

## ON HYPER GENERALIZED WEAKLY SYMMETRIC MANIFOLDS

KANAK K. BAISHYA, FÜSUN ZENGİN<sup>†</sup> and JOSEF MIKEŠ<sup>‡</sup>

*Department Of Mathematics, Kurseong College, Darjeeling-734203  
 West Bengal, India*

<sup>†</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Letters, Istanbul Technical  
 University, Istanbul, Turkey*

<sup>‡</sup>*Department of Algebra and Geometry, Faculty of Science, Palacky University  
 17 Listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic*

**Abstract.** This paper aims to introduce the notion of hyper generalized weakly symmetric manifolds with a non-trivial example.

*MSC:* 53C15, 53C25

*Keywords:* hyper generalized weakly symmetric manifolds

### 1. Introduction

The notion of weakly symmetric Riemannian manifold has been introduced by Tamáßy and Binh [23]. Thereafter, it becomes focus of interest for many geometers. For details, we refer to [6], [9], [10], [12], [17], [19–21], [2] and the references there in.

In the spirit of [23], a non flat Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)(n > 2)$ , is said to be weakly symmetric manifold, if its curvature tensor  $\bar{R}$  of type  $(0, 4)$  is not identically zero and satisfies the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \bar{R})(Y, U, V, W) = & A(X)\bar{R}(Y, U, V, W) \\ & + B(Y)\bar{R}(X, U, V, W) + B(U)\bar{R}(Y, X, V, W) \quad (1) \\ & + D(V)\bar{R}(Y, U, X, W) + D(W)\bar{R}(Y, U, V, X) \end{aligned}$$

where  $A, B$  &  $D$  are non-zero one-forms defined by  $A(X) = g(X, \sigma_1)$ ,  $B(X) = g(X, \pi_1)$  and  $D(X) = g(X, \partial_1)$ , for all  $X$  and  $\bar{R}(Y, U, V, W) = g(R(Y, U)V, W)$ ,

$\nabla$  being the operator of the covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor  $g$ . Such an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold is abbreviated hereafter by  $(WS)_n$ .

Keeping in tune with Dubey [7], recently the first author[1] introduced a new type of manifold called generalized weakly symmetric manifold which is abbreviated by  $(GWS)_n$  and defined as follows.

A non-flat  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$  ( $n > 2$ ), is termed as generalized weakly symmetric manifold, if its Riemannian curvature tensor  $\bar{R}$  of type (0; 4) is not identically zero and admits the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X \bar{R})(Y, U, V, W) &= A(X)\bar{R}(Y, U, V, W) + B(Y)\bar{R}(X, U, V, W) \\
 &\quad + B(U)X\bar{R}(Y, X, V, W) + D(V)\bar{R}(Y, U, X, W) \\
 &\quad + D(W)\bar{R}(Y, U, V, X) + \alpha(X)G(Y, U, V, W) \quad (2) \\
 &\quad + \beta(Y)G(X, U, V, W) + \beta(U)G(Y, X, V, W) \\
 &\quad + \gamma(V)G(Y, U, X, W) + \gamma(W)G(Y, U, V, X)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(Y, U, V, W) = [g(U, V)g(Y, W) - g(Y, V)g(U, W)] \quad (3)$$

and  $A, B, D, \alpha, \beta$  &  $\gamma$  are non-zero one-forms which are defined as  $A(X) = g(X, \theta_1), B(X) = g(X, \phi_1), D(X) = g(X, \pi_1), \alpha(X) = g(X, \theta_2), \beta(X) = g(X, \phi_2)$  and  $\gamma(X) = g(X, \pi_2)$ .

Keeping in tune with Shaikh and Patra [22], we shall call a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , hyper generalized weakly symmetric (which will be abbreviated hereafter as  $H(GWS)_n$ ) if it admits the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X \bar{R})(Y, U, V, W) &= A(X)\bar{R}(Y, U, V, W) + B(Y)\bar{R}(X, U, V, W) \\
 &\quad + B(U)\bar{R}(Y, X, V, W) + D(V)\bar{R}(Y, U, X, W) \\
 &\quad + D(W)\bar{R}(Y, U, V, X) + \alpha(X)(g \wedge S)(Y, U, V, W) \quad (4) \\
 &\quad + \beta(Y)(g \wedge S)(X, U, V, W) + \beta(U)(g \wedge S)(Y, X, V, W) \\
 &\quad + \gamma(V)(g \wedge S)(Y, U, X, W) + \gamma(W)(g \wedge S)(Y, U, V, X)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (g \wedge S)(Y, U, V, W) &= g(Y, W)S(U, V) + g(U, V)S(Y, W) \\
 &\quad - g(Y, V)S(U, W) - g(U, W)S(Y, V) \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $A, B, D, \alpha, \beta$  &  $\gamma$  are non-zero one-forms which are defined as  $A(X) = g(X, \theta_1), B(X) = g(X, \phi_1), D(X) = g(X, \pi_1), \alpha(X) = g(X, \theta_2), \beta(X) = g(X, \phi_2)$  and  $\gamma(X) = g(X, \pi_2)$ . The beauty of such  $H(GWS)_n$ -manifold is that it has the flavour of

- i) locally symmetric space [3] (for  $A = B = D = \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ )

- ii) recurrent space [26] (for  $A \neq 0, B = D = \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ )
- iii) hyper recurrent space [22] ( $A \neq 0, \alpha \neq 0$  and  $B = D = \beta = \gamma = 0$ )
- iv) pseudo symmetric space [4] (for  $A = B = D = \delta \neq 0$  and  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ )
- v) semi-pseudo symmetric space [25] (for  $B = D$  and  $A = \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ )
- vi) hyper semi-pseudo symmetric space (for  $A = 0 = \alpha, B = D \neq 0$  and  $\beta = \gamma \neq 0$ )
- vii) hyper pseudo symmetric space (for  $A = B = D = \alpha = \beta = \gamma \neq 0$ )
- viii) almost pseudo symmetric space [5] (for  $A = B + H, H = B = D \neq 0$  and  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ )
- ix) almost hyper pseudo symmetric space (for  $A = B + H, H = B = D \neq 0, \alpha = \lambda, \beta = \gamma = \mu \neq 0$ ) and
- x) weakly symmetric space[23] (for  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ ).

Our work is structured as follows. Section 2 is concerned with some results on  $H(GWS)_n$ . Among others it is proved that every weakly conharmonically symmetric space which is Ricci symmetric is necessarily a  $H(GWS)_n$ . In Section 3, we have investigated conformally flat  $H(GWS)_n$  and obtained some interesting results. Finally, the existence of  $H(GWS)_4$  is ensured by a non-trivial example.

More general types of recurrency can be found in [8, 13–16].

## 2. Some Results on $(HGWS)_n$

In this section, we consider a Riemann manifold  $(M^n, g)$   $n > 2$  which is hyper generalized weakly symmetric. Now, making use of (5) in (4) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X \bar{R})(Y, U, V, W) &= A(X)\bar{R}(Y, U, V, W) + B(Y)\bar{R}(X, U, V, W) \\
 &+ B(U)\bar{R}(Y, X, V, W) + D(V)\bar{R}(Y, U, X, W) \\
 &+ D(W)\bar{R}(Y, U, V, X) + \alpha(X)[g(Y, W)S(U, V) + g(U, V)S(Y, W) \\
 &- g(Y, V)S(U, W) - g(U, W)S(Y, V)] + \beta(Y)[g(X, W)S(U, V) \\
 &+ g(U, V)S(X, W) - g(X, V)S(U, W) - g(U, W)S(X, V)] \quad (6) \\
 &+ \beta(U)[g(Y, W)S(X, V) + g(X, V)S(Y, W) - g(Y, V)S(X, W) \\
 &- g(X, W)S(Y, V)] + \gamma(V)[g(Y, W)S(U, X) + g(U, X)S(Y, W) \\
 &- g(Y, X)S(U, W) - g(U, W)S(Y, X)] + \gamma(W)[g(Y, X)S(U, V) \\
 &+ g(U, V)S(Y, X) - g(Y, V)S(U, X) - g(U, X)S(Y, V)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that for an Einstein space,  $H(GWS)_n$  reduces to  $(GWS)_n$ . This leads to the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Every  $H(GWS)_n$  is  $(GWS)_n$  provided that the space is an Einstein.*

Next, contracting (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X S)(U, V) &= A(X)S(U, V) + B(U)S(X, V) + D(V)S(U, X) + B(R(X, U)V \\
 &\quad + D(R(X, V)U) + \alpha(X)[(n - 2)S(U, V) + rg(U, V)] \\
 &\quad + \beta(U) [(n - 2)S(X, V) + rg(X, V)] + \gamma(V) [(n - 2)S(U, X) \\
 &\quad + rg(U, X)] + \beta(X)S(U, V) + \tilde{\beta}(X)g(U, V) - \tilde{\beta}(U)g(X, V) \\
 &\quad - \beta(U)S(X, V) + \gamma(X)S(U, V) + \tilde{\gamma}(X)g(U, V)S(Y, \\
 &\quad - \gamma(V)S(U, X) - \tilde{\gamma}(V)g(U, X)
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

which yields after further contraction

$$\begin{aligned}
 dr(X) = A(X)r + 2\bar{B}(X) + 2\bar{D}(X) + 2(n - 1)r\alpha(X) \\
 + 2r[\beta(X) + \gamma(X)] + 2(n - 2)[\tilde{\gamma}(X) + \tilde{\beta}(X)] \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{B}(X) = S(X, \phi_1)$ ,  $\bar{D}(X) = S(X, \pi_1)$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}(X) = S(X, \phi_2)$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}(X) = S(X, \pi_2)$  for all  $X$ .

Next, if we suppose that the scalar curvature of a  $H(GWS)_n$  is non-zero constant, then (8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 r[A(X) + 2(n - 1)\alpha(X) + 2\beta(X) + 2\gamma(X)] \\
 = -2[\bar{B}(X) + \bar{D}(X)] - 2(n - 2)[\tilde{\gamma}(X) + \tilde{\beta}(X)]. \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(M^n, g)(n > 2)$  be a Riemannian manifold with non-zero constant scalar curvature. Then the one-forms are related by the relation (9).*

**Claim 3.** There does not exist a hyper recurrent Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)(n > 2)$  whose clear curvature is non-zero constant and the one-forms are co-linear.

In analogous to the definition of  $(WS)_n$ , we can define the following

**Definition 4.** *A non flat Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)(n > 2)$ , is said to be weakly conharmonically symmetric manifold, if its nonharmonic curvature tensor*

$$\bar{K} = \bar{R} - \frac{1}{n - 2}(g \wedge S)(Y, U, V, W) \tag{10}$$

of type (0, 4) is not identically zero and satisfies the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X \bar{K})(Y, U, V, W) = A(X)\bar{K}(Y, U, V, W) \\
 + B(Y)\bar{K}(X, U, V, W) + B(U)\bar{K}(Y, X, V, W) \\
 + D(V)\bar{K}(Y, U, X, W) + D(W)\bar{K}(Y, U, V, X)
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $D$  are non-zero one-forms defined by the formulas  $A(X) = g(X, \sigma_1)$ ,  $B(X) = g(X, \pi_1)$  and  $D(X) = g(X, \partial_1)$ , for all  $X$  and  $\bar{K}(Y, U, V, W) = g(K(Y, U)V, W)$ .

From the above definition, it is follows that

**Theorem 5.** *A weakly conharmonically symmetric space which is Ricci symmetry is necessarily a  $H(GWS)_n$ .*

However, the converse of the above Theorem may not be true.

### 3. Conformally Flat $H(GWS)_n$

In this section, we shall study conformally flat  $H(GWS)_n$ . Next, in a  $H(GWS)_n$  the relation (7) holds which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij,l} = & A_l S_{ij} + B^h \bar{R}_{lijh} + B_i S_{lj} + D_j S_{il} + D^h \bar{R}_{hijl} + \alpha_l \{(n-2)S_{ij} + g_{ij}r\} \\ & + \beta_l S_{ij} + \beta_i \{(n-3)S_{lj} + g_{lj}r\} + \beta^h (g_{ij}S_{lh} - g_{lj}S_{ih}) \\ & + \gamma_j \{(n-3)S_{il} + r g_{il}\} + \gamma_l S_{ij} + \gamma^h (g_{ij}S_{hl} - g_{il}S_{hj}). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let us assume that our manifold is conformally flat. Thus we have

$$S_{ij,l} - S_{il,j} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}(g_{ij}r_{,l} - g_{il}r_{,j}). \quad (13)$$

Multiplying (12) by  $g^{ij}$ , we find

$$r_{,l} = \{A_l + 2(n-1)\alpha_l + 2\beta_l + 2\gamma_l\}r + 2S_{lh}\{B^h + D^h + (n-2)\beta^h + (n-2)\gamma^h\}. \quad (14)$$

Comparing (12) with the equations (13) and (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & A_l S_{ij} + B^h \bar{R}_{lijh} + D_j S_{il} + D^h \bar{R}_{hijl} + \alpha_l \{(n-2)S_{ij} + g_{ij}r\} + \beta_l S_{ij} \\ & + g_{ij}\beta^h S_{lh} + \gamma_l S_{ij} + \gamma_j \{(n-3)S_{il} + g_{ij}r\} + \gamma^h (g_{ij}S_{hl} - g_{il}S_{hj}) \\ & - A_j S_{il} - B^h \bar{R}_{jilh} - D_l S_{ij} - D^h \bar{R}_{hilj} - \alpha_j \{(n-2)S_{il} + g_{il}r\} \\ & - \beta_j S_{il} - g_{il}\beta^h S_{jh} - \gamma_j S_{il} - \gamma_l \{(n-3)S_{ij} + g_{ij}r\} \\ & - \gamma^h (g_{il}S_{hj} - g_{ij}S_{hl}) \\ & = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} [g_{ij}\{A_l + 2(n-1)\alpha_l + 2\beta_l + 2\gamma_l\}r + 2g_{ij}S_{lh}\{B^h + D^h \\ & + (n-2)\beta^h + (n-2)\gamma^h\} - g_{il}\{A_j + 2(n-1)\alpha_j + 2\beta_j + 2\gamma_j\}r \\ & - 2g_{il}S_{jh}\{B^h + D^h + (n-2)\beta^h + (n-2)\gamma^h\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Multiplying (15) by  $g^{ij}$ , it can found that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}A_l - D_l + (n - 2)\alpha_l - 2(n - 2)\gamma_l \right\} r \\ = \{A^h - 2D^h + (n - 2)\alpha^h - 2(n - 2)\gamma^h\} S_{lh}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}A_l r + D_l r + \{A_l - 2D_l + (n - 2)\alpha_l - 2(n - 2)\gamma_l\} r \\ = \{A^h - 2D^h + (n - 2)\alpha^h - 2(n - 2)\gamma^h\} S_{lh}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Assuming that  $\lambda_l = A_l - 2D_l + (n - 2)\alpha_l - 2(n - 2)\gamma_l$

$$\left[ rD_l - \frac{1}{2}A_l r \right] + \lambda_l r = \lambda_h S_{lh}. \quad (18)$$

If  $r$  is an eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor  $S$  corresponding to the eigenvector  $\rho$  we have( $r \neq 0$ )

$$g(X, \rho) = A(X) - 2D(X) + (n - 2)\alpha(X) - 2(n - 2)\gamma(X)$$

then we get

$$D_l = 2A_l. \quad (19)$$

In this case, by putting(19) in (17), it can be easily seen that (since  $n > 3$ )

$$(\alpha_l - 2\gamma_l)r = (\alpha_h - 2\gamma_h)S_{lh} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\lambda_l = (n - 2)(\alpha_l - 2\gamma_l). \quad (21)$$

Hence, we have the following theorem

**Theorem 6.** *If a hyper generalized weakly symmetric manifold is also conformally flat then  $r$  is an eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor  $S$  corresponding to the eigenvector  $\rho$ , where*

$$g(X, \rho) = \alpha(X) - 2\gamma(X) = \lambda(X).$$

Now, rearranging the equation (16)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ A_l - 2D_l + (n - 2)\alpha_l - 2(n - 2)\gamma_l \right\} \frac{r}{2} + [(n - 2)\alpha_l - 2(n - 2)\gamma_l] \frac{r}{2} \\ = \{A^h - 2D^h + (n - 2)\alpha^h - 2(n - 2)\gamma^h\} S_{lh}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

By taking  $\lambda_l = A_l - 2D_l + (n - 2)\alpha_l - 2(n - 2)\gamma_l$

$$\lambda_l \frac{r}{2} + (n - 2)[\alpha_l - 2\gamma_l] \frac{r}{2} = \lambda^h S_{lh}. \quad (23)$$

If  $\frac{r}{2}$  is an eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor  $S$  corresponding to the eigenvector  $\mu$  we have ( $r \neq 0$ )

$$g(X, \mu) = A(X) - 2D(X) + (n - 2)\alpha(X) - 2(n - 2)\gamma(X) = \lambda(X)$$

then we get

$$\alpha_l = 2\gamma_l. \quad (24)$$

In this case, by putting (24) in (23), we find

$$(A_l - 2D_l)\frac{r}{2} = (A^h - 2D^h)S_{lh}, \quad \lambda_l = A_l - 2D_l. \quad (25)$$

**Theorem 7.** *If a hyper generalized weakly symmetric manifold is also conformally flat then  $\frac{r}{2}$  is an eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor  $S$  corresponding to the eigenvector  $\mu$ , where*

$$g(X, \mu) = A(X) - 2D(X) = \lambda(X).$$

#### 4. Existence of Hyper Generalized Weakly Symmetric Space

**Example 8.** *Consider a four-dimensional space  $(M^4, g)$  with the metric  $g$  defined by*

$$ds^2 = (dx^2)^2 + 2e^{x^2} [dx^1 dx^2 + dx^3 dx^4] \quad (26)$$

where  $x^2 > 0$ . From the above one can calculate and list the non-vanishing components of Christoffel symbols, as well as of  $\bar{R}_{hijk}$ ,  $S_{ij}$ ,  $C_{hijk}$  and  $\nabla_m \bar{R}_{hijk}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{22}^1 &= -e^{-x^2}, & \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{22}^2 &= \Gamma_{23}^3 = \Gamma_{24}^4 = -\Gamma_{34}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \\ R_{2324} &= \frac{1}{4}e^{x^2}, & S_{22} &= -\frac{1}{2} \\ R_{2324,2} &= -\frac{1}{2}e^{x^2}, & S_{22,2} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of the relation, we can easily bring out

$$\begin{aligned} (g \wedge S)(Y, U, V, W) &= g(Y, W)S(U, V) + g(U, V)S(Y, W) \\ &\quad - g(Y, V)S(U, W) - g(U, W)S(Y, V) \\ (g \wedge S)_{2324} &= S_{23}g_{24} + g_{23}S_{24} - S_{22}g_{34} - S_{34}g_{22} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{x^2} \\ (g \wedge S)_{2224} &= S_{22}g_{24} + g_{22}S_{24} - S_{22}g_{24} - S_{24}g_{22} = 0 \\ (g \wedge S)_{2424} &= S_{24}g_{24} + g_{24}S_{24} - S_{22}g_{44} - S_{44}g_{22} = 0 \\ (g \wedge S)_{2322} &= S_{23}g_{22} + g_{23}S_{22} - S_{22}g_{32} - S_{32}g_{22} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

For the following choice of the one-forms

$$\begin{aligned}
A_i &= -2, \text{ for } i = 2 & \alpha_i &= \frac{1}{4}e^{x^2}, \text{ for } i = 2 \\
&= 0, \text{ otherwise} & &= 0, \text{ otherwise} \\
B_i &= -\frac{1}{3}e^{x^2}, \text{ for } i = 2 & \beta_i &= \frac{1}{4}e^{x^2}, \text{ for } i = 2 \\
&= 0, \text{ otherwise} & &= 0, \text{ otherwise} \\
D_i &= \frac{1}{3}e^{x^2}, \text{ for } i = 2 & \gamma_i &= -\frac{1}{2}e^{x^2}, \text{ for } i = 2 \\
&= 0, \text{ otherwise} & &= 0, \text{ otherwise}
\end{aligned}$$

one can easily conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{2324,k} &= A_k R_{2324} + B_2 R_{k324} + B_3 R_{2k24} + D_2 R_{23k4} + D_4 R_{232k} \\
&\quad + \alpha_k (g \wedge S)_{2324} + \beta_2 (g \wedge S)_{k324} + \beta_3 (g \wedge S)_{2k24} \\
&\quad + \gamma_2 (g \wedge S)_{23k4} + \gamma_4 (g \wedge S)_{232k}
\end{aligned}$$

where,  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . As a consequence of the above one can state

**Theorem 9.** *There exists a  $(\mathbb{R}^4, g)$  which is a hyper generalized weakly symmetric space with non-zero and non-constant scalar curvature for the above mentioned choice of the  $i$ -forms.*

## Acknowledgement

We have made all the calculations in Wolfram mathematica. The first author gratefully acknowledges the financial support of UGC, ERO-Kolkata, India, file # PSW-194/15-16. J. Mikeš gratefully acknowledges the project IGA PrF 2017012 Palacky University Olomouc.

## References

- [1] Baishya K., *On Generalized Weakly Symmetric Manifolds*, Bull. Transilvania Univ. Brasov, Ser. III: Math. Inf. Phys. **10** (2017) 31–38.
- [2] Baishya K., Chowdhury P., Mikeš. J. and Peška P., *On Almost Generalized Weakly Symmetric Kenmotsu Manifolds*, Acta Math. Univ. Palacki. **55** (2016) 5–15.
- [3] Cartan E., *Sur une Classes Remarquable d’Espaces de Riemannian*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **54** (1926) 214–264.
- [4] Chaki M., *On Pseudo Symmetric Manifolds*, Analele Stiint. Univ. “Al I. Cuza” Iasi **33** (1987) 53–58.
- [5] Chaki M. and Kawaguchi T., *On Almost Pseudo Ricci Symmetric Manifolds*, Tensor **68** (2007) 10–14.
- [6] De U. and Bandyopadhyay S., *On Weakly Symmetric Spaces*, Acta Math. Hungarica **83** (2000) 205–212.



- [7] Dubey R., *Generalized Recurrent Spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1979) 1508–1513.
- [8] Hinterleitner I. and Mikeš J., *Geodesic Mappings onto Weyl Manifolds*, Int. Conf. Proc. 2009, pp 423–430.
- [9] Hui S., Shaikh A. and Roy I., *On Totally Umbilical Hypersurfaces of Weakly Conharmonically Symmetric Spaces*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. **10** (2010) 28–31.
- [10] Jaiswal J. and Ojha R., *On Weakly Pseudo-Projectively Symmetric Manifolds*, Diff. Geom. Dynamical Syst. **12** (2010) 83–94.
- [11] Jana S. and Shaikh A., *On Quasi-Conformally Flat Weakly Ricci Symmetric Manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungarica **115** (2007) 197–214.
- [12] Malek F. and Samawaki M., *On Weakly Symmetric Riemannian Manifolds*, Diff. Geom. Dynam. Syst. **10** (2008) 215–220.
- [13] Mikeš J., *On Geodesic Mappings of 2-Ricci Symmetric Riemannian Spaces*, Math. Notes **28** (1980) 622–624.
- [14] Mikeš J., *Projective-Symmetric and Projective-Recurrent Affine Connection Spaces*, Tr. Geom. Semin. **13** (1981) 61–62.
- [15] Mikeš J., Vanžurová A., Hinterleitner I., *Geodesic Mappings and Some Generalizations*, Palacky Univ. Press, Olomouc 2009.
- [16] Mikeš J. et al, *Differential Geometry of Special Mappings*, Palacky Univ. Press, Olomouc 2015.
- [17] Özen F. and Altay S., *On Weakly and Pseudo Symmetric Riemannian Spaces*, Indian J. Pure Applied Math. **33** (2001) 1477–1488.
- [18] Özen F. and Altay S., *On Weakly and Pseudo Concircular Symmetric Structures on a Riemannian Manifold*, Acta Univ. Palack. Olomuc. Math. **47** (2008) 129–138.
- [19] Prvanović M., *On Weakly Symmetric Riemannian Manifolds*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **46** (1995) 19–25.
- [20] Prvanović M., *On Totally Umbilical Submanifolds Immersed in a Weakly Symmetric Riemannian Manifolds*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **6** (1998) 54–64.
- [21] Shaikh A. and Baishya K., *On Weakly Quasi-Conformally Symmetric Manifolds*, Soochow J. Math. **31** (2005) 581–595.
- [22] Shaikh A. and Patra A., *On Generalized Class of Recurrent Manifolds*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **46** (2010) 39–46.
- [23] Tamássy L. and Binh T., *On Weakly Symmetric and Weakly Projective Symmetric Riemannian Manifolds*, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai **56** (1989) 663–670.
- [24] Tamássy L. and Binh T., *On Weak Symmetric of Einstein and Sasakian Manifolds*, Tensor (N.S.) **53** (1993) 140–148.
- [25] Tarafdar M. and Jawarneh M., *Semi-Pseudo Ricci Symmetric Manifold*, J. Indian. Inst. Sci. **73** (1993) 591–596.
- [26] Walker, A., *On Ruse's Space of Recurrent Curvature*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **52** (1950) 36–54.