=-\infty}^{j+2} \sum_{l_{2}=-\infty}^{j+2} 4^{l_{1} s} \cdot 4^{l_{2} t} \cdot\left(4^{l_{1}} \cdot 2^{j}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left(4^{l_{2}} \cdot 2^{j}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =C 4^{j\left(s+t+\frac{s}{2}\right)}\left\|b * \varphi_{j, k_{j}}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $j \geq-10$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{j, k_{j}} & \leq C\left\|b * \varphi_{j, k_{j}}\right\|_{1} \sum_{l_{1}=-\infty}^{0} \sum_{l_{2}=-\infty}^{0} 4^{l_{1} s} \cdot 4^{l_{2} t} \cdot\left(2 \pi \cdot 4^{l_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left(2 \pi \cdot 4^{l_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq C\left\|b * \varphi_{j, k_{j}}\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq C 4^{j\left(s+t+\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left\|b * \varphi_{j, k_{j}}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
The proof of Theorem (3.1). Theorem (2.1)-(vii), Lemma (3.1) and Lemma (3.2) give the proof of Theorem (3.1) by complex interpolation.

## 4. NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

The necessary conditions can be treated in more generality.
THEOREM (4.1). If $1 \leq p \leq \infty, b \in S_{2 D}^{\prime}$ such that $T_{b}^{s, t} \in S_{p}$, then $b \in$ $B_{p}^{s+t+\frac{3}{2 p}, p}(2 D)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|b\|_{B_{p}^{s+t+\frac{3}{2 p}, p}(2 D)} \leq C\left\|T_{b}^{s, t}\right\|_{S_{p}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{\varphi_{j, k}\right\} \in \Phi(D)$. Let $P_{j, k_{j}}$ denote the projection defined by $\left(P_{j, k_{j}} g\right)^{\wedge}=\chi_{\bar{a}_{j, k_{j}}} \hat{g}$.

Since $\left\{P_{j, k_{j}}\right\}$ are joint at most 9 times we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{b}^{s, t}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p} \geq C \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{-} \\ k_{j} \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{-j+1}\right\}}}\left\|P_{j, k_{j}} T_{b}^{s, t} P_{j, k_{j}^{\prime}}\right\|_{S_{p}}^{p},\left(k_{j}^{\prime}=k_{j}+2^{-j}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\hat{\psi}_{j, k_{j}}(\xi)=4^{-j\left(s+t+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \int \hat{\varphi}_{j, k_{j}}(\xi+\eta)(1-|\xi+\eta|)^{s}(1-|\eta|)^{t} \hat{\varphi}_{j, k_{j}^{\prime}}(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta
$$

It is easy to show that
(i) $\operatorname{supp} \hat{\psi}_{j, k_{j}} \subset\left\{r e^{i \theta}: \frac{3}{2} \times 4^{j-1} \leq 2-r \leq \frac{5}{2} \times 4^{j},\left(k_{j}-\frac{5}{2}\right) 2^{j} \pi \leq \theta \leq\left(k_{j}+\frac{5}{2}\right) 2^{j} \pi\right\}$,
(ii) $\hat{\psi}_{j, k_{j}} \in C_{0}^{\infty}, \hat{\psi}_{j, k_{j}} \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\psi}_{j, k_{j}}(\xi) \geq C>0 \text { for } \xi \in\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 2 \times 4^{j-1} \leq 2-r \leq 2 \times 4^{j}\right. \\
&\left.\left(k_{j}-\frac{3}{2}\right) 2^{j} \pi \leq \theta \leq\left(k_{j}+\frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{j} \pi\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $C_{1} \leq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{-} \\ k_{j} \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{-j+1}\right\}}} \hat{\psi}_{j, k_{j}}(\xi) \leq C_{2}$ for $\xi \in 2 D$, therefore $\left\{\psi_{j, k_{j}}\right\}$ can be used to define $B_{p}^{s, q}(2 D)$.

Now we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{j, k_{j}} T_{b}^{s, t} P_{j, k_{j}}\right\|_{S_{p}} \geq 4^{j\left(s+t+\frac{3}{2 p}\right)}\left\|b * \psi_{j, k_{j}}\right\|_{p} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for $p=1$, it is true by Lemma 3 of Timotin [16]. For $p=\infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4^{j(s+t)}\left|b * \psi_{j, k_{j}}(x)\right| \\
= & 4^{-\frac{3}{2} j}\left|\left\langle\varphi_{j, k_{j}}, T_{b}^{s, t} \varphi_{j, k_{j}^{\prime}}\right\rangle\right| . \\
\leq & C\left\|P_{j, k_{j}} T_{b}^{s, t} P_{j, k_{j}^{\prime}}\right\|_{S_{\infty}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So by interpolation, (4.3) holds.
Finally, (4.2) and (4.3) imply (4.1).
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