

Wave front set defined by wave packet transform and its application

Keiichi Kato, Masaharu Kobayashi and Shingo Ito

Abstract.

We introduce the wave front set $WF_s^{p,q}$ by using the wave packet transform. This is another characterization of the Fourier–Lebesgue type wave front set $WF_{\mathcal{FL}_s^q}$. We apply this to the propagation of singularities for the wave equation.

§1. Introduction

In this talk, we introduce the wave front set $WF_s^{p,q}$ (Definition 1.1) by using the wave packet transform.

The wave packet transform has been introduced by Córdoba–Fefferman [1]. For $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\phi(0) \neq 0$, the wave packet transform $W_\phi u$ is defined by

$$(1) \quad W_\phi u(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\phi(y-x)} u(y) e^{-iy \cdot \xi} dy,$$

which has the information of frequency of u around x .

Definition 1.1. *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_s^{p,q}(u)$ means that there exists a neighborhood K of x_0 , a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and a function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\phi(0) \neq 0$ satisfying that*

$$(2) \quad \|\chi_K(x) \chi_\Gamma(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s W_\phi u(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^p} \|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty,$$

where $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$, χ_K and χ_Γ are characteristic functions of K and Γ , respectively.

Received December 15, 2011.

Revised February 10, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A18, 35B65.

Key words and phrases. Wave front set, wave packet transform, propagation of singularity.

As an application of $WF_s^{p,q}$, we give the following theorem on propagation of singularities.

Theorem 1. *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; S'(\mathbb{R}^n))$ satisfies*

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t \pm i|D|)u(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $|D| = \mathcal{F}^{-1}|\xi|\mathcal{F}$. If $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_r^{p,q}(u_0)$ then $(x_0 \pm \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|}t, \xi_0) \notin WF_r^{p,q}(u(t, \cdot))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We briefly review some background on the wave front sets and propagation of singularities. The notion of wave front set, introduced by Hörmander [3] is a main tool of microlocal analysis. There are many kind of wave front sets. For example, C^∞ type, analytic type, Sobolev type, Fourier–Lebesgue type and so on (see Hörmander [4], Sato–Kawai–Kashiwara [8], Pilipović–Teofanov–Toft [6]). Here, we focus on the Fourier–Lebesgue type wave front sets. For $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the Fourier–Lebesgue space $\mathcal{FL}_s^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all distributions $u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\widehat{u}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)e^{-ix \cdot \xi}$ is a function and $\|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{u}(\xi)\|_{L_x^q}$. We note that $\mathcal{FL}_s^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. While, the Fourier–Lebesgue type wave front set $WF_{\mathcal{FL}_s^q}(u)$ defined by [6] is defined as follows. For $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\mathcal{FL}_s^q}(u)$ means that there exist a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and a function $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $a(x_0) \neq 0$ satisfying that

$$(4) \quad \|\chi_\Gamma(\xi)\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{au}(\xi)\|_{L_x^q} < \infty.$$

We note that $WF_{\mathcal{FL}_s^2}$ is the Sobolev type wave front set WF_{H^s} . Although a considerable number of studies have been done on the propagation of singularity in the framework of Sobolev type wave front set (see Beals [2]), a few works have been done in the framework of Fourier–Lebesgue type wave front set ([6], [7]).

In Theorem 2, we show $WF_s^{p,q}$ coincides with $WF_{\mathcal{FL}_s^q}$. Thus, using Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we obtain the result concerning the propagation of singularity in the framework of the Fourier–Lebesgue type wave front set.

Theorem 2. *For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have*

$$(5) \quad WF_s^{p,q}(u) = WF_{\mathcal{FL}_s^q}(u).$$

Notation. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, $B_r(x)$ stands $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n; |y - x| \leq r\}$. $\mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$ is the Fourier transform of f . For a subset A of \mathbb{R}^n , we denote the complement of A by A^c , the set of all interior points of A by A° and the closure of A by \overline{A} . Throughout this paper, C and C_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) serve as positive constants, if the precise value of which is not needed and C_N denote positive constants depending on N .

§2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2

To show Theorem 2 we use the following lemma.

Lemma 1. (*Kato–Kobayashi–Ito [5]*) *Let ζ be a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\langle \cdot \rangle^k \zeta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $F \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, and Γ, Γ' be open conic sets satisfying $\overline{\Gamma'} \subset \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that $\|\chi_\Gamma(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s F(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty$ and $\|\langle \xi \rangle^{-N} F(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have*

$$\|\chi_{\Gamma'}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s (\zeta * F)(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^q} \leq C_{s,N,\zeta} \left(\|\chi_\Gamma(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s F(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^q} + \left\| \frac{F(\xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^N} \right\|_{L_\xi^q} \right)$$

for some positive constant $C_{s,N,\zeta}$.

Suppose that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\mathcal{F}L_s^q}(u)$. Then there exist a conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0 and a function $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $a(x_0) \neq 0$ satisfying $\|\chi_\Gamma(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{au}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty$. For $r > 0$ and $b \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\text{supp } b \subset B_{4r}(x_0) \subset \text{supp } a$ and $b \equiv 1$ in $B_{2r}(x_0)$, simple calculation yields $\|\chi_\Gamma(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{bu}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty$. Take a neighborhood K of x_0 and a function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $K \subset B_r(x_0)$, $\phi(0) \neq 0$ and $\text{supp } \phi \subset B_r(0)$. Note that $x \in K$ and $y - x \in B_r(0)$ imply $y \in B_{2r}(x_0)$. So $\chi_K(x) \overline{\phi(y - x)} u(y) = \chi_K(x) \overline{\phi(y - x)} b(y) u(y)$. Let Γ' be a conic neighborhood of ξ_0 such that $\overline{\Gamma'} \subset \Gamma$. Since $W_\phi(bu)(x, \xi) = \mathcal{F}[\overline{\phi(\cdot - x)}] * \mathcal{F}[bu](\xi)$ we have by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\|\chi_K(x) \chi_{\Gamma'}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s W_\phi u(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^p} \|_{L_\xi^q} \\ & \leq C_{s,N,\phi,K} \left(\|\chi_\Gamma(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{bu}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^q} + \left\| \frac{\widehat{bu}(\xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^N} \right\|_{L_\xi^q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\widehat{bu}(\xi)|$ has at most polynomial growth we obtain $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_s^{p,q}$ if we take an integer N sufficiently large.

Conversely, if $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_s^{p,q}$ then we can choose Γ being a conic neighborhood of ξ_0 , $R \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which satisfy $\phi \equiv 1$ in

$B_{2R}(0)$ and $\|\chi_{B_R(x_0)}(x)\chi_\Gamma(\xi)\langle\xi\rangle^s W_\phi u(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^p}\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty$. Put $K = B_R(x_0)$ and take $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $a(x_0) \neq 0$ and $\text{supp } a \subset B_R(x_0)$. Since $\phi(y - x) \equiv 1$ for $x \in K$ and $y \in \text{supp } a$, we have $\chi_K(x)\widehat{a\hat{u}}(\xi) = \chi_K(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{a}(\xi - \eta)W_\phi(x, \eta)d\eta$. So we have by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\chi_K(x)\|_{L_x^p} \|\chi_{\Gamma'}(\xi)\langle\xi\rangle^s \widehat{a\hat{u}}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^q} \\ & \leq C_{s,N,a} \left(\|\chi_K(x)\chi_\Gamma(\xi)\langle\xi\rangle^s W_\phi u(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^p}\|_{L_\xi^q} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\| \frac{1}{\langle\xi\rangle^N} \|\chi_K(x)W_\phi u(x, \xi)\|_{L_x^p} \right\|_{L_\xi^q} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for a conic neighborhood Γ' of ξ_0 satisfying $\overline{\Gamma'} \subset \Gamma$. Since χ_K has compact support and $|W_\phi u(x, \xi)|$ is majored by a constant times $\langle\xi\rangle^{N_0}$ for sufficiently large N_0 , we obtain $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\mathcal{F}L_s^q}(u)$ if we take an integer $N > N_0$ sufficiently large.

§3. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1

In the sequel, for a function $f(t, x)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote $\widehat{f}(t, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t, x)e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$ and $W_\phi f(t, x, \xi) = W_\phi(f(t, \cdot))(x, \xi)$. Here, we only treat the initial value problem

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t - i|D|)u(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

since we can treat the case $(\partial_t + i|D|)u(t, x) = 0$ in the same way. Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\phi(0) \neq 0$. The initial value problem (6) is transformed by the wave packet transform to

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \left(\partial_t - \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \cdot \nabla_x - i|\xi| \right) W_\phi u(t, x, \xi) = iR_\phi(u; t, x, \xi), \\ W_\phi u(0, x, \xi) = W_\phi u_0(x, \xi), \end{cases}$$

where $\widehat{d\eta} = (2\pi)^{-n} d\eta$ and

$$R_\phi(u; t, x, \xi) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \overline{\phi(y - x)} \left(|\eta| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{|\xi|} \right) \widehat{u}(t, \eta) e^{iy \cdot (\eta - \xi)} \widehat{d\eta} dy.$$

It is easy to see that (7) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$(8) \quad W_\phi u(t, x, \xi) = e^{it|\xi|} W_\phi u_0 \left(x + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} t, \xi \right) \\ + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\theta)|\xi|} R_\phi \left(u; \theta, x + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} (t-\theta), \xi \right) d\theta.$$

Let $T > 0$. For $t \in [-T, T]$, we show $(x_0 - \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} t, \xi_0) \notin WF_r^{p,q}(u(t, \cdot))$ by induction.

Since $u(t, \cdot) \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\|(\cdot)^s \widehat{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^q} < \infty$ for all $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t \in [-T, T]$. Thus we have $(x_0 - \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} t, \xi_0) \notin WF_s^{p,q}(u(t, \cdot))$ for all $t \in [-T, T]$ by Theorem 2.

Next we show $(x_0 - \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} t, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\sigma+1}^{p,q}(u(t, \cdot))$ for all $t \in [-T, T]$ and $s \leq \sigma \leq r-1$ under the assumption $(x_0 - \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} t, \xi_0) \notin WF_\sigma^{p,q}(u(t, \cdot))$ for all $t \in [-T, T]$. Let K be a neighborhood of $x_0 - \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} t$, Γ be a conic neighborhood of ξ_0 and $\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma \cap \{|\xi| \geq 1\}$. From the equation (8), it is enough to show that

$$(9) \quad I_{K, \tilde{\Gamma}, \phi}^{(1)} \equiv \left\| \left\| \chi_K(x) \chi_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\sigma |\xi| W_\phi u_0 \left(x + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} t, \xi \right) \right\|_{L_x^p} \right\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty,$$

$$(10) \quad I_{K, \tilde{\Gamma}, \phi, \psi}^{(2)} \equiv \left\| \left\| \chi_K(x) \chi_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\sigma |\xi| \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \int_0^t \left| R_\phi \left(\psi u; \theta, x + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} (t-\theta), \xi \right) \right| d\theta \right\|_{L_x^p} \right\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty$$

and

$$(11) \quad I_{K, \tilde{\Gamma}, \phi, \psi}^{(3)} \equiv \left\| \left\| \chi_K(x) \chi_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\sigma |\xi| \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \int_0^t \left| R_\phi \left((1-\psi)u; \theta, x + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} (t-\theta), \xi \right) \right| d\theta \right\|_{L_x^p} \right\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty$$

for some $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $t \in [-T, T]$. From the assumption $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_s^{p,q}(u_0)$, there exist a constant $\varepsilon > 0$, a function $\phi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\phi_1(0) \neq 0$ and a conic neighborhood Γ' of ξ_0 such that $\left\| \left\| \chi_{B_{2\varepsilon}(x_0)}(x) \chi_{\Gamma'}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^r W_{\phi_1} u_0(x, \xi) \right\|_{L_x^p} \right\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty$. Let $K_1 = B_\varepsilon(x_0 - \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|} t)$ and Γ_1 be a conic neighborhood of ξ_0 satisfying $\varepsilon T^{-1} > d_1 =$

$\sup_{\xi \in \Gamma_1} \text{dist}(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|})$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_1 \subset \Gamma'$. If $x \in K_1$ and $\xi \in \Gamma_1$ then $x + \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}t \in B_{2\varepsilon}(x_0)$. Thus we have

$$I_{K_1, \tilde{\Gamma}_1, \phi_1}^{(1)} \leq \left\| \left\| \chi_{B_{2\varepsilon}(x_0)}(x) \chi_{\Gamma'}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\sigma W_{\phi_1} u_0(x, \xi) \right\|_{L_x^p} \right\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty,$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = \Gamma_1 \cap \{|\xi| \geq 1\}$

Next we show (10). By the assumption of induction and Theorem 2 we can take a conic neighborhood Γ'' of ξ_0 and $\psi_t \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi_t \equiv 1$ near $x_0 - \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|}t$ and $\|\chi_{\Gamma''}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\sigma \widehat{\psi_t u}(t, \xi)\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty$ for all $t \in [-T, T]$. Take $\varepsilon' > 0$ satisfying $\psi_t \equiv 1$ on $B_{6\varepsilon'}(x_0 - \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|}t)$. Let $\phi_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\phi_2(0) \neq 0$ and $\text{supp } \phi_2 \subset B_{2\varepsilon'}(0)$, $K_2 = B_{\varepsilon'}(x_0 - \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|}t)$ and Γ_2 be a conic neighborhood of ξ_0 satisfying $\bar{\Gamma}_2 \subset \Gamma''$ and $\varepsilon' T^{-1} > d_2 = \sup_{\xi \in \Gamma_2} \text{dist}(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}, \frac{\xi_0}{|\xi_0|})$. Put $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 = \Gamma_2 \cap \{|\xi| \geq 1\}$. By integration by parts and an inequality

$$\left(\left| \eta - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{|\xi|} \right| \right) \langle \eta - \xi \rangle^{-2} \leq \frac{|\xi| |\eta| - \xi \cdot \eta}{|\xi| (2|\xi| |\eta| - 2\xi \cdot \eta)} = \frac{1}{2|\xi|},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{K_2, \tilde{\Gamma}_2, \phi_2, \psi_\theta}^{(2)} &\leq C_{K_2, \phi_2} \int_0^T \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\chi_{\tilde{\Gamma}_2}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\sigma}{\langle \eta - \xi \rangle^{2N}} |\widehat{\psi_\theta u}(\theta, \eta)| d\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^q} d\theta \\ &\leq C_{K_2, \phi_2} (J_{\Gamma''} + J_{(\Gamma'')^c}), \end{aligned}$$

where $J_A = \int_0^T \|\int_A \chi_{\tilde{\Gamma}_2}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\sigma \langle \eta - \xi \rangle^{-2N} |\widehat{\psi_\theta u}(\theta, \eta)| d\eta\|_{L_\xi^q} d\theta$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\langle \xi \rangle \leq 2\langle \eta - \xi \rangle$ or $\langle \xi \rangle \leq 2\langle \eta \rangle$ hold, we have

$$(12) \quad \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^\sigma}{\langle \eta - \xi \rangle^{2N} \langle \eta \rangle^\sigma} \leq \frac{C}{\langle \eta - \xi \rangle^{2N - |\sigma|}}$$

for $2N > |\sigma|$. Thus if we take an integer N sufficiently large, then Young's inequality, (12) and the assumption of induction yield

$$J_{\Gamma''} \leq C \left\| \frac{1}{\langle \cdot \rangle^{2N - |\sigma|}} \right\|_{L^1} \int_0^T \left\| \chi_{\Gamma''}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\sigma \widehat{\psi_\theta u}(\theta, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^q} d\theta < \infty.$$

On the other hand, if $\eta \notin \Gamma''$, $\xi \in \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ and $2N > |\sigma|$ then we have

$$(13) \quad \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^\sigma}{\langle \eta - \xi \rangle^{2N}} \leq \frac{C}{\langle \eta - \xi \rangle^{2N - |\sigma|}} \leq \frac{C}{\langle \eta - \xi \rangle^{N_1} \langle \eta \rangle^{N_2}},$$

where $N_1 + N_2 = 2N - |\sigma|$. Since $|\widehat{\psi_\theta u}(\theta, \xi)|$ has at most polynomial growth with respect to ξ , Young's inequality and (13) yield

$$J_{(\Gamma'')^c} \leq C \left\| \frac{1}{\langle \cdot \rangle^{N_1}} \right\|_{L^1} \int_0^T \left\| \frac{\widehat{\psi_\theta u}(\theta, \xi)}{\langle \xi \rangle^{N_2}} \right\|_{L_\xi^q} d\theta < \infty,$$

if we take N_1 and N_2 sufficiently large. Thus we have $I_{K_2, \tilde{\Gamma}_2, \phi_2, \psi_\theta}^{(2)} < \infty$.

Finally we show (11). Let $\zeta_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ equal to 0 for $|\eta| \leq 1$ and equal to 1 for $|\eta| \geq 2$ and put $\zeta_2(\eta) = 1 - \zeta_1(\eta)$. It suffices to show that

$$I_{K_2, \tilde{\Gamma}_2, \phi_2, \psi_\theta}^{(3)} \leq \sum_{j=1,2} \left\| \left\| \chi_{K_2}(x) \chi_{\tilde{\Gamma}_2}(\xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\sigma |\xi| \int_0^t |R_j| d\theta \right\|_{L_x^p} \right\|_{L_\xi^q} < \infty,$$

where

$$R_j = \lim_{h_1, h_2 \rightarrow 0} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} \overline{\phi_2\left(y - x - \frac{\xi}{|\xi|}(t - \theta)\right)} \left(|\eta| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{|\xi|}\right) b(h_1 \eta) \zeta_j(\eta) \\ \times (1 - \psi_\theta(\tilde{x})) u(\theta, \tilde{x}) b(h_2 \tilde{x}) e^{-i(\tilde{x} \cdot \eta - y \cdot \eta + y \cdot \xi)} d\tilde{x} d\eta dy$$

for $b \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $b(0) = 1$. From the structure theorem of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist $l, m \geq 0$ and $f_\alpha(\theta, \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for multi-indices α such that

$$(14) \quad u(\theta, \tilde{x}) = \langle \tilde{x} \rangle^l \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha(\theta, \tilde{x}).$$

We note that $x \in K_2$, $\xi \in \tilde{\Gamma}_2$, $y - x - (t - \theta)\xi/|\xi| \in \text{supp } \phi_2$ and $\tilde{x} \in \text{supp } (1 - \psi_\theta(\tilde{x}))$ imply $|\tilde{x} - y| \geq \varepsilon' > 0$ and, hence, $|\tilde{x} - y| \geq C\langle \tilde{x} \rangle$. Since

$$e^{-i(\tilde{x}-y) \cdot \eta} = \frac{(-\Delta_\eta)^{N_3} e^{-i(\tilde{x}-y) \cdot \eta}}{|\tilde{x} - y|^{2N_3}} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{iy \cdot (\eta - \xi)} = \frac{(1 - \Delta_y)^{N_4} e^{iy \cdot (\eta - \xi)}}{\langle \eta - \xi \rangle^{2N_4}}$$

for positive integers N_3 and N_4 , (14) and integration by parts imply

$$|R_1| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\widehat{f}_\alpha(\theta, \cdot)\|_{L^2}}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2N_3-1-|\alpha|} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{2N_4}} d\tilde{\eta}.$$

On the other hand, since $\zeta_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$(15) \quad (1 - \Delta_\eta)^N \left\{ \left(\eta - \frac{\eta \cdot \xi}{|\xi|} \right) \zeta_2(\eta) \right\} \leq \frac{C}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2N-1}}.$$

Since

$$e^{-i(\tilde{x}-y)\cdot\eta} = \frac{(1 - \Delta_\eta)^{N_3} e^{-i(\tilde{x}-y)\cdot\eta}}{\langle \tilde{x} - y \rangle^{2N_3}} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{iy\cdot(\eta-\xi)} = \frac{(1 - \Delta_y)^{N_4} e^{iy\cdot(\eta-\xi)}}{\langle \eta - \xi \rangle^{2N_4}}$$

for positive integers N_3 and N_4 , (14), (15) and integration by parts imply

$$|R_2| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\widehat{f}_\alpha(\theta, \cdot)\|_{L^2}}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2N_3-1-|\alpha|} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{2N_4}} \tilde{d}\eta.$$

Since

$$\frac{\langle \xi \rangle^\sigma |\xi|}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2N_3-1-|\alpha|} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{2N_4}} \leq \frac{C}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2N_3-2-|\alpha|-\sigma} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{2N_4-\sigma-1}}$$

for $N_3 \geq (2 + |\alpha| + \sigma)/2$ and $N_4 \geq (\sigma + 1)/2$, we have by Young's inequality

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle \xi \rangle^\sigma |\xi|}{\langle \eta \rangle^{2N_3-1-|\alpha|} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{2N_4}} \tilde{d}\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^q} \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\langle \cdot \rangle^{2N_3-2-|\alpha|-\sigma}} \right\|_{L^1} \left\| \frac{1}{\langle \cdot \rangle^{2N_4-\sigma-1}} \right\|_{L^q}.$$

Thus if we take N_3 and N_4 sufficiently large, we obtain

$$I_{K_2, \tilde{\Gamma}_2, \phi_2, \psi_\theta}^{(3)} \leq C_{K_2, N_3, N_4} \int_0^T \left\| \widehat{f}_\alpha(\theta, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2} d\theta < \infty.$$

Hence we get the inequality (11). Taking $K \subset K_1 \cap K_2$, $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ and $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\phi(0) \neq 0$ and $\text{supp } \phi \subset \text{supp } \phi_1 \cap \text{supp } \phi_2$, we obtain $(x_0 - \xi_0 t/|\xi_0|, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\sigma+1}^q(u)$ for $t \in [-T, T]$. Since T is an arbitrary positive number, we obtain the desired result. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] A. Córdoba and C. Fefferman, Wave packets and Fourier integral operators, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **3** (1978), 979–1005.
- [2] M. Beals, Propagation and Interaction of Singularities in Nonlinear Hyperbolic Problems, *Prog. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, **3**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [3] L. Hörmander, Fourier integral operators. I, *Acta Math.*, **127** (1971), 79–183.
- [4] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. I, II, III, IV, Springer-Verlag, 1983, 1985.
- [5] K. Kato, M. Kobayashi and S. Ito, Characterization of wave front sets in Fourier-Lebesgue spaces and its application, preprint.

- [6] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov and J. Toft, Wave-front sets in Fourier–Lebesgue spaces, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino*, **66** (2008), 299–319.
- [7] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov and J. Toft, Micro-Local Analysis with Fourier Lebesgue Spaces. Part I, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **17** (2011), 374–407.
- [8] M. Sato, T. Kawai and M. Kashiwara, Microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations, In: *Hyperfunctions and Pseudo-Differential Equations*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **287**, Springer-Verlag, 1973, pp. 265–529.

College of Liberal Arts and Sciences
Kitasato University
Kitasato 1-15-1 Minami-ku Sagami-hara
Kanagawa 252-0373
Japan
E-mail address: vingoito@kitasato-u.ac.jp