

The Gaussian Image of Mean Curvature One Surfaces in \mathbb{H}^3 of Finite Total Curvature

Pascal Collin, Laurent Hauswirth and Harold Rosenberg

Abstract.

The hyperbolic Gauss map G of a complete constant mean curvature one surface M in hyperbolic 3-space, is a holomorphic map from M to the Riemann sphere. When M has finite total curvature, we prove G can miss at most three points unless G is constant. We also prove that if M is a properly embedded mean curvature one surface of finite topology, then G is surjective unless M is a horosphere or catenoid cousin.

We consider complete surfaces M in hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 with mean curvature one and of finite total curvature. For a point $q \in M$, the Gauss map G sends q to the point at infinity obtained as the positive limit of the geodesic of \mathbb{H}^3 starting at q and having $\vec{H}(q)$ (the mean curvature vector of M at q) as its tangent at q . Bryant has shown that G is meromorphic on M and M admits a parametrization by meromorphic data analogous to the Weierstrass representation of minimal surfaces in Euclidean 3-space \mathbb{R}^3 [1], [4].

Yu [6] has shown that G can omit at most 4 points of the sphere at infinity S_∞ , unless M is a horosphere and G is constant. For complete minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 of finite total curvature, Osserman had shown that the Gauss map omits at most 3 points of the sphere, unless M is a plane. In this paper we establish a result of this type in \mathbb{H}^3 .

The conformal type of a complete surface of mean curvature one with finite total curvature in \mathbb{H}^3 is finite, i.e., M is conformally a compact Riemann surface \bar{M} with a finite number of points removed (called the punctures), but G does not necessarily extend meromorphically to the punctures. M is called regular when G does extend meromorphically to the punctures.

Our first result is then:

Theorem 1. *Let M be a complete surface immersed in \mathbb{H}^3 with mean curvature one and of finite total curvature. Then G can omit at most 3 points unless G is constant and M is a horosphere.*

Proof. If G is not regular, then G has an essential singularity at a puncture p_0 . By Picard's theorem, G can omit at most two values in a neighborhood of this puncture. Thus in the following we can assume that G is meromorphic on \overline{M} , i.e., M is regular.

Let (g, ω) be local Weierstrass data of the minimal cousin of M in \mathbb{R}^3 (cf. [1], [4] for the details). The induced metric on M is given by $ds = (1 + |g|^2)|\omega|$, and the holomorphic quadratic differential

$$Q = \omega dg$$

is globally defined on M and meromorphic at each puncture of M , with a pole at each puncture which is at worst of order 2. Since dG is meromorphic on \overline{M} (the conformal compactification of M), the 1-form $\omega^\# = -Q/dG$ is meromorphic on \overline{M} ; in a local conformal coordinate, $\omega^\# = -(g'(z)/G'(z)) \omega(z)$.

The Schwarzian quadratic differentials of g , G and Q are related on \overline{M} ([1], [4]):

$$(1) \quad S(g) - S(G) = 2Q,$$

where $S(g)(z) = ((g''/g')' - (1/2)(g''/g')^2) dz^2$. Writing $g(z) = a_0 + z^k(a_1 + a_2z + \dots)$, a calculation shows that $S(g)$ has at worst a pole of order 2 at z and the coefficient of dz^2/z^2 is $(1 - k^2)/2$.

Since Q is holomorphic on M , it follows from (1) that the branch points and non-simple poles of g and G on M coincide with each other and each of them has the same multiplicity (the branching order of g at z is defined to be $k - 1 = b_g(z)$). In particular, $\omega^\#$ has no poles on M .

We next observe that the zeros of $\omega^\#$ on M are the poles of G on M , and a pole of G of order k is a zero of $\omega^\#$ of order $2k$. First, suppose that $z \in M$ is a pole of G of order k . Then $k \geq 1$ and z may, or may not, be a pole of g . If it is a pole of g , then z is a pole of g of order k (by the Schwarzian derivative relation) and then is a zero of ω of order $2k$. Hence the order of a zero of $\omega^\#$ is of twice the order of the pole of G . If z is not a pole of g , then it is not a zero of ω but a zero of g' of order $k - 1$ and a pole of G' of order $k + 1$. Consequently $\omega^\#$ also has a zero whose order is twice the order of the pole of G . An analogous computation, in the case that G has no poles, implies that $\omega^\#$ is holomorphic and not zero.

Let p_1, \dots, p_r be the punctures, so $\overline{M} = M \cup \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$. After an isometry of \mathbb{H}^3 , we can suppose that G has only simple poles on M and

has no zeros or poles at the punctures. The metric

$$ds^\# = (1 + |G|^2)|\omega^\#|$$

is complete on \overline{M} , so $\omega^\#$ has a pole at each puncture [5]. The order of the pole of $\omega^\#$ at p_j is given by

$$P_{p_j}(\omega^\#) = \lambda_Q(p_j) + b_G(p_j),$$

where $Q(z) = (\gamma/(z - p_j)^{\lambda_Q(p_j)} + \dots)dz^2$ is the Laurent expansion of Q at p_j . Then the total order of the poles of $\omega^\#$ is

$$(2) \quad P(\omega^\#) = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_Q(p_j) + \sum_{j=1}^r b_G(p_j).$$

By Riemann's relation for $\omega^\#$ on \overline{M} , we have

$$(3) \quad P(\omega^\#) - 2N = 2 - 2s,$$

where N is the degree of G (so $2N$ is the order of zeros of $\omega^\#$, since G has N simple poles on M) and s is the genus of M .

Let q_1, \dots, q_k be the points of S_∞ omitted by G , so that $G^{-1}\{q_1, \dots, q_k\} \subset \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ (we write G also for the meromorphic extension of G to \overline{M}). Then we have

$$(4) \quad kN \leq \sum_{j=1}^r (1 + b_G(p_j)) \leq r + b,$$

where b is the total branching order of G . Here $1 + b_G(p_j)$ is the total number of times that G takes its value at p_j , counted with multiplicity.

Riemann's relation applied to the 1-form dG on \overline{M} yields:

$$(5) \quad 2N - b = 2 - 2s.$$

Now by Lemma 3 of [5], we have at each puncture p_j :

$$\lambda_Q(p_j) + b_G(p_j) \geq 2.$$

Then equation (2) gives:

$$(6) \quad P(\omega^\#) \geq 2r.$$

This last inequality together with the equations (3) and (5) yields:

$$P(\omega^\#) = 4N - b \geq 2r.$$

Then the equation (4) implies:

$$(7) \quad 4N - kN \geq r \geq 1,$$

and k is at most 3. □

Theorem 2. *Let M be a properly embedded surface in \mathbb{H}^3 with mean curvature one and of finite topology. If M is not a horosphere nor a catenoid cousin, then the Gauss map G of M is surjective.*

Proof. We know that M has finite total curvature and each end of M is regular [2]; also each end is asymptotic to an end of a horosphere or an end of a catenoid cousin. We also proved in [2] that the asymptotic boundary of an end is precisely the limiting value of G at the puncture. We can suppose M has at least two ends, since if M had only one end, the asymptotic boundary of M would be one point and M would be a horosphere [2].

We claim that each end of M is asymptotic to a catenoid cousin end. Suppose this were not true. Let E be an end of M asymptotic to a horosphere end. We work in the upper half-space model of \mathbb{H}^3 , $\{x_3 > 0\}$, and assume E is asymptotic to a horosphere $x_3 = c > 0$. In particular, the mean curvature vector of E points up outside of some compact set of E . There are no ends of M above E . Indeed, their mean curvature vector would also point up (each such end is asymptotic to a horizontal horosphere or a catenoid cousin end whose limiting normal points vertically up) and M separates \mathbb{H}^3 into two connected components, so no such end is above E .

Then for $\varepsilon > 0$, the part A of M above $c + \varepsilon$ is compact. At the highest point of A (if A were not empty) the mean curvature vector of M points down. But this highest point can be joined by an arc in $\mathbb{H}^3 \setminus M$, to a point of E where the mean curvature vector points up. Thus M is completely below $x_3 = c$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let C be a small circle in the plane $x_3 = c - \varepsilon$ so that C is above M . Just as in the proof of the half-space theorem for properly immersed minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 [3], one can take a family of catenoid cousin ends $C(\lambda)$, $\partial C(1) = C$ with $C(1)$ above M , and $C(\lambda)$ converges to the plane $x_3 = c - \varepsilon$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Then some $C(\lambda)$ would touch M at a point $q \in M$, and the maximum principle would yield M equals this catenoid cousin. Thus each end of M is asymptotic to a catenoid cousin.

Next, observe that G is injective on the set of punctures; two distinct ends can not be asymptotic to the same point at infinity. This follows from the fact that each end is asymptotic to a catenoid cousin end and

we know the direction of the mean curvature vector along the end. When M is embedded, M separates \mathbb{H}^3 and the mean curvature vector points into one of the components of the complement. Thus two ends can not be asymptotic to the same point at infinity.

Now, suppose that G is not surjective and omits a point q . Then there is exactly one catenoid cousin type end E of M asymptotic to q . Let $p \in \overline{M}$ be the puncture of E such that $G(p) = q$. We know G has local degree one at p . There is no other point $p' \in \overline{M}$ sent to q by G . For p' can not be a puncture of M , since G is injective on the punctures, and p' can not be a point of M because q is a value omitted. Hence the degree N of G on \overline{M} is one.

We use the same notation as in Theorem 1. At each puncture p_j of M , $\omega^\#$ has a pole exactly of order 2. So, by equation (3), we have

$$2r - 2 = 2 - 2s \text{ and } r + s = 2.$$

Then M is the catenoid cousin ($r = 2$) and Theorem 2 is proved. \square

References

- [1] R. Bryant, *Surfaces of mean curvature one in hyperbolic space*, Astérisque, **154-155** (1987), Soc. Math. France, 1988, 321–347.
- [2] P. Collin, L. Hauswirth and H. Rosenberg, *The geometry of finite topology Bryant surfaces*, Ann. of Math., **153** (2001), 623–659.
- [3] D. Hoffman and W. Meeks, *The strong half-space theorem for minimal surfaces*, Invent. Math., **101** (1990), 373–377.
- [4] M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Complete surfaces of constant mean curvature-1 in the hyperbolic 3-space*, Ann. of Math., **137** (1993), 611–638.
- [5] M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *A duality on CMC-1 surface in the hyperbolic 3-space and a hyperbolic analogue of the Osserman Inequality*, Tsukuba J. Math., **21** (1997), 229–237.
- [6] Z.-H. Yu, *The value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss map*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **125** (1997), 2997–3001.

P. Collin
Université Paul Sabatier
118, route de Narbonne
31062 Toulouse
France
`collin@picard.ups-tlse.fr`

L. Hauswirth
Université Marne-la-Vallée
Cité Descartes
5, boulevard Descartes
77454 Champs-sur-Marne
Marne-la-vallée
France
`hauswirth@math.univ-mlv.fr`

H. Rosenberg
Université de Paris 7
2 Place Jussieu
75005 Paris
France
`rosen@math.jussieu.fr`