Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 23, 1994 Spectral and Scattering Theory and Applications pp. 57–67

# Stationary Phase Method with Estimate of Remainder Term over a Space of Large Dimension

# Daisuke Fujiwara

## Abstract.

Let  $r_d(\nu)$  denote the remainder term of the stationary phase method over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then an estimate of  $\nu^{d/2+1}r_d(\nu)$ , as  $d \to \infty$ , is given under certain assumptions, which are tolerable for application to Feynman path integrals.

# $\S1.$ Stationary phase method

Stationary phase method is a method to evaluate asymptotically, as  $\nu \to \infty$ , oscillatory integrals over  $R^d$  of the following form:

$$I(S, a, \nu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\nu S(x)} a(x) dx,$$

where S(x) is a real valued  $C^{\infty}$  function called the phase function, a(x) is a  $C^{\infty}$  function called the amplitude and  $\nu$  is a large positive parameter. In the simplest case that  $a(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and that S(x) has only one critical point  $x^*$ , where Hess  $S(x^*)$  is non-degenerate, it gives

$$I(S, a, \nu) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{i\nu}\right)^{d/2} \left[\det\{\operatorname{Hess} S(x^*)\}\right]^{-1/2} (e^{-i\nu S(x^*)}a(x^*) + r_d(\nu))$$

and an estimate of the remainder term

$$r_d(\nu) = O(\nu^{-d/2-1}).$$

If support of a(x) is not compact, we have to require some additional assumption that control the behaviour of a(x) at the infinity. For instance (cf. [1]), the same conclusion holds if we assume the following

Received December 28, 1992.

#### D. Fujiwara

**Hypothesis** (H.0). (i)  $\sup_x |\partial_x^{\alpha} S(x)| < \infty$  for any multi-index  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 2$ . (ii) There exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\det \operatorname{Hess} S(x)| \ge \delta$ . (iii) For any multi-index  $\alpha$ ,  $\sup_x |\partial_x^{\alpha} a(x)| < \infty$ .

Since the stationary phase method is closely related to the mathematical theory of Feynman path integrals (cf. [3], [4], [5] and [6]), we wish to investigate the following

**Question.** Can one control  $\nu^{d/2+1}r_d(\nu)$  as  $d \to \infty$ ?

We give a positive answer to this question. Detailed discussions can be found in [2]. Applications are discussed in [4], [5] and [6].

# $\S 2.$ Statement of results

We shall treat the following oscillatory integral over L - 1 dimensional space:

$$I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0)$$
  
=  $\prod_{j=1}^{L} \left(\frac{\nu i}{2\pi t_j}\right)^{1/2} \int_{R^{L-1}} e^{-i\nu S(x_L, \dots, x_0)} a(x_L, \dots, x_0) \prod_{j=1}^{L-1} dx_j,$ 

with large positive parameter  $\nu$  and small positive parameters  $\{t_j\}$ . Our hypothesis for the phase function is

**Hypothesis** (H.1).  $S(x_L, \ldots, x_0)$  is of the form

$$S(x_L,...,x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^L S_j(t_j,x_j,x_{j-1}),$$

where

$$S_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}) = \frac{|x_j - x_{j-1}|^2}{2t_j} + t_j \omega_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1}).$$

For any  $m \geq 2$  there exists a positive constant  $\kappa_m$  such that

$$\sup_{x_j,x_{j-1}} \mid \partial_{x_j}^{\alpha} \partial_{x_{j-1}}^{\beta} \omega_j(t_j,x_j,x_{j-1}) \mid \leq \kappa_m$$

if  $2 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m$ .

We will give two examples of phase functions satisfying hypothesis (H.1).

Example 1. Let  $L(\xi, x) = \frac{1}{2}\xi^2 - V(x)$ ,  $(\xi, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , be a Lagrangian with a potential V(x). Assume that the potential V(x) is a real-valued  $C^{\infty}$ -function satisfying estimates:

$$\sup_x \mid V^{(k)}(x) \mid < \infty \quad ext{ for any } k \geq 2.$$

Then for a small T > 0, there exists a unique classical orbit  $\gamma^{cl}(t)$  such that  $\gamma^{cl}(0) = y, \gamma^{cl}(T) = x$ . Let

$$S^{cl}(T,x,y) = \int_0^T L(\dot{\gamma}^{cl}(t),\gamma^{cl}(t))dt$$

be the classical action. Then  $S^{cl}(T, x, y)$  is of the form

$$S^{cl}(T, x, y) = \frac{|x - y|^2}{2T} + T\phi^{cl}(T, x, y)$$

and for any  $m \ge 2$  there exists a constant  $C_m$  such that

$$\sup_{x} \mid \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\beta} \phi^{cl}(T, x, y) \mid \leq C_{m}$$

if  $2 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m$ . Therefore,  $S(x_L, \ldots, x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^L S(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1})$  satisfies the hypothesis (H.1).

**Example 2.** Let  $L(\xi, x)$  be the same lagrangian. Let  $\gamma^{ln}(t)$  be the straight line connecting (0, y) and (T, x) in the time-space, i.e.,

$$\gamma^{ln}(t) = \frac{t}{T}x + \frac{T-t}{T}y.$$

Let

$$S^{ln}(T,x,y) = \int_0^T L(\dot{\gamma}^{ln}(t),\gamma^{ln}(t))dt.$$

Then function  $S^{ln}(T, x, y)$  is of the form

$$S^{ln}(T, x, y) = \frac{|x - y|^2}{2T} + T\phi^{ln}(T, x, y)$$

and for any  $m \geq 2$  there exists a positive constant  $C_m$  such that

$$\sup_{x} \mid \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{y}^{\beta} \phi^{ln}(T, x, y) \mid \leq C_{m}$$

#### D. Fujiwara

if  $2 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq m$ . Therefore,  $S^{ln}(x_L, \ldots, x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^L S^{ln}(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1})$  satisfies the hypothesis (H.1).

Under hypothesis (H.1) the critical point of the function  $(x_{L-1}, \ldots, x_1) \rightarrow S(x_L, x_{L-1}, \ldots, x_1, x_0)$  is unique if  $T_L = \sum_{j=1}^{L} t_j$  is small. We denote it by  $(x_{L-1}^*, \ldots, x_1^*)$ . We abbreviate  $S(x_L, x_{L-1}^*, \ldots, x_1^*, x_0)$  as  $S(\overline{x_L, x_0})$ . We can write the Hessian of S at the critical point as H + W, where

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2} & -\frac{1}{t_2} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -\frac{1}{t_2} & \frac{1}{t_2} + \frac{1}{t_3} & -\frac{1}{t_3} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{t_3} & \frac{1}{t_3} + \frac{1}{t_4} & -\frac{1}{t_4} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \partial_{x_1}^2 \omega_1 + t_2 \partial_{x_1}^2 \omega_2 & t_2 \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \omega_2 & 0 & \dots \\ t_2 \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \omega_2 & t_2 \partial_{x_2}^2 \omega_2 + t_3 \partial_{x_2}^2 \omega_3 & t_3 \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_3} \omega_3 & \dots \\ 0 & t_3 \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_3} \omega_3 & t_3 \partial_{x_3}^2 \omega_3 + t_4 \partial_{x_3}^2 \omega_4 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

It is clear that

$$\det H = \frac{T_L}{t_1 t_2 \dots t_L} \neq 0.$$

We can state our first result.

**Theorem 1.** Under the hypothesis (H.1) there exists a positive constant  $\delta_1$  independent of L such that if  $T_L = t_1 + \ldots + t_L \leq \delta_1$  then

$$I(\{t_j\}, S, 1, \nu)(x_L, x_0) = \left(\frac{\nu i}{2\pi T_L}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S(\overline{x_L}, x_0)} \left[\det(I + H^{-1}W)\right]^{-1/2} \left(1 + r(\nu, x_L, x_0)\right),$$

where the remainder term  $r(\nu, x_L, x_0)$  satisfies the estimate: For any  $K \ge 0$  there exists positive constants  $C_K$  such that if  $|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_L| \le K$ 

$$\left|\partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0}\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L}r(\nu, x_L, x_0)\right| \le C_K T_L^3 \nu^{-1}.$$

*Remark.*  $\delta_1$  and  $C_K$  are independent of L as far as  $T_L$  is bounded. Therefore, we can control  $r(\nu, x_L, x_0)$  even when L tends to  $\infty$ .

In order to state the result for general integral with amplitude a(x), we require a little more preparations. Let  $1 \le k \le l \le L$ . Then the critical point of the function  $(x_{l-1}, \ldots, x_{k+1}) \rightarrow \sum_{j=k+1}^{l} S_j(t_j, x_j, x_{j-1})$ is unique if  $t_{k+1} + \ldots + t_l$  is small. Let  $(x_{l-1}^*, \ldots, x_{k+1}^*)$  denote the critical point, which is a function of  $x_l$  and  $x_k$ . We abbreviate  $a(x_L, \ldots, x_l, x_{l-1}^*, \ldots, x_{k+1}^*, x_k, \ldots, x_0)$  to  $a(x_L, \ldots, x_{l+1}, \overline{x_l, x_k}, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_0)$ .

Our hypothesis concerning the amplitude function is the following:

**Hypothesis** (H.2). For any integer  $K \ge 0$  there exists a positive constant  $A_K$  with the following properties: (i) If  $| \alpha_j | \le K$  for  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, L$ , then

$$|\prod_{j=0}^L \partial_{x_j}^{lpha_j} a(x_L,\ldots,x_0)| \le A_K.$$

(ii) For any sequence of positive integers  $\{j_1, \ldots, j_s\}$  satisfying

$$0 = j_0 < j_1 - 1 < j_1 < j_2 - 1 < \ldots < j_s - 1 < j_s < L$$

we have

$$|\partial_{x0}^{\alpha_0}\partial_{xL}^{\alpha_L}\prod_{k=1}^s \partial_{x_{j_k-1}}^{\alpha_{j_k-1}}\partial_{x_{j_k}}^{\alpha_{j_k}}a(\overline{x_L,x_{j_s}},\overline{x_{j_s-1}},\overline{x_{j_{s-1}}},\ldots,\overline{x_{j_1-1}},\overline{x_{j_0}})| \le A_K,$$

as far as  $|\alpha_j| \leq K$  for  $j = 0, j_1 - 1, j_1, \dots, j_s - 1, j_s, L$ .

Before stating our second theorem, we give an example of amplitude functions satisfying hypothesis (H.2).

**Example.** Let  $b_j(x_j, x_{j-1})$ , j = 1, ..., L, be functions bounded together with their derivatives of all order, i.e., for any positive integer K there exists  $C_K$  such that

$$\sup_{x} |\partial_{x_{j}}^{\alpha_{j}} \partial_{x_{j-1}}^{\alpha_{j-1}} b_{j}(x_{j}, x_{j-1})| \le C_{K} \qquad 0 \le \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j-1} \le K.$$

Then  $a(x_L, \ldots, x_0) = e^{(\sum_{j=1}^{L} t_j b_j(x_j, x_{j-1}))}$  satisfies hypothesis (H.2) above.

Now we can state our main

**Theorem 2.** Under the hypotheses (H.1) and (H.2) there exists a positive constant  $\delta_1$  such that if  $0 < T_L \leq \delta_1$ 

$$\begin{split} I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) \\ &= \left(\frac{\nu i}{2\pi T_L}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S(\overline{x_L}, x_0)} \left[\det(I + H^{-1}W)\right]^{-1/2} \\ &\times \left(a(\overline{x_L}, \overline{x_0}) + r(\nu, x_L, x_0)\right), \end{split}$$

### D. Fujiwara

where  $r(\nu, x_L, x_0)$  satisfies the estimate: For any  $K \ge 0$  there exists positive constants  $C_K$  and M(K) such that if  $|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_L| \le K$  we have

$$\left| \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} r(\nu, x_L, x_0) \right| \leq C_K T_L \nu^{-1} A_{M(K)}.$$

*Remark.*  $\delta_1$ ,  $C_K$  and M(K) are independent of L as far as  $T_L$  is bounded. Therefore, we can control  $r(\nu, x_L, x_0)$  even when L tends to  $\infty$ .

# $\S$ **3.** Sketch of the proof

We begin with our key lemma, which is valid under hypothesis (H.3) weaker than (H.2) and is interesting in its own sake.

**Hypothesis** (H.3). For any integer  $K \ge 0$  there exists a positive constant  $A_K$  such that if  $|\alpha_j| \le K$  for j = 0, 1, ..., L,

$$|\prod_{j=0}^L \partial_{x_j}^{lpha_j} a(x_L,\ldots,x_0)| \le A_K.$$

We can state

**Key Lemma.** Under the hypotheses (H.1) and (H.3) there exists a positive constant  $\delta_0$  such that if  $T_L \leq \delta_0$  we have

$$\begin{split} I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) \\ &= \left(\frac{\nu i}{2\pi T_L}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S(\overline{x_L, x_0})} \left[\det(I + H^{-1}W)\right]^{-1/2} b(\nu, x_L, x_0), \end{split}$$

where  $b(\nu, x_L, x_0)$  satisfies the estimate: For any  $K \ge 0$  there exists positive constants  $C_1(K)$  and M(K) such that if  $| \alpha_0 |, | \alpha_L | \le K$  we have

$$\left| \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} b(\nu, x_L, x_0) \right| \le C_1(K)^L A_{M(K)}.$$

*Remark.* C(K) and M(K) are independent of  $\{t_j\}, L, (x_L, x_0)$  and  $\nu$  as long as  $T_L \leq \delta_0$ .

Above Lemma can be proved by modifying the proof of Theorem 6.8 in Chapt. 10 of Kumano-go [7].

Omitting the proof of lemma we proceed to the proof of Theorem 2. To make notations simpler we denote  $\frac{\nu i}{2\pi}$  by E. With this notation we can write

$$I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) = \prod_{j=1}^{L} \left(\frac{E}{t_j}\right)^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{L-1}} e^{-i\nu S(x_L, \dots, x_0)} a(x_L, \dots, x_0) \prod_{j=1}^{L-1} dx_j.$$

We perform integration over  $x_1$ -space. Using stationary phase method, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2} \left(\frac{E}{t_{j}}\right)^{1/2} \int_{R} e^{-i\nu \{S_{2}(t_{2},x_{2},x_{1})+S_{1}(t_{1},x_{1},x_{0})\}} a(x_{L},\dots,x_{2},x_{1},x_{0}) dx_{1}$$
$$= \left(\frac{E}{T(2,1)}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{21}^{*}(x_{2},x_{0})} \left(P_{1}a(x_{L},\dots,x_{2},x_{0})+R_{1}a(x_{L},\dots,x_{2},x_{0})\right)$$

Here  $T(2, 1) = t_2 + t_1$ ,  $S_{21}^*(x_2, x_0)$  denotes the critical value of  $S_2(t_2, x_2, x_1) + S_1(t_1, x_1, x_0)$  with respect to the variable  $x_1$ ,  $P_1a$  is the main part and  $R_1a$  is the remainder term of the stationary phase method.

*Remark.* (A) Clearly, we have

$$P_1(a)(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0) = a(x_L, x_{L-1}, \dots, \overline{x_2, x_0}) D(S_1 + S_2; x_2, x_0)^{-1/2}$$

here

$$D(S_1+S_2;x_2,x_0) = 1 + \frac{t_1t_2}{t_1+t_2} \left( t_2 \partial_{x_1}^2 \omega_2(t_2,x_2,x_1^*) + t_1 \partial_{x_1}^2 \omega_1(t_1,x_1^*,x_0) \right).$$

(B) The remainder term  $R_1a$  is a very complicated function with respect to  $x_2$  but is simple with respect to the variable  $(x_L, \ldots, x_3, x_0)$ . In fact, we have  $\partial_{x_j}(R_1a) = R_1\partial_{x_j}a$  for j = 0 and  $3 \le j \le L$ . And  $R_1a$  is small in the following sense: For any integer  $K \ge 0$  there exists a constant  $C_K$  such that

$$\begin{split} &| \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} R_1 a(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_0) | \\ &\leq C_K \nu^{-1} \frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 + t_2} \max_{x_1} | \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} \partial_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\beta_2} \partial_{x_3}^{\alpha_3} \dots \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} a(x_L, \dots, x_2, x_1, x_0) | \,. \end{split}$$

Here max is taken with respect to  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  for  $\beta_1 \leq \alpha_2 + 4, \beta_2 \leq \alpha_2$ .

Next we integrate the term  $P_1a$  over  $x_2$ -space and apply the stationary phase method. We obtain

$$\left(\frac{E}{t_3}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E}{T(2,1)}\right)^{1/2} \\ \int_R e^{-i\nu \{S_3(t_3,x_3,x_2)+S_{21}^*(x_2,x_0)\}} P_1 a(x_L,\dots,x_2,x_0) dx_2 \\ = \left(\frac{E}{T(3,1)}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S_{31}^*(x_3,x_0)} \\ (P_2 P_1 a(x_L,\dots,x_3,x_0) + R_2 P_1 a(x_L,\dots,x_3,x_0)).$$

Here  $S_{31}^*(x_3, x_0)$  denotes the critical value of the function  $x_2 \to S_3(t_3, x_3, x_2) + S_{21}^*(x_2, x_0)$ ,  $P_2P_1a$  is the main term and  $R_2P_1a$  is the remainder. Since  $P_2P_1a$  is a simple function of  $x_3$ , we integrate it over  $x_3$ -space and apply the stationary phase method. The main term includes  $P_3P_2P_1a$  and the remainder includes  $R_3P_2P_1a$ .

Repeating this procedure L-1 times, we obtain

$$A_0(x_L, x_0) = \left(rac{E}{T(L, 1)}
ight)^{1/2} e^{-i
u S_{L1}^*(x_L, x_0)} P_{L-1} \dots P_1 a(x_L, x_0),$$

which is nothing but the main term of Theorem 2.

Now we must treat the remainder term. Since  $R_1a$  is a complicated function of  $x_2$ , we skip integration over  $x_2$  space and perform integration over  $x_3$ -space. Then we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{E}{t_4}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E}{t_3}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E}{T(2,1)}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\int_R e^{-i\nu \{S_4(t_4,x_4,x_3)+S_3(t_3,x_3,x_2)+S_{21}^*(x_2,x_0)\}} R_1 a(x_L,\dots,x_4,x_3,x_2,x_0) dx_3 \\ &= \left(\frac{E}{T(4,3)}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E}{T(2,1)}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu \{S_{43}^*(x_4,x_2)+S_{21}^*(x_2,x_0)\}} \\ &\quad (P_3 R_1 a(x_L,\dots,x_4,x_2,x_0)+R_3 R_1 a(x_L,\dots,x_4,x_2,x_0)) \,. \end{split}$$

Here  $S_{43}^*(x_4, x_2)$  denotes the critical value of the function  $x_3 \rightarrow S_4(t_4, x_4, x_3) + S_3(t_3, x_3, x_2)$ ,  $P_3R_1a$  denotes the main term and  $R_3R_1a$  is the remainder.  $P_3R_1a$  is a simple function of the variable  $x_4$  but  $R_3R_1a$  is not. We integrate  $P_3R_1a$  over  $x_4$ -space but we skip integration of  $R_3R_1a$  over  $x_4$ -space.

Similarly, we skip integration of  $R_2P_1a$  over  $x_3$ -space and integrate it over  $x_4$ -space. We obtain

$$\left(\frac{E}{t_5}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E}{t_4}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E}{T(3,1)}\right)^{1/2} \int_R e^{-i\nu \{S_5(t_5,x_5,x_4)+S_4(t_4,x_4,x_3)+S_{31}^*(x_3,x_0)} R_2 P_1 a(x_L,\dots,x_4,x_3,x_0) dx_4 = \left(\frac{E}{T(5,4)}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{E}{T(3,1)}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu \{S_{54}^*(x_5,x_3)+S_{31}^*(x_3,x_0)\}} (P_4 R_2 P_1 a(x_L,\dots,x_5,x_3,x_0) + R_4 R_2 P_1 a(x_L,\dots,x_5,x_3,x_0)).$$

We continue this process. The rule is that we apply the stationary phase method when we integrate over  $x_k$ -space and if  $R_k$  appears then we skip integration over  $x_{k+1}$ -space. We finally obtain the following expression:

$$I(\{t_j\}, S, a, \nu)(x_L, x_0) = A_0(x_L, x_0) + \sum^* A_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0),$$

where  $\sum^{*}$  denotes summation with respect to indices  $(j_s, \ldots, j_1)$  satisfying

$$1 < j_1 < j_2 - 1 < j_2 < j_3 - 1 < \ldots < j_s - 1 < j_s$$

and each term is an oscillatory integral

$$\begin{aligned} A_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s}(x_L, x_0) \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^s \left( \frac{E}{T(j_m, j_m - 1)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\int_{R^s} e^{-i\nu S_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)} b_{j_s \dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) \prod_{m=1}^s dx_{j_m}, \end{aligned}$$

whose phase function is

$$S_{j_s\dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)$$
  
=  $S^*_{Lj_s}(x_L, x_{j_s}) + S^*_{j_s j_{s-1}}(x_{j_s}, x_{j_{s-1}}) + \dots + S^*_{j_1 0}(x_{j_1}, x_0)$ 

and the amplitude is

$$b_{j_s\dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0) = Q_{L-1}Q_{L-2}\dots Q_1a(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0),$$

with

$$Q_{j} = \begin{cases} Id, & \text{for } j = j_{s}, j_{s-1}, \dots, j_{1}, \\ R_{j}, & \text{for } j = j_{s} - 1, j_{s-1} - 1, \dots, j_{1} - 1, \\ P_{j}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we can prove that  $b_{j_s...j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, ..., x_{j_1}, x_0)$  satisfies hypothesis (H.3).

**Proposition.** For any integer  $K \ge 0$  there exist positive constants  $C_2(K)$  and integer m(K) such that

$$|\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L}\partial_{x_{j_s}}^{\alpha_{j_s}}\dots\partial_{x_{j_1}}^{\alpha_{j_1}}\partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0}b_{j_s\dots j_1}(x_L, x_{j_s}, \dots, x_{j_1}, x_0)|$$
  
$$\leq C_2(K)^s A_{m(K)} \prod_{k=1}^s \nu^{-1} t_{j_k}.$$

Now we apply our key lemma to  $A_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)$  and use the proposition above. Then we obtain

$$A_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0) = \left(\frac{E}{T_{L,1)}}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\nu S(\overline{x_L, x_0})} a_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0),$$

where the function  $a_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)$  satisfies the following estimates: For any integer  $K \ge 0$  we have

$$|\partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} a_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0)| \le C_1(K)^s C_2(M(K))^s A_{m(M(K))} \prod_{k=1}^{\circ} \nu^{-1} t_{j_k}.$$

This implies that the remainder term  $r(\nu, x_L, x_0)$  can be written as

$$r(\nu, x_L, x_0) = \sum^* a_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0).$$

If  $\alpha_0, \alpha_L \leq K$  we have

$$| \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} r(\nu, x_L, x_0) | \leq \sum^* | \partial_{x_L}^{\alpha_L} \partial_{x_0}^{\alpha_0} a_{j_s j_{s-1} \dots j_1}(x_L, x_0) | \\ \leq \sum^* C_3(K)^s A_{m(M(K))} \prod_{k=1}^s \nu^{-1} t_{j_k} \\ \leq A_{m(M(K))} \left( \prod_{j=1}^L (1 + C_3(K)\nu^{-1} t_j) - 1 \right)$$

66

where we abbreviated  $C_1(K)C_2(M(K))$  as  $C_3(K)$ . This proves Theorem 2.

Theorem 1 can be proved similarly.

More detailed discussions are given by [2].

## References

- [1] K. Asada and D. Fujiwara, On some oscillatory integral transformations in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , Japan J. Math., 4 (1978), 299–361.
- [2] D. Fujiwara, The stationary phase method with an estimate of the remainder term on a space of large dimension, Nagoya Math. J., 124 (1991), 61–97.
- [3] D. Fujiwara, Remarks on convergence of the Feynman path integrals, Duke Math. J., 47 (1980), 559-600.
- [4] D. Fujiwara, The Feynman path integrals as an improper integral over the Sobolev space, Proc. of Journées d'equations aux dérivés partielles, St. Jean de Monts 1990 Société Mathématiques de France.
- [5] D. Fujiwara, Some Feynman path integrals as oscillatory integrals over a Sobolev manifols, Preprint.
- [6] D. Fujiwara, Some Feynman path integrals as oscillatory integrals over a Sobolev manifolds, Proc. International conference on Functional Analysis in memory of Professor Kôsaku Yosida, Lecture Notes in Math., 1540, Springer (1993), 39–53.
- [7] H. Kumano-go, "Pseudo-differential operators", MIT press, Cambridge, Mass. U.S.A., 1982.

Department of Mathematics Tokyo Institute of Technology 2-12-1 Oh-okayama Meguroku, Tokyo 152 Japan

present address: Department of Mathematics Gakushuin University 1-5-1 Mejiro Toshimaku, Tokyo 171 Japan