

5 Stationary phase method and pseudodifferential operators

5.1 Stationary phase method

In this section we shall give a brief review of the methods to study the asymptotic behavior of oscillatory integrals of type

$$(5.1.1) \quad I(R) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iR\phi(x)} f(x) dx$$

as $R > 0$ tends to infinity.

Here $f(x), \phi(x)$ are smooth functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n with $\phi(x)$ being real-valued.

First, we consider the case, when the phase function $\phi(x)$ has no critical points. More precisely, we consider the case, when there exist $\delta > 0, \delta \leq 1$ and $C > 0$ so that

$$(5.1.2) \quad |\nabla\phi(x)| \geq C^{-1} \langle x \rangle^\delta, \quad \langle x \rangle^2 = 1 + |x|^2,$$

$$(5.1.3) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \nabla\phi(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{\delta-|\alpha|}$$

for any $x \in \text{supp} f$.

Lemma 5.1.1 *Suppose the assumptions (5.1.2), (5.1.3) are fulfilled and $f(x)$ is a smooth function with compact support. Then for any integer $N \geq 0$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$|I(R)| \leq \frac{C}{R^N} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|\langle x \rangle^{-N\delta - N + |\alpha| + n/2 + \varepsilon} \partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Given any first order differential operator

$$L(x, \partial_x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x) \partial_{x_j} \right) + b(x),$$

we denote by L^* its adjoint operator with respect to the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e.

$$L^*(x, \partial_x) = - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \overline{a_j(x)} \partial_{x_j} \right) + \overline{b(x)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} \overline{a_j(x)}.$$

Therefore, for any couple f, g of smooth compactly supported functions on \mathbb{R}^n we have

$$(5.1.4) \quad (Lf, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = (f, L^*g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let $L(x, \partial_x)$ be the differential operator, such that its adjoint is

$$L^* = i^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial_{x_k} \phi}{|\nabla\phi|^2} \partial_{x_k},$$

where $\nabla = (\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n})$.

It is clear that

$$L^*(e^{iR\phi}) = Re^{iR\phi}.$$

Then (5.1.4) implies that

$$I(R) = \frac{1}{R^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iR\phi} L^N(f) dx.$$

In order to evaluate $L^N(f)$, we shall establish inductively with respect to N that L^N can be represented as

$$(5.1.5) \quad L^N = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} a_\alpha^N(x) \partial_x^\alpha,$$

where the coefficients satisfy suitable decay estimates. To formulate precisely this statement, given any real number m we denote by S^m the class of all smooth functions $a(x)$ such that for any multiindex β there exists $C = C(\beta)$ so that

$$|(\langle x \rangle \partial_x)^\beta a(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^m.$$

Our goal is to show that the coefficients in (5.1.5) satisfy

$$(5.1.6) \quad a_\alpha^N(x) \in S^{-\delta N - N + |\alpha|}.$$

For $N = 1$ we have

$$L = i^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial_{x_k} \phi}{|\nabla \phi|^2} \partial_{x_k} + b(x),$$

where $b(x)$ is constant times

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{x_k} (\partial_{x_k} \phi / |\nabla \phi|^2).$$

Therefore, we have to show that

$$(5.1.7) \quad \frac{\nabla \phi(x)}{|\nabla \phi(x)|^2} \in S^{-\delta}.$$

Indeed, consider the function

$$v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus 0 \rightarrow \chi(v) = v/|v|^2.$$

Then the function in (5.1.7) can be represented as $\chi(\nabla \phi)$. Moreover, for any multiindex α we can represent $\partial_x^\alpha \chi(\nabla \phi)$ as a linear combination of terms of type

$$(\partial_v^\beta \chi)(\nabla \phi) (\partial_x^{\gamma_1} \nabla \phi) \dots (\partial_x^{|\beta|} \nabla \phi)$$

with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha|$ and

$$\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_{|\beta|} = \alpha.$$

Since

$$|\partial_v^\beta \chi(v)| \leq C|v|^{-1-|\beta|}$$

and $|v| = |\nabla\phi| \geq C^{-1} \langle x \rangle^\delta$, we have

$$|(\partial_v^\beta \chi)(\nabla\phi)| \leq C_1 \langle x \rangle^{-\delta(1+|\beta|)}.$$

Applying (5.1.3), we find

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla\phi| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{\delta-|\alpha|}$$

so (5.1.7) is established. Using the trivial property

$$(5.1.8) \quad a \in S^m \implies \partial_x^\alpha a \in S^{m-\alpha},$$

we obtain (5.1.6) and this implies

$$|L^N(f)(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-\delta N - N + |\alpha|} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} |\partial_x^\alpha f(x)|.$$

Applying the Cauchy inequality, we complete the proof of the Lemma.

As an application we shall consider the oscillatory integral

$$(5.1.9) \quad A(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-i(z, \eta)} a(x+z, \xi+\eta) b(x, z, \xi, \eta) dz d\eta,$$

where $a(x, \xi)$ is a smooth function on $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ belonging to the class of symbols $S^{m,k}$, defined as follows.

Definition 5.1.1 *A smooth function $a(x, \xi)$ belongs to $S^{m,k}$, (m, k are real numbers) if for any integer $N \geq 0$ one can find a constant $C = C(N)$ so that*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{m-|\beta|} \langle x \rangle^{k-|\alpha|}$$

for $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N$.

Further, we set

$$S^{-\infty, -\infty} = \bigcap_{m,k} S^{m,k}.$$

Moreover,

$$b(x, z, \xi, \eta) = (1 - \varphi(\eta/(1+|\xi|)))(1 - \varphi(z/(1+|x|)))$$

where the cut-off function $\varphi(x)$ in (5.1.9) is such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1/4$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 1/2$.

We shall establish the following.

Lemma 5.1.2 *If $a \in S^{m,k}$, then the oscillatory integral A in (5.1.9) belongs to $S^{-\infty, -\infty}$.*

Proof. Taking $\phi(y, z) = (y, z)$, $R = 1$, we see that the oscillatory integral A has the form (5.1.1). Taking $\delta = 1$, we see that the assumptions (5.1.2) and (5.1.3) are fulfilled. Thus, for any integer $N \geq 1$ we have

$$|A(x, \xi)| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq N} \int \int B(x, z, \xi, \eta) dz d\eta,$$

where

$$(5.1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} B(x, z, \xi, \eta) = & (1 + |z|)^{-2N-2\delta+n+2\epsilon} \times \\ & \times (1 + |\eta|)^{-2N-2\delta+n+2\epsilon} |\partial_z^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta a(x + z, \xi + \eta)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The integration above is over $|z| \geq (1 + |x|)/4$ and $|\eta| \geq (1 + |\xi|)/4$. This observation implies that for any integer $N_1 \geq 1$ we have the estimate

$$|A(x, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-N_1} (1 + |\xi|)^{-N_1}.$$

In a similar way we estimate the derivatives of A and get

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta A(x, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-N_1} (1 + |\xi|)^{-N_1}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

In a similar way, we can consider the oscillatory integral

$$(5.1.11) \quad A(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i(z, \eta)} a_1(x, z, \xi, \eta) dz d\eta,$$

where

$$a_1(x, z, \xi, \eta) = a(x + z, \xi + \eta) (1 - \varphi(\eta/(1 + |\xi|))) \varphi(z/(1 + |x|)).$$

Now we can use the argument of the proof of Lemma 5.1.1 and use the operator

$$L = \left(\frac{\eta}{i|\eta|^2}, \nabla_z \right).$$

Then integrating by parts as it was done in Lemma 5.1.1, we get

$$|A(x, \xi)| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \int \int (1 + |\eta|)^{-N} |\partial_z^\alpha (\varphi(z/(1 + |x|)) a(x + z, \xi + \eta))| dz d\eta.$$

Here the integration is over $|z| \leq (1 + |x|)/2$ so on the integration domain the weights $1 + |x + z|$ and $1 + |x|$ are equivalent. Then the definition 5.1.1 shows that we have the estimate

$$|\partial_z^\alpha(\varphi(z/(1 + |x|))a(x + z, \xi + \eta))| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{k - |\alpha|}.$$

Choosing $N \geq 1$ sufficiently large, we get

Lemma 5.1.3 *If $a \in S^{m,k}$, then the oscillatory integral A in (5.1.11) belongs to $S^{-\infty, -\infty}$.*

Our next step is to consider the case when

$$(5.1.12) \quad I(R) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iR(Qx, x)} f(x) dx,$$

where Q is a constant symmetric invertible matrix. Then the assumption (5.1.2) is not satisfied. For this case stationary phase method gives the following.

Lemma 5.1.4 *For any real number $s > n/2$ we have the estimate*

$$|I(R)| \leq CR^{-n/2} \|f\|_{H^s}.$$

Proof. We have seen in (3.4.1) that the Fourier transform of the distribution $e^{iR(Qx, x)}$ is constant times

$$R^{-n/2} e^{-i(Q^{-1}\xi, \xi)/4R}.$$

Therefore, applying Plancherel identity, we get

$$|I(R)| \leq CR^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi.$$

Applying the Cauchy inequality, we complete the proof.

We can obtain asymptotic expansion for $I(R)$. In fact, we have the expansion

$$e^{-i(Q^{-1}\xi, \xi)/4R} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (-i/4R)^k (Q^{-1}\xi, \xi)^k / k! + r_N,$$

where the remainder $r_N(\xi)$ satisfies the estimate

$$|r_N(\xi)| \leq C_N |(Q^{-1}\xi, \xi)|^N R^{-N}.$$

Therefore, we have the asymptotic expansion

$$(5.1.13) \quad I(R) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} I_k(R) + \sigma_N(R),$$

where

$$I_k(R) = \frac{C_i^{-k}}{k!(4R)^{k+n/2}}(Q^{-1}D_x, D_x)f(0)$$

and the remainder $\sigma_N(R)$ satisfies the estimate

$$|\sigma_N(R)| \leq \frac{C_N}{R^{N+n/2}}\|f\|_{H^{2N+s}}$$

with $s > n/2$.

5.2 Pseudodifferential operators

Consider pseudodifferential operators of type

$$P_m(x, D_x)(f)(x) = \int e^{ix\xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $p(x, \xi) \in S^m$. Recall that (see [22]) the class S^m of symbols is formed by smooth functions $p(x, \xi)$ defined in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for any multiindices α and β there exists a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ so that

$$(5.2.1) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\beta|}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The real number m is called order of the operator.

The class $S^{-\infty}$ is the intersection of S^m for all real values of m .

Here below we shall list some simple properties of the class S^m .

Problem 5.2.1 *If $p(x, \xi) \in S^m$, then for any α, β we have*

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi) \in S^{m-|\beta|}.$$

Problem 5.2.2 *If $p \in S^m$ and $q \in S^k$, then*

$$pq \in S^{m+k}.$$

Problem 5.2.3 *If $p, q \in S^m$, then $p \pm q \in S^m$.*

Next we shall define asymptotic expansions in the classes of symbols. Let $P \in S^m$. Given any decreasing sequence $m_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ of real numbers with $m_0 = m$ and

$$m_j \rightarrow -\infty$$

and any sequence $P_{m_j} \in S^{m_j}$ of symbols, the asymptotic expansion

$$(5.2.2) \quad P \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_{m_j}$$

means that there exists (eventually another) decreasing sequence μ_j of real numbers with

$$\mu_j \rightarrow -\infty$$

such that for any integer $k \geq 1$ we have

$$(5.2.3) \quad P - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_{m_j} \in S^{\mu_k}.$$

Problem 5.2.4 *If*

$$p \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{m_j}$$

and

$$q \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{m_j}$$

show that $p - q \in S^{-\infty}$.

One of the basic points in the theory of pseudodifferential operators is the following.

Lemma 5.2.1 (see [22]) *Suppose $p_{m_j} \in S^{m_j}$, where $m_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is a decreasing sequence of real numbers, tending to $-\infty$. Then there exists a symbol $p \in S^{m_0}$, so that*

$$p \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{m_j}.$$

For simplicity we shall consider here only the case $p_{m_j} = p_{m_j}(\xi)$.

Lemma 5.2.2 *Suppose $p_{m_j}(\xi) \in S^{m_j}$, where $m_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is a decreasing sequence of real numbers, tending to $-\infty$. Then there exists a symbol $p(\xi) \in S^{m_0}$, so that*

$$p \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{m_j}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi(\xi)$ be a smooth function, such that $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1/2$ and $\varphi(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 1$. The key point in the proof is to find a decreasing sequence

$$\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0,$$

so that for any multiindex α with $|\alpha| \leq j$ we have

$$(5.2.4) \quad |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}(\varphi(\varepsilon_j \xi) p_{m_j}(\xi))| \leq 2^{-j} (1 + |\xi|)^{m_j - 1 - |\alpha|}.$$

In fact, we have the estimate

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \varphi(\varepsilon \xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}.$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Here the constant $C > 0$ is independent of ε . This estimate and the assumption $p_{m_j} \in S^{m_j}$ imply that we have

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} (\varphi(\varepsilon_j \xi) p_{m_j}(\xi))| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{m_j - |\alpha|}.$$

Since $m_j > m_{j-1}$ and $\varepsilon_j |\xi| \geq 1/2$, we get

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} (\varphi(\varepsilon_j \xi) p_{m_j}(\xi))| \leq C \varepsilon^{m_j - m_{j-1}} (1 + |\xi|)^{m_{j-1} - |\alpha|}.$$

Thus, choosing $C \varepsilon^{m_j - m_{j-1}} \leq 2^{-j}$, we obtain (5.2.4).

Taking

$$p(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - \varphi(\varepsilon_j \xi)) p_{m_j}(\xi),$$

we see that $p(\xi)$ is a well-defined smooth function.

Further, we have

$$p - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} p_j = - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (1 - \varphi(\varepsilon_j \xi)) p_{m_j} + R_r(\xi),$$

where the remainder

$$R_r(\xi) = \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} \varphi(\varepsilon_j \xi) p_{m_j}(\xi)$$

satisfies

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} R_r(\xi)| \leq 2^{-r} (1 + |\xi|)^{m_{r-1} - |\alpha|}.$$

Hence,

$$p - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} p_{m_j} \in S^{m_{j-1}}.$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Further, if P_m and Q_s are two pseudodifferential operators of orders m and s with symbols $p(x, \xi)$ and $q(x, \xi)$ respectively, then their product $P_m Q_s$ is a pseudodifferential operator of order $m + s$ with symbol (modulo symbols in $S^{-\infty}$)

$$(5.2.5) \quad \sum_{\alpha} (-i)^{|\alpha|} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} p(x, \xi) \partial_x^{\alpha} q(x, \xi) / \alpha!$$

Given any pseudodifferential operator of order m , we can study its kernel $k(x, y)$ defined by

$$P(f)(x) = \int k(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

Formally, the kernel is the following oscillatory integral

$$k(x, y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\xi} p(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

Lemma 5.2.3 *If $p \in S^m$, $m > -n$, then for any integer $M \geq 1$ we have*

$$|k(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{|x-y|^{n+m}} (1 + |x-y|)^{-M}$$

for $x \neq y$.

Proof. For simplicity we shall consider only the case of symbols $p = p(\xi)$, since in the general case $p(x, \xi)$ we can consider x as a parameter. Setting $z = x - y$, we shall evaluate the kernel

$$(5.2.6) \quad k(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iz\xi} p(\xi) d\xi.$$

Using integration by parts by means of the operators

$$(1 + |z|^2)^{-1} (1 - \Delta_\xi),$$

we see that the decay factor $(1 + |z|^2)^{-M}$ can be obtained, so it is sufficient to show that

$$(5.2.7) \quad |k(z)| \leq \frac{C(1 + |z|)^l}{|z|^{n+m}}$$

for some non-negative number $l \geq 0$ and for $z \neq 0$.

Let $\varphi(x)$ be a smooth compactly supported function, such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ near $x = 0$. Then

$$k(z) = k_1(z) + k_2(z),$$

where

$$k_1(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iz\xi} \varphi(|z|\xi) p(\xi) d\xi$$

and

$$k_2(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{iz\xi} (1 - \varphi(|z|\xi)) p(\xi) d\xi.$$

For $k_1(z)$, $m \geq 0$, we have

$$|k_1(z)| \leq \frac{C}{|z|^n} + \frac{C}{|z|^{n+m}} \leq \frac{C(1 + |z|^m)}{|z|^{n+m}}.$$

For $k_1(z)$, $m < 0$, we have

$$|k_1(z)| \leq \frac{C}{|z|^{n+m}}.$$

For $k_2(z)$ we integrate by parts by means of the operator $(z/|z|^2, \nabla_\xi)$ and get

$$|k_2(z)| \leq \frac{C}{|z|^N} \int_{|\xi| \geq |z|} |q(z, \xi)| d\xi,$$

where

$$q(z, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \partial_\xi^\alpha ((1 - \varphi(|z||\xi|))p(\xi)).$$

Since

$$|q(z, \xi)| \leq \frac{C(1 + |\xi|)^m}{|\xi|^N},$$

we get

$$|k_2(z)| \leq \frac{C(1 + |z|^m)}{|z|^{n+m}}$$

for $m \geq 0$ and

$$|k_2(z)| \leq \frac{C}{|z|^{n+m}}$$

for $0 > m > -n$. Thus the estimate (5.2.7) is established and this completes the proof of the Lemma.

For the case $m < -n$ we can get (following the proof of the previous Lemma)

Lemma 5.2.4 *If $p \in S^m, m < -n$, then for any integer $M \geq 1$ one can find a constant $C = C(M)$ so that*

$$|k(x, y)| \leq C(1 + |x - y|)^{-M}$$

for $x \neq y$.

Applying the Young inequality (2.4.15), combined with the estimate from the previous Lemma we get

$$(5.2.8) \quad \|P(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for any pseudodifferential operator P of order $< -n$.

In general, the above estimate is true for any pseudodifferential operator of order 0. (see Theorem 18.1.11 in [22] for the case $p = 2$ and Chapter XI in [60] for example). More precisely, it is possible to give a more precise expression of the constant C in the estimate (5.2.8). Namely, we have (see Chapter XI in [60])

$$(5.2.9) \quad C = C_0 \max_{|\alpha| \leq n+1, |\beta| \leq n+1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\beta|} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi)|,$$

where C_0 is universal constant, depending on the space dimension n and on p . This constant is independent of the symbol p .

From this estimate and the definition of the Sobolev space H_p^s it follows

$$(5.2.10) \quad \|P_m(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{H_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for any pseudodifferential operator P_m of order m .

It is easy to obtain the above estimate for the case of convolution type operator

$$P(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} p(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

In fact, applying Lemma 5.2.3, we easily see the assumptions of Stein's theorem 3.3.1 are satisfied, so P is a bounded operator in L^p .

Here below we shall use a slightly different class of symbols $S^{m,k}$. This class is used in the work of Cordes [9] and it consists of smooth functions defined in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, so that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\beta|} (1 + |x|)^{k-|\alpha|}.$$

We have the following simple properties of these classes. We have the inclusion

$$S^{m,k} \subset S^m,$$

if $k \leq 0$. Moreover, if $p(x, \xi) \in S^{m,k}$, then for any α, β we have

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi) \in S^{m-|\beta|, k-|\alpha|}.$$

Further if $p_j \in S^{m_j, k_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, then

$$p_1 p_2 \in S^{m_1+m_2, k_1+k_2}.$$

Set

$$S^{-\infty, -\infty} = \bigcap_{m,k} S^{m,k}.$$

The asymptotic expansions in the classes of symbols $S^{m,k}$ can be defined as follows. Let $P \in S^{m,k}$. Given any decreasing sequences $m_j, k_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ of real numbers with $m_0 = m, k_0 = k$ and

$$m_j \rightarrow -\infty, \quad k_j \rightarrow -\infty$$

and any sequence $P_j \in S^{m_j, k_j}$ of symbols, the asymptotic expansion

$$(5.2.11) \quad P \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_j$$

means that there exists (eventually another) decreasing sequences μ_j, ν_j of real numbers with

$$\mu_j \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \nu_j \rightarrow -\infty.$$

such that for any integer $k \geq 1$ we have

$$(5.2.12) \quad P - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_j \in S^{\mu_k, \nu_k}.$$

As above if we have two asymptotic expansions

$$p \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j$$

and

$$q \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j,$$

then $p - q \in S^{-\infty, -\infty}$.

Moreover, we have the following.

Lemma 5.2.5 *Suppose $p_j \in S^{m_j, k_j}$, where $m_j, k_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are a decreasing sequences or real numbers, tending to $-\infty$. Then there exists a symbol $p \in S^{m_0, k_0}$, so that*

$$p \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to take

$$p(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - \varphi(\delta_j x))(1 - \varphi(\varepsilon_j \xi)) p_j(x, \xi),$$

where δ_j, ε_j are suitable decreasing sequences tending to 0. To choose them, we can use the argument of the proof of Lemma 5.2.2.

This completes the proof.

Given any pseudodifferential operator P , we shall find the symbol of the adjoint operator P^* defined by

$$(Pf, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = (f, P^*g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Taking two smooth compactly supported functions f, g , we get

$$(Pf, g)_{L^2} = \int f(y) \overline{P^*(g)(y)} dy,$$

where

$$P^*(g)(y) = \int \int e^{i(y-x)\xi} \overline{p(x, \xi)} g(x) dx d\xi.$$

Hence, the adjoint operator is defined by

$$P^*(g)(x) = \int \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} \overline{p(y, \xi)} g(y) dy d\xi.$$

To show that P^* is also a pseudodifferential operator, it is necessary to show that modulo operators with symbols in $S^{-\infty, -\infty}$ we have

$$P^*(g)(x) = \int \int e^{ix\eta} q(x, \xi) g(y) dy d\xi.$$

In fact, using the representation

$$g(y) = c \int e^{iy\eta} \hat{g}(\eta) d\eta,$$

we see that $q(x, \xi)$ is given (formally!) by the oscillatory integral

$$q(x, \eta) = \int \int e^{i(x-y)(\xi-\eta)} \overline{p(y, \xi)} dy d\xi.$$

Setting $x - y = -z$, $\xi - \eta = \zeta$, we get

$$q(x, \eta) = \int \int e^{-iz\zeta} \overline{p(x+z, \eta+\zeta)} dz d\zeta.$$

Applying Lemma 5.1.2 and Lemma 5.1.3, we see it is sufficient to study the oscillatory integral

$$\tilde{q}(x, \eta) = \int \int e^{-iz\zeta} \overline{p(x+z, \eta+\zeta)} \varphi(z/(1+|x|)) \varphi(\zeta/(1+|\eta|)) dz d\zeta.$$

Here $\varphi(x)$ is a smooth compactly supported function such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ near $x = 0$.

Indeed, \tilde{q} is a well-defined smooth function. Setting

$$R_1 = 1 + |x|, \quad R_2 = 1 + |\eta|,$$

we have

$$R_1^{-n} R_2^{-n} \tilde{q}(x, \eta) = \int \int e^{-iR_1 R_2 z \zeta} \overline{p(x + R_1 z, \eta + R_2 \zeta)} \varphi(z) \varphi(\zeta) dz d\zeta.$$

Applying stationary phase method (see (5.1.13)), we get

$$q(x, \eta) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\partial_x, D_\eta)^k}{k!} \overline{p(x, \eta)}.$$

Once the asymptotic expansion of the adjoint operator is obtained, one can use the approach from [22] and obtain the results for the product of two pseudodifferential operators, L^p -boundedness as well as to construct parametrix for uniformly elliptic operators. We shall avoid a repetition of these standard steps and shall state only the needed results.

If p_1, p_2 are the symbols of the operators P_1, P_2 such that

$$p_j \in S^{m_j, k_j}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

then the product $P_1 P_2$ of these pseudodifferential operators has a symbol in $S^{m_1+m_2, k_1+k_2}$ and this symbol has an asymptotic expansion

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\partial_y, D_\eta)^k}{k!} (p_1(x, \eta) p_2(y, \xi))|_{y=x, \eta=\xi}.$$

If P is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol in $S^{0,0}$, then for $1 < p < \infty$ we have

$$\|P f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

This estimate follows from the inclusion $S^{0,0} \subset S^0$ and the corresponding standard L^p estimates for pseudodifferential operators with symbols in S^0 .

Given asymptotic expansion of the symbol $p \in S^{m,k}$

$$p \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j,$$

with $p_j \in S^{m-j, k-j}$, we shall call p_0 a principal symbol of p .

Assuming the principle symbol is uniformly elliptic, i.e. there exists a constant $\mu > 0$, so that

$$|p_0(x, \xi)| \geq \mu(1 + |\xi|)^m(1 + |x|)^k,$$

we can construct a parametrix for the corresponding pseudodifferential operator P . In this way we find a pseudodifferential operator $Q \in S^{-m, -k}$ so that $PQ - I$ and $QP - I$ are pseudodifferential operators with symbols in $S^{-\infty, -\infty}$.

Our next step is one variant of Gårding's inequality for pseudodifferential operators.

Theorem 5.2.1 *If P is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol $p \in S^{0,0}$ and if the principle symbol p_0 satisfies $p_0(x, \xi) \geq \mu > 0$ for any $x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$, then*

$$(Pu, u)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \geq -C \| \langle x \rangle^{-N} (1 - \Delta)^{-N} u \|_{L^2}^2$$

for any integer $N \geq 1$.

Proof. The symbol $q(x, \xi) = \sqrt{p(x, \xi)}$ belongs to $S^{0,0}$. This fact shows that one can find a pseudodifferential operator Q_0 , so that $Q_0^*Q_0 - P$ is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol in $S^{-1,-1}$. Using the fact that Q_0 is uniformly elliptic we can find a pseudodifferential operator Q_1 with symbol in $S^{-1,-1}$, so that

$$(Q_0^* + Q_1^*)(Q_0 + Q_1) - P$$

has a symbol in $S^{-2,-2}$. Continuing this procedure, we can find Q so that

$$Q^*Q - P = R$$

is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol in $S^{-\infty,-\infty}$. For any such R we have the estimate

$$\|Ru\|_{L^2} \leq C \| \langle x \rangle^{-N} (1 - \Delta)^{-N} u \|_{L^2}.$$

This completes the proof.