

## Complete Integrability of the Geodesic Flows on Symmetric Spaces

Kiyotaka Ii and Shin-ichi Watanabe

### Introduction

The geodesic flow of a Riemannian manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n$  is said to be *completely integrable* if there exist real-valued  $C^\infty$ -functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  which satisfy

- (i)  $f_1$  is the function assigning to each cotangent vector the square of its length,
- (ii)  $\{f_i, f_j\} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , where  $\{, \}$  is the Poisson bracket, and
- (iii) the set of critical points of the map  $(f_1, \dots, f_n): T^*M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  has Liouville measure 0 in  $T^*M$  (cf. Def. 5.2.20 in [1]).

The classical examples of compact Riemannian manifolds with completely integrable geodesic flow are (a) compact surfaces of revolution, (b)  $SO(3)$  with left invariant metric, (c)  $n$ -dimensional ellipsoids with different principal axes and (d) flat tori (cf. [5]). It is also known that the geodesic flow of a Zoll surface, which is not necessarily a surface of revolution, is completely integrable. In [6], Weinstein showed that the geodesic flow of the  $n$ -dimensional sphere  $S^n$  of constant curvature is completely integrable. Recently, Thimm showed that the geodesic flows of the following homogeneous spaces are completely integrable (cf. [4], [5]): (a)  $G_{p,q}(\mathbf{R})$ , (b)  $G_{p,q}(\mathbf{C})$  (i.e., real and complex Grassmannians), (c)  $SU(n)/SO(n)$ , (d) a distance sphere in  $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ , (e)  $SO(n)/SO(n-2)$ . The method, exposed by Thimm, which allows the construction of families of first integrals in involution, is available for other homogeneous spaces.

In the present paper, we show that the geodesic flows of the following symmetric spaces are completely integrable: (a)  $SU(n)$ , (b)  $SO(n)$ , (c)  $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$ , (d)  $SO(2n)/U(n)$ . The procedure of the proof is as follows: Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  its dual space. The set  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  of all  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  has a naturally defined Poisson structure (§ 1). In the case that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $SU(n)$  or of  $SO(n)$ , we construct concretely a commutative Poisson subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  and a system  $\{F_j^{(i)}\}$  of its

generators (§ 2). In Section 3, we introduce a convex polyhedral domain  $D$  in a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^d$ ,  $d = (\dim \mathfrak{g} + \text{rank } \mathfrak{g})/2$ , and a map  $A: \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow D$ , so that the generators  $\{F_j^{(\zeta)}\}$  are obtained by the pull-back, by  $A$ , of the symmetric polynomial functions on  $D$ . In Section 4, for the symmetric spaces  $M$  mentioned above, we show that the image  $A \circ \mu(T^*M)$  of  $T^*M$  under the composite map of the moment map  $\mu: T^*M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  with  $A$ , is itself a convex polyhedral domain of dimension equal to that of  $M$ . Next, we determine a set of symmetric polynomial functions on  $D$ , of which restrictions to  $A \circ \mu(T^*M)$  are functionally independent. By the pull-back of these functions, we obtain a complete system of first integrals in involution for the geodesic flow of  $M$ . In our method, the polyhedral domain  $D$  plays a crucial role. By the introduction of this domain, the choice of a complete system and its independence become almost obvious. Here, we note that, as Thimm says in [5], the independence of the functions in question, i.e., (iii) in the definition of the complete integrability, was a difficult part to prove. In Appendix, it is stated that each point of a lattice in the convex polyhedral domain  $A \circ \mu(T^*M)$  is seen to correspond to a one-dimensional subspace of  $C^\infty(M)$ , which is obtained as a simultaneous eigen-space of a system of differential operators on  $M$ .

The authors wish to thank Professor T. Sakai and Professor T. Sunada, who kindly informed us of the work of Thimm, [4]. We also have been informed, when our paper was in typing, by Professor T. Sunada of the following paper: A. S. Mishchenko, Integration of geodesic flows on symmetric spaces, Math. Notes, 31 (1982), 132–134.

## § 1. Poisson algebra and moment map

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a commutative, associative algebra with identity over a field  $K$ . A Poisson bracket on  $\mathcal{F}$  is a binary operation on  $\mathcal{F}: (f_1, f_2) \mapsto \{f_1, f_2\}$  satisfying the following relations:

- (i)  $\{f, f\} = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\{f_1, f_2 f_3\} = \{f_1, f_2\} f_3 + f_2 \{f_1, f_3\}$ ,
- (iii)  $\{f_1, \{f_2, f_3\}\} + \{f_2, \{f_3, f_1\}\} + \{f_3, \{f_1, f_2\}\} = 0$  and
- (iv)  $\{k, f\} = 0$  for all  $k \in K, f, f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ .

$\mathcal{F}$  is called a Poisson algebra over  $K$  if a Poisson bracket is defined on  $\mathcal{F}$  (see [2]). Let  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  be the dual space of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over the field  $\mathbf{R}$  of real numbers. Then the algebra  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  of  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  has a Poisson structure defined as follows (cf. [2]): Let define a bilinear map  $\sigma_0: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  by  $(X, \sigma_0(Y, Z^*)) = ([X, Y], Z^*)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and a map  $\sigma: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*$  by  $\sigma(X, Z^*) = (\sigma_0(X, Z^*), Z^*)$ . Identifying  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}^*$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*$ ) with the cotangent (resp. tangent) bundle to  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , we may

consider  $\sigma$  to be a bundle map of these bundles. For any  $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ ,  $\sigma(df)$  is a tangent vector field to  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . If we define a bracket product on  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  by  $\{f_1, f_2\} = (\sigma(df_1))(f_2)$ , then we have

**Proposition 1.1** (cf. [2]).  *$C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  is a Poisson algebra under the bracket operation defined above. The ring  $C[\mathfrak{g}]$  of polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is a Poisson subalgebra of  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ .*

Note that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is naturally identified with a subspace of  $C[\mathfrak{g}]$  or of  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ .

**Proposition 1.2** (cf. [2]). *Let  $j_0$  be a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  to a Poisson algebra  $\Lambda$ . Then  $j_0$  is uniquely extended to a homomorphism  $j: C[\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \Lambda$  of Poisson algebras.*

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $j_0: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$  be a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Then  $j_0$  is uniquely extended to homomorphisms  $j: C[\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow C[\mathfrak{g}']$  and  $j: C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}'^*)$  of Poisson algebras.*

Let  $(P, \Omega)$  be a symplectic manifold with a symplectic action  $\Phi$  of a Lie group  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . For any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , a tangent vector field  $\rho_\Phi(X)$  to  $P$  is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \rho_\Phi(X)(f)|_p = [(d/dt)f \circ \Phi(\exp(-tX), p)]_{t=0},$$

for any  $f \in C^\infty(P)$  and  $p \in P$ . It is well-known that  $C^\infty(P)$  has a natural Poisson structure. A pair  $(\Phi, \tau)$  is called a Hamiltonian action of  $G$  on  $P$  if  $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(P)$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras satisfying the following condition:

$$(1.2) \quad \rho_\Phi(X)(f) = \{\tau(X), f\}$$

for all  $f \in C^\infty(P)$ . For each Hamiltonian action  $(\Phi, \tau)$  of  $G$  on  $P$ , the moment map  $\mu: P \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is defined by  $(X, \mu(p)) = (\tau(X))(p)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Proposition 1.4** (cf. [2]). *The map  $\mu^*: C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow C^\infty(P)$  induced by  $\mu$  is a homomorphism of Poisson algebras.*

There does not, in general, exist a Hamiltonian action  $(\Phi, \tau)$  for any symplectic action  $\Phi$ . However, in the following case, there exists a Hamiltonian action  $(\Phi, \tau)$  determined naturally by  $\Phi$ . Let  $M$  be a  $C^\infty$ -manifold and  $\varphi$  be a  $C^\infty$ -action of a Lie group  $G$  on  $M$ . Then  $\varphi$  induces a symplectic action  $\Phi$  of  $G$  on the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ . For any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , a tangent vector field  $\rho_\Phi(X)$  to  $M$  is defined in the same manner as (1.1). The cotangent space at  $m \in M$  is denoted by  $T_m^*M$ . If we define maps

$\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(T^*M)$  and  $\mu: T^*M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  by

$$(1.3) \quad (X, \mu(\alpha)) = (\tau(X))(\alpha) = (\rho_\varphi(X)_m, \alpha)$$

for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\alpha \in T_m^*M$ ,  $m \in M$ , then we have

**Proposition 1.5** (cf. [4]).  *$(\Phi, \tau)$  is a Hamiltonian action of  $G$  on  $T^*M$  and  $\mu$  is the corresponding moment map.*

If  $M$  has a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric and  $\mathfrak{g}$  has an  $(\text{Ad } G)$ -invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form, then  $T^*M$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  are identified naturally with  $TM$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$ , respectively. Thus,  $\mu$  induces a map of  $TM$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We shall identify this map with  $\mu$  and call it the moment map.

## § 2. The center and a commutative subalgebra of $C[\mathfrak{g}]$

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ ) is a  $G$ -module under the adjoint (resp. coadjoint) action of  $G$ . By Proposition 1.3, we have

**Proposition 2.1.** *The coadjoint action of  $G$  induces naturally automorphisms of Poisson algebras  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  and  $C[\mathfrak{g}]$ .*

Let  $Z^\infty$  and  $Z$  denote the centers of  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  and  $C[\mathfrak{g}]$ , respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} Z^\infty &= \{f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*) \mid \{f, f'\} = 0 \text{ for all } f' \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)\}, \\ Z &= \{f \in C[\mathfrak{g}] \mid \{f, f'\} = 0 \text{ for all } f' \in C[\mathfrak{g}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have  $Z = Z^\infty \cap C[\mathfrak{g}]$ . The following is easily obtained from the definition of the bracket product on  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *For any  $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ , the following conditions are equivalent to each other:*

- (i)  $f \in Z^\infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\{X, f\} = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,
- (iii)  $f$  is invariant under the coadjoint action of  $G$ .

If  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $B$  invariant under the adjoint action of  $G$ , then a linear map  $\iota: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is defined by  $(X, \iota(Y)) = B(X, Y)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . We shall identify  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  (resp.  $C[\mathfrak{g}]$ ) with  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp.  $C[\mathfrak{g}^*]$ ) under the isomorphism  $\iota^*$  induced from  $\iota$ . In the following, for the cases of  $\mathfrak{u}(i)$ ,  $\mathfrak{su}(i)$  and  $\mathfrak{so}(i)$ , we give standard generators of the centers as  $\text{Ad}$ -invariant functions on these Lie algebras.

(1) For the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{u}(i)$  of the unitary group  $U(i)$ , let  $F_j^{(i)} \in C[\mathfrak{g}_i^*]$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq i$ , be polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  defined by

$$\det(E_i - (-1)^{1/2} X \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^i F_j^{(i)}(X) \lambda^j.$$

Let  $Z_i$  denote the center of  $C[\mathfrak{g}_i]$ . Then we have (under the identification of  $C[\mathfrak{g}_i]$  with  $C[\mathfrak{g}_i^*]$ )  $Z_i = C[F_1^{(i)}, \dots, F_i^{(i)}]$ ; a ring of polynomials of  $i$ -variables.

(2) For the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{su}(i)$  of the special unitary group  $SU(i)$ , we have  $F_1^{(i)} = 0$  and  $Z_i = C[F_2^{(i)}, \dots, F_i^{(i)}]$ ; a ring of polynomials of  $(i-1)$ -variables.

(3) For the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{so}(i)$  of the special orthogonal group  $SO(i)$ , let  $F_{2j}^{(i)} \in C[\mathfrak{g}_i^*]$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ ,  $d = [i/2]$ , be polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  defined by

$$\det(E_i + X \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} F_{2j}^{(i)}(X) \lambda^{2j} + \hat{F}_{2d}^{(i)}(X) \lambda^{2d},$$

and  $F_{2d}^{(i)} = \hat{F}_{2d}^{(i)}$  for odd  $i$  and  $(F_{2d}^{(i)})^2 = \hat{F}_{2d}^{(i)}$  for even  $i$ . Here, note that, for even  $i$ , there exists actually a polynomial function  $F_{2d}^{(i)}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  satisfying  $(F_{2d}^{(i)})^2 = \hat{F}_{2d}^{(i)}$ . Then we have  $Z_i = C[F_2^{(i)}, \dots, F_{2d}^{(i)}]$ ; a ring of polynomials of  $d$ -variables.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  be either  $\mathfrak{u}(i)$  or  $\mathfrak{so}(i)$ . There exists a series of natural inclusions:  $\mathfrak{g}_n \supset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{g}_2 \supset \mathfrak{g}_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{so}(1) = \{0\}$ . Let  $\pi_i: \mathfrak{g}_n^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_i^*$  be the projection corresponding to the inclusion  $\mathfrak{g}_i \subset \mathfrak{g}_n$ . Let  $\pi_i^*: C[\mathfrak{g}_i] \rightarrow C[\mathfrak{g}_n]$  be the homomorphism of rings induced by  $\pi_i$ . Then, by Proposition 1.3, it is a homomorphism of Poisson algebras. We identify, under  $\pi_i^*$ ,  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_i^*)$  (resp.  $C[\mathfrak{g}_i]$ ) with the Poisson subalgebra  $\pi_i^*(C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_n^*))$  (resp.  $\pi_i^*(C[\mathfrak{g}_n])$ ) of  $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_n^*)$  (resp.  $C[\mathfrak{g}_n]$ ). Let denote the center of  $C[\mathfrak{g}_i]$  by  $Z_i$ , and the composed algebra of  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  by  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ . Then it is easily seen that  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g}_n)$  is a commutative subalgebra of the Poisson algebra  $C[\mathfrak{g}_n]$ . Let  $\{F_j^{(i)}\}$  be the generators of  $Z_i$  defined above. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\mathfrak{u}(n)) &= C[F_j^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n] \text{ and} \\ \Lambda(\mathfrak{so}(n)) &= C[F_{2j}^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq [i/2], 2 \leq i \leq n]. \end{aligned}$$

In the following section, we shall show that these generators are functionally independent.

**Remark.** Though we do not use in the present paper, we have the following:

**Proposition 2.3.**  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{u}(n))$  and  $\Lambda(\mathfrak{so}(n))$  are maximal commutative sub-

algebras of the Poisson algebras  $C[u(n)]$  and  $C[\mathfrak{so}(n)]$ , respectively.

For the symplectic group  $Sp(n)$ , we can easily construct a commutative subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{sp}(n))$  in the same manner as above. However, the fact corresponding to Proposition 2.3 does not hold.

§ 3. Eigenvalues and eigen-polynomials

For each  $a=(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ ,  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1}$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $z=(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$ , define a Hermitian matrix  $X=X(a, t, z)$  by

$$(3.1) \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & & 0 & \bar{z}_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & a_{n-1} & \bar{z}_{n-1} \\ z_1 & \cdots & z_{n-1} & t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the eigen-polynomial  $F_X$  of  $X$  is given by

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} F_X(\lambda) &= \det(\lambda E_n - X) \\ &= (\lambda - t) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda - a_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i \bar{z}_i \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1, j \neq i} (\lambda - a_j). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $X$ . Then the following relations hold:*

$$(3.3) \quad b_1 \geq a_1 \geq b_2 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1} \geq b_n.$$

*Conversely, for any  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \dots, b_n$  which satisfy (3.3), there exist  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $z=(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$  such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \det(\lambda E_n - X(a, t, z)) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - b_i).$$

*Proof.* To prove the first half, it is enough to show for the case  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_{n-1}$  and  $z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{n-1} \neq 0$ , since  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are continuous functions of  $a, t$  and  $z$ . In this case, we have

$$(3.5) \quad (-1)^i F_X(a_i) = (-1)^{i+1} z_i \bar{z}_i \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1, j \neq i} (a_i - a_j) > 0$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . From this, we have immediately  $b_1 > a_1 > b_2 > \dots > a_{n-1} > b_n$ . To prove the latter half, we consider the following two cases:

(i) The case of  $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_{n-1}$ . For any  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  which satisfy (3.3), let define  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $z=(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$  by  $t = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i$  and  $z_i = (-A_i)^{-1} B_i^{1/2}$ , where  $A_i = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1, j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)$  and  $B_i = \prod_{j=1}^n (b_j - a_i)$ .

Note that  $A_i \neq 0$  and  $-(A_i)^{-1}B_i \geq 0$ . Then (3.4) is satisfied for these  $t$  and  $z$ . (ii) General case. Assume that  $a=(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  satisfy (3.3). Define  $a'_1 > \dots > a'_{r-1}$ ,  $d(k)$  and  $e(k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq r-1$ , by  $a_i = a'_{k'}$  for  $d(k-1) < i \leq d(k)$  ( $d(0)=0$ ) and  $e(k) = d(k) - d(k-1)$ . Furthermore, define  $b'_1 \geq \dots \geq b'_r$  by

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - b_i) = \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (\lambda - a'_j)^{e(j)-1} \prod_{k=1}^r (\lambda - b'_k).$$

Then, since  $b'_1 \geq a'_1 \geq b'_2 \geq \dots \geq a'_{r-1} \geq b'_r$ , we can choose, as in (i),  $z'_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ , and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$(3.6) \quad \prod_{k=1}^r (\lambda - b'_k) = (\lambda - t) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (\lambda - a'_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} z'_i z'_i \prod_{1 \leq j \leq r-1, j \neq i} (\lambda - a'_j).$$

Let define  $z=(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$  by  $z_{d(k)} = z'_k$  and  $z_i = 0$  for  $i \neq d(k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq r-1$ . Multiplying  $\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (\lambda - a'_j)^{e(j)-1}$  to the both hand sides of (3.6), we obtain (3.4) for  $X=X(a, t, z)$ . q.e.d.

For each  $a=(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ ,  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1}$ , let define real-valued functions  $F_{a,1}, \dots, F_{a,n}$  and  $A_{a,1} \geq A_{a,2} \geq \dots \geq A_{a,n}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^{2n-1} = \{(t, x, y) | x=(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), y=(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})\}$  by

$$F_X(\lambda) = \lambda^n + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i F_{a,i} \lambda^{n-i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - A_{a,i}),$$

where  $X=X(a, t, z)$ ,  $z=(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$  and  $z_i = x_i + (-1)^{i/2} y_i$ .  $F_{a,i}$  is a polynomial function and  $A_{a,i}$  is a continuous function. Furthermore, let define a subset  $L_a$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{2n-1}$  by

$$L_a = \left\{ p=(t, x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n-1} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i - A_{a,i}(p))(a_i - A_{a,i+1}(p)) = 0 \right\}$$

and the Jacobi matrix  $J_a$  by

$$J_a = \partial(F_{a,1}, \dots, F_{a,n}) / \partial(t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}).$$

Then we have

**Proposition 3.2.**

$$L_a = \{ p=(t, x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n-1} \mid \text{rank}(J_a(p)) \leq n-1 \}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F_a$  be a polynomial of the variables  $(t, x, y, \lambda)$  defined by  $F_a(t, x, y, \lambda) = F_{X(a,t,z)}(\lambda)$ , and  $f_a, f_{a,i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , be polynomials of  $\lambda$  defined by

$$f_a(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda - a_i), \quad f_{a,i}(\lambda) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1, j \neq i} (\lambda - a_j).$$

Then we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial F_a(t, x, y, \lambda) / \partial t = -f_a(\lambda), \\ \partial F_a(t, x, y, \lambda) / \partial x_i = -2x_i f_{a,i}(\lambda), \\ \partial F_a(t, x, y, \lambda) / \partial y_i = -2y_i f_{a,i}(\lambda). \end{cases}$$

$n$  polynomials  $f_a$  and  $f_{a,i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , are linearly independent in the ring  $R[\lambda]$  of polynomials if and only if

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} (a_i - a_j) \neq 0.$$

From this and (3.7), it follows that  $\text{rank}(J_a(p)) = n$  if and only if  $a_1 > \dots > a_{n-1}$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i^2 + y_i^2) \neq 0$  for  $p = (t, x, y)$ . On the other hand, by the definition of  $A_{a,i}$ , we have that  $(a_i - A_{a,i}(p))(a_i - A_{a,i+1}(p)) \neq 0$  if and only if  $a_{i-1} < a_i < a_{i+1}$  and  $z_i \neq 0$ , where  $a_0 = -\infty$ ,  $a_n = +\infty$ . From these, our assertion follows. q.e.d.

For a Hermitian matrix  $C$  of degree  $n-1$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$ , define a Hermitian matrix  $X_C = X_C(t, z)$  of degree  $n$  by

$$X_C = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \bar{z}_1 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & C & & \bar{z}_{n-1} \\ z_1 & \dots & z_{n-1} & t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1}$  be the eigenvalues of  $C$ . Then, by Proposition 3.1, we have

**Proposition 3.3.** *The eigenvalues  $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_n$  of  $X_C$  satisfy*

$$(3.8) \quad b_1 \geq a_1 \geq b_2 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1} \geq b_n.$$

*Conversely, for any  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  which satisfy (3.8), there exist  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $z \in \mathbf{C}^{n-1}$  such that the eigenvalues of  $X_C(t, z)$  are  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ .*

We put  $z_i = x_i + (-1)^{1/2} y_i$ ,  $x_i, y_i \in \mathbf{R}$ , and consider  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  to be functions of  $t, x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$ . Let  $F_{C,i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , be the  $i$ -th fundamental symmetric polynomial of  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ . We consider  $F_{C,i}$  to be a polynomial function on  $\mathbf{R}^{2n-1}$ . Define the Jacobi matrix  $J_C$  and a subset  $L_C$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{2n-1}$  by

$$J_C = \partial(F_{C,1}, \dots, F_{C,n}) / \partial(t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$$

and

$$L_C = \left\{ p = (t, x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n-1} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i - b_i(p)) (a_i - b_{i+1}(p)) = 0 \right\}.$$

Then, by Proposition 3.2, we have

**Proposition 3.4.**

$$L_C = \{ p = (t, x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n-1} \mid \text{rank}(J_C(p)) \leq n-1 \}.$$

For any skew-Hermitian matrix  $X$  of degree  $n$ , i.e.,  $X \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$ , the matrix consisting of the first  $i$  rows and columns of  $X$  is denoted by  $X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Note that  $X_i \in \mathfrak{u}(i)$ . Let  $F_j^{(i)}$  and  $A_j^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$ , be functions on  $\mathfrak{u}(n)$  defined by

$$\det(E_i - (-1)^{i/2} X_i \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^i F_j^{(i)}(X) \lambda^j = \prod_{j=1}^i (1 + A_j^{(i)}(X) \lambda), \quad \text{and}$$

$$A_1^{(i)} \geq \dots \geq A_i^{(i)}.$$

Then  $F_j^{(i)}$  is a polynomial function and  $A_j^{(i)}$  is a continuous function. Let  $d = n(n+1)/2$  and  $(x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)}; x_1^{(n-1)}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{(n-1)}; \dots; x_1^{(1)})$  be the standard coordinates of  $\mathbf{R}^d = \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{R}^1$ . We define maps  $A: \mathfrak{u}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$ ,  $F: \mathfrak{u}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $S: \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^d$  and a subset  $D$  of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A(X) &= (A_j^{(i)}(X) \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n), \\ F(X) &= (F_j^{(i)}(X) \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n), \\ S(x_j^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n) &= (y_j^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_j^{(i)}$  are determined by  $\prod_{j=1}^i (1 + x_j^{(i)} \lambda) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^i y_j^{(i)} \lambda^j$ , and

$$(3.9) \quad D = \{ (x_j^{(i)}) \in \mathbf{R}^d \mid x_{j-1}^{(i)} \geq x_{j-1}^{(i-1)} \geq x_j^{(i)}, 2 \leq j \leq i \leq n \}.$$

$F$  and  $S$  are polynomial maps and  $A$  is a continuous map. The following theorem is obtained directly from Propositions 3.3 and 3.4.

**Theorem 3.5.** *We have  $S \circ A = F$  and  $D = \text{Image}(A)$ . The map  $S|_D: D \rightarrow \text{Image}(F)$  is a homeomorphism, and hence  $\text{Image}(F)$  contains a non-empty open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . Moreover, the set of degenerate points of the differential  $dF$  of  $F$  coincides with the inverse image  $A^{-1}(\partial D)$  of the boundary  $\partial D$  of  $D$ .*

**Corollary 3.6.** *The polynomial functions  $\{F_j^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n\}$  on  $\mathfrak{u}(n)$  are functionally (and hence algebraically) independent. Therefore, the subring  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{u}(n))$  defined in Section 2 is isomorphic to a ring of polynomials of  $n(n+1)/2$ -variables.*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a negative-definite symmetric bilinear form on  $u(n)$  defined by  $B(X, Y) = \text{tr}(XY)$ . Then the projection  $\pi_i: \mathfrak{g}_n^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_i^*$  defined in Section 2 is identified with the orthogonal projection  $\pi'_i: \mathfrak{g}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then our assertion follows directly from Theorem 3.5. q.e.d.

As to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  of the special orthogonal group  $SO(n)$  of degree  $n$ , the similar arguments as above also hold: For any  $X \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$ ,  $X_i$  denotes the matrix consisting of the first  $i$  rows and columns of  $X$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $F_{2j}^{(i)}$  and  $A_j^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq [i/2]$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$ , be polynomial functions and continuous functions on  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ , respectively, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \det(E_i + X_i \lambda) &= 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{[i/2]-1} F_{2j}^{(i)}(X) \lambda^{2j} + \hat{F}_{2[i/2]}^{(i)}(X) \lambda^{2[i/2]} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{[i/2]} (1 + (A_j^{(i)}(X))^2 \lambda^2), \end{aligned}$$

and for odd  $i$ ,  $F_{2[i/2]}^{(i)} = \hat{F}_{2[i/2]}^{(i)}$ ,  $A_1^{(i)} \geq A_2^{(i)} \geq \dots \geq A_{[i/2]}^{(i)} \geq 0$ , for even  $i$ ,  $(F_{2[i/2]}^{(i)})^2 = \hat{F}_{2[i/2]}^{(i)}$ ,  $A_1^{(i)} \geq \dots \geq A_{[i/2]-1}^{(i)} \geq |A_{[i/2]}^{(i)}|$ ,  $F_{2[i/2]}^{(i)} = A_1^{(i)} A_3^{(i)}, \dots, A_{[i/2]}^{(i)}$ . Let  $d = \sum_{i=2}^n [i/2] = (n(n-1)/2 + [n/2])/2$  and  $(x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_{[n/2]}^{(n)}; x_1^{(n-1)}, \dots, x_{[(n-1)/2]}^{(n-1)}; \dots; x_1^{(2)})$  be the standard coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We define maps  $A: \mathfrak{so}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $F: \mathfrak{so}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $S: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and a subset  $D$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A(X) &= (A_j^{(i)}(X) \mid 1 \leq j \leq [i/2], 2 \leq i \leq n), \\ F(X) &= (F_{2j}^{(i)}(X) \mid 1 \leq j \leq [i/2], 2 \leq i \leq n), \\ S(x_j^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq [i/2], 2 \leq i \leq n) &= (y_j^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq [i/2], 2 \leq i \leq n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_j^{(i)}$  is the  $j$ -th fundamental symmetric polynomial of  $(x_1^{(i)})^2, \dots, (x_{[i/2]}^{(i)})^2$  for  $1 \leq j < i/2$  and  $y_{i/2}^{(i)} = x_1^{(i)} x_2^{(i)} \dots x_{i/2}^{(i)}$  for even  $i$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} D = \{ (x_j^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid &x_1^{(i)} \geq x_1^{(i-1)} \geq x_2^{(i)} \geq x_2^{(i-1)} \geq \dots \geq x_{[(i-1)/2]}^{(i)} \geq |x_{[i/2]}^{(i-1)}| \\ &\text{for odd } i, \text{ and } x_1^{(i)} \geq x_1^{(i-1)} \geq x_2^{(i)} \geq x_2^{(i-1)} \geq \dots \geq x_{[(i-1)/2]}^{(i-1)} \geq |x_{i/2}^{(i)}| \\ &\text{for even } i, 2 \leq i \leq n \}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.7.** *We have  $S \circ A = F$  and  $D = \text{Image}(A)$ . The map  $S|_D: D \rightarrow \text{Image}(F)$  is a homeomorphism, and hence  $\text{Image}(F)$  contains a non-empty open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Moreover, the set of degenerate points of the differential  $dF$  of  $F$  coincides with the inverse image  $A^{-1}(\partial D)$  of the boundary  $\partial D$  of  $D$ .*

**Corollary 3.8.** *The polynomial functions  $\{F_{2j}^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq [i/2], 2 \leq i \leq n\}$  on  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  are functionally (and hence algebraically) independent. Therefore,*

the subring  $A(\mathfrak{so}(n))$  defined in Section 2 is isomorphic to a ring of polynomials of  $(n(n-1)/2 + [n/2])/2$ -variables.

§ 4. Symmetric spaces

In the present section, we shall consider, for every symmetric spaces  $M$  obtained as the quotient spaces of the groups  $U(n)$ ,  $SU(n)$  and  $SO(n)$ , the following:

- (1) a realization of  $M$  in a Euclidean space,
- (2) the moment map  $\mu: TM (\cong T^*M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} (\cong \mathfrak{g}^*)$ ,
- (3) the image of the map  $A \circ \mu: TM \rightarrow D$ , and
- (4) a complete system of first integrals in involution for the geodesic flow of  $M$ .

For the sake of simplicity, we give the proofs for only a few cases.

(I) Complex Grassmannian manifold

$$M = G_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}) = U(p+q)/U(p) \times U(q) \quad (1 \leq p \leq q).$$

We consider  $M$  to be the set of all orthogonal projections of rank  $p$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n = p + q$ .  $M$  is realized in the space  $(\mathbb{C})_n$  of all complex matrices of degree  $n$  as follows:

$$M = \{X \in (\mathbb{C})_n \mid X^* = X, X^2 = X, \text{tr } X = p\}.$$

The tangent bundle  $TM$  is realized in  $(\mathbb{C})_n \times (\mathbb{C})_n$  as follows:

$$TM = \{(X, Y) \in M \times (\mathbb{C})_n \mid Y^* = Y, XY + YX = Y\}.$$

The action  $\varphi: U(n) \times M \rightarrow M$  of  $U(n)$  on  $M$  is given by  $\varphi(g, X) = gXg^{-1}$ . For each  $X \in M$ , the linear map  $\psi_X: \mathfrak{u}(n) \rightarrow T_X M$  defined by  $\psi_X(Z) = \rho_p(Z)|_X$  is given by  $\psi_X(Z) = [Z, X]$ . By Proposition 1.5, the restriction of the moment map to  $T_X M$  coincides with the dual map of  $\psi_X$ . If we define a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  and an  $\text{Ad } U(n)$ -invariant, negative-definite symmetric bilinear form  $B$  on  $\mathfrak{u}(n)$  by

$$g_X(Y_1, Y_2) = \text{tr}(Y_1 Y_2) \quad \text{for } (X, Y_i) \in TM, i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$B(Z_1, Z_2) = \text{tr}(Z_1 Z_2) \quad \text{for } Z_i \in \mathfrak{u}(n), i = 1, 2,$$

respectively, then we have

$$g_X(\psi_X(Z), Y) = \text{tr}([Z, X]Y) = \text{tr}(Z[X, Y]) = B(Z, [X, Y])$$

for  $(X, Y) \in TM$ ,  $Z \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$ . It follows that the moment map  $\mu: TM \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n)$  is given by

$$\mu(X, Y) = [X, Y].$$

**Proposition 4.1.**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Image}(A \circ \mu) = \{ & (x_j^{(i)}) \in D \mid x_i^{(n)} + x_{n-i+1}^{(n)} = x_j^{(n)} = 0, \\ & 1 \leq i \leq p, p < j \leq n-p \}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $(X, Y) \in TM$ , we have  $\dim(XC^n) = p$ ,  $\dim((E_n - X)C^n) = q$ ,  $(XC^n)^\perp = (E_n - X)C^n$ ,  $XY^2 = (Y - YX)Y = Y(Y - XY) = Y^2X$  and  $Y^2XC^n = XY^2C^n \subset XC^n$ . Since  $Y$  is a Hermitian matrix, the eigenvalues of  $Y^2$  are all non-negative. Let  $a_i^2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , be the eigenvalues of  $Y^2$  in  $XC^n$ , where  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_r > a_{r+1} = \dots = a_p = 0$ , and  $\alpha_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , be the corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors. Since  $YXC^n = (Y - XY)C^n = (E_n - X)YC^n \subset (E_n - X)C^n$ , we have  $Y\alpha_i \in (E_n - X)C^n = (XC^n)^\perp$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ .  $\beta_i = (a_i)^{-1}Y\alpha_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , are orthonormal and  $Y\alpha_i = 0$  for  $i \geq r+1$ . Extend  $\beta_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , to an orthonormal basis  $\{\beta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq q\}$  of  $(E_n - X)C^n$ . Then we have  $Y\alpha_i = a_i\beta_i$ ,  $Y\beta_i = a_i\alpha_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$  and  $Y\beta_i = 0$  for  $p < i \leq q$ . Let  $Y_1 = \mu(X, Y) = [X, Y]$ . Then we have  $Y_1\alpha_i = -a_i\beta_i$ ,  $Y_1\beta_i = a_i\alpha_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$  and  $Y_1\beta_j = 0$  for  $p < j \leq q$ . It follows that the eigenvalues of  $-(-1)^{1/2}Y_1$  are  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_p \geq 0 = \dots = 0 \geq -a_p \geq \dots \geq -a_1$ . Conversely, for any  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_p \geq 0$  and any orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}$  of  $C^n$ , define  $X, Y \in (C)_n$  by  $Xe_i = e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ ,  $Xe_j = 0$ ,  $p < j \leq n$ ,  $Ye_i = a_i e_{p+i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ ,  $Ye_{p+i} = a_i e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$  and  $Ye_j = 0$ ,  $2p < j \leq n$ . Then  $(X, Y) \in TM$  and the eigenvalues of  $-(-1)^{1/2}\mu(X, Y)$  are  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_p \geq 0 = \dots = 0 \geq -a_p \geq \dots \geq -a_1$ . As  $\{e_i\}$  runs over all orthonormal basis of  $C^n$ ,  $\mu(X, Y)$  runs over all elements of  $\mathfrak{u}(n)$  which have the eigenvalues as above. From this, our assertion follows easily. q.e.d.

Let  $F_j^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$ , be the functions defined in Section 3, and  $\mu_j^{(i)} = \mu^*(F_j^{(i)})$  be the pull-back of  $F_j^{(i)}$  by the moment map  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *The following functions on  $TG_{p,q}(C)$  are functionally independent:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{2j}^{(n)}, 1 \leq j \leq p, \\ \mu_j^{(i)}, 2p \leq i < n, 1 \leq j \leq 2p \text{ and} \\ \mu_j^{(i)}, 1 \leq i < 2p, 1 \leq j \leq i. \end{aligned}$$

*The number of these functions is*

$$p + 2p(q-p) + ((2p-1) + (2p-2) + \dots + 2 + 1) = 2pq = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} G_{p,q}(C).$$

The other  $\mu_j^{(i)}$ 's vanish identically.  $-2\mu_3^{(n)}$  is the function assigning to each tangent vector the square of its length. Thus, the above functions provide a complete system of first integrals in involution for the geodesic flow of  $G_{p,q}(\mathbf{C})$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 4.1 and the definition of  $D$  in Section 3, we have that  $x_j^{(i)}=0$  for  $2p \leq i \leq n, p < j \leq i-p$ . Our assertion follows easily from this. q.e.d.

In the following,  $(\mu_1^{(n)})^2 - 2\mu_2^{(n)}$  is always the function assigning to each tangent vector the square of its length, so we shall not state it one by one.

(II)  $\tilde{M} = U(n)/O(n), M = SU(n)/SO(n)$

Let  $J$  be the complex structure of the vector space  $\mathbf{C}^n \cong \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ . Then we have  $J^2 = -E_{2n}$  and  ${}^tJ = -J$ .  $\tilde{M}$  is realized in  $(\mathbf{R})_{2n}$  as follows:

$$\tilde{M} = \{P \in (\mathbf{R})_{2n} \mid PJ + JP = 0, P^2 = E_{2n}, {}^tP = P\}.$$

Hence,  $T\tilde{M}$  is realized in  $\tilde{M} \times (\mathbf{R})_{2n}$  as follows:

$$T\tilde{M} = \{(P, X) \in \tilde{M} \times (\mathbf{R})_{2n} \mid XJ + JX = 0, PX + XP = 0, {}^tX = X\}.$$

The moment map  $\mu: T\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n)$  is given by  $\mu(P, X) = [P, X]$ .

**Proposition 4.3.**

$$\text{Image}(A \circ \mu) = D.$$

**Theorem 4.4.** The functions  $\mu_j^{(i)} = \mu^*(F_j^{(i)})$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$ , on  $T\tilde{M}$  are functionally independent. The number of these functions is  $d = n(n+1)/2 = \dim \tilde{M}$ . On  $TM$ ,  $\mu_1^{(n)}$  vanishes identically, and the other  $\mu_j^{(i)}$ 's are independent. Thus, the geodesic flows of  $\tilde{M}$  and  $M$  are completely integrable.

(III)  $\tilde{M} = U(2n)/Sp(n), M = SU(2n)/Sp(n)$

Let  $J$  be the complex structure of the vector space  $\mathbf{C}^{2n} = \mathbf{R}^{4n}$ . Then we have that  $J^2 = -E_{4n}$  and  ${}^tJ = -J$ .  $\tilde{M}$  and  $T\tilde{M}$  are realized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M} &= \{P \in (\mathbf{R})_{4n} \mid {}^tP + P = JP + PJ = 0, P^2 = -E_{4n}\}, \\ T\tilde{M} &= \{(P, X) \in \tilde{M} \times (\mathbf{R})_{4n} \mid {}^tX + X = JX + XJ = PX + XP = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The moment map  $\mu: T\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n)$  is given by  $\mu(P, X) = PX$ .

**Proposition 4.5.**

$$\text{Image}(A \circ \mu) = \{(x_j^{(i)}) \in D \mid x_{2j-1}^{(2n)} = x_{2j}^{(2n)}, 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

*Proof.* For each  $(P, X) \in T\tilde{M}$ , let  $A = -JPX$ . Then  $A$  is a symmetric matrix;  ${}^tA = XPJ = A$ . It follows that the eigenvalues of  $A$  are real numbers. Let  $a_i$  be the maximal eigenvalue of  $A$  and  $v_i \in \mathbf{R}^{4n}$ ,  $\|v_i\| = 1$ , be the corresponding eigenvector. Then  $\{v_i, Jv_i, Pv_i, JPv_i\}$  are orthonormal and span a vector space  $L$  over  $\mathbf{R}$ . Since  $A$  commutes with  $J$  and  $P$ , we have  $Av = a_iv$  for all  $v \in L$ , i.e., the eigenvalues of  $A$  on  $L$  are  $a_i, a_i, a_i, a_i$ . If we consider  $L$  to be a 2-dimensional complex vector space with respect to the action of  $C = \mathbf{R}[J]$  and  $A$  to be a matrix of complex coefficients, then the eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $a_i, a_i$ . The orthogonal complement  $L^\perp$  of  $L$  is invariant under the actions of  $J, P$  and  $A$ . We can apply a similar arguments as above to  $L^\perp$ . Consequently, we have that the eigen-polynomial of  $A$  is given by  $(\lambda - a_1)^2(\lambda - a_2)^2 \cdots (\lambda - a_n)^2$ . Conversely, for any  $a_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and any orthonormal basis  $\{e_i | 1 \leq i \leq 2n\}$  of  $C^{2n}$  ( $\cong \mathbf{R}^{4n}$ ), define  $P, X \in (\mathbf{R})_{4n}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} Pe_{2i-1} &= e_{2i}, & Xe_{2i-1} &= a_iJe_{2i}, \\ Pe_{2i} &= -e_{2i-1}, & Xe_{2i} &= -a_iJe_{2i-1}, \\ PJe_{2i-1} &= -Je_{2i}, & XJe_{2i-1} &= a_ie_{2i}, \\ PJe_{2i} &= Je_{2i-1}, & XJe_{2i} &= -a_ie_{2i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Here note that  $\{e_i, Je_i | 1 \leq i \leq 2n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbf{R}^{4n}$ . Then we have  $(P, X) \in T\tilde{M}$  and

$$\det(\lambda E_{2n} + (-1)^{1/2}B) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - a_i)^2,$$

where  $B = \mu(P, X) = PX$ .

q.e.d.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the ring of polynomials of variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . If we define  $F_i, G_j \in C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2n, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , by

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} F_i \lambda^i = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i \lambda)^2 = A$$

and

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^n G_i \lambda^i = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i \lambda) = B,$$

then we have

$$C[F_1, \dots, F_{2n}] = C[F_1, \dots, F_n] = C[G_1, \dots, G_n]$$

as subrings of  $C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

*Proof.* Comparing the coefficients of  $A$  and  $B^2$ , we have

$$(4.1) \quad F_i = \sum_{p+q=i, p, q \geq 0} G_p G_q, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2n,$$

where  $G_0 = 1$ ,  $G_p = 0$  if  $p > n$ . It follows that  $F_i \in C[G_1, \dots, G_n]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ . Next, by the induction with respect to  $i$ , we show that  $G_i \in C[F_1, \dots, F_n]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For  $i = 1$ , we have  $G_1 = F_1/2$ . Fix  $i$ ,  $1 < i \leq n$ , and assume that  $G_j \in C[F_1, \dots, F_n]$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$ . Then, by (4.1), we have

$$G_i = \frac{1}{2} \left( F_i - \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} G_p G_{i-p} \right) \in C[F_1, \dots, F_n].$$

q.e.d.

The following lemma is proved similarly as above.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $C[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$  be the ring of polynomials of variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$ . If we define  $F_i, G_j, H_k \in C[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n + m$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n F_i \lambda^i &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i \lambda), \\ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m G_i \lambda^i &= \prod_{i=1}^m (1 + y_i \lambda) \quad \text{and} \\ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} H_i \lambda^i &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i \lambda) \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + y_j \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$C[F_1, \dots, F_n, G_1, \dots, G_m] = C[F_1, \dots, F_n, H_1, \dots, H_{n+m}]$$

as subrings of  $C[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** The following functions on  $T\tilde{M}$  are functionally independent:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i^{(2n)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \mu_j^{(2n-1)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \quad \text{and} \\ \mu_j^{(i)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2n-2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq i. \end{aligned}$$

The number of these functions is

$$n + (n-1) + ((2n-2) + (2n-3) + \dots + 2 + 1) = n(2n-1) = \dim \tilde{M}.$$

The other  $\mu_j^{(i)}$ 's are polynomial functions of the above functions. On  $TM$ ,

$\mu_1^{(2n)}$  vanishes identically, and the other functions stated above are independent.

*Proof.* We identify  $\mu_j^{(i)} = \mu^*(F_j^{(i)})$  with a function on  $\hat{D} = A \circ \mu(T\tilde{M}) \subset D$ . The restrictions of the coordinate functions  $x_j^{(i)}$  of  $D$  onto  $\hat{D}$  are also denoted by  $x_j^{(i)}$ . By proposition 4.5, we have  $x_1^{(2n)} = x_1^{(2n-1)} = x_2^{(2n)} \geq x_2^{(2n-1)} \geq x_3^{(2n)} = x_3^{(2n-1)} = x_4^{(2n)} \geq \dots \geq x_{2n-2}^{(2n-1)} \geq x_{2n-1}^{(2n)} = x_{2n-1}^{(2n-1)} = x_{2n}^{(2n)}$ . Hence, we can choose  $x_{2i-1}^{(2n)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $x_{2j}^{(2n-1)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , and  $x_j^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2n-2$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq i$ , as a system of (independent) coordinate functions on  $\hat{D}$ . If we define functions  $h_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $g_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , on  $\hat{D}$  by

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \lambda^i = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_{2i-1}^{(2n)} \lambda)$$

and

$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_j \lambda^j = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 + x_{2j}^{(2n-1)} \lambda),$$

then  $h_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $g_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , and  $\mu_j^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n-2$ , are functionally independent of  $\hat{D}$ . Now, by Lemmas 1 and 2, we have  $C[h_1, \dots, h_n, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, \mu_j^{(i)} (1 \leq j \leq i \leq n-2)] = C[\mu_1^{(2n)}, \dots, \mu_n^{(2n)}, \mu_1^{(2n-1)}, \dots, \mu_{n-1}^{(2n-1)}, \mu_j^{(i)} (1 \leq j \leq i \leq n-2)]$ . Then our assertion follows. q.e.d.

(IV)  $M = G_{p,q}(\mathbf{R}) = SO(n)/S(O(p) \times O(q))$  ( $1 \leq p \leq q$ ,  $n = p + q$ )

$$M = \{X \in (\mathbf{R})_n \mid {}^t X = X, X^2 = X, \text{tr } X = p\},$$

$$TM = \{(X, Y) \in M \times (\mathbf{R})_n \mid {}^t Y = Y, XY + YX = Y\}.$$

The moment map  $\mu: TM \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(n)$  is given by  $\mu(X, Y) = [X, Y]$ .

**Proposition 4.7.**

$$\text{Image}(A \circ \mu) = \{(x_j^{(i)}) \in D \mid x_j^{(n)} = 0, p < j \leq [n/2]\}.$$

**Theorem 4.8.** *The following functions on  $TG_{p,q}(\mathbf{R})$  are functionally independent:*

$$\mu_{2j}^{(i)}, 2p \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p \text{ and}$$

$$\mu_{2j}^{(i)}, 2 \leq i < 2p, 1 \leq j \leq [i/2].$$

The number of these functions is

$$p(q-p+1) + 2((p-1) + (p-2) + \dots + 2 + 1) = pq = \dim G_{p,q}(\mathbf{R}).$$

The other  $\mu_j^{(i)}$ 's vanish identically.

(V)  $\tilde{M} = O(2n)/U(n)$ ,  $M = SO(2n)/U(n)$   
 $\tilde{M}$  is realized in  $(\mathbf{R})_{2n}$  as follows:

$$\tilde{M} = \{P \in (\mathbf{R})_{2n} \mid P + P = 0, P^2 = -E_{2n}\}.$$

$M$  is a connected component of  $\tilde{M}$ . Hence, we have

$$TM = \{(P, X) \in M \times (\mathbf{R})_{2n} \mid X + X = 0, PX + XP = 0\}.$$

The moment map  $\mu: TM \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(2n)$  is given by  $\mu(P, X) = PX$ .

**Proposition 4.9.**

$$\text{Image}(A \circ \mu) = \begin{cases} \{(x_j^{(i)}) \in D \mid x_{2j-1}^{(2n)} = x_{2j}^{(2n)}, 1 \leq j \leq n/2\} & (n; \text{even}) \\ \{(x_j^{(i)}) \in D \mid x_{2j-1}^{(2n)} = x_{2j}^{(2n)}, 1 \leq j \leq [n/2], x_n^{(2n)} = 0\} & (n; \text{odd}) \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.10.** *The following functions on  $TM$  are functionally independent:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{2j}^{(2n)}, 1 \leq j \leq [n/2], \\ &\mu_{2j}^{(2n-1)}, 1 \leq j \leq [(n-1)/2] \quad \text{and} \\ &\mu_{2j}^{(i)}, 2 \leq i \leq 2n-2, 1 \leq j \leq [i/2]. \end{aligned}$$

The number of these functions is

$$\begin{aligned} &[n/2] + [(n-1)/2] + n - 1 + 2((n-2) + (n-3) + \dots + 2 + 1) \\ &= n(n-1) = \dim M. \end{aligned}$$

(VI)  $\tilde{M} = U(n)$ ,  $M = SU(n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M} &= \{X \in (\mathbf{C})_n \mid XX^* = E_n\}, \\ T\tilde{M} &= \{(X, Y) \in \tilde{M} \times (\mathbf{C})_n \mid XY^* + YX^* = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we define an action  $\varphi$  of the group  $U(n) \times U(n)$  on  $\tilde{M}$  by  $\varphi((X_1, X_2), X_3) = X_1 X_3 X_2^{-1}$ . Then the moment map  $\mu: T\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n) \times \mathfrak{u}(n)$  is given by  $\mu(X, Y) = (YX^{-1}, -X^{-1}Y)$ .

**Proposition 4.11.**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Image}((A \times A) \circ \mu: T\tilde{M} \rightarrow D \times D) \\ &= \{(x_j^{(i)}, y_j^{(i)}) \in D \times D \mid x_j^{(n)} + y_{n-j+1}^{(n)} = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let define  $\mu_r: T\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n)$ ,  $r = 1, 2$ , by  $\mu(X, Y) = (\mu_1(X, Y), \mu_2(X, Y))$  and put  $\mu_{r,j}^{(i)} = \mu_r^*(F_j^{(i)})$ .

**Theorem 4.12.** *The following functions on  $T\tilde{M}$  are functionally independent:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{i,j}^{(n)}, 1 \leq j \leq n \quad \text{and} \\ &\mu_{r,j}^{(i)}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq i, r=1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

*The number of these functions is*

$$n + 2((n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 2 + 1) = n^2 = \dim \tilde{M}.$$

*On  $TM$ ,  $\mu_{i,1}^{(n)}$  vanishes identically, and the others stated above are independent.*

(VII)  $M = SO(n)$

Since the arguments are quite similar as in (VI), we state only the conclusions.

**Proposition 4.13.**

Image  $((A \times A) \circ \mu: TM \rightarrow D \times D)$

$$= \begin{cases} \{(x_j^{(i)}, y_j^{(i)}) \in D \times D \mid x_j^{(n)} = y_j^{(n)}, 1 \leq j \leq [n/2]\} & (n; \text{ odd}) \\ \{(x_j^{(i)}, y_j^{(i)}) \in D \times D \mid x_j^{(n)} = y_j^{(n)}, 1 \leq j < n/2, x_{n/2}^{(n)} = -y_{n/2}^{(n)}\} & (n; \text{ even}) \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.14.** *The following functions on  $TM$  are functionally independent:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{i,2j}^{(n)}, 1 \leq j \leq [n/2] \quad \text{and} \\ &\mu_{r,2j}^{(i)}, 2 \leq i < n, 1 \leq j \leq [i/2], r=1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

*The number of these functions is*

$$[n/2] + 2([(n-1)/2] + [(n-2)/2] + \dots + [2/2]) = n(n-1)/2 = \dim M.$$

**Appendix. The convex polyhedral domain  $D$  and joint representations of  $G_i$**

We note certain relations which are seen between the image of the map  $A \circ \mu: TM \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow D$  studied in Section 4 and joint representations of  $G_n, G_{n-1}, \dots, G_1$  on  $C^\infty(M)$ . We denote  $G_n^*$  the set of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $G_n$ . For the sake of simplicity, we state only for the case  $G_n = U(n)$ . The complexification  $\mathfrak{g}_n^C = \mathfrak{gl}(n, C)$  of  $\mathfrak{u}(n)$  is identified with the set  $(C)_n$  of all complex matrices of degree  $n$ . Define  $H_i \in \mathfrak{g}_n, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , and subalgebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $H_i = E_{ii}, \mathcal{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n CH_i$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} CE_{ij}$ , where  $E_{ij}$  is the matrix unit of  $(C)_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$  is a maximal solvable subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$ . For any  $\rho \in G_n^*, V_\rho$  denotes a

module of the irreducible representation  $\rho$ . The highest weight subspace is given by  $V_\rho^\alpha = \{v \in V \mid X \cdot v = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ . Note that  $\dim V_\rho^\alpha = 1$ . Define a multi-index  $k_i = k_i^{(n)}(\rho)$  of  $\rho$  by  $H_i v = k_i v$  for all  $v \in V_\rho^\alpha$ . The following is well-known.

**Proposition A.1.**  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  are integers and

$$(A.1) \quad k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n.$$

Conversely, for any integers  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  which satisfy (A.1), there exists a unique  $\rho \in G_n^*$  such that

$$k_i^{(n)}(\rho) = k_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

For  $\rho \in G_n^*$  and  $\rho' \in G_{n-1}^*$ , the multiplicity of  $\rho'$  in  $\rho$  is denoted by  $[\rho: \rho']$ .

**Proposition A.2** (cf. [7]). For any  $\rho \in G_n^*$  and  $\rho' \in G_{n-1}^*$ , we have  $[\rho: \rho'] \leq 1$ . Moreover,  $[\rho: \rho'] = 1$  if and only if

$$(A.2) \quad k_1^{(n)}(\rho) \geq k_1^{(n-1)}(\rho') \geq k_2^{(n)}(\rho) \geq k_2^{(n-1)}(\rho') \geq \dots \geq k_{n-1}^{(n-1)}(\rho') \geq k_n^{(n)}(\rho).$$

The condition (A.2) is similar to the condition in the definition of the convex polyhedral domain  $D$  in (3.9). If we define a subset  $\tilde{D}$  in  $\Gamma = G_n^* \times G_{n-1}^* \times \dots \times G_1^*$  by

$$\tilde{D} = \{(\rho_n, \dots, \rho_1) \in \Gamma \mid [\rho_i: \rho_{i-1}] = 1, 2 \leq i \leq n\},$$

then, by Proposition A.2, we have

**Proposition A.3.**

$$\tilde{D} = \{(\rho_*) \in \Gamma \mid k_1^{(i)}(\rho_i) \geq k_1^{(i-1)}(\rho_{i-1}) \geq k_2^{(i)}(\rho_i) \geq k_2^{(i-1)}(\rho_{i-1}) \geq \dots \geq k_{i-1}^{(i-1)}(\rho_{i-1}) \geq k_i^{(i)}(\rho_i), 2 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Proposition A.3 suggests that there exists a natural inclusion of  $\tilde{D}$  into  $D$ . This conjecture becomes more detailed if we consider a decomposition of  $C^\infty(M)$  under the action of  $G_n, \dots, G_1$  into one-dimensional subspaces. As an example, we consider the case of  $M = G_{p,q}(C)$ . For any  $(\rho_*) = (\rho_n, \dots, \rho_1) \in \tilde{D}$ ,  $C_{(\rho_*)}^\infty(M)$  denotes the subspace of all functions  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  such that  $G_i \circ f$  is isomorphic to  $V_{\rho_i}$  as a  $G_i$ -module,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $G_i \circ f$  is a  $G_i$ -module generated by  $f$  under the action of  $G_i$ .

**Theorem A.4.** For any  $(\rho_*) \in \tilde{D}$ ,  $\dim C_{(\rho_*)}^\infty(M) \leq 1$ , and  $L^2(M)$  is orthogonally decomposed into the sum of these subspaces. Moreover,  $\dim C_{(\rho_*)}^\infty(M) = 1$  if and only if

$$k_i^{(n)}(\rho_n) + k_{n-i+1}^{(n)}(\rho_n) = k_j^{(n)}(\rho_n) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p, \quad p < j \leq n - p.$$

If we compare Theorem A.4 with Proposition 4.1, then it is easily seen that there exists a correspondence between a lattice in the image of  $A \circ \mu$  and the one-dimensional subspaces  $C_{(\rho^*)}^\infty(M)$ . Similar correspondences are observed for all symmetric spaces stated in Section 4.

### References

- [1] R. Abraham and J. E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, 2-nd ed., Benjamin/Cummings, 1978.
- [2] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, The moment map and collective motion, *Ann. Physics.*, **127** (1980), 220–253.
- [3] A. S. Mishchenko and A. T. Fomenko, Euler equations on finite-dimensional Lie groups, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **12** (1978), 371–389.
- [4] A. Thimm, Über die Integrabilität geodätischer Flüsse auf homogenen Räumen, Dissertation, Bonn, 1980.
- [5] A. Thimm, Integrable geodesic flows on homogeneous spaces, *Ergod. Theory and Dynam. Sys.*, **1** (1981), 495–517.
- [6] A. Weinstein, Quasi-classical mechanics on spheres, *Symposia Math.*, **14** (1974), 25–32.
- [7] H. Weyl, Zur Darstellungstheorie und Invariantenabzählung der projektiven, der Komplex- und der Drehungsgruppe, *Acta Math.*, **48** (1926), 255–278.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Yamagata University,  
Yamagata, Japan*