

Chapter 3

Complex random variable

$$\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right) \text{ on } (\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{B}}, \mathbf{P})$$

In this chapter, we define the complex random variable in the heading above, whose distribution is the limit distribution in the Bohr-Jessen limit theorem.

3.1 Complex random variables $e(\lambda)$

Definition 3.1 For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} e(\lambda) : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{B}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \Downarrow & \\ (x_f)_{f \in \mathbb{B}} &\mapsto x_{\cos \lambda \cdot} + \sqrt{-1}x_{\sin \lambda \cdot}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\cos \lambda \cdot$ and $\sin \lambda \cdot$ denote almost periodic functions $t \mapsto \cos \lambda t$ and $t \mapsto \sin \lambda t$, respectively.

Note that $e(\lambda) = \pi_{\cos \lambda \cdot} + \sqrt{-1}\pi_{\sin \lambda \cdot}$.

Claim 3.1 For $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ bounded Borel measurable,

$$E^{\mathbf{P}}[f(e(\lambda))] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{\sqrt{-1}t}) dt.$$

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps:

1° For $f \in C_b(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{C})$, i.e., bounded continuous function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathbf{P}}[f(e(\lambda))] &= E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[f\left(\pi_{\cos \lambda \cdot} + \sqrt{-1}\pi_{\sin \lambda \cdot}\right)\right] \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(a + \sqrt{-1}b) \mathbf{P} \circ \pi_{(\cos \lambda \cdot, \sin \lambda \cdot)}^{-1}(dadb) \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T f(\cos \lambda t + \sqrt{-1} \sin \lambda t) dt \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T f(e^{\sqrt{-1}\lambda t}) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T f(e^{\sqrt{-1}|\lambda|t}) dt \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2|\lambda|T} \int_{-|\lambda|T}^{|\lambda|T} f(e^{\sqrt{-1}\tau}) d\tau \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T f(e^{\sqrt{-1}t}) dt \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \left(\int_{-|T|}^{|T|} f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt + \int_{-T}^{-|T|} f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{|T|}^T f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt \right) \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \left(\sum_{n=-|T|}^{|T|-1} \int_n^{n+1} f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt + \int_{-T}^{-|T|} f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{|T|}^T f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt \right) \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \left(\sum_{n=-|T|}^{|T|-1} \int_0^1 f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi(t+n)}) dt + \int_{-T}^{-|T|} f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{|T|}^T f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt \right) \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{|T|}{T} \int_0^1 f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt + \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{-|T|} f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2T} \int_{|T|}^T f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt \right) \\
&= \int_0^1 f(e^{\sqrt{-1}2\pi t}) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{\sqrt{-1}t}) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

$\underline{2}^\circ$ Let $U(dt)$ be a uniform distribution on $[0, 2\pi)$, i.e., $U(dt) = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$ ($t \in [0, 2\pi)$), and $R(t) = (\cos t, \sin t)$. Then $\mathbf{P} \circ \pi_{(\cos \lambda \cdot, \sin \lambda \cdot)}^{-1} = U \circ R^{-1}$.

\odot For $\forall f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$, put $\tilde{f} \in C_b(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{R})$ as $\tilde{f}(z) := f(\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Im} z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. By 1° ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(a, b) \mathbf{P} \circ \pi_{(\cos \lambda \cdot, \sin \lambda \cdot)}^{-1}(dad b) &= E^{\mathbf{P}}[f(\pi_{\cos \lambda \cdot}, \pi_{\sin \lambda \cdot})] \\
&= E^{\mathbf{P}}[\tilde{f}(e(\lambda))] \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{f}(e^{\sqrt{-1}t}) dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\cos t, \sin t) dt \\
&= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(a, b) U \circ R^{-1}(dad b),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows the assertion of 2°.

3° For $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ bounded Borel measurable, we have by 2° that

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{\mathbf{P}}[f(e(\lambda))] &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(a + \sqrt{-1}b) \mathbf{P} \circ \pi_{(\cos \lambda, \sin \lambda)}^{-1}(dad b) \\
&= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(a + \sqrt{-1}b) U \circ R^{-1}(dad b) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{\sqrt{-1}t}) dt. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.1 $|e(\lambda)| = 1$ \mathbf{P} -a.e.

Proof. Clearly $\mathbb{C} \ni z \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}}(|z|) \in \mathbb{R}$ is bounded Borel measurable. Then, by Claim 3.1,

$$\mathbf{P}(|e(\lambda)| \neq 1) = E^{\mathbf{P}}[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}}(|e(\lambda)|)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}}(|e^{\sqrt{-1}t}|) dt = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

Claim 3.2 If $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is AI, then a sequence $\{e(\lambda_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of complex random variables is i.i.d.

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps:

1° For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a_i, b_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$),

$$E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i \pi_{\cos \lambda_i} + b_i \pi_{\sin \lambda_i})^{n_i} \right] = \prod_{i=1}^r E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[(a_i \pi_{\cos \lambda_i} + b_i \pi_{\sin \lambda_i})^{n_i} \right].$$

⊙ First

L.H.S. (= the left-hand side)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2r}} \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i \alpha_i + b_i \beta_i)^{n_i} \mathbf{P} \circ \pi_{(\cos \lambda_1, \sin \lambda_1, \dots, \cos \lambda_r, \sin \lambda_r)}^{-1}(d\alpha_1 d\beta_1 \cdots d\alpha_r d\beta_r) \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i \cos \lambda_i t + b_i \sin \lambda_i t)^{n_i} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, let $\rho_i = \sqrt{a_i^2 + b_i^2} > 0$ and $\theta_i \in [0, 2\pi)$ be such that $a_i = \rho_i \cos \theta_i$, $b_i = \rho_i \sin \theta_i$. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}
a_i \cos \lambda_i t + b_i \sin \lambda_i t &= \rho_i (\cos \theta_i \cos \lambda_i t + \sin \theta_i \sin \lambda_i t) \\
&= \rho_i \cos(\lambda_i t - \theta_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

R.H.S. (= the right-hand side) in the above

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i^{n_i} \cos^{n_i}(\lambda_i t - \theta_i) dt \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i^{n_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \cos^{n_i}(\lambda_i t - \theta_i) \right) dt \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i^{n_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2^{n_i}} \sum_{l_i=0}^{n_i} \binom{n_i}{l_i} e^{\sqrt{-1}(2l_i - n_i)(\lambda_i t - \theta_i)} \right) dt \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i^{n_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2^{n_i}} \right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l_1 \leq n_1, \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq l_r \leq n_r}} \binom{n_1}{l_1} \dots \binom{n_r}{l_r} e^{\sqrt{-1}(2l_1 - n_1)(\lambda_1 t - \theta_1)} \dots e^{\sqrt{-1}(2l_r - n_r)(\lambda_r t - \theta_r)} dt \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i^{n_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2^{n_i}} \right) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l_1 \leq n_1, \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq l_r \leq n_r}} \binom{n_1}{l_1} \dots \binom{n_r}{l_r} e^{\sqrt{-1}((n_1 - 2l_1)\theta_1 + \dots + (n_r - 2l_r)\theta_r)} \\
&\quad \times \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T e^{\sqrt{-1}((2l_1 - n_1)\lambda_1 + \dots + (2l_r - n_r)\lambda_r)t} dt \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i^{n_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2^{n_i}} \right) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l_1 \leq n_1, \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq l_r \leq n_r}} \binom{n_1}{l_1} \dots \binom{n_r}{l_r} e^{\sqrt{-1}((n_1 - 2l_1)\theta_1 + \dots + (n_r - 2l_r)\theta_r)} \\
&\quad \times \mathbf{1}_{(2l_1 - n_1)\lambda_1 + \dots + (2l_r - n_r)\lambda_r = 0} \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i^{n_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2^{n_i}} \right) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l_1 \leq n_1, \dots, 0 \leq l_r \leq n_r; \\ (2l_1 - n_1)\lambda_1 + \dots + (2l_r - n_r)\lambda_r = 0}} \binom{n_1}{l_1} \dots \binom{n_r}{l_r} \\
&\quad \times e^{\sqrt{-1}((n_1 - 2l_1)\theta_1 + \dots + (n_r - 2l_r)\theta_r)} \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i^{n_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2^{n_i}} \right) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l_1 \leq n_1, \dots, 0 \leq l_r \leq n_r; \\ 2l_1 = n_1, \dots, 2l_r = n_r}} \binom{n_1}{l_1} \dots \binom{n_r}{l_r} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq \exists i \leq r \text{ s.t. } n_i \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1, \\ \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \rho_i^{n_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2^{n_i}} \right) \binom{n_1}{\frac{n_1}{2}} \dots \binom{n_r}{\frac{n_r}{2}} = \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\rho_i^{n_i} \frac{1}{2^{n_i}} \binom{n_i}{\frac{n_i}{2}} \right) & \text{if } n_i \in 2\mathbb{N} \ (1 \leq i \leq r). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $r = 1$,

$$E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[(a_1\pi_{\cos\lambda_1\cdot} + b_1\pi_{\sin\lambda_1\cdot})^{n_1}\right] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n_1 \in 2\mathbb{N} - 1, \\ \rho_1^{n_1} \frac{1}{2^{n_1}} \binom{n_1}{\frac{n_1}{2}} & \text{if } n_1 \in 2\mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Putting this into the above, we have

$$E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i\pi_{\cos\lambda_i\cdot} + b_i\pi_{\sin\lambda_i\cdot})^{n_i}\right] = \prod_{i=1}^r E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[(a_i\pi_{\cos\lambda_i\cdot} + b_i\pi_{\sin\lambda_i\cdot})^{n_i}\right].$$

2° Fix $\forall r \geq 1$. For $\forall ((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_r, b_r)) \in \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}^2$, it follows from 1° that

$$\begin{aligned} & E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^r e^{\sqrt{-1}(a_i\pi_{\cos\lambda_i\cdot} + b_i\pi_{\sin\lambda_i\cdot})}\right] \\ &= E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^r \sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{-1}(a_i\pi_{\cos\lambda_i\cdot} + b_i\pi_{\sin\lambda_i\cdot}))^{n_i}}{n_i!}\right] \\ &= E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(\sqrt{-1}(a_i\pi_{\cos\lambda_i\cdot} + b_i\pi_{\sin\lambda_i\cdot}))^{n_i}}{n_i!}\right] \\ &= \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(\sqrt{-1}(a_i\pi_{\cos\lambda_i\cdot} + b_i\pi_{\sin\lambda_i\cdot}))^{n_i}}{n_i!}\right] \\ &= \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^r E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[\frac{(\sqrt{-1}(a_i\pi_{\cos\lambda_i\cdot} + b_i\pi_{\sin\lambda_i\cdot}))^{n_i}}{n_i!}\right] \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[\sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{-1}(a_i\pi_{\cos\lambda_i\cdot} + b_i\pi_{\sin\lambda_i\cdot}))^{n_i}}{n_i!}\right] \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r E^{\mathbf{P}}\left[e^{\sqrt{-1}(a_i\pi_{\cos\lambda_i\cdot} + b_i\pi_{\sin\lambda_i\cdot})}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the independence of $(\pi_{\cos\lambda_1\cdot}, \pi_{\sin\lambda_1\cdot}), \dots, (\pi_{\cos\lambda_r\cdot}, \pi_{\sin\lambda_r\cdot})$. Thus $\{e(\lambda_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is independent.

3° From 2° in the proof of Claim 3.1, $\mathbf{P} \circ (\pi_{\cos\lambda_k\cdot}, \pi_{\sin\lambda_k\cdot})^{-1} = U \circ R^{-1}$ for $\forall k$. This tells us that $\{e(\lambda_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is identically distributed. \blacksquare

3.2 Logarithm functions of a complex variable

Before stating Theorem 3.1 below, we here explain the *logarithm function of a complex variable*.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$, we define

$$\log z := \int_1^z \frac{1}{w} dw. \quad (3.1)$$

Since $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ is a simply connected domain of \mathbb{C} , this integral is determined only by z in virtue of Cauchy's integral theorem. In other words, this is independent of paths in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ connecting 1 with z . Clearly $\log(\cdot)$ is holomorphic. Also when $z \in (0, \infty)$, $\log z$ is just a usual logarithm function of a real variable.

Note that $\log z = \log |z| + \sqrt{-1} \arg z$, where $-\pi < \arg z < \pi$. Because

$$\begin{aligned} \log z &= \int_1^z \frac{1}{w} dw \\ &= \int_1^{|z|} \frac{1}{w} dw + \int_{|z|}^z \frac{1}{w} dw \\ &= \int_1^{|z|} \frac{1}{x} dx + \int_0^{\arg z} \frac{1}{|z|e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}} |z|e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \sqrt{-1} d\theta \\ &= \log |z| + \sqrt{-1} \arg z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have an identity

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\log z} &= e^{\log |z| + \sqrt{-1} \arg z} = e^{\log |z|} e^{\sqrt{-1} \arg z} \\ &= |z| e^{\sqrt{-1} \arg z} \\ &= z. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

($\log(\cdot)$ is an inverse function of $z = e^w$!) Also note that for $|z| < 1$

$$\log(1+z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} z^n}{n}. \tag{3.3}$$

Because, by the bounded convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \log(1+z) &= \int_1^{1+z} \frac{1}{w} dw = z \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{1+zs} \\ &= z \int_0^1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-zs)^n ds \\ &= z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 (-zs)^n ds \\ &= z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-z)^n \int_0^1 s^n ds \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n z^{n+1} \left[\frac{s^{n+1}}{n+1} \right]_0^1 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n z^{n+1}}{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} z^n}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain and $a \in D$. For a holomorphic function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $f(w) \neq 0$ ($w \in D$) and $f(a) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$, we define

$$\log f(z) := \log f(a) + \int_a^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw, \quad z \in D. \quad (3.4)$$

Here \log of R.H.S. is the one defined by (3.1). Clearly $\log f(\cdot)$ is holomorphic. And it holds that

$$e^{\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw} = \frac{f(z)}{f(c)}, \quad \forall z, \forall c \in D. \quad (3.5)$$

For, from

$$\begin{aligned} \left(e^{\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw} \right)' &= e^{\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw} \left(\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw \right)' \\ &= e^{\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{e^{\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw}}{f(z)} \right)' &= \frac{\left(e^{\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw} \right)' f(z) - e^{\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw} f'(z)}{f^2(z)} \\ &= \frac{e^{\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} f(z) - e^{\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw} f'(z)}{f^2(z)} \\ &= 0, \quad \forall z \in D. \end{aligned}$$

Since D is a domain, $\exists w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $\frac{e^{\int_c^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw}}{f(z)} = w_0$ ($\forall z \in D$). Putting $z = c$ yields that $w_0 = \frac{1}{f(c)}$, from which (3.5) is obvious. By (3.2) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\log f(z)} &= e^{\log f(a) + \int_a^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw} = e^{\log f(a)} e^{\int_a^z \frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} dw} \\ &= f(a) \cdot \frac{f(z)}{f(a)} \\ &= f(z), \quad \forall z \in D. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By the uniqueness theorem, such a $\log f(\cdot)$ is unique.

3.3 Complex random variable $\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)$

Theorem 3.1 For $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\left\{ -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right) \right\}_{p:\text{prime}}$$

is a sequence of independent complex random variables, and

$$E\mathbf{P}\left[-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right] = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

$$E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[\left| -\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right|^2 \right] \leq \left(\frac{2^\sigma}{2^\sigma - 1} \right)^2 \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus

$$\sum_{p:\text{prime}} -\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right)$$

is convergent \mathbf{P} -a.e.

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps:

1° Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and p prime. Since $|\frac{e(\lambda)}{p^\sigma}| = \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \in (0, 1)$ \mathbf{P} -a.e. by Corollary 3.1, $-\log(1 - \frac{e(\lambda)}{p^\sigma})$ is defined \mathbf{P} -a.e. Noting that for $|z| < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\log(1+z)| &= |z| \left| \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{1+zs} \right| \leq |z| \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{|1+zs|} \\ &\leq |z| \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{1-|z|} \\ &\quad [\odot |1+zs| \geq 1-|z|s \geq 1-|z|] \\ &= \frac{|z|}{1-|z|}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

we have an estimate

$$\left| -\log \left(1 - \frac{e(\lambda)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right| \leq \frac{\frac{1}{p^\sigma}}{1 - \frac{1}{p^\sigma}} = \frac{1}{p^\sigma - 1} \leq \frac{2^\sigma}{2^\sigma - 1} \frac{1}{p^\sigma}. \quad (3.10)$$

By Claim 3.1 and Corollary 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[-\log \left(1 - \frac{e(\lambda)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right] &= E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[-\log \left(1 - \frac{e(\lambda)}{p^\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(|e(\lambda)|) \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} -\log \left(1 - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{p^\sigma} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(|e^{\sqrt{-1}t}|) \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} -\log \left(1 - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{p^\sigma} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{-\log \left(1 - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{p^\sigma} \right)}{e^{\sqrt{-1}t}} \sqrt{-1} e^{\sqrt{-1}t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{|z|=1} \frac{-\log \left(1 - \frac{z}{p^\sigma} \right)}{z} dz \\ &= -\log \left(1 - \frac{0}{p^\sigma} \right) \quad [\odot \text{Cauchy's integral representation}] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

2° (3.7) and (3.8) follow from (3.11) and (3.10) with $\lambda = -\log p$, respectively. By Example 2.1 and Claim 3.2, $\{e(-\log p)\}_{p:\text{prime}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. complex random variables. Thus $\{-\log(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma})\}_{p:\text{prime}}$ is independent.

Next, by (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(-\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right) \right] &= 0, \\ E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[\operatorname{Im} \left(-\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right) \right] &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

by (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} &E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[\left(\operatorname{Re} \left(-\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right) \right)^2 \right], \quad E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[\left(\operatorname{Im} \left(-\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[\left(\operatorname{Re} \left(-\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right) \right)^2 + \left(\operatorname{Im} \left(-\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= E^{\mathbf{P}} \left[\left| -\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2^\sigma}{2^\sigma - 1} \right)^2 \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}} < \infty$ [\odot $2\sigma > 1$], we can apply the a.s. convergence theorem for independent random variables [cf. Claim A.6] to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \operatorname{Re} \left(-\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right), \\ &\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \operatorname{Im} \left(-\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

are convergent \mathbf{P} -a.e. Therefore

$$\sum_{p:\text{prime}} -\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right)$$

is also convergent \mathbf{P} -a.e. ■

Remark 3.1 The distribution of $\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)$ is just the limit distribution in Bohr-Jessen limit theorem !

3.4 Some properties of the distribution of $\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)$

We view what is easily seen from concrete calculations.

Claim 3.3 *The distributions of real random variables $\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right)$ are both continuous. Namely, for $\forall \alpha, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_p -\log \left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma} \right) \right) = \alpha \right) = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) = \beta\right) = 0.$$

Proof. Fix $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$. From the independence of $\{-\log(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma})\}_{n=1}^\infty$, it follows that for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma}\right)\right) = \alpha\right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma}\right)\right) = \alpha\right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_1)}{p_1^\sigma}\right)\right) + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma}\right)\right) = \alpha\right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_1)}{p_1^\sigma}\right)\right) = \alpha - \sum_{n=2}^\infty \operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= E\mathbf{P}\left[\mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_1)}{p_1^\sigma}\right)\right) = \alpha - x\right) \Big|_{x=\sum_{n=2}^\infty \operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma}\right)\right)}\right], \\ & \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma}\right)\right) = \beta\right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma}\right)\right) = \beta\right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_1)}{p_1^\sigma}\right)\right) + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma}\right)\right) = \beta\right) \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_1)}{p_1^\sigma}\right)\right) = \beta - \sum_{n=2}^\infty \operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma}\right)\right)\right) \\ &= E\mathbf{P}\left[\mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_1)}{p_1^\sigma}\right)\right) = \beta - y\right) \Big|_{y=\sum_{n=2}^\infty \operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_n)}{p_n^\sigma}\right)\right)}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the lemma below, the distributions of $\operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_1)}{p_1^\sigma}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p_1)}{p_1^\sigma}\right)\right)$ are both absolutely continuous. Thus we have the assertion of the claim. ■

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ and p prime. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) \in du\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \mathbf{1}_{(\log(1+\frac{1}{p^\sigma})^{-1}, \log(1-\frac{1}{p^\sigma})^{-1})}(u) \frac{1}{\sqrt{((e^u(1+\frac{1}{p^\sigma}))^2 - 1)(1 - (e^u(1-\frac{1}{p^\sigma}))^2)}} du. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Define $\psi_{p^\sigma} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi_{p^\sigma}(t) = \begin{cases} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{p^\sigma} - \cos t}{\sin t}\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos t}{\sin t}\right), & t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \pi\mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & t \in \pi\mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

ψ_{p^σ} is a smooth function with period 2π . Its first derivative test on $[0, 2\pi]$ is as follows:

t	0	...	t_σ	...	$\frac{\pi}{2}$...	π	...	$\frac{3}{2}\pi$...	$2\pi - t_\sigma$...	2π
ψ'	$\frac{1}{p^\sigma-1}$	+	0	-	-	-	$\frac{-1}{p^\sigma-1}$	-	-	-	0	+	$\frac{1}{p^\sigma-1}$
ψ	0	\nearrow	$\frac{\pi}{2} - t_\sigma$		\searrow		0		\searrow		$t_\sigma - \frac{\pi}{2}$	\nearrow	0

Here $\psi := \psi_{p^\sigma}$ and $t_\sigma := \cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{p^\sigma})$. Thus $\psi|_{[0, t_\sigma]} : [0, t_\sigma] \rightarrow [0, \frac{\pi}{2} - t_\sigma]$ and $\psi|_{[2\pi - t_\sigma, 2\pi]} : [2\pi - t_\sigma, 2\pi] \rightarrow [t_\sigma - \frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$ are strictly increasing, and $\psi|_{[t_\sigma, 2\pi - t_\sigma]} : [t_\sigma, 2\pi - t_\sigma] \rightarrow [t_\sigma - \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} - t_\sigma]$ is strictly decreasing. Denoting their inverse functions by $(\psi|_{[0, t_\sigma]})^{-1}$, $(\psi|_{[2\pi - t_\sigma, 2\pi]})^{-1}$ and $(\psi|_{[t_\sigma, 2\pi - t_\sigma]})^{-1}$, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) \in dv\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\mathbf{1}_{(t_\sigma - \frac{\pi}{2}, 0)}(v) \left(\frac{-1}{\psi'((\psi|_{[t_\sigma, 2\pi - t_\sigma]})^{-1}(v))} + \frac{1}{\psi'((\psi|_{[2\pi - t_\sigma, 2\pi]})^{-1}(v))} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mathbf{1}_{(0, \frac{\pi}{2} - t_\sigma)}(v) \left(\frac{-1}{\psi'((\psi|_{[t_\sigma, 2\pi - t_\sigma]})^{-1}(v))} + \frac{1}{\psi'((\psi|_{[0, t_\sigma]})^{-1}(v))} \right) \right) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, for $w \in \mathbb{C}$, $|w| < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\log(1-w) &= \int_0^1 \frac{w}{1-sw} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{w(1-s\bar{w})}{(1-sw)(1-s\bar{w})} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{w - s|w|^2}{1 - s(w + \bar{w}) + s^2|w|^2} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Re} w - s|w|^2}{1 - 2s \operatorname{Re} w + s^2|w|^2} ds + \sqrt{-1} \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Im} w}{1 - 2s \operatorname{Re} w + s^2|w|^2} ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\log(1 - 2s \operatorname{Re} w + s^2|w|^2))' ds \\ & \quad + \sqrt{-1} \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Im} w}{1 - 2s \operatorname{Re} w + s^2|w|^2} ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log(1 - 2 \operatorname{Re} w + |w|^2) + \sqrt{-1} \int_0^1 \frac{\operatorname{Im} w}{1 - 2s \operatorname{Re} w + s^2|w|^2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ and prime p . From the above,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \log\left(1 - \frac{2}{p^\sigma} \cos t + \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}\right), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\frac{\sin t}{p^\sigma}}{1 - \frac{2s}{p^\sigma} \cos t + \frac{s^2}{p^{2\sigma}}} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \int_0^1 \frac{\sin t}{1 - \frac{2s}{p^\sigma} \cos t + \frac{s^2}{p^{2\sigma}}} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

(i) By Claim 3.1 and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e^{(-\log p)}}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) \in \cdot\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{1}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{p^\sigma}\right)\right)\right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \log\left(1 - \frac{2}{p^\sigma} \cos t + \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}\right)\right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \log\left(1 + \frac{2}{p^\sigma} \cos \tau + \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}\right)\right) d\tau \\ &\quad [\odot \text{ change of variable: } \tau = t - \pi] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \mathbf{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \log\left(1 + \frac{2}{p^\sigma} \cos \tau + \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}\right)\right) d\tau \\ &\quad [\odot \tau \mapsto \cos \tau \text{ is even}] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \mathbf{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \log\left(1 + \frac{2}{p^\sigma} s + \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}\right)\right) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} \\ &\quad [\odot \text{ change of variable: } s = \cos \tau] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\log(1+\frac{1}{p^\sigma})^{-1}}^{\log(1-\frac{1}{p^\sigma})^{-1}} \mathbf{1}(u) \frac{p^\sigma e^{-2u}}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{p^\sigma}{2}(e^{-2u} - 1 - \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}})\right)^2}} du \\ &\quad [\odot \text{ change of variable: } u = -\frac{1}{2} \log\left(1 + \frac{2}{p^\sigma} s + \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}\right)] \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\log(1+\frac{1}{p^\sigma})^{-1}}^{\log(1-\frac{1}{p^\sigma})^{-1}} \frac{\mathbf{1}(u)}{\sqrt{\left((e^u(1 + \frac{1}{p^\sigma}))^2 - 1\right)\left(1 - (e^u(1 - \frac{1}{p^\sigma}))^2\right)}} du. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality comes from the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{p^\sigma e^{-2u}}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{p^\sigma}{2}(e^{-2u} - 1 - \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}})\right)^2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{p^{2\sigma} e^{-4u}}{\left(1 - \frac{p^\sigma}{2}(e^{-2u} - 1 - \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}})\right)\left(1 + \frac{p^\sigma}{2}(e^{-2u} - 1 - \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}})\right)}\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{4p^{2\sigma}}{2e^{2u}\left(1 - \frac{p^\sigma}{2}(e^{-2u} - 1 - \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}})\right)2e^{2u}\left(1 + \frac{p^\sigma}{2}(e^{-2u} - 1 - \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}})\right)}\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{4p^{2\sigma}}{\left(2e^{2u} - p^\sigma\left(1 - e^{2u} - \frac{e^{2u}}{p^{2\sigma}}\right)\right)\left(2e^{2u} + p^\sigma\left(1 - e^{2u} - \frac{e^{2u}}{p^{2\sigma}}\right)\right)}\right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{4p^{2\sigma}}{(e^{2u}(p^\sigma + p^{-\sigma} + 2) - p^\sigma)(p^\sigma - e^{2u}(p^\sigma + p^{-\sigma} - 2))} \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \left(\frac{4}{p^{-\sigma}(e^{2u}(p^{\sigma/2} + p^{-\sigma/2})^2 - p^\sigma)p^{-\sigma}(p^\sigma - e^{2u}(p^{\sigma/2} - p^{-\sigma/2})^2)} \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \left(\frac{4}{(e^{2u}(1 + p^{-\sigma})^2 - 1)(1 - e^{2u}(1 - p^{-\sigma})^2)} \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{(e^{2u}(1 + p^{-\sigma})^2 - 1)(1 - e^{2u}(1 - p^{-\sigma})^2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the assertion (i).

(ii) For simplicity, put

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p^\sigma}(t) &:= \operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \int_0^1 \frac{\sin t}{1 - \frac{2s}{p^\sigma} \cos t + \frac{s^2}{p^{2\sigma}}} ds \quad [\text{cf. (3.13)}].
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly ψ_{p^σ} is smooth. Also it has period 2π and vanishes on $\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Since, for $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \pi\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{ds} \left(\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{s}{p^\sigma} - \cos t}{\sin t} \right) \right) &= \frac{\frac{1}{p^\sigma \sin t}}{1 + \left(\frac{\frac{s}{p^\sigma} - \cos t}{\sin t} \right)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \frac{\sin t}{\sin^2 t + \left(\frac{s}{p^\sigma} - \cos t \right)^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \frac{\sin t}{\sin^2 t + \frac{s^2}{p^{2\sigma}} - \frac{2s}{p^\sigma} \cos t + \cos^2 t} \\
&= \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \frac{\sin t}{1 - \frac{2s}{p^\sigma} \cos t + \frac{s^2}{p^{2\sigma}}},
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p^\sigma}(t) &= \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{s}{p^\sigma} - \cos t}{\sin t} \right) \right]_0^1 \\
&= \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{p^\sigma} - \cos t}{\sin t} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left(-\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} \right) \\
&= \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{p^\sigma} - \cos t}{\sin t} \right) + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating it in t , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi'_{p^\sigma}(t) &= \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\frac{1}{p^\sigma} - \cos t}{\sin t} \right)^2} \frac{\sin^2 t - \left(\frac{1}{p^\sigma} - \cos t \right) \cos t}{\sin^2 t} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\cos t}{\sin t} \right)^2} \frac{-\sin^2 t - \cos^2 t}{\sin^2 t},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\sin^2 t + \cos^2 t - \frac{\cos t}{p^\sigma}}{\sin^2 t + (\frac{1}{p^\sigma} - \cos t)^2} + \frac{-\sin^2 t - \cos^2 t}{\sin^2 t + \cos^2 t} \\
&= \frac{1 - \frac{\cos t}{p^\sigma}}{\sin^2 t + \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}} - \frac{2}{p^\sigma} \cos t + \cos^2 t} - 1 \\
&= \frac{1 - \frac{\cos t}{p^\sigma} - 1 + \frac{2}{p^\sigma} \cos t - \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}}{1 - \frac{2}{p^\sigma} \cos t + \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}} \\
&= \frac{\frac{1}{p^\sigma} (\cos t - \frac{1}{p^\sigma})}{1 - \frac{2}{p^\sigma} \cos t + \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}},
\end{aligned}$$

from which, the first derivative test of ψ_{p^σ} on $[0, 2\pi]$ is given as in (ii).

Now, by Claim 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) \in \cdot\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{1}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(-\log\left(1 - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{p^\sigma}\right)\right)\right) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{1}(\psi_{p^\sigma}(t)) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{t_\sigma} \mathbf{1}(\psi_{p^\sigma}(t)) dt + \int_{t_\sigma}^{2\pi-t_\sigma} \mathbf{1}(\psi_{p^\sigma}(t)) dt + \int_{2\pi-t_\sigma}^{2\pi} \mathbf{1}(\psi_{p^\sigma}(t)) dt \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}-t_\sigma} \mathbf{1}(v) \frac{dv}{\psi'_{p^\sigma}((\psi_{p^\sigma}|_{[0,t_\sigma]})^{-1}(v))} \right. \\
&\quad + \int_{t_\sigma-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}-t_\sigma} \mathbf{1}(v) \frac{-1}{\psi'_{p^\sigma}((\psi_{p^\sigma}|_{[t_\sigma,2\pi-t_\sigma]})^{-1}(v))} dv \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{t_\sigma-\frac{\pi}{2}}^0 \mathbf{1}(v) \frac{dv}{\psi'_{p^\sigma}((\psi_{p^\sigma}|_{[2\pi-t_\sigma,2\pi]})^{-1}(v))} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows the assertion (ii). ■

Corollary 3.2 For $-\infty < \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 < \infty$, $-\infty < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 < \infty$, a closed rectangle

$$E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1, \beta_2} := \{a + \sqrt{-1}b; \alpha_1 \leq a \leq \alpha_2, \beta_1 \leq b \leq \beta_2\} \quad (3.14)$$

is a continuity set of the distribution of $\sum_p -\log(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma})$. Namely,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right) \in \partial E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1, \beta_2}\right) = 0.$$

Proof. Since

$$\partial E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1, \beta_2} \subset \{\alpha_1 + \sqrt{-1}b; b \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{\alpha_2 + \sqrt{-1}b; b \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\cup \{a + \sqrt{-1}\beta_1; a \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{a + \sqrt{-1}\beta_2; a \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

we have by Claim 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right) \in \partial E_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1, \beta_2}\right) \\ & \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) = \alpha_1\right) \\ & \quad + \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) = \alpha_2\right) \\ & \quad + \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) = \beta_1\right) \\ & \quad + \mathbf{P}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right) = \beta_2\right) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

We regard a complex random variable $\sum_p -\log(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma})$ as a 2-dimensional random variable

$$\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right)\right)$$

and denote this distribution by $p_\sigma(dx dy)$:

$$p_\sigma(dx dy) = \mathbf{P}\left(\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right)\right) \in dx dy\right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Claim 3.4 $\operatorname{supp}^{\dagger 1}(p_\sigma(dx dy)) = \begin{cases} \text{compact set,} & \text{if } 1 < \sigma < \infty, \\ \mathbb{R}^2, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq 1. \end{cases}$

Proof of the first assertion of Claim 3.4. In case $1 < \sigma < \infty$, it follows from (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sum_p -\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_p \left|-\log\left(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}\right)\right| \\ & \leq \frac{2^\sigma}{2^\sigma - 1} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \quad \mathbf{P}\text{-a.e.}, \end{aligned}$$

^{†1}For a probability measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$,

$$\operatorname{supp} \nu := \bigcap \{F \subset \mathbb{R}^n; F \text{ is a closed set such that } \nu(F^c) = 0\}$$

is called the *support* of ν .

or, equivalently

$$p_\sigma \left(\left\{ (x, y); \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \leq \frac{2^\sigma}{2^\sigma - 1} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \right\} \right) = 1,$$

which shows that $\text{supp}(p_\sigma(dx dy))$ is compact. ■

For the proof of the second assertion of Claim 3.4, we present the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2 *Let $n \geq 2$ and $s_1, \dots, s_n > 0$, and put $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| = 1\}$. Then*

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n s_k z_k; z_1, \dots, z_n \in S \right\} = \left\{ z; \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(s_k - \sum_{i \neq k} s_i \right)^+ \leq |z| \leq s_1 + \dots + s_n \right\}.$$

Here, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha^+ := \alpha \vee 0$.

Proof. Since the triangle inequality gives that for $z_1, \dots, z_n \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n s_k z_k \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n s_k |z_k| = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k, \\ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n s_k z_k \right| &= \left| s_j z_j + \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}} s_k z_k \right| \\ &\geq s_j |z_j| - \left| \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}} s_k z_k \right| \\ &\geq s_j |z_j| - \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}} s_k |z_k| \\ &= s_j - \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}} s_k \quad (j = 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned}$$

it is seen that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(s_j - \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}} s_k \right)^+ \leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^n s_k z_k \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n s_k,$$

which shows the inclusion ' \subset '.

In the following, we show the opposite inclusion ' \supset ' by induction on n .

1° Let $n = 2$ and $s_1, s_2 > 0$. In case $s_1 = s_2 =: s$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 + s_2 &= 2s, \\ \max_{1 \leq k \leq 2} \left(s_k - \sum_{i \neq k} s_i \right)^+ &= (s_1 - s_2)^+ \vee (s_2 - s_1)^+ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$s_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi_2 + \psi_1)} + s_2 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi_2 - \psi_1)} = s e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_2} (e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_1} + e^{-\sqrt{-1}\psi_1})$$

$$= (2s \cos \psi_1) e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_2}.$$

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $|z| \leq 2s$. If $z = 0$, putting $\psi_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ yields that

$$s_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi_2 + \psi_1)} + s_2 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi_2 - \psi_1)} = (2s \cos \frac{\pi}{2}) e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_2} = 0 = z;$$

if $z \neq 0$, letting $z = |z| e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi}$ for some $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ and putting $\psi_1 = \cos^{-1}(\frac{|z|}{2s})$ and $\psi_2 = \psi$ yield that

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi_2 + \psi_1)} + s_2 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi_2 - \psi_1)} &= (2s \cos \psi_1) e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_2} = 2s \frac{|z|}{2s} e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi} \\ &= |z| e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi} \\ &= z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it is seen that

$$\{z; |z| \leq 2s\} \subset \{s_1 z_1 + s_2 z_2; z_1, z_2 \in S\}.$$

In case $s_1 > s_2 > 0$,

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq 2} \left(s_k - \sum_{i \neq k} s_i \right)^+ = (s_1 - s_2)^+ \vee (s_2 - s_1)^+ = s_1 - s_2 > 0.$$

Note that for $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &s_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi_2 + \psi_1)} + s_2 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi_2 - \psi_1)} \\ &= e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_2} (s_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_1} + s_2 e^{-\sqrt{-1}\psi_1}) \\ &= e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_2} \left((s_1 + s_2) \cos \psi_1 + \sqrt{-1}(s_1 - s_2) \sin \psi_1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Let $a \in [s_1 - s_2, s_1 + s_2]$. Since

$$\frac{1 - \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2}{a}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2} \in [0, 1]$$

by $0 < \frac{s_1 - s_2}{a} \leq 1 \leq \frac{s_1 + s_2}{a}$, $\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a} < \frac{s_1 + s_2}{a}$, take

$$\psi_1 := \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1 - \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2}{a}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2}} \right) \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2}{a} \cos \psi_1\right)^2 + \left(-\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a} \sin \psi_1\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{s_1 + s_2}{a}\right)^2 \cos^2 \psi_1 + \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2 \sin^2 \psi_1 \\ &= \left(\frac{s_1 + s_2}{a}\right)^2 \cos^2 \psi_1 + \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2 (1 - \cos^2 \psi_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2}{a}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2\right) \cos^2 \psi_1 \\
&= \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2}{a}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2\right) \frac{1 - \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{s_1 + s_2}{a}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a}\right)^2} \\
&= 1,
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\exists \psi_2 \in \left[\frac{3}{2}\pi, 2\pi\right] \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} \frac{s_1 + s_2}{a} \cos \psi_1 = \cos \psi_2, \\ -\frac{s_1 - s_2}{a} \sin \psi_1 = \sin \psi_2. \end{cases}$$

By (3.15),

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi_2 + \psi_1)} + s_2 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi_2 - \psi_1)} \\
&= e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_2} \left((s_1 + s_2) \cos \psi_1 + \sqrt{-1}(s_1 - s_2) \sin \psi_1 \right) \\
&= e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_2} a (\cos \psi_2 - \sqrt{-1} \sin \psi_2) \\
&= a e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi_2} e^{-\sqrt{-1}\psi_2} \\
&= a.
\end{aligned}$$

For a general $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $s_1 - s_2 \leq |z| \leq s_1 + s_2$, let $z = |z|e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi}$ ($\psi \in \mathbb{R}$), and from the above take θ_1, θ_2 so that $s_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_1} + s_2 e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_2} = |z|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
s_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_1 + \psi)} + s_2 e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_2 + \psi)} &= (s_1 e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_1} + s_2 e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_2}) e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi} \\
&= |z| e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi} \\
&= z.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence it is seen that

$$\{z; s_1 - s_2 \leq |z| \leq s_1 + s_2\} \subset \{s_1 z_1 + s_2 z_2; z_1, z_2 \in S\},$$

so that the opposite inclusion '⊃' is valid for $n = 2$.

2° Assume that the opposite inclusion '⊃' holds for $n = \nu (\geq 2)$, i.e., that for $\forall s_1, \dots, \forall s_\nu > 0$,

$$\left\{ z; \max_{1 \leq k \leq \nu} \left(s_k - \sum_{i \neq k} s_i \right)^+ \leq |z| \leq s_1 + \dots + s_\nu \right\} \subset \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} s_k z_k; z_1, \dots, z_\nu \in S \right\}.$$

Let $s_1, \dots, s_{\nu+1} > 0$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq \nu+1} \left(s_k - \sum_{i \neq k} s_i \right)^+ \leq |z| \leq s_1 + \dots + s_{\nu+1}.$$

In case $\exists k$ s.t. $s_k > \sum_{i \neq k} s_i$,

$$s_l \leq \sum_{i \neq l} s_i \quad (\forall l \neq k).$$

For if not so, the following implications hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists l \neq k \text{ s.t. } s_l > \sum_{i \neq l} s_i &\Rightarrow s_k > \sum_{i \neq k} s_i = s_l + \sum_{i \notin \{k, l\}} s_i > \sum_{i \neq l} s_i + \sum_{i \notin \{k, l\}} s_i \\ &= s_k + 2 \sum_{i \notin \{k, l\}} s_i \\ &> s_k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq l \leq v+1} \left(s_l - \sum_{i \neq l} s_i \right)^+ &= \left(s_k - \sum_{i \neq k} s_i \right)^+ \vee \max_{l \in \{1, \dots, v+1\} \setminus \{k\}} \left(s_l - \sum_{i \neq l} s_i \right)^+ \\ &= s_k - \sum_{i \neq k} s_i > 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $0 < s_k - \sum_{i \neq k} s_i \leq |z| \leq s_k + \sum_{i \neq k} s_i$. From 1°, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \theta, \exists \psi \text{ s.t. } s_k e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} + \left(\sum_{i \neq k} s_i \right) e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi} &= z \\ &\parallel \\ s_k e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} + \sum_{i \neq k} s_i e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

In case $s_k \leq \sum_{i \neq k} s_i$ ($\forall k = 1, \dots, v+1$),

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq v+1} \left(s_k - \sum_{i \neq k} s_i \right)^+ = 0,$$

thus $|z| \leq s_1 + \dots + s_{v+1}$. If $\exists l$ s.t. $0 \leq \sum_{i \neq l} s_i - s_l \leq |z|$, then $0 \leq \sum_{i \neq l} s_i - s_l \leq |z| \leq \sum_{i \neq l} s_i + s_l$. By 1°,

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \theta, \exists \psi \text{ s.t. } \left(\sum_{i \neq l} s_i \right) e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} + s_l e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi} &= z \\ &\parallel \\ \sum_{i \neq l} s_i e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} + s_l e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sum_{i \neq l} s_i - s_l > |z|$ ($\forall l = 1, \dots, v+1$), then

$$|z - s_{l_0} e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi + \pi)}| = \left| |z| e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi} - s_{l_0} e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi} e^{\sqrt{-1}\pi} \right| = |z| + s_{l_0} < \sum_{i \neq l_0} s_i$$

where $s_{l_0} = \max_{1 \leq l \leq v+1} s_l$ and $z = |z| e^{\sqrt{-1}\psi}$ ($\psi \in \mathbb{R}$). On the other hand,

$$|z| + s_{l_0} \geq \max_{l \neq l_0} \left(s_l - \sum_{i \notin \{l, l_0\}} s_i \right)^+$$

by $|z| + s_{l_0} \geq s_{l_0} \geq s_l > s_l - \sum_{i \notin \{l, l_0\}} s_i$ ($\forall l \neq l_0$). By combining these,

$$\max_{l \neq l_0} \left(s_l - \sum_{i \notin \{l, l_0\}} s_i \right)^+ \leq |z - s_{l_0} e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi + \pi)}| < \sum_{i \neq l_0} s_i.$$

Our assumption of induction shows that

$$\exists \theta_1, \dots, \overset{l_0}{\exists} \theta_{v+1} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{l \neq l_0} s_l e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_l} = z - s_{l_0} e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi + \pi)},$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{l \neq l_0} s_l e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_l} + s_{l_0} e^{\sqrt{-1}(\psi + \pi)} = z.$$

Hence it is seen that

$$z \in \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{v+1} s_k z_k; z_1, \dots, z_{v+1} \in S \right\},$$

so that the opposite inclusion ‘ \supset ’ is valid for $n = v + 1$.

By induction, the proof of the lemma is complete. \blacksquare

Proof of the second assertion of Claim 3.4. For simplicity, put $F(z) = -\log(1 - z)$, $|z| < 1$. By (3.3),

$$|F(z) - z| = \left| \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m} \right| \leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^m}{m} \leq \frac{|z|^2}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |z|^m = \frac{|z|^2}{2(1 - |z|)}. \quad (3.16)$$

We divide the proof into two steps:

$$\frac{1^{\circ}}{\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{p}} = \infty.$$

\odot From Claim 4.3 below and (3.2), it follows that for $s > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s) &= \prod_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}} = \prod_{p:\text{prime}} e^{F(\frac{1}{p^s})} \\ &= e^{\sum_{p:\text{prime}} F(\frac{1}{p^s})} = e^{\sum_{p:\text{prime}} (F(\frac{1}{p^s}) - \frac{1}{p^s})} e^{\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{p^s}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.16),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{p^s}} &= \zeta(s) e^{-\sum_{p:\text{prime}} (F(\frac{1}{p^s}) - \frac{1}{p^s})} \geq \zeta(s) e^{-\sum_{p:\text{prime}} |F(\frac{1}{p^s}) - \frac{1}{p^s}|} \\ &\geq \zeta(s) e^{-\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{\frac{1}{p^{2s}}}{2(1 - \frac{1}{p^s})}} \\ &\geq \zeta(s) e^{-\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{p^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{s \searrow 1} \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ [\odot By the monotone convergence theorem] $= \infty$, we have

$$\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{p} = \infty.$$

$\underline{2}^\circ$ Let $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq 1$. Fix $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ and $\forall z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}} < \infty$,

$$\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_i^{2\sigma}} < 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^\sigma}\right)\varepsilon.$$

Put $z_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} F\left(\frac{1}{p_i^\sigma}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{p^\sigma} = \infty$ [\odot By 1° , $\sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \geq \sum_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{p} = \infty$] and $\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{e(-\log p_i)}{p_i^\sigma}\right) \rightarrow 0$ **P**-a.e. as $N \rightarrow \infty$ [\odot Theorem 3.1],

$$\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N} \cap (N_0 + 1, \infty) \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \frac{1}{p_i^\sigma} > |z_1 - z_0| \vee \frac{2}{2^\sigma}, \\ \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=N_1+1}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{e(-\log p_i)}{p_i^\sigma}\right)\right| < \varepsilon\right) > 0. \end{cases}$$

We observe that for $N_0 + 1 \leq \forall j \leq N_1$,

$$\sum_{i \in \{N_0+1, \dots, N_1\} \setminus \{j\}} \frac{1}{p_i^\sigma} = \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \frac{1}{p_i^\sigma} - \frac{1}{p_j^\sigma} > \frac{2}{2^\sigma} - \frac{1}{p_j^\sigma} > \frac{2}{p_j^\sigma} - \frac{1}{p_j^\sigma} = \frac{1}{p_j^\sigma}.$$

Thus

$$\max_{N_0+1 \leq j \leq N_1} \left(\frac{1}{p_j^\sigma} - \sum_{i \in \{N_0+1, \dots, N_1\} \setminus \{j\}} \frac{1}{p_i^\sigma} \right)^+ = 0 \leq |z_1 - z_0| < \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \frac{1}{p_i^\sigma}.$$

Apply Lemma 3.2. We can take $\theta_{N_0+1}, \dots, \theta_{N_1} \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \frac{1}{p_i^\sigma} e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i} = z_0 - z_1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - z_0 \right| \quad [\text{where } \theta_1 = \dots = \theta_{N_0} := 0] \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} F\left(\frac{1}{p_i^\sigma}\right) + \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - z_0 \right| \\ &= \left| z_1 + \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \left(F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma} \right) + \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma} - z_0 \right| \\ &= \left| z_1 + \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \left(F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma} \right) + z_0 - z_1 - z_0 \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \left(F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma} \right) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \left| F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \frac{\frac{1}{p_i^{2\sigma}}}{2(1 - \frac{1}{p_i^\sigma})} \quad [\odot (3.16)] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2(1 - \frac{1}{2^\sigma})} \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{N_1} \frac{1}{p_i^{2\sigma}} \\
&< \frac{1}{2(1 - \frac{1}{2^\sigma})} \sum_{i=N_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_i^{2\sigma}} \\
&< \varepsilon \quad [\odot \text{ By the choice of } N_0].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Now we put $\Omega_0 \in \mathcal{B}_K(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{B}})$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_0 := & \bigcap_{i=1}^{N_1} \left\{ \left| F\left(\frac{e^{(-\log p_i)}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{N_1} \right\} \\
& \cap \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=N_1+1}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{e^{(-\log p_i)}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) \right| < \varepsilon \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left| F\left(\frac{e^{(-\log p_i)}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{N_1} \right) > 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N_1.$$

Because, by Claim 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{L.H.S.} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{1}_{\left| F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}t}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{N_1}} dt \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{1}_{\left| F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}(t+\theta_i)}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{N_1}} dt \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{1}_{|t| < (p_i^\sigma - 1)\frac{\varepsilon}{N_1}} dt \\
&\quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \odot \text{ Since } |F(w_1) - F(w_2)| \leq \frac{|w_1 - w_2|}{1 - |w_1||w_2|}, \\ \left| F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}(t+\theta_i)}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) \right| \leq \frac{\frac{1}{p_i^\sigma}}{1 - \frac{1}{p_i^\sigma}} |e^{\sqrt{-1}t} - 1| \leq \frac{|t|}{p_i^\sigma - 1} \end{array} \right] \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the independence of $\left\{ F\left(\frac{e^{(-\log p_i)}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, this, together with the choice of N_1 ,

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(\Omega_0) &= \prod_{i=1}^{N_1} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|F\left(\frac{e(-\log p_i)}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right)\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{N_1}\right) \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=N_1+1}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{e(-\log p_i)}{p_i^\sigma}\right)\right| < \varepsilon\right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we observe that on Ω_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{e(-\log p_i)}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - z_0\right| &= \left|\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left(F\left(\frac{e(-\log p_i)}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right)\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - z_0 + \sum_{i=N_1+1}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{e(-\log p_i)}{p_i^\sigma}\right)\right| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left|F\left(\frac{e(-\log p_i)}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right)\right| \\ &\quad + \left|\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} F\left(\frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_i}}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - z_0\right| + \left|\sum_{i=N_1+1}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{e(-\log p_i)}{p_i^\sigma}\right)\right| \\ &< N_1 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{N_1} + \varepsilon + \varepsilon \quad [\odot \text{ By (3.17)}] \\ &= 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(\frac{e(-\log p_i)}{p_i^\sigma}\right) - z_0\right| < 3\varepsilon\right) &\geq \mathbf{P}(\Omega_0) > 0. \\ &\parallel \\ p_\sigma\left(\{(x, y); |(x, y) - (\operatorname{Re} z_0, \operatorname{Im} z_0)| < 3\varepsilon\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ are arbitrary, the second assertion follows at once. \blacksquare

Before closing this chapter, we introduce some known results for the distribution of $p_\sigma(dx dy)$. The following is due to Jessen-Wintner [16]:

Fact 3.1 (i) $p_\sigma(dx dy)$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure, and its probability density $p_\sigma(x, y)$ is smooth.

(ii) When $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq 1$, $p_\sigma(x, y) > 0$ ($\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$). Also, when $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$, $p_\sigma(x, y)$ is real analytic.

(iii) For $\forall \alpha, \forall \beta \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\forall \lambda > 0$,

$$\left|\left(\frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial y^\beta} p_\sigma\right)(x, y)\right| = O(e^{-\lambda(x^2+y^2)}) \quad \text{as } x^2 + y^2 \rightarrow \infty.$$

Claim 3.3 is obvious from Fact 3.1(i).

For $l > 0$, put

$$R(l) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |\operatorname{Re} z|, |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq l\} = \{x + \sqrt{-1}y; |x|, |y| \leq l\}.$$

As $l \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\sum_p -\log(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus R(l) \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.18)$$

since $R(l) \nearrow \mathbb{C}$. When $\sigma > 1$, it is seen from Claim 3.4 that

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\sum_p -\log(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus R(l) \right) = 0, \quad l \gg 1.$$

So (3.18) is valid without taking the limit. On the other hand, when $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq 1$,

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\sum_p -\log(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus R(l) \right) > 0, \quad \forall l > 0.$$

Thus we have a question of determining the speed of the convergence (3.18). This has been solved by Hattori-Matsumoto [14]:

Fact 3.2 When $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(1-\sigma)l^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}(\log l)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}} \log \mathbf{P} \left(\sum_p -\log(1 - \frac{e(-\log p)}{p^\sigma}) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus R(l) \right) \\ &= - \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma} \int_0^\infty \log I_0(y^{-\sigma}) dy \right)^{-\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$I_0(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{x \cos u} du.$$

$I_0(\cdot)$ is the 0th modified Bessel function, i.e.,

$$I_0(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2m}.$$

The case where $\sigma = 1$ remains open, i.e., when $\sigma = 1$, we have no limit theorem as above.

For the proofs of Facts 3.1 and 3.2, we need much efforts [cf. [33]].