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#### Abstract

. Integral representations of $q$-analogues of the Barnes multiple zeta functions are studied. The integral representation provides a meromorphic continuation of the $q$-analogue to the whole plane and describes its poles and special values at non-positive integers. Moreover, for any weight, employing the integral representation, we show that the $q$-analogue converges to the Barnes multiple zeta function when $q \uparrow 1$ for all complex numbers.


## §1. Introduction.

In 1904, E. Barnes introduced his multiple zeta functions with a weight $\boldsymbol{\omega}:=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{r}$ by the following multiple series ([1]):

$$
\zeta_{r}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}):=\sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r}}(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+z)^{-s} \quad(\operatorname{Re}(s)>r)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} n_{j} \omega_{j}$ for $\boldsymbol{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r}$. It is known that the function $\zeta_{r}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ can be meromorphically continued to the whole plane $\mathbb{C}$ via the contour integral representation
(1) $\zeta_{r}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=-\frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} I_{r}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; a)+\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{a}^{\infty} t^{s-1} G_{r}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \frac{d t}{t}$,
where $I_{r}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; a)$ is an entire function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}(s, z, \omega ; a):=\int_{C(\varepsilon, a)}(-t)^{s-1} G_{r}(t, z, \omega) \frac{d t}{t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Here $C(\varepsilon, a)$ is a contour for $0<a \leq \infty$ and $0<\varepsilon<\min \{a, b(\boldsymbol{\omega})\}$ with $b(\boldsymbol{\omega}):=\min _{1 \leq j \leq r}\left\{\left|2 \pi / \omega_{j}\right|\right\}$ along the real axis from $a$ to $\varepsilon$, counterclockwise around the circle of radius $\varepsilon$ with the center at the origin, and then along the real axis from $\varepsilon$ to $a$ (see [9]), and

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{r}(t, z, \omega): & =\frac{t e^{\left(\omega_{1}+\cdots+\omega_{r}-z\right) t}}{\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(e^{\omega_{j} t}-1\right)}  \tag{3}\\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{r-1}(-1)^{k}{ }_{r} A_{-k}(z, \omega) t^{-k}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}{ }_{r} B_{n}(z, \omega) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the series expression (3) is valid for $|t|<b(\boldsymbol{\omega})$. These coefficients ${ }_{r} A_{-k}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ and ${ }_{r} B_{n}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ are called the Barnes multiple Bernoulli polynomials with the weight $\boldsymbol{\omega}\left([1]\right.$, see also [5]). We also put ${ }_{r} A_{0}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega}):=$ ${ }_{r} B_{0}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$. From the expression (1), one can see that $\zeta_{r}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ has simple poles at $s=1,2, \ldots, r$ with residues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}_{s=n} \zeta_{r}(s, z, \omega)=\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} r A_{-(n-1)}(z, \omega) \quad(1 \leq n \leq r) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{r}(1-m, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=-\frac{r_{m} B_{m}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega})}{m} \quad(m \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main purpose of this paper is, as a generalization of the previous work in [9], to obtain an integral representation of the $q$-analogue $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ of the Barnes multiple zeta function $\zeta_{r}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ defined by the following Dirichlet type $q$-series ([10]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}):=q^{z(s-\nu-r+1)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{r} q^{n_{j} \omega_{j}(s-\nu-j+1)}}{[\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+z]_{q}^{s}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\nu+r-1$. Here $0<q<1$ and $[x]_{q}:=\left(1-q^{x}\right) /(1-q)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$. We always denote by $\nu$ a positive integer and assume $\omega_{j}>0$ (to ensure that $\delta_{j}:=2 \pi \sqrt{-1} /\left(\omega_{j} \log q\right) \in \sqrt{-1} \mathbb{R}$ ) for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Note that the factor $q^{z(s-\nu-r+1)}$ is normalization so that $\zeta_{q, 1}^{(\nu)}(s, z, 1)$ coincides with the $q$-analogue of the Hurwitz zeta function studied in $[3,4,9]$. In [10], we show a meromorphic continuation of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$ to the whole plane $\mathbb{C}$ by the binomial theorem and calculate the special values at non-positive integers (see Remark 4.5). Moreover, for the special weight $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\mathbf{1}_{r}:=(1, \ldots, 1)$, using the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula, we prove that $\lim _{q \uparrow 1} \zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}\left(s, z, \mathbf{1}_{r}\right)=\zeta_{r}\left(s, z, \mathbf{1}_{r}\right)$ for
any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ except for the points $s=1,2, \ldots, \nu+r-1$. Note that the points $s=1,2, \ldots, \nu+r-1$ are the poles of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}\left(s, z, \mathbf{1}_{r}\right)$ on the real axis. For a general weight $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, however, it is hard to see the classical limit $q \uparrow 1$ of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ since we can not apply the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula. The integral representation also gives a meromorphic continuation of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ to the entire plane $\mathbb{C}$ and allows us to describe the poles and special values at non-negative integers as (4) and (5). Furthermore, we can obtain the following theorem by employing the integral representation of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$. Notice that this theorem gives a part of the answer of Conjecture 4.2 in [10].

Theorem 1.1. For $s \in \mathbb{C}, s \neq 1,2, \ldots, \nu+r-1$, we have

$$
\lim _{q \uparrow 1} \zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=\zeta_{r}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})
$$

We remark here that this kind of limit theorems are obtained for the other types of $q$-zeta functions (cf. [6, 7, 8]), which are not of the form of the Dirichlet type $q$-series (actually, they need some extra term). For Dirichlet type $q$-analogues of the multiple zeta values, see $[2,11]$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define functions $F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ for $0 \leq j \leq r+1$ and study their analytic properties. In particular, for $1 \leq j \leq r$, we give another expression of $F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ by using the Poisson summation formula (Proposition 2.2). In Section 3, we introduce $q$-analogues ${ }_{r} A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)$ of ${ }_{r} A_{-k}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ and ${ }_{r} B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)$ of ${ }_{r} B_{n}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ respectively by the generating function $G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$, which is defined via the functions $F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$. In fact, using a certain relation among $F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ 's (Lemma 2.4), we show that $G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ essentially gives a $q$-analogue of $G_{r}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ (Theorem 3.1). In Section 4, we first express $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ as the Mellin transform of $F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}):=$ $F_{q, r, r+1}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ (Proposition 4.1), and then establish a contour integral representation of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ (Theorem 4.3). As an application of this integral representation, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Throughout the present paper, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{P}$ the set of all integers satisfying the condition $P$.
§2. Functions $F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$.
Let $0 \leq j \leq r+1$. We study functions $F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}):=\left(t q^{-z}\right)^{\nu+r-1}  \tag{7}\\
& \quad \times \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{D}_{j}}\left(\prod_{h=1}^{r} q^{-n_{h} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{h}(\nu+h-1)}\right) \exp \left(-t q^{-(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+z)}[\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+z]_{q}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathbb{D}_{j}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\boldsymbol{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r} & \begin{array}{l}
n_{k} \geq 0(1 \leq k \leq j-1) \\
n_{j} \in \mathbb{Z} \\
n_{k}<0(j+1 \leq k \leq r)
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In this paper, for simplicity, we assume $z>0$ (it is easy to follow the subsequent discussion for general setting. For details, see [9]). Here we understand $\mathbb{D}_{0}=\mathbb{Z}_{<0}^{r}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}_{r+1}=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r}$ ) and write $F_{q, r,-}^{(\nu)}:=F_{q, r, 0}^{(\nu)}$ (resp. $\left.F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}:=F_{q, r, r+1}^{(\nu)}\right)$. We first study analytic properties of $F_{q, r, \pm}^{(\nu)}$.

Lemma 2.1. (i) $F_{q, r,-}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$ is entire as a function of $t$. (ii) $F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(t)>0$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>$ $\frac{1}{2} r(r+2 \nu-1)-\nu, t^{\alpha} F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is integrable on $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. Using the relation

$$
q^{-(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+z)}[\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+z]_{q}=q^{-z}[z]_{q}+\sum_{h=1}^{r} q^{-\left(n_{h} \omega_{h}+\cdots+n_{r} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{r}+z\right)}\left[n_{h} \omega_{h}\right]_{q},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=\left(t q^{-z}\right)^{\nu+r-1} \exp \left(-t q^{-z}[z]_{q}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& \times \sum_{n \in \mathbb{D}_{j}} \prod_{h=1}^{r}\left(q^{-n_{h} \omega_{h}(\nu+h-1)} \exp \left(-t q^{-\left(n_{h} \omega_{h}+\cdots+n_{r} \omega_{r}+z\right)}\left[n_{h} \omega_{h}\right]_{q}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $j=0$. Then, since the exponential factors in the series in (8) are bounded for $\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}^{r}, F_{q, r,-}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ converges absolutely for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$, whence defines an entire function. Suppose next $j=r+1$. Then the series in (8) is bounded by $\prod_{h=1}^{r} S_{q, r, h}^{(\nu)}(\operatorname{Re}(t), \boldsymbol{\omega})$, where

$$
S_{q, r, h}^{(\nu)}(t, \boldsymbol{\omega}):=\sum_{n \geq 0} q^{-n \omega_{h}(\nu+h-1)} \exp \left(-t q^{-n \omega_{h}}\left[n \omega_{h}\right]_{q}\right)
$$

because $q^{-\left(n_{h+1} \omega_{h+1}+\cdots+n_{r} \omega_{r}+z\right)}>1$ for any $\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r}$. This shows that $F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(t)>0$ since the series
$S_{q, r, h}^{(\nu)}(t, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ does for $t>0$. Hence $F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(t)>0$. Moreover, by the same argument as the one in Lemma 2.2 in [9], one can show

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q, r, h}^{(\nu)}(t, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \leq 1+\left((\nu+h-1) e^{-1}\right)^{\nu+h-1} \frac{t^{-(\nu+h-1)}}{1-e^{-t}} \quad(t>0) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the following equation is valid for $a>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>\frac{1}{2} r(r+$ $2 \nu-1)-\nu$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha} \cdot t^{\nu+r-1} e^{-a t} \prod_{h=1}^{r} \frac{t^{-(\nu+h-1)}}{1-e^{-t}} d t  \tag{10}\\
= & \Gamma\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2} r(r+2 \nu-3)+\nu\right) \zeta_{r}\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{2} r(r+2 \nu-3)+\nu, a, \mathbf{1}_{r}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we obtain the rest of assertion in (ii) by (9) and (10) with $a=q^{-z}[z]_{q}>0$. This shows the claims.
Q.E.D.

For $1 \leq j \leq r$, the Poisson summation formula asserts the following
Proposition 2.2. Let $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then $F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(t)>0$ with $-\pi / 2<\arg (t)<\pi / 2$ via the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=-\frac{(-1)^{r-j}(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_{j} \log q}\left(t q^{-z}\right)^{r-j} e^{\frac{1}{1-q} t}  \tag{11}\\
& \quad \times \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{1-q}{t}\right)^{m \delta_{j}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu+j-1+m \delta_{j}\right)}{\prod_{h \neq j}\left(1-q^{\omega_{h}\left(j-h+m \delta_{j}\right)}\right)} e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} m z / \omega_{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{j}:=2 \pi \sqrt{-1} /\left(\omega_{j} \log q\right) \in \sqrt{-1} \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. From the definition, it can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=\left(t q^{-z}\right)^{\nu+r-1} e^{\frac{1}{1-q} t} \\
& \times \sum_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(j) \in \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j}} \prod_{h \neq j} q^{-n_{h} \omega_{h}(\nu+h-1)} \sum_{n_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}\left(n_{j}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\check{\mathbb{D}}_{j}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\check{\boldsymbol{n}}(j):=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, \check{n_{j}}, \ldots, n_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r-1} & \begin{array}{l}
n_{k} \geq 0(1 \leq k \leq j-1) \\
n_{k}<0(j+1 \leq k \leq r)
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(here $\check{n_{j}}$ means that $n_{j}$ is omitted) and

$$
f_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(x):=q^{-x \omega_{j}(\nu+j-1)} \exp \left(-\frac{t}{1-q} q^{-z} \cdot q^{-x \omega_{j}} \prod_{h \neq j} q^{-n_{h} \omega_{h}}\right)
$$

Note that, for a fixed $\check{\boldsymbol{n}}(j) \in \check{\mathbb{D}}_{j}$, the series $\sum_{n_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}\left(n_{j}\right)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(t)>0$. Then, since the Fourier transform $\tilde{f}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(\xi)$ of $f_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(\xi)= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(x) e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} x \xi} d x \\
= & -\frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_{j} \log q}\left(t q^{-z}\right)^{-(\nu+j-1)} \prod_{h \neq j} q^{n_{h} \omega_{h}\left(\nu+j-1+\xi \delta_{j}\right)} \\
& \times\left(\frac{1-q}{t}\right)^{\xi \delta_{j}} \Gamma\left(\nu+j-1+\xi \delta_{j}\right) e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \xi z / \omega_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

the Poisson summation formula $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(n)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(m)$ yields the desired formula (11). Remark that, for $j+1 \leq h \leq r$, it can be calculated as

$$
\sum_{n_{h}<0} q^{n_{h} \omega_{h}\left(j-h+m \delta_{j}\right)}=\frac{q^{\omega_{h}\left(h-j-m \delta_{j}\right)}}{1-q^{\omega_{h}\left(h-j-m \delta_{j}\right)}}=\frac{-1}{1-q^{\omega_{h}\left(j-h+m \delta_{j}\right)}}
$$

Hence we have the factor $(-1)^{r-j}$ in (11). Now, it is easy to see that the series in (11) converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(t)>0$. In fact, by the Stirling formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Gamma\left(\nu+j-1+m \delta_{j}\right)\right| \sim \frac{(2 \pi)^{\nu+j-1}|m|^{\nu+j-\frac{3}{2}}}{\left|\omega_{j} \log q\right|^{\nu+j-\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\pi^{2}|m|}{\omega_{j}|\log q|}} \quad(|m| \rightarrow \infty) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|t^{-m \delta_{j}}\right|<\exp \left(\frac{\pi^{2}|m|}{\omega_{j}|\log q|}\right)$. Therefore $F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(t)>0$. This completes the proof of proposition.
Q.E.D.

Remark 2.3. From the expression (11) and using the relation $q^{\omega_{j} \delta_{j}}=1, F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$ satisfies the following functional equation for each $1 \leq j \leq r$ :

$$
F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}\left(q^{\omega_{j}} t, z, \omega\right)=q^{\omega_{j}(r-j)} e^{-t\left[\omega_{j}\right]_{q}} F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)
$$

Let us denote by $F_{q, r, j(0)}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ the term for $m=0$ in (11);

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{q, r, j(0)}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)  \tag{13}\\
& \quad:=\frac{(-1)^{r+1-j}(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_{j} \log q} \frac{(\nu+j-2)!\left(t q^{-z}\right)^{r-j}}{\prod_{h \neq j}\left(1-q^{\omega_{h}(j-h)}\right)} e^{\frac{1}{1-q} t}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $F_{q, r, j(0)}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ is clearly entire as a function of $t$. Moreover, we put $F_{q, r, j(\neq 0)}^{(\nu)}:=F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}-F_{q, r, j(0)}^{(\nu)}$ for the other terms in (11).

The next lemma is crucial in the subsequent discussion.
Lemma 2.4. For $\operatorname{Re}(t)>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{r+1}(-1)^{r+1-j} F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \equiv 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Write $F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{D}_{j}} h(\boldsymbol{n})$. For $0 \leq j \leq r+1$, we define the partial series $\widetilde{F}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}$ of $F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}$ by $\widetilde{F}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}):=\sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j}} h(\boldsymbol{n})$, where

$$
\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{j}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\boldsymbol{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r} & \begin{array}{l}
n_{k} \geq 0(1 \leq k \leq j) \\
n_{k}<0(j+1 \leq k \leq r)
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{j}
$$

Then it holds that $F_{q, r, 0}^{(\nu)}=\widetilde{F}_{q, r, 0}^{(\nu)}, F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}=\widetilde{F}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}+\widetilde{F}_{q, r, j-1}^{(\nu)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $F_{q, r, r+1}^{(\nu)}=\widetilde{F}_{q, r, r}^{(\nu)}$. Now, the relation (14) immediately follows from these equations.
Q.E.D.
§3. Function $G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega):=\sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{r-j} F_{q, r, j(0)}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)+(-1)^{r} F_{q, r,-}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 (i) and the expression (13) that $G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$ has an infinite radius of convergence at $t=0$ when $0<q<1$ and is entire. Then we define ${ }_{r} A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)$ and ${ }_{r} B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)$ as the coefficients
of the Taylor expansion of $G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$ at $t=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})  \tag{16}\\
= & t^{\nu+r-2}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\nu+r-2}(-1)^{k}{ }_{r} A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z, \omega ; q) t^{-k}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}{ }_{r} B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We also put ${ }_{r} A_{0}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q):={ }_{r} B_{0}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)$. The following theorem asserts that ${ }_{r} A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z, \omega ; q)$ and ${ }_{r} B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z, \omega ; q)$ are $q$-analogues of the Barnes multiple Bernoulli polynomials.

Theorem 3.1. For $0<t<b(\boldsymbol{\omega})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \uparrow 1} G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=t^{\nu+r-2} G_{r}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{q \uparrow 1} A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)= \begin{cases}{ }_{r} A_{-k}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) & \text { for } 0 \leq k \leq r-1, \\
0 & \text { for } r \leq k \leq \nu+r-2,\end{cases}  \tag{18}\\
& \lim _{q \uparrow 1} B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)={ }_{r} B_{n}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \quad \text { for } n \geq 0 . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The assertions (18) and (19) follow immediately from (3), (16) and (17). Hence it suffices to show the formula (17). For $t>0$, we have $\lim _{q \uparrow 1} F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=t^{\nu+r-2} G_{r}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ because $F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(t)>0$. On the other hand, from the relation (14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) & =-\sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{r+1-j} F_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)-(-1)^{r+1} F_{q, r,-}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \\
& =G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)+\sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{r-j} F_{q, r, j(\neq 0)}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore it is enough to show that for all $1 \leq j \leq r$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \uparrow 1} F_{q, r, j(\neq 0)}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=0 \quad(0<t<b(\boldsymbol{\omega})) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $\mu_{j}:=\#\left\{1 \leq h \leq r \mid \omega_{h} / \omega_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, h \neq j\right\}$. Then notice that if $m \neq 0$, we have

$$
\prod_{h \neq j}\left(1-q^{\omega_{h}\left(j-h+m \delta_{j}\right)}\right)=O\left((1-q)^{\mu_{j}}\right) \quad(q \uparrow 1)
$$

Hence, using the formula $1 / \log q=-1 /(1-q)+O(1)$ as $q \uparrow 1$, we have from (12)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\log q} e^{\frac{1}{1-q} t} \frac{\left|\Gamma\left(\nu+j-1+m \delta_{j}\right)\right|}{\left|\prod_{h \neq j}\left(1-q^{\omega_{h}\left(j-h+m \delta_{j}\right)}\right)\right|} \\
= & \frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\log q} \cdot O\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\pi}{2}^{2}|m|}}{(1-q)^{\mu_{j}|\log q|}}(\log q)^{\nu+j-\frac{1}{2}}\right.
\end{array}\right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{1-q} t-\frac{3}{4} \frac{\pi^{2}|m|}{\omega_{j}|\log q|}\right),
$$

because $0<t<b(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \leq \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{j}} \leq \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{j}} \frac{3 \pi|m|}{8}=\frac{3 \pi^{2}|m|}{4 \omega_{j}}$. This shows that each summand of $F_{q, r, j(\neq 0)}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ vanishes as $q \uparrow 1$, whence the claim (21) follows. This completes the proof of the theorem. Q.E.D.

One can obtain the following explicit expressions of ${ }_{r} A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)$ and ${ }_{r} B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)$.

Proposition 3.2. We have for $0 \leq k \leq \nu+r-2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{r} A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q) & =\frac{(q-1)^{1+k}}{\log q} \\
& \times \sum_{j=\max \{k-\nu+2,1\}}^{r} \frac{q^{z(j-r)}}{\omega_{j} \prod_{h \neq j}\left(1-q^{\omega_{h}(j-h)}\right)} \frac{(\nu+j-2)!}{(-k+\nu+j-2)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $n \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{r} B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q) & =(q-1)^{1-n}\left\{\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}(-1)^{\ell}\binom{n}{\ell} \frac{\ell q^{z(-\ell-\nu-r+2)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(1-q^{\omega_{j}(-\ell-\nu-j+2)}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\log q} \sum_{j=1}^{r}\binom{n+\nu+j-2}{\nu+j-2}^{-1} \frac{q^{z(j-r)}}{\omega_{j} \prod_{h \neq j}\left(1-q^{\omega_{h}(j-h)}\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. These formulas are directly derived from (15) by calculating the Taylor expansions of the exponential functions at $t=0$. Q.E.D.

## §4. Main results.

Now we are ready to study an integral representation of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$. We first show that $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$ can be expressed as the Mellin transform of $F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$.

Proposition 4.1. For $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{1}{2} r(r+2 \nu+1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \frac{d t}{t} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 (ii), the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \frac{d t}{t}
$$

converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{1}{2} r(r+2 \nu+1)$. Then, from the integral expression of the gamma function $\Gamma(s)$, one can easily obtain the formula (22) by changing the variable $t q^{-(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+z)}[\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}+z]_{q} \mapsto t$. Q.E.D.

To establish our main result, we introduce the function $\varphi_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(s ; a, m)$ for $0<a<\infty, m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$ by the following integral:

$$
\varphi_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(s ; a, m):=\int_{0}^{a} t^{s-\nu-j-m \delta_{j}} e^{\frac{1}{1-q} t} d t
$$

Since the integral converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\nu+j-1$, it defines a holomorphic function on the region. Further, we have the following

Lemma 4.2. The function $\varphi_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(s ; a, m)$ can be meromorphically continued to the whole plane $\mathbb{C}$. It has simple poles at $s=n+m \delta_{j}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq \nu+j-1}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}_{s=n+m \delta_{j}} \varphi_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(s ; a, m)=\frac{1}{(\nu+j-1-n)!(1-q)^{\nu+j-1-n}} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

These exhaust all poles of $\varphi_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(s ; a, m)$.
Proof. This is obtained by integration by parts. Precisely, see Proposition 2.5 in [9].
Q.E.D.

Moreover, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\varphi}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(s ; a, m) \\
& \quad:=\frac{(1-q)^{m \delta_{j}} \Gamma\left(\nu+j-1+m \delta_{j}\right) q^{z\left(j-r+m \delta_{j}\right)}}{\prod_{h \neq j}\left(1-q^{\omega_{h}\left(j-h+m \delta_{j}\right)}\right)} \varphi_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(s ; a, m) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following theorem is our main result, which gives a generalization of Theorem 3.6 in [9].

Theorem 4.3. (i) For $0<a<\infty$ and $0<\varepsilon<\min \{a, b(\boldsymbol{\omega})\}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)= & \frac{(-1)^{\nu+r-1} \Gamma(1-s)}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} I_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; a)  \tag{24}\\
& -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_{j} \log q} \sum_{m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \tilde{\varphi}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}\left(s ; a, m_{j}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{a}^{\infty} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \frac{d t}{t}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
I_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega ; a):=\int_{C(\varepsilon, a)}(-t)^{s-(\nu+r-1)} G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \frac{d t}{t}
$$

and $C(\varepsilon, a)$ is the same contour as the one in (1). This provides a meromorphic continuation of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$ to the entire plane $\mathbb{C}$.
(ii) The poles of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$ are all simple and are located at $s=$ $1,2, \ldots, \nu+r-1$ and $s=\nu+j-1-\ell+m_{j} \delta_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq \nu+r-1}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\omega \in\left\{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{r}\right\}$ with $\delta:=2 \pi \sqrt{-1} /(\omega \log q) \in \sqrt{-1} \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{s=n+m \delta} \zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)=-\frac{(1-q)^{n+m \delta}}{\log q}  \tag{25}\\
& \quad \times \sum_{j=\max \{n-\nu+1,1\}}^{r}\binom{\nu+j-2+m \delta}{\nu+j-1-n} \frac{d_{j} q^{z(j-r+m \delta)}}{\omega_{j} \prod_{h \neq j}\left(1-q^{\omega_{h}(j-h+m \delta)}\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{j}:=\#\left\{m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\} \mid m_{j} \delta_{j}=m \delta\right\}$.
(iii) For a positive integer $m$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(1-m, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=-\frac{{ }_{r} B_{m}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)}{m} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{1}{2} r(r+2 \nu+1)$. Then, from Proposition 4.1, (20) and (11), it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma(s) \zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega) \\
&= \int_{0}^{a} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \frac{d t}{t}+\int_{a}^{\infty} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \frac{d t}{t} \\
&+\int_{0}^{a} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{r-j} F_{q, r, j(\neq 0)}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \frac{d t}{t} \\
&= \int_{0}^{a} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \frac{d t}{t}+\int_{a}^{\infty} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} F_{q, r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega) \frac{d t}{t} \\
&-\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_{j} \log q} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \widetilde{\varphi}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}(s ; a, m) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{a} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} G_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \frac{d t}{t}  \tag{27}\\
&=\frac{(-1)^{\nu+r-1} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(1-s)}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} I_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; a)
\end{align*}
$$

Actually, since the integral $I_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega ; a)$ converges absolutely and uniformly with respect to $s$, it defines an entire function in $s$. Further, by the Cauchy integral theorem, it does not depend on $\varepsilon$. Then, taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using the relation $\Gamma(s) \Gamma(1-s)=\pi / \sin (\pi s)$, we have (27). Note that the integral on the path $|t|=\varepsilon$ in $I_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; a)$ vanishes as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ since $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{1}{2} r(r+2 \nu+1)>\nu+r-1$. Hence we obtain the desired formula (24). Since the last integral on the right hand side of (24) clearly defines an entire function, from Lemma 4.2, (24) provides a meromorphic continuation of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ to the entire plane $\mathbb{C}$. Further, since $I_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega ; a)=0$ for $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \nu+r}$ by the residue theorem, one can see from (24) that $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$ has simple poles at $s=1,2, \ldots, \nu+r-1$ with residues

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Res}_{s=n} \zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) & =-\left(\operatorname{Res}_{s=n} \Gamma(1-s)\right)_{r} A_{-(n-1)}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} r A_{-(n-1)}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q) \quad(1 \leq n \leq \nu+r-1) . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from Proposition 3.2, we have (25) for $m=0$. Moreover, from Lemma 4.2, $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$ has also simple poles at $s=\nu+j-1-\ell+m_{j} \delta_{j}$
for $1 \leq j \leq r, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Retaining the notation in the statement (ii) above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{s=n+m \delta} \zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(n+m \delta)} \\
& \quad \times \sum_{j=\max \{n-\nu+1,1\}}^{r} \sum_{\substack{m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\left\{(0\} \\
m_{j} \delta_{j}=m \delta\right.}} \frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_{j} \log q}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{s=n+m_{j} \delta_{j}} \widetilde{\varphi}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}\left(s ; a, m_{j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by the formula (23), we have (25) for $m \neq 0$. From (27) again, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(1-m, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) & =\frac{(-1)^{\nu+r-1} \Gamma(m)}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} I_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(1-m, z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; a) \\
& =-\frac{{ }_{r} B_{m}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega} ; q)}{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Q.E.D.

Remark 4.4. From (4), (18) and (28), we have

$$
\lim _{q \uparrow 1} \operatorname{Res}_{s=n} \zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Res}_{s=n} \zeta_{r}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) & \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots, r \\ 0 & \text { for } n=r+1, \ldots, \nu+r-1\end{cases}
$$

We finally give the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose $0<a<b(\boldsymbol{\omega})$. Compare the integral expression (24) with (1). Then, from Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to show that $\lim _{q \uparrow 1} \tilde{\varphi}_{q, r, j}^{(\nu)}\left(s ; a, m_{j}\right)=0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Indeed, using the mean-value theorem, one can show the formula by the same way as the proof of (21) (more precisely, see Corollary 3.8 in [9]). Hence we obtain the desired claim.
Q.E.D.

Remark 4.5. Using the binomial theorem, we obtain the following series expression of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ (see [10], also [3, 4]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})=(1-q)^{s} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\binom{s+\ell-1}{\ell} \frac{q^{z(s-\nu-r+1+\ell)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(1-q^{\omega_{j}(s-\nu-j+1+\ell)}\right)} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This also gives a meromorphic continuation of $\zeta_{q, r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$ to the whole plane $\mathbb{C}$. One can obtain the same facts (25) and (26) from the expression (29), however, it seems to be difficult to show Theorem 1.1.
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