Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 49, 2007 Probability and Number Theory — Kanazawa 2005 pp. 545–558

# Integral representations of *q*-analogues of the Barnes multiple zeta functions

## Yoshinori Yamasaki

#### Abstract.

Integral representations of q-analogues of the Barnes multiple zeta functions are studied. The integral representation provides a meromorphic continuation of the q-analogue to the whole plane and describes its poles and special values at non-positive integers. Moreover, for any weight, employing the integral representation, we show that the q-analogue converges to the Barnes multiple zeta function when  $q \uparrow 1$  for all complex numbers.

### §1. Introduction.

In 1904, E. Barnes introduced his multiple zeta functions with a weight  $\boldsymbol{\omega} := (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$  by the following multiple series ([1]):

$$\zeta_r(s,z,\omega) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} (\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} + z)^{-s} \qquad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > r),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} n_j \omega_j$  for  $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$ . It is known that the function  $\zeta_r(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$  can be meromorphically continued to the whole plane  $\mathbb{C}$  via the contour integral representation

(1) 
$$\zeta_r(s,z,\omega) = -\frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}I_r(s,z,\omega;a) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_a^\infty t^{s-1}G_r(t,z,\omega)\frac{dt}{t},$$

where  $I_r(s, z, \omega; a)$  is an entire function defined by

(2) 
$$I_r(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}; a) := \int_{C(\varepsilon, a)} (-t)^{s-1} G_r(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Received December 30, 2005.

Revised March 6, 2006.

Key words and phrases. Barnes' multiple zeta function, Barnes' multiple Bernoulli polynomial, q-analogue, contour integral, classical limit.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M41; Secondary 11B68.

Here  $C(\varepsilon, a)$  is a contour for  $0 < a \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{a, b(\boldsymbol{\omega})\}$ with  $b(\boldsymbol{\omega}) := \min_{1 \leq j \leq r} \{|2\pi/\omega_j|\}$  along the real axis from a to  $\varepsilon$ , counterclockwise around the circle of radius  $\varepsilon$  with the center at the origin, and then along the real axis from  $\varepsilon$  to a (see [9]), and

(3) 
$$G_{r}(t,z,\omega) := \frac{te^{(\omega_{1}+\dots+\omega_{r}-z)t}}{\prod_{j=1}^{r}(e^{\omega_{j}t}-1)}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (-1)^{k} {}_{r}A_{-k}(z,\omega)t^{-k} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} {}_{r}B_{n}(z,\omega)\frac{t^{n}}{n!}.$$

Note that the series expression (3) is valid for  $|t| < b(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ . These coefficients  ${}_{r}A_{-k}(z,\boldsymbol{\omega})$  and  ${}_{r}B_{n}(z,\boldsymbol{\omega})$  are called the Barnes multiple Bernoulli polynomials with the weight  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  ([1], see also [5]). We also put  ${}_{r}A_{0}(z,\boldsymbol{\omega}) := {}_{r}B_{0}(z,\boldsymbol{\omega})$ . From the expression (1), one can see that  $\zeta_{r}(s,z,\boldsymbol{\omega})$  has simple poles at  $s = 1, 2, \ldots, r$  with residues

(4) 
$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=n} \zeta_r(s, z, \omega) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} {}^r A_{-(n-1)}(z, \omega) \qquad (1 \le n \le r)$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

(5) 
$$\zeta_r(1-m,z,\boldsymbol{\omega}) = -\frac{rB_m(z,\boldsymbol{\omega})}{m} \qquad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The main purpose of this paper is, as a generalization of the previous work in [9], to obtain an integral representation of the q-analogue  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$  of the Barnes multiple zeta function  $\zeta_r(s, z, \omega)$  defined by the following Dirichlet type q-series ([10]):

(6) 
$$\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\boldsymbol{\omega}) := q^{z(s-\nu-r+1)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r q^{n_j \omega_j (s-\nu-j+1)}}{[\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} + z]_q^s}.$$

The series converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \nu + r - 1$ . Here 0 < q < 1 and  $[x]_q := (1-q^x)/(1-q)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ . We always denote by  $\nu$  a positive integer and assume  $\omega_j > 0$  (to ensure that  $\delta_j := 2\pi\sqrt{-1}/(\omega_j \log q) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ ) for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Note that the factor  $q^{z(s-\nu-r+1)}$  is normalization so that  $\zeta_{q,1}^{(\nu)}(s, z, 1)$  coincides with the q-analogue of the Hurwitz zeta function studied in [3, 4, 9]. In [10], we show a meromorphic continuation of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$  to the whole plane  $\mathbb{C}$  by the binomial theorem and calculate the special values at non-positive integers (see Remark 4.5). Moreover, for the special weight  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{1}_r := (1, \ldots, 1)$ , using the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula, we prove that  $\lim_{q \neq 1} \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \mathbf{1}_r) = \zeta_r(s, z, \mathbf{1}_r)$  for

any  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  except for the points  $s = 1, 2, \ldots, \nu + r - 1$ . Note that the points  $s = 1, 2, \ldots, \nu + r - 1$  are the poles of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \mathbf{1}_r)$  on the real axis. For a general weight  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ , however, it is hard to see the classical limit  $q \uparrow 1$  of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$  since we can not apply the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula. The integral representation also gives a meromorphic continuation of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$  to the entire plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and allows us to describe the poles and special values at non-negative integers as (4) and (5). Furthermore, we can obtain the following theorem by employing the integral representation of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$ . Notice that this theorem gives a part of the answer of Conjecture 4.2 in [10].

**Theorem 1.1.** For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $s \neq 1, 2, \ldots, \nu + r - 1$ , we have

$$\lim_{q\uparrow 1}\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\boldsymbol{\omega})=\zeta_r(s,z,\boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

We remark here that this kind of limit theorems are obtained for the other types of q-zeta functions (cf. [6, 7, 8]), which are not of the form of the Dirichlet type q-series (actually, they need some extra term). For Dirichlet type q-analogues of the multiple zeta values, see [2, 11].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define functions  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq r+1$  and study their analytic properties. In particular, for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , we give another expression of  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  by using the Poisson summation formula (Proposition 2.2). In Section 3, we introduce q-analogues  ${}_{r}A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q)$  of  ${}_{r}A_{-k}(z,\omega)$  and  ${}_{r}B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q)$  of  ${}_{r}B_{n}(z,\omega)$  respectively by the generating function  $G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$ , which is defined via the functions  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$ . In fact, using a certain relation among  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$ 's (Lemma 2.4), we show that  $G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  essentially gives a q-analogue of  $G_{r}(t,z,\omega)$  (Theorem 3.1). In Section 4, we first express  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\omega)$  as the Mellin transform of  $F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) := F_{q,r,r+1}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  (Proposition 4.1), and then establish a contour integral representation of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\omega)$  (Theorem 4.3). As an application of this integral representation, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Throughout the present paper, we denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_P$  the set of all integers satisfying the condition P.

# §2. Functions $F_{a,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$ .

Let  $0 \le j \le r+1$ . We study functions  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  defined by

(7) 
$$F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\boldsymbol{\omega}) := (tq^{-z})^{\nu+r-1} \times \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{D}_j} \left(\prod_{h=1}^r q^{-n_h\omega_h(\nu+h-1)}\right) \exp\left(-tq^{-(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}+z)}[\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}+z]_q\right),$$

where

$$\mathbb{D}_j := \left\{ \boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \middle| \begin{array}{l} n_k \ge 0 \ (1 \le k \le j - 1), \\ n_j \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ n_k < 0 \ (j + 1 \le k \le r) \end{array} \right\}.$$

In this paper, for simplicity, we assume z > 0 (it is easy to follow the subsequent discussion for general setting. For details, see [9]). Here we understand  $\mathbb{D}_0 = \mathbb{Z}_{<0}^r$  (resp.  $\mathbb{D}_{r+1} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$ ) and write  $F_{q,r,-}^{(\nu)} := F_{q,r,0}^{(\nu)}$  (resp.  $F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)} := F_{q,r,+1}^{(\nu)}$ ). We first study analytic properties of  $F_{q,r,\pm}^{(\nu)}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** (i)  $F_{q,r,-}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  is entire as a function of t. (ii)  $F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  is holomorphic for  $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0$ . Moreover, if  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > \frac{1}{2}r(r+2\nu-1)-\nu$ ,  $t^{\alpha}F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  is integrable on  $[0,\infty)$ .

*Proof.* Using the relation

$$q^{-(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}+z)}[\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}+z]_q = q^{-z}[z]_q + \sum_{h=1}^r q^{-(n_h\omega_h+\cdots+n_r\omega_r+z)}[n_h\omega_h]_q,$$

we have

(8) 
$$F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) = (tq^{-z})^{\nu+r-1} \exp\left(-tq^{-z}[z]_q\right)$$
$$\times \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{D}_j} \prod_{h=1}^r \left(q^{-n_h\omega_h(\nu+h-1)} \exp\left(-tq^{-(n_h\omega_h+\dots+n_r\omega_r+z)}[n_h\omega_h]_q\right)\right).$$

Let j = 0. Then, since the exponential factors in the series in (8) are bounded for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}^r$ ,  $F_{q,r,-}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$  converges absolutely for all  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , whence defines an entire function. Suppose next j = r + 1. Then the series in (8) is bounded by  $\prod_{h=1}^r S_{q,r,h}^{(\nu)}(\operatorname{Re}(t), \omega)$ , where

$$S_{q,r,h}^{(\nu)}(t,\boldsymbol{\omega}) := \sum_{n\geq 0} q^{-n\omega_h(\nu+h-1)} \exp\left(-tq^{-n\omega_h}[n\omega_h]_q\right)$$

because  $q^{-(n_{h+1}\omega_{h+1}+\cdots+n_r\omega_r+z)} > 1$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$ . This shows that  $F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0$  since the series

 $S_{q,r,h}^{(\nu)}(t,\omega)$  does for t > 0. Hence  $F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  is holomorphic for  $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0$ . Moreover, by the same argument as the one in Lemma 2.2 in [9], one can show

(9) 
$$S_{q,r,h}^{(\nu)}(t,\omega) \le 1 + \left((\nu+h-1)e^{-1}\right)^{\nu+h-1} \frac{t^{-(\nu+h-1)}}{1-e^{-t}} \quad (t>0).$$

Notice that the following equation is valid for a > 0 and  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > \frac{1}{2}r(r + 2\nu - 1) - \nu$ 

(10) 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha} \cdot t^{\nu+r-1} e^{-at} \prod_{h=1}^{r} \frac{t^{-(\nu+h-1)}}{1-e^{-t}} dt$$
$$= \Gamma \Big( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} r(r+2\nu-3) + \nu \Big) \zeta_r \Big( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} r(r+2\nu-3) + \nu, a, \mathbf{1}_r \Big).$$

Therefore we obtain the rest of assertion in (*ii*) by (9) and (10) with  $a = q^{-z}[z]_q > 0$ . This shows the claims. Q.E.D.

For  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , the Poisson summation formula asserts the following

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Then  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  is holomorphic for  $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0$  with  $-\pi/2 < \arg(t) < \pi/2$  via the expression

(11) 
$$F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) = -\frac{(-1)^{r-j}(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_j \log q} (tq^{-z})^{r-j} e^{\frac{1}{1-q}t} \\ \times \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1-q}{t}\right)^{m\delta_j} \frac{\Gamma(\nu+j-1+m\delta_j)}{\prod\limits_{h \neq j} \left(1-q^{\omega_h(j-h+m\delta_j)}\right)} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}mz/\omega_j},$$

where  $\delta_j := 2\pi \sqrt{-1}/(\omega_j \log q) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}.$ 

*Proof.* From the definition, it can be expressed as

$$F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\boldsymbol{\omega}) = (tq^{-z})^{\nu+r-1} e^{\frac{1}{1-q}t} \\ \times \sum_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}(j)\in\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_j} \prod_{h\neq j} q^{-n_h\omega_h(\nu+h-1)} \sum_{n_j\in\mathbb{Z}} f_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(n_j),$$

where

$$\check{\mathbb{D}}_j := \left\{ \check{\boldsymbol{n}}(j) := (n_1, \dots, \check{n_j}, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r-1} \middle| \begin{array}{l} n_k \ge 0 \ (1 \le k \le j-1), \\ n_k < 0 \ (j+1 \le k \le r) \end{array} \right\}$$

(here  $\check{n_j}$  means that  $n_j$  is omitted) and

$$f_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(x) := q^{-x\omega_j(\nu+j-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{1-q}q^{-z} \cdot q^{-x\omega_j} \prod_{h \neq j} q^{-n_h \omega_h}\right).$$

Note that, for a fixed  $\check{\boldsymbol{n}}(j) \in \check{\mathbb{D}}_j$ , the series  $\sum_{n_j \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(n_j)$  converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0$ . Then, since the Fourier transform  $\tilde{f}_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(\xi)$  of  $f_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(x)$  is given by

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f}_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(x) e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}x\xi} dx \\ &= -\frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_j \log q} (tq^{-z})^{-(\nu+j-1)} \prod_{h \neq j} q^{n_h \omega_h (\nu+j-1+\xi\delta_j)} \\ &\times \left(\frac{1-q}{t}\right)^{\xi\delta_j} \Gamma(\nu+j-1+\xi\delta_j) e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\xi z/\omega_j}, \end{split}$$

the Poisson summation formula  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(m)$  yields the desired formula (11). Remark that, for  $j + 1 \leq h \leq r$ , it can be calculated as

$$\sum_{n_h<0}q^{n_h\omega_h(j-h+m\delta_j)}=\frac{q^{\omega_h(h-j-m\delta_j)}}{1-q^{\omega_h(h-j-m\delta_j)}}=\frac{-1}{1-q^{\omega_h(j-h+m\delta_j)}}.$$

Hence we have the factor  $(-1)^{r-j}$  in (11). Now, it is easy to see that the series in (11) converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0$ . In fact, by the Stirling formula, we have

(12) 
$$\left| \Gamma(\nu+j-1+m\delta_j) \right| \sim \frac{(2\pi)^{\nu+j-1} |m|^{\nu+j-\frac{3}{2}}}{|\omega_j \log q|^{\nu+j-\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 |m|}{\omega_j |\log q|}} \quad (|m| \to \infty)$$

and  $|t^{-m\delta_j}| < \exp(\frac{\pi^2 |m|}{\omega_j |\log q|})$ . Therefore  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  is holomorphic for  $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0$ . This completes the proof of proposition. Q.E.D.

**Remark 2.3.** From the expression (11) and using the relation  $q^{\omega_j \delta_j} = 1$ ,  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$  satisfies the following functional equation for each  $1 \leq j \leq r$ :

$$F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(q^{\omega_j}t,z,\boldsymbol{\omega}) = q^{\omega_j(r-j)}e^{-t[\omega_j]_q}F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

Let us denote by  $F_{q,r,j(0)}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  the term for m=0 in (11);

(13) 
$$F_{q,r,j(0)}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) = \frac{(-1)^{r+1-j}(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_j \log q} \frac{(\nu+j-2)!(tq^{-z})^{r-j}}{\prod\limits_{h\neq j} (1-q^{\omega_h(j-h)})} e^{\frac{1}{1-q}t}.$$

Then  $F_{q,r,j(0)}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  is clearly entire as a function of t. Moreover, we put  $F_{q,r,j(\neq 0)}^{(\nu)} := F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)} - F_{q,r,j(0)}^{(\nu)}$  for the other terms in (11).

The next lemma is crucial in the subsequent discussion.

**Lemma 2.4.** For  $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0$ , we have

(14) 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{r+1} (-1)^{r+1-j} F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) \equiv 0.$$

*Proof.* Write  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{D}_j} h(\boldsymbol{n})$ . For  $0 \leq j \leq r+1$ , we define the partial series  $\widetilde{F}_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}$  of  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}$  by  $\widetilde{F}_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}\in\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_j} h(\boldsymbol{n})$ , where

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_j := \left\{ \boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \middle| \begin{array}{l} n_k \ge 0 \ (1 \le k \le j), \\ n_k < 0 \ (j+1 \le k \le r) \end{array} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{D}_j.$$

Then it holds that  $F_{q,r,0}^{(\nu)} = \widetilde{F}_{q,r,0}^{(\nu)}$ ,  $F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)} = \widetilde{F}_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)} + \widetilde{F}_{q,r,j-1}^{(\nu)}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ and  $F_{q,r,r+1}^{(\nu)} = \widetilde{F}_{q,r,r}^{(\nu)}$ . Now, the relation (14) immediately follows from these equations. Q.E.D.

§3. Function  $G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$ .

Let

(15) 
$$G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) := \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{r-j} F_{q,r,j(0)}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) + (-1)^{r} F_{q,r,-}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 (i) and the expression (13) that  $G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$  has an infinite radius of convergence at t = 0 when 0 < q < 1 and is entire. Then we define  ${}_{r}A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z, \omega; q)$  and  ${}_{r}B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z, \omega; q)$  as the coefficients

of the Taylor expansion of  $G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  at t=0:

(16) 
$$G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$$
  
=  $t^{\nu+r-2} \Big\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\nu+r-2} (-1)^k {}_r A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q) t^{-k} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n {}_r B_n^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q) \frac{t^n}{n!} \Big\}.$ 

We also put  ${}_{r}A_{0}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q) := {}_{r}B_{0}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q)$ . The following theorem asserts that  ${}_{r}A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q)$  and  ${}_{r}B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q)$  are *q*-analogues of the Barnes multiple Bernoulli polynomials.

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $0 < t < b(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ , we have

(17) 
$$\lim_{q\uparrow 1} G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) = t^{\nu+r-2}G_r(t,z,\omega).$$

In particular, it holds that

(18) 
$$\lim_{q \uparrow 1} r A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z, \omega; q) = \begin{cases} r A_{-k}(z, \omega) & \text{for } 0 \le k \le r-1, \\ 0 & \text{for } r \le k \le \nu + r-2, \end{cases}$$

(19) 
$$\lim_{q\uparrow 1} {}_{r}B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q) = {}_{r}B_{n}(z,\omega) \quad for \ n \ge 0.$$

*Proof.* The assertions (18) and (19) follow immediately from (3), (16) and (17). Hence it suffices to show the formula (17). For t > 0, we have  $\lim_{q\uparrow 1} F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) = t^{\nu+r-2}G_r(t,z,\omega)$  because  $F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$  converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(t) > 0$ . On the other hand, from the relation (14), we have

$$F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) = -\sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{r+1-j} F_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) - (-1)^{r+1} F_{q,r,-}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega)$$

$$(20) \qquad = G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{r-j} F_{q,r,j(\neq 0)}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega).$$

Therefore it is enough to show that for all  $1 \le j \le r$ 

(21) 
$$\lim_{q\uparrow 1} F_{q,r,j(\neq 0)}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) = 0 \qquad (0 < t < b(\omega)).$$

Put  $\mu_j := \# \{ 1 \le h \le r \mid \omega_h / \omega_j \in \mathbb{Z}, h \ne j \}$ . Then notice that if  $m \ne 0$ , we have

$$\prod_{h \neq j} \left( 1 - q^{\omega_h(j-h+m\delta_j)} \right) = O\left( (1-q)^{\mu_j} \right) \qquad (q \uparrow 1).$$

Hence, using the formula  $1/\log q = -1/(1-q) + O(1)$  as  $q \uparrow 1$ , we have from (12)

$$\frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\log q} e^{\frac{1}{1-q}t} \frac{\left|\Gamma(\nu+j-1+m\delta_j)\right|}{\left|\prod_{h\neq j} \left(1-q^{\omega_h(j-h+m\delta_j)}\right)\right|}$$

$$= \frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\log q} \cdot O\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}\frac{\pi^2|m|}{\omega_j|\log q|}}}{(1-q)^{\mu_j}(\log q)^{\nu+j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{1-q}t - \frac{3}{4}\frac{\pi^2|m|}{\omega_j|\log q|}\right)$$
$$= O\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}\frac{\pi^2|m|}{\omega_j|\log q|}}}{(1-q)^{\mu_j}(\log q)^{\nu+j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{3\pi^2|m|}{1-q} - t\right) - \frac{1}{1-q} + O(1)\right) \to 0 \qquad (q \uparrow 1).$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{(1-q)^{\mu_j+\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1}{4\omega_j} - t\right)\frac{1}{1-q} + O(1)\right) \to 0 \qquad (q+1)$$

because  $0 < t < b(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \leq \frac{2\pi}{\omega_j} \leq \frac{2\pi}{\omega_j} \frac{3\pi |\boldsymbol{m}|}{8} = \frac{3\pi^2 |\boldsymbol{m}|}{4\omega_j}$ . This shows that each summand of  $F_{q,r,j(\neq 0)}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \boldsymbol{\omega})$  vanishes as  $q \uparrow 1$ , whence the claim (21) follows. This completes the proof of the theorem. Q.E.D.

One can obtain the following explicit expressions of  ${}_{r}A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q)$ and  ${}_{r}B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** We have for  $0 \le k \le \nu + r - 2$ 

$${}_{r}A_{-k}^{(\nu)}(z,\omega;q) = \frac{(q-1)^{1+k}}{\log q} \\ \times \sum_{j=\max\{k-\nu+2,1\}}^{r} \frac{q^{z(j-r)}}{\omega_{j}\prod\limits_{h\neq j} (1-q^{\omega_{h}(j-h)})} \frac{(\nu+j-2)!}{(-k+\nu+j-2)!}$$

and for  $n \ge 0$ 

$${}_{r}B_{n}^{(\nu)}(z,\boldsymbol{\omega};q) = (q-1)^{1-n} \Biggl\{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n}{\ell} \frac{\ell q^{z(-\ell-\nu-r+2)}}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{r} \left(1 - q^{\omega_{j}(-\ell-\nu-j+2)}\right)} \\ + \frac{1}{\log q} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \binom{n+\nu+j-2}{\nu+j-2}^{-1} \frac{q^{z(j-r)}}{\omega_{j}\prod\limits_{h\neq j} \left(1 - q^{\omega_{h}(j-h)}\right)} \Biggr\}.$$

*Proof.* These formulas are directly derived from (15) by calculating the Taylor expansions of the exponential functions at t = 0. Q.E.D.

## §4. Main results.

Now we are ready to study an integral representation of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$ . We first show that  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$  can be expressed as the Mellin transform of  $F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t, z, \omega)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** For  $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}r(r + 2\nu + 1)$ , we have

(22) 
$$\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.1 (ii), the integral

$$\int_0^\infty t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) \frac{dt}{t}$$

converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}r(r+2\nu+1)$ . Then, from the integral expression of the gamma function  $\Gamma(s)$ , one can easily obtain the formula (22) by changing the variable  $tq^{-(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}+z)}[\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}+z]_q \mapsto t$ . Q.E.D.

To establish our main result, we introduce the function  $\varphi_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(s;a,m)$  for  $0 < a < \infty, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq r$  by the following integral:

$$\varphi_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(s;a,m) := \int_0^a t^{s-\nu-j-m\delta_j} e^{\frac{1}{1-q}t} dt.$$

Since the integral converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \nu + j - 1$ , it defines a holomorphic function on the region. Further, we have the following

**Lemma 4.2.** The function  $\varphi_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(s;a,m)$  can be meromorphically continued to the whole plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . It has simple poles at  $s = n + m\delta_j$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq \nu+j-1}$  with

(23) 
$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=n+m\delta_j} \varphi_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(s;a,m) = \frac{1}{(\nu+j-1-n)!(1-q)^{\nu+j-1-n}}$$

These exhaust all poles of  $\varphi_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(s;a,m)$ .

*Proof.* This is obtained by integration by parts. Precisely, see Proposition 2.5 in [9]. Q.E.D.

Moreover, we put

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_{q,r,j}^{(
\nu)}(s;a,m) := rac{(1-q)^{m\delta_j}\Gamma(
u+j-1+m\delta_j)q^{z(j-r+m\delta_j)}}{\prod\limits_{h
eq j} (1-q^{\omega_h(j-h+m\delta_j)})} arphi_{q,r,j}^{(
\nu)}(s;a,m).$$

The following theorem is our main result, which gives a generalization of Theorem 3.6 in [9].

**Theorem 4.3.** (i) For  $0 < a < \infty$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{a, b(\omega)\}$ , we have

$$(24) \quad \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\omega) = \frac{(-1)^{\nu+r-1}\Gamma(1-s)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} I_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\omega;a) \\ \quad -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_j \log q} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \widetilde{\varphi}_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(s;a,m_j) \\ \quad +\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_a^\infty t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) \frac{dt}{t},$$

where

$$I_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\boldsymbol{\omega};a):=\int_{C(\varepsilon,a)}(-t)^{s-(\nu+r-1)}G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\boldsymbol{\omega})\frac{dt}{t}$$

and  $C(\varepsilon, a)$  is the same contour as the one in (1). This provides a meromorphic continuation of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$  to the entire plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . (ii) The poles of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$  are all simple and are located at  $s = 1, 2, \ldots, \nu + r - 1$  and  $s = \nu + j - 1 - \ell + m_j \delta_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and  $m_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq \nu + r - 1}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\omega \in \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r\}$  with  $\delta := 2\pi \sqrt{-1}/(\omega \log q) \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$ , we have

(25) 
$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=n+m\delta} \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\omega) = -\frac{(1-q)^{n+m\delta}}{\log q}$$
$$\times \sum_{j=\max\{n-\nu+1,1\}}^{r} \binom{\nu+j-2+m\delta}{\nu+j-1-n} \frac{d_j q^{z(j-r+m\delta)}}{\omega_j \prod\limits_{h\neq j} (1-q^{\omega_h(j-h+m\delta)})},$$

where  $d_j := \#\{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \mid m_j \delta_j = m\delta\}$ . (iii) For a positive integer m, we have

(26) 
$$\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(1-m,z,\boldsymbol{\omega}) = -\frac{rB_m^{(\nu)}(z,\boldsymbol{\omega};q)}{m}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}r(r+2\nu+1)$ . Then, from Proposition 4.1, (20) and (11), it holds that

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma(s)\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\omega) \\ &= \int_{0}^{a} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) \frac{dt}{t} + \int_{a}^{\infty} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{a} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{r-j} F_{q,r,j(\neq 0)}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \int_{0}^{a} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) \frac{dt}{t} + \int_{a}^{\infty} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} F_{q,r,+}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_{j} \log q} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \widetilde{\varphi}_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(s;a,m). \end{split}$$

Moreover, we have

. . (.)

(27) 
$$\int_{0}^{a} t^{s-(\nu+r-1)} G_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(t,z,\omega) \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{(-1)^{\nu+r-1} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(1-s)}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} I_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\omega;a).$$

Actually, since the integral  $I_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega; a)$  converges absolutely and uniformly with respect to s, it defines an entire function in s. Further, by the Cauchy integral theorem, it does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ . Then, taking the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$  and using the relation  $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \pi/\sin(\pi s)$ , we have (27). Note that the integral on the path  $|t| = \varepsilon$  in  $I_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega; a)$  vanishes as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  since  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}r(r+2\nu+1) > \nu+r-1$ . Hence we obtain the desired formula (24). Since the last integral on the right hand side of (24) clearly defines an entire function, from Lemma 4.2, (24) provides a meromorphic continuation of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$  to the entire plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Further, since  $I_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega; a) = 0$  for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \nu+r}$  by the residue theorem, one can see from (24) that  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$  has simple poles at  $s = 1, 2, \ldots, \nu+r-1$  with residues

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=n} \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = -\left(\operatorname{Res}_{s=n} \Gamma(1-s)\right)_r A_{-(n-1)}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega}; q)$$

$$(28) \qquad \qquad = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} {}_r A_{-(n-1)}^{(\nu)}(z, \boldsymbol{\omega}; q) \qquad (1 \le n \le \nu + r - 1).$$

Hence, from Proposition 3.2, we have (25) for m = 0. Moreover, from Lemma 4.2,  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$  has also simple poles at  $s = \nu + j - 1 - \ell + m_j \delta_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $m_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Retaining the notation in the statement (ii) above, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=n+m\delta} \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\omega) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(n+m\delta)} \times \sum_{\substack{j=\max\{n-\nu+1,1\}}}^{r} \sum_{\substack{m_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\\m_j \delta_j = m\delta}} \frac{(1-q)^{\nu+j-1}}{\omega_j \log q} \Big( \operatorname{Res}_{s=n+m_j \delta_j} \widetilde{\varphi}_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(s;a,m_j) \Big).$$

Therefore, by the formula (23), we have (25) for  $m \neq 0$ . From (27) again, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(1-m,z,\boldsymbol{\omega}) &= \frac{(-1)^{\nu+r-1}\Gamma(m)}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} I_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(1-m,z,\boldsymbol{\omega};a) \\ &= -\frac{rB_m^{(\nu)}(z,\boldsymbol{\omega};q)}{m}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Q.E.D.

**Remark 4.4.** From (4), (18) and (28), we have

$$\lim_{q \uparrow 1} \operatorname{Res}_{s=n} \zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Res}_{s=n} \zeta_r(s, z, \boldsymbol{\omega}) & \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, r, \\ 0 & \text{for } n = r+1, \dots, \nu+r-1. \end{cases}$$

We finally give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose  $0 < a < b(\omega)$ . Compare the integral expression (24) with (1). Then, from Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to show that  $\lim_{q\uparrow 1} \widetilde{\varphi}_{q,r,j}^{(\nu)}(s;a,m_j) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r$  and  $m_j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Indeed, using the mean-value theorem, one can show the formula by the same way as the proof of (21) (more precisely, see Corollary 3.8 in [9]). Hence we obtain the desired claim. Q.E.D.

**Remark 4.5.** Using the binomial theorem, we obtain the following series expression of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$  (see [10], also [3, 4]):

(29) 
$$\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s,z,\omega) = (1-q)^s \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} {\binom{s+\ell-1}{\ell}} \frac{q^{z(s-\nu-r+1+\ell)}}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^r \left(1-q^{\omega_j(s-\nu-j+1+\ell)}\right)}$$

This also gives a meromorphic continuation of  $\zeta_{q,r}^{(\nu)}(s, z, \omega)$  to the whole plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . One can obtain the same facts (25) and (26) from the expression (29), however, it seems to be difficult to show Theorem 1.1.

#### References

- E. Barnes, On the theory of the multiple gamma functions, Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 19 (1904), 374–425.
- [2] D. M. Bradley, Multiple q-zeta values, J. Algebra, **283** (2005), 752–798.
- [3] M. Kaneko, N. Kurokawa and M. Wakayama, A variation of Euler's approach to values of the Riemann zeta function, Kyushu J. Math., 57 (2003), 175–192.
- [4] K. Kawagoe, M. Wakayama and Y. Yamasaki, q-Analogues of the Riemann zeta, the Dirichlet L-functions, and a crystal zeta function, to appear in Forum Math.
- [5] K. Ota, On Kummer-type congruence for derivatives of Barnes' multiple Bernoulli polynomials, J. Number Theory, 92 (2002), 1–36.
- [6] H. Tsumura, A note on q-analogues of Dirichlet series, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 75 (1999), 23–25.
- [7] H. Tsumura, On modification of the q-L-series and its applications, Nagoya Math. J., 164 (2001), 185–197.
- [8] K. Ueno and M. Nishizawa, Quantum groups and zeta-functions, Proceedings of the 30-th Karpatz Winter School Quantum Groups: Formalism and Applications, 1995, 115–126 (Polish Scientific Publishers PWN).
- [9] M. Wakayama and Y. Yamasaki, Integral representations of q-analogues of the Hurwitz zeta function, Monatsh. Math., 149 (2006), 141–154.
- [10] Y. Yamasaki, On q-analogues of the Barnes multiple zeta functions, Tokyo J. Math., 29 (2006), 413–427.
- [11] J. Zhao, Multiple q-zeta functions and multiple q-polylogarithms, Ramanujan J., 14 (2007), 189–221.

Yoshinori Yamasaki Graduate School of Mathematics Kyushu University Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812–8581 Japan E-mail address: yamasaki@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp