

LECTURE XIII. AN APPLICATION TO THE THEORY OF RANDOM GRAPHS

Consider a random graph $G(n)$ on n vertices in which each possible edge is present with probability p , independently of all others. Let $W_{n,k}$ (also abbreviated W_n) be the number of isolated trees of order k in $G(n)$. Conditions are given for W_n to have approximately a Poisson distribution. This lecture is based on a paper of Barbour (1982), who also gave conditions for a normal approximation to be valid.

I shall use essentially the same notation as Barbour. Denoting the set of vertices by $\{1, \dots, n\}$, I shall think of the random graph $G(n)$ as a random subset of the set of all two-element subsets $\{i, j\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. If $\{i, j\} \in G(n)$ I shall say that $\{i, j\}$ is an edge of the random graph $G(n)$, which will be constructed by having the events $\{\{i, j\} \in G(n)\}$ occur independently with common probability p . Let D_n be the set of all k -tuples $i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ of natural numbers with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$. For each $i \in D_n$ let $X_i = 1$ if there is in $G(n)$ an isolated tree spanning the vertices i_1, \dots, i_k , and otherwise let $X_i = 0$. A tree is, by definition, a connected graph containing no cycles, and it is isolated if $G(n)$ has no edge with one vertex in the tree and one not in the tree. Then W_n , the number of isolated trees of order k in $G(n)$ is given by

$$(1) \quad W_n = \sum_{i \in D_n} X_i.$$

The expectation λ of W_n is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad \lambda &= EW_n = \binom{n}{k} P\{X_i = 1\} \\
 &= \binom{n}{k} k^{k-2} p^{k-1} (1-p)^{k(n-k) + \binom{k}{2} - k + 1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The argument for this is as follows. By a theorem of Cayley (see, for example, Graver and Watkins (1977), p. 322) there are k^{k-2} different trees on k specified vertices. In order that a given isolated tree on these k vertices be realized by the process indicated it is necessary and sufficient that the $k-1$ connections of the specified tree be made, but none of the $\binom{k}{2} - k + 1$ other connections among these k vertices, and that none of the $k(n-k)$ possible connections of these k vertices to vertices outside this set be made. Let us also compute the variance of W_n . If i and i' are disjoint elements of D_n , then, by essentially the same argument as in (2),

$$(3) \quad EX_i X_{i'} = k^{2(k-2)} p^{2(k-1)} (1-p)^{2k(n-2k) + \binom{2k}{2} - 2(k-1)},$$

but if i and i' are neither identical nor disjoint, $EX_i X_{i'} = 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad \text{Var } W_n - EW_n &= EW_n^2 - EW_n - (EW_n)^2 \\
 &= \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{k} k^{2(k-2)} p^{2(k-1)} (1-p)^{2kn - 2k^2 - 3k + 2} \\
 &\quad - \binom{n}{k}^2 k^{2(k-2)} p^{2(k-1)} (1-p)^{2kn - k^2 - 3k + 2} \\
 &= \left\{ \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n-i} \right) \right] (1-p)^{-k^2} - 1 \right\} \lambda^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Later we shall have to make a careful study of the dependence of the mean and variance of W_n on n , p , and k .

Now let us look at the Poisson approximation for the distribution of W_n . For arbitrary $f: Z^+ \rightarrow R$ and $i \in D_n$ we have

$$(5) \quad EX_i f(W_n) = P\{X_i=1\}Ef(W_{n-k}^*+1)$$

where W_{n-k}^* is the number of isolated trees of order k in the graph G^* obtained from $G(n)$ by dropping the vertices i_1, \dots, i_k and all edges containing any of these vertices. Summing (5) over i , using the fact that W_{n-k}^* has the same distribution as W_{n-k} , we obtain

$$(6) \quad EW_n f(W_n) = \lambda Ef(W_{n-k}+1).$$

Consequently

$$(7) \quad E[\lambda f(W_n+1) - W_n f(W_n)] = \lambda E[f(W_n+1) - f(W_{n-k}+1)].$$

Substituting for f the function $U_\lambda h$, defined by (VIII.18), we obtain, for arbitrary $h: Z^+ \rightarrow R$,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} Eh(W_n) - \rho_\lambda h &= E[\lambda U_\lambda h(W_n+1) - W_n U_\lambda h(W_n)] \\ &= \lambda E[U_\lambda h(W_n+1) - U_\lambda h(W_{n-k}+1)]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $h = h_A$ defined by

$$(9) \quad h_A(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } w \notin A, \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} P\{W_n \in A\} &= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{w \in A} \frac{\lambda^w}{w!} \\ &= \lambda E[U_\lambda h_A(W_n+1) - U_\lambda h_A(W_{n-k}+1)]. \end{aligned}$$

But we have seen in (VIII.42) that, for all λ , w , and A ,

$$(11) \quad |U_\lambda h_A(w+1) - U_\lambda h_A(w)| \leq 1 \wedge \lambda^{-1}.$$

It follows from (10) and (11) that

$$(12) \quad |P\{W_n \in A\} - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{w \in A} \frac{\lambda^w}{w!}| \leq (1 \wedge \lambda) E|W_n - W_{n-k}|.$$

In order to bound $E|W_n - W_{n-k}|$ we first observe that

$$(13) \quad (W_n - W_{n-k})_+ \leq \sum_{j=n-k+1}^n Y_j$$

where Y_j equals one if j belongs to an isolated tree of order k in $G(n)$, but otherwise zero. Consequently

$$(14) \quad E(W_n - W_{n-k})_+ \leq k \binom{n-1}{k-1} k^{k-2} p^{k-1} (1-p)^{k(n-k) + \binom{k-1}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{k \binom{n-1}{k-1}}{\binom{n}{k}} \lambda = \frac{k^2}{n} \lambda.$$

Of course the argument for the inequality (14) is that there are k terms on the right-hand side of (13), that each point j can form a tree of order k with any of the $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ $(k-1)$ -element subsets of the remaining points and that there are k^{k-2} trees on these k points. The remaining factor is the probability that a particular such tree will be realized. Furthermore, an upper bound for $W_{n-k} - W_n$ is the number of isolated trees of order k in $G(n-k)$ that are destroyed by being connected to vertices in $\{n-k+1, \dots, n\}$. Consequently, writing $\lambda(n)$ and $\lambda(n-k)$ for EW_n and EW_{n-k} , we have

$$(15) \quad E(W_{n-k} - W_n)_+ \leq [1 - (1-p)^{k^2}] \lambda(n-k).$$

Finally, (12), (14), and (15) yield

$$(16) \quad |P\{W_n \in A\} - e^{-\lambda} \sum_{w \in A} \frac{\lambda^w}{w!}|$$

$$\leq \left\{ \frac{k^2}{n} + [1 - (1-p)^{k^2}] \frac{\lambda(n-k)}{\lambda(n)} \right\} [\lambda(n) \wedge \lambda^2(n)].$$

Now we must study the behavior of $\lambda(n, k, p)$ (which was abbreviated as λ

or $\lambda(n)$ in the above) as a function of n , k , and p , in part as an aid in the study of the bound given in (16) for the error in the Poisson approximation to the distribution of W_n . It will be convenient to write

$$(17) \quad \rho = -\log(1-p)$$

and

$$(18) \quad c = np.$$

Then, by (2)

$$(19) \quad \lambda(n, k, p) = \alpha(k)\beta(k, p)\gamma(n, k, p),$$

where

$$(20) \quad \alpha(k) = \frac{k^{k+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-k}}{k!}$$

$$(21) \quad \beta(k, p) = \frac{k^{k-2} p^{k-1} (1-p)^{-k^2 + \binom{k-1}{2}}}{k^{k+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-k}}$$

$$= k^{-\frac{5}{2}}e^k p^{k-1} (1-p)^{-\frac{k^2+3k}{2} + 1}$$

and

$$(22) \quad \gamma(n, k, p) = n_{(k)}(1-p)^{nk} = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right) \right] n^k e^{-kc}$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{k(k-1)}{2n} - \frac{\theta k^3}{3n^2}\right] n^k e^{-kc}$$

for $k < \frac{n}{2}$, with $0 < \theta < 1$. It follows that

$$(23) \quad \frac{\lambda(n, k, p)}{\alpha(k)}$$

$$= n c^{k-1} e^{k(1-c)} \exp\left[\left(\frac{k^2+3k}{2} - 1\right)\rho - \frac{k(k-1)}{2c} \rho - \frac{\theta k^3}{3n^2}\right] k^{-\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{p}{\rho}\right)^{k-1}.$$

We shall also need to evaluate the second term in braces in (16). We have

$$(24) \quad \frac{\lambda(n-k)}{\lambda(n)} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n-j}\right) (1-p)^{-k^2} \\ < \exp\left[k^2\left(p - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right].$$

Thus (16) yields

$$(25) \quad |P\{W_{n,k} \in A\} - e^{-\lambda(n)} \sum_{w \in A} \frac{(\lambda(n))^w}{w!}| \\ \leq \left[\frac{k^2}{n} + (e^{k^2 p} - 1) e^{-\frac{k^2}{n}} \right] \lambda(n).$$

Let us first try to get some idea of the behavior of λ and then return to the bound in (25). If n , k , p are varied in such a way that $k^2/n \rightarrow 0$ and $k^2 p \rightarrow 0$ then, by (23),

$$(26) \quad \lambda(n, k, p) \sim nk^{-\frac{5}{2}} (ce^{1-c})^{k-1} e^{1-c} \alpha(k)$$

where $c = np \sim np$, and $\alpha(k)$ is bounded away from 0 and ∞ by Stirling's formula. Since ce^{1-c} attains a maximum value of 1 at $c = 1$, (26) shows that the expected number of isolated k -trees with k much larger than $\log n$ is small unless np is close to 1. When np is sufficiently close to 1 the expected number of isolated k -trees approaches 0 only for k appreciably larger than $n^{3/2}$. Of course all of these remarks are subject to the condition imposed earlier that $k^2/n \rightarrow 0$ and $k^2 p \rightarrow 0$.

Now let us return to the evaluation of the bound in (25) subject only to the condition that $k^2 p$ remain bounded. Then (26) still gives the correct order of magnitude of λ so that, for some constant B , (25) yields

$$(27) \quad |P\{W_{n,k} \in A\} - e^{-\lambda(n)} \sum_{w \in A} \frac{(\lambda(n))^w}{w!}| \\ \leq Bk^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+c) e^{1-c} (ce^{1-c})^{k-1}.$$

The bound on the r.h.s. of (27) approaches 0 if $k \rightarrow \infty$ or $c \rightarrow \infty$ or $k \geq 2$ and $c \rightarrow 0$.

Barbour went on to show that for fixed k , the error in the approximation to the distribution of $W_{n,k}$ by a normal distribution with mean $\lambda(n,k,p)$ and variance

$$(28) \quad \sigma^2(n,k,p) = \lambda \left[1 + \lambda \left\{ \exp\left(k^2 \left(\rho - \frac{1}{n}\right) - \theta k^3/n^2\right) - 1 \right\} \right]$$

is of the order of $\sigma^{-1}(n,k,p)$, uniformly in n and p . This suggests that the bound (27) is not sharp in order of magnitude in the neighborhood of $c = 1$.

