

## SASAKIAN MANIFOLD WITH PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN METRIC

TOSHIO TAKAHASHI\*)

(Received December 4, 1968)

**Introduction.** Sasakian manifold with Riemannian metric is defined by S. Sasaki [5]. In this paper, we want to define Sasakian manifold with pseudo-Riemannian metric, and discuss the classification of Sasakian manifolds.

In section 1, we define a Sasakian manifold (with pseudo-Riemannian metric). In section 2, we define the model spaces of Sasakian manifolds which are used in section 4 for the classification of Sasakian manifolds of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvatures. In section 3, we discuss  $D$ -homothetic deformation which is defined by S. Tanno [9], and prove some fundamental lemmas concerning completeness of the deformed metric. In section 5, we prove that a Sasakian manifold, satisfying  $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$  for all tangent vectors  $X$  and  $Y$ , is of constant curvature. In section 6, we discuss a Sasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  which is properly and isometrically immersed in  $E_s^{2n+2}$ .

I wish to express my hearty thanks to Prof. K. Nomizu, Prof. S. Sasaki and Prof. S. Tanno for their valuable advices.

**1. Preliminaries.** Manifolds and tensor fields are supposed to be of class  $C^\infty$ .

Let  $M = M^{2n+1}$  be a connected differentiable manifold, and let  $\phi$ ,  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be tensor fields of type  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$ , respectively, on  $M$ .

**DEFINITION.**  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  is called an *almost contact structure* on  $M$ , if the followings are satisfied :

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\xi) &= 1, \\ \eta(\phi(X)) &= 0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \\ \phi^2(X) &= -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).\end{aligned}$$

---

\*) Partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

DEFINITION.  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g, \varepsilon)$  is called an *almost contact metric structure* on  $M$ , if  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  is an almost contact structure on  $M$  and  $g$  is a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $M$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\xi, \xi) &= \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = +1 \text{ or } -1, \\ \eta(X) &= \varepsilon g(\xi, X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \\ g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \varepsilon \eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M). \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION.  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g, \varepsilon)$  is a *contact metric structure* on  $M$ , if it is an almost contact metric structure on  $M$  and satisfies

$$d\eta(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

DEFINITION.  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g, \varepsilon)$  is a *normal contact metric structure* on  $M$ , if it is a contact metric structure and satisfies

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \varepsilon \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

where  $\nabla$  indicates the Levi-Civita connection for the pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g$ . In this case, we call  $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g, \varepsilon)$  a *Sasakian manifold*.

The following example shows that we may assume  $\varepsilon = 1$  without loss of generality.

EXAMPLE. Let  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g, \varepsilon)$  be an almost contact metric structure (resp. a normal contact metric structure) on  $M$ . We put

$$\bar{g} = -g, \quad \bar{\xi} = -\xi, \quad \bar{\eta} = -\eta, \quad \bar{\phi} = \phi.$$

Then  $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g}, \bar{\varepsilon})$ ,  $\bar{\varepsilon} = -\varepsilon$ , is an almost contact metric structure (resp. a normal contact metric structure) on  $M$ .

PROOF. It is easy to see that  $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g}, \bar{\varepsilon})$  is an almost contact metric structure, and it is a contact metric structure if  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g, \varepsilon)$  is a contact metric structure. Suppose  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g, \varepsilon)$  is a normal contact metric structure. Since the parallelism with respect to  $g$  and the parallelism with respect to  $\bar{g}$  are the same, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\phi})Y &= (\nabla_X \phi)Y \\ &= \varepsilon \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi \\ &= \bar{\varepsilon} \bar{\eta}(Y)X - \bar{g}(X, Y)\bar{\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g}, \bar{\epsilon})$  is normal.

Hereafter, we assume  $\epsilon=1$ , and drop it.

REMARK. A contact metric structure is normal if and only if the following tensor field vanishes :

$$N(X, Y) = [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y] + \phi^2[X, Y] + 2d\eta(X, Y)\xi .$$

(cf. S. Sasaki [7], Theorem 11.1)

By the same method as in the case of Riemannian metric, we get the following, which we use later :

PROPOSITION 1. *For an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on  $M$ ,*

$$(1) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi$$

*implies*

- (i)  $\nabla_X \xi = \phi(X)$ ,
- (ii)  $\xi$  is a Killing vector field,
- (iii)  $d\eta(X, Y) = g(\phi X, Y)$ .

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be tangent vectors at a point of  $M^n$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfy

$$g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2 \neq 0,$$

then we say that  $X$  and  $Y$  span a non-degenerate 2-plane  $X \wedge Y$ . This definition is independent of the choice of  $X$  and  $Y$  which span the 2-plane  $X \wedge Y$ . For a non-degenerate 2-plane  $X \wedge Y$ , we define a sectional curvature  $K(X, Y)$  by

$$K(X, Y) = \frac{g(R(X, Y)Y, X)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2}.$$

If  $K(X, Y)$  is constant for all  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $T_x(M^n)$  such that  $X \wedge Y$  is a non-degenerate 2-plane, we call  $(M^n, g)$  to be of constant curvature at  $x$ . If  $(M^n, g)$  is of constant curvature at every point of  $M^n$ ,  $K(X, Y)$  is a function of  $x \in M^n$ , say  $k(x)$ . If  $k(x)$  is constant on  $M^n$ , we call  $(M^n, g)$  to be of constant curvature. It is known that if  $(M^n, g)$  is of constant curvature at

every point and if  $n \geq 3$ , then  $(M^n, g)$  is of constant curvature (J. A. Wolf [10], p. 57, Cor. 2.2.7). Suppose  $(M^n, g)$  is of constant curvature  $k$ , then we have

$$(2) \quad R(X, Y)Z = k\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\}$$

for all tangent vectors  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  (cf. J. A. Wolf [10], p. 56, Cor. 2.2.5).

Suppose we have a Sasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . Let

$$D_x = \{X \in T_x(M^{2n+1}); \eta(X) = 0\}.$$

For a non-null vector  $X$  in  $D_x$ ,  $X$  and  $\phi X$  span a non-degenerate 2-plane, and hence we can consider a sectional curvature  $K(X) = K(X, \phi X)$ . If  $K(X)$  is constant for all non-null vectors  $X$  in  $D_x$ , we call  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  to be of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature at  $x$ . If  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature at every point,  $K(X)$  is a function of  $x \in M^{2n+1}$ , say  $k(x)$ . In this case, if  $k(x)$  is constant on  $M^{2n+1}$ , we call  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  to be of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature. If  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature at every point and if  $n \geq 2$ ,  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature (cf. K. Ogiue [4]). Suppose  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $k$ , then we have, for any tangent vectors  $X, Y$  and  $Z$ ,

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} 4R(X, Y)Z &= (k+3)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} + (k-1)\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi \\ &\quad + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X + g(\phi Z, X)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\}. \end{aligned}$$

(cf. K. Ogiue [4]). Thus, if  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature 1, it is of constant curvature 1.

REMARK. If we do not assume  $\varepsilon = 1$ , (3) should be

$$(3') \quad \begin{aligned} 4R(X, Y)Z &= (k+3\varepsilon)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ &\quad + (\varepsilon k-1)\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X\} \\ &\quad + (k-\varepsilon)\{g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi \\ &\quad + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X + g(\phi Z, X)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\}. \end{aligned}$$

**2. Model spaces.** Let  $b_s^{n+1}$  be an "inner product" on  $C^{n+1}$ , defined by

$$(1) \quad b_s^{n+1}(u, v) = \operatorname{Re} \left( - \sum_{i=1}^s u_i \bar{v}_i + \sum_{j=s+1}^{n+1} u_j \bar{v}_j \right).$$

Let  $\tilde{g} = g_{2s}^{2n+2}$  be a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $C^{n+1}$  defined by the parallel translation of  $b_s^{n+1}$ . Let  $J$  be a complex structure on  $C^{n+1}$  defined by the parallel translation of the map

$$u \in C^{n+1} \longrightarrow \sqrt{-1} u.$$

For  $n \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq s \leq n$ , let  $M = S_{2s}^{2n+1}$  be a hypersurface of  $C^{n+1}$  defined by

$$(2) \quad S_{2s}^{2n+1} = \{u \in C^{n+1}; b_s^{n+1}(u, u) = 1\},$$

and let  $g = \tilde{g}|_{S_{2s}^{2n+1}}$ . Then  $(M, g)$  is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature 1, of dimension  $2n+1$  and of signature  $2s$  (cf. J. A. Wolf [10], pp. 62-68). If  $s = 0$ ,  $M$  is nothing but the unit sphere  $S^{2n+1}$ ; S. Sasaki and Y. Hatakeyama [6] defined a Sasakian structure on it. Similarly, we can define a Sasakian structure on  $M = S_{2s}^{2n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq n$ , as follows:

For  $x \in M$ , the tangent space of  $M$  at  $x$  is given by

$$T_x(M) = \{X \in T_x(C^{n+1}); \tilde{g}(X, x) = 0\},$$

where we consider  $x$  as its position vector. Let  $\xi$  be a vector field on  $M$  defined by

$$(3) \quad \xi : x \in M \longrightarrow \xi_x = Jx,$$

where  $Jx$  is considered as a tangent vector of  $C^{n+1}$  at  $x$  by the parallel translation. Since  $J$  is skew-symmetric with respect to  $\tilde{g}$ ,  $\tilde{g}(Jx, x) = 0$ ; hence  $Jx$  is in  $T_x(M)$ , and

$$g(\xi_x, \xi_x) = \tilde{g}(x, x) = 1.$$

Let  $\eta$  be a 1-form on  $M$  defined by

$$(4) \quad \eta(X) = g(\xi, X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Since  $x \in M$  is a non-null vector in  $C^{n+1}$ , we have an orthogonal projection

$$\pi : T_x(C^{n+1}) \longrightarrow T_x(M)$$

with respect to  $\tilde{g}$ , that is,

$$(5) \quad \pi(X) = X - \tilde{g}(x, X)x, \quad X \in T_x(C^{n+1}), \quad x \in M.$$

Let  $\phi$  be a tensor field of type (1, 1) on  $M$  defined by

$$(6) \quad \phi = \pi \circ J.$$

It is easy to see that  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is an almost contact metric structure on  $M$ . We want to show that this structure is a Sasakian structure. According to Proposition 1, it is sufficient to show

$$(7) \quad (\nabla_x \phi)Y = \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi.$$

Consider  $M$  to be a hypersurface of  $C^{n+1}$ . Then the vector field

$$\zeta: x \in M \longrightarrow \zeta_x = x$$

is a field of unit normal vectors to  $M$  in  $C^{n+1}$ . For any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ , we have the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten:

$$(8) \quad D_x Y = \nabla_x Y + h(X, Y)\zeta,$$

$$(9) \quad D_x \zeta = -AX,$$

where  $D_x$  and  $\nabla_x$  denote covariant differentiations for  $\tilde{g}$  and  $g$ , respectively.  $A$  is a field of symmetric endomorphisms (with respect to  $g$ ) satisfying

$$(10) \quad h(X, Y) = g(AX, Y)$$

for tangent vectors  $X$  and  $Y$  (cf. L. P. Eisenhart [1]). Since the pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g$  is defined by the parallel translation,

$$(11) \quad D_x \zeta = X$$

for any tangent vector  $X$  to  $M$ . Thus, (8), (9), (10) and (11) imply

$$(12) \quad D_x Y = \nabla_x Y - g(X, Y)\zeta.$$

Now, we have

$$(13) \quad (\nabla_x \phi)Y = \nabla_x(\phi Y) - \phi \nabla_x Y,$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . We want to show that the right hand side of the above equation is nothing but the right hand side of (7). Using (12), we get

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_x(\phi Y) &= D_x(\phi Y) + g(X, \phi Y) \xi \\ &= D_x(\pi JY) + \tilde{g}(X, \phi Y) \xi . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_x(\pi JY) &= D_x(JY - \tilde{g}(\xi, JY) \xi) \\ &= JD_xY - \tilde{g}(X, JY) \xi - \tilde{g}(\xi, JD_xY) \xi - \tilde{g}(\xi, JY) X , \\ \tilde{g}(X, \phi Y) - \tilde{g}(X, JY) &= \tilde{g}(X, \pi JY - JY) \\ &= \tilde{g}(X, -\tilde{g}(\xi, JY) \xi) \\ &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus (14) becomes

$$(15) \quad \nabla_x(\phi Y) = JD_xY - \tilde{g}(\xi, JD_xY) \xi - \tilde{g}(\xi, JY) X .$$

The second term of the right hand side of (13) is

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi \nabla_x Y &= \pi J(D_xY + g(X, Y) \xi) \\ &= \pi JD_xY + g(X, Y) \xi . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (13), (15) and (16) imply

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_x \phi) Y &= JD_xY - \tilde{g}(\xi, JD_xY) \xi - \tilde{g}(\xi, JY) X - \pi JD_xY - g(X, Y) \xi \\ &= \tilde{g}(\xi, JD_xY) \xi - \tilde{g}(\xi, JD_xY) \xi + g(\xi, Y) X - g(X, Y) \xi \\ &= \eta(Y) X - g(X, Y) \xi . \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. If we replace (2),(3),(4) and (6) by

$$(2') \quad H_{2s-1}^{2n+1} = \{u \in C^{n+1}; b_s^{n+1}(u, u) = -1\}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq n+1 ,$$

$$(3') \quad \bar{\xi} : x \in H_{2s-1}^{2n+1} \longrightarrow \bar{\xi}_x = -Jx ,$$

$$(4') \quad \bar{\eta}(X) = -\bar{g}(\bar{\xi}, X), \quad \bar{g} = \tilde{g} | H_{2s-1}^{2n+1} ,$$

$$(6') \quad \bar{\phi} = \bar{\pi} \circ J, \quad \bar{\pi} X = X + \tilde{g}(x, X)x, \quad X \in T_x(H_{2s-1}^{2n+1}) ,$$

then  $H_{2s-1}^{2n+1}(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g}, -1)$  is a Sasakian manifold and  $H_{2s-1}^{2n+1}(\bar{\phi}, -\bar{\xi}, -\bar{\eta}, -\bar{g}, +1)$

is nothing but  $S_{2(n-s+1)}^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  (cf. Example of §1).

It is known that  $S_{2s}^{2n+1}$  is diffeomorphic to  $R^{2s} \times S^{2n+1-2s}$ . Thus  $S_{2s}^{2n+1}$  is simply connected for  $s \neq n$ ;  $S_{2n}^{2n+1}$  is connected with infinite cyclic fundamental group. We define

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{S}_{2s}^{2n+1} &= S_{2s}^{2n+1} \quad \text{for } s \neq n; \\ \widetilde{S}_{2n}^{2n+1} &= \text{universal pseudo-Riemannian covering manifold of } S_{2n}^{2n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The Sasakian structure on  $S_{2n}^{2n+1}$ , which we defined above, induces a Sasakian structure on  $\widetilde{S}_{2n}^{2n+1}$ . We call  $\widetilde{S}_{2s}^{2n+1}$  with the Sasakian structure to be the model spaces of Sasakian manifolds, and denote by  $\widetilde{S}_{2s}^{2n+1}(\overline{\phi}, \overline{\xi}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{g})$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $(M^{2n+1}, h)$  be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Suppose  $(M^{2n+1}, h)$  is complete and of constant curvature 1,  $M^{2n+1}$  is simply connected and  $h$  is of signature  $2s$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then,  $(M^{2n+1}, h)$  is isometric to the model space  $\widetilde{S}_{2s}^{2n+1}$ . (cf. J. A. Wolf [10], p. 68, Theorem 2.4.9).*

LEMMA 2. *Suppose we have two Sasakian manifolds  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  and  $\overline{M}^{2n+1}(\overline{\phi}, \overline{\xi}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{g})$  such that  $M$  and  $\overline{M}$  are simply connected,  $g$  and  $\overline{g}$  have the same signature. If  $(M, g)$  and  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$  are complete and of constant curvature 1, then there is an isometry*

$$f: M \longrightarrow \overline{M}$$

such that  $f_*\xi = \overline{\xi}$ ,  $f^*\eta = \eta$ ,  $f_* \circ \phi = \overline{\phi} \circ f_*$ ; that is,  $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  and  $\overline{M}(\overline{\phi}, \overline{\xi}, \overline{\eta}, \overline{g})$  are equivalent.

PROOF. Let  $x \in M$  and  $\overline{x} \in \overline{M}$  be arbitrary points. Since  $g$  and  $\overline{g}$  have the same signature, we can find an isometry

$$F: T_x(M) \longrightarrow T_{\overline{x}}(\overline{M})$$

such that  $F(\xi_x) = \overline{\xi}_{\overline{x}}$ ,  $\overline{\eta}(F(X)) = \eta(X)$  for  $X \in T_x(M)$  and  $F \circ \phi = \overline{\phi} \circ F$ . Since  $M$  and  $\overline{M}$  are simply connected, and since  $(M, g)$  and  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$  are complete, we have a unique isometry

$$f: M \longrightarrow \overline{M}$$

such that  $f(x) = \overline{x}$  and  $f_*|T_x(M) = F$  (cf. J. A. Wolf [10], p. 61, Corollary 2.3.12). Since  $f$  is an isometry and since  $\xi$  is a Killing vector field by Proposition 1,

$f_*\xi$  is a Killing vector field on  $\bar{M}$ . For any tangent vector  $\bar{X}$  to  $\bar{M}$ , we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}(f_*\xi) = f_*(\nabla_{f_*^{-1}\bar{X}}\xi) = f_*(\phi f_*^{-1}\bar{X}).$$

Hence, for  $\bar{X} \in T_{\bar{x}}(\bar{M})$ , we get

$$(18) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}(f_*\xi) = \bar{\phi}\bar{X}.$$

Thus, since  $\bar{\xi}$  is a Killing vector field, (18),  $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\xi = \bar{\phi}\bar{X}$  and  $(f_*\xi)_{\bar{x}} = \bar{\xi}_{\bar{x}}$  imply  $f_*\xi = \bar{\xi}$ , and hence  $f^*\bar{\eta} = \eta$ . Finally, for any  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $\bar{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(\bar{M})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(f_* \cdot \phi X, \bar{Y}) \cdot f &= (f^*\bar{g})(\phi X, f_*^{-1}\bar{Y}) = g(\phi X, f_*^{-1}\bar{Y}) \\ &= d\eta(X, f_*^{-1}\bar{Y}) = (f^*d\bar{\eta})(X, f_*^{-1}\bar{Y}) \\ &= d\bar{\eta}(f_*X, \bar{Y}) \cdot f = \bar{g}(\bar{\phi} \cdot f_*X, \bar{Y}) \cdot f, \end{aligned}$$

showing  $f_* \circ \phi = \bar{\phi} \circ f_*$ .

**3. D-homothetic deformations.** Suppose we have a Sasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . Let

$$(1) \quad \bar{g} = \alpha g + (\alpha^2 - \alpha) \eta \otimes \eta,$$

where  $\alpha$  is a non-zero constant, and let

$$\bar{\xi} = (1/\alpha)\xi, \quad \bar{\eta} = \alpha\eta, \quad \bar{\phi} = \phi.$$

Then  $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$  is a Sasakian structure on  $M = M^{2n+1}$ , and we say that  $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is *D-homothetic* to  $M(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$ . If  $(M, g)$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $k$ , we have

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{K}(X) &= \bar{K}(X, \bar{\phi}X) \\ &= (1/\alpha)\{k - 3(\alpha - 1)\} \end{aligned}$$

for any non-null vector  $X \in D_x$ , and hence  $(M, \bar{g})$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $(1/\alpha)\{k - 3(\alpha - 1)\}$ . Thus if  $k \neq -3$ , and if we take  $\alpha = (k + 3)/4$ ,  $(M, \bar{g})$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature 1, and hence of constant curvature 1. (cf. S. Tanno [8], [9]). We summarize as follows:

**PROPOSITION 2.** *A Sasakian manifold of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $k \neq -3$  is D-homothetic to a Sasakian manifold of constant curvature 1.*

Let  $M = M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a Sasakian manifold.

DEFINITION. We call a geodesic  $x(t)$ ,  $\alpha < t < \beta$ , to be  $\xi$ -geodesic (resp.  $D$ -geodesic) if  $\phi(\dot{x}(t)) = 0$  (resp.  $\eta(\dot{x}(t)) = 0$ ) for  $\alpha < t < \beta$ .

DEFINITION. We call  $M$  to be  $\xi$ -complete (resp.  $D$ -complete) if every  $\xi$ -geodesic (resp.  $D$ -geodesic) is complete.

LEMMA 1. Let  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a Sasakian manifold. If  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is complete, then  $(M^{2n+1}, \bar{g})$  is  $\xi$ - and  $D$ -complete, where

$$\bar{g} = \alpha g + (\alpha^2 - \alpha) \eta \otimes \eta, \quad \alpha \neq 0.$$

PROOF. Let  $\bar{\nabla}_X$  and  $\nabla_X$  denote covariant differentiations for  $\bar{g}$  and  $g$ , respectively. For any vector fields  $X, Y$  and  $Z$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) &= X\bar{g}(Y, Z) + Y\bar{g}(X, Z) - Z\bar{g}(X, Y) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}([X, Y], Z) + \bar{g}([Z, X], Y) + \bar{g}([Z, Y], X) \\ &= 2\alpha g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)\{X(\eta(Y)\eta(Z)) + Y(\eta(X)\eta(Z)) \\ &\quad - Z(\eta(X)\eta(Y)) + \eta([X, Y])\eta(Z) + \eta([Z, X])\eta(Y) \\ &\quad + \eta([Z, Y])\eta(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of contact metric structure,

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\phi X, Y) &= 2d\eta(X, Y) \\ &= X\eta(Y) - Y\eta(X) - \eta([X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta([X, Y]) &= X\eta(Y) - Y\eta(X) - 2g(\phi X, Y), \\ \eta([Z, X]) &= Z\eta(X) - X\eta(Z) - 2g(\phi Z, X), \\ \eta([Z, Y]) &= Z\eta(Y) - Y\eta(Z) - 2g(\phi Z, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) &= \alpha g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)\{X\eta(Y)\eta(Z) - g(\phi X, Y)\eta(Z) \\ &\quad - g(\phi Z, X)\eta(Y) - g(\phi Z, Y)\eta(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose  $x(t)$ ,  $\beta < t < \gamma$ , be a geodesic in  $M^{2n+1}$  with respect to  $\bar{g}$ . Since  $\bar{\xi}$  is a Killing vector field,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{x}(t)} \bar{\xi}, \dot{x}(t)) &= (1/2)(L(\bar{\xi}) \bar{g})(\dot{x}(t), \dot{x}(t)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad \dot{x}(t) \bar{\eta}(\dot{x}(t)) &= \dot{x}(t) \bar{g}(\bar{\xi}, \dot{x}(t)) \\ &= 2\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{x}(t)} \bar{\xi}, \dot{x}(t)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi$  is skew symmetric with respect to  $g$ ,

$$(5) \quad g(\phi \dot{x}(t), \dot{x}(t)) = 0.$$

If we put  $X = Y = \dot{x}(t)$  in (3), then (4) and (5) imply

$$(6) \quad \alpha g(\nabla_{\dot{x}(t)} \dot{x}(t), Z) - (\alpha^2 - \alpha) g(\phi Z, \dot{x}(t)) \eta(\dot{x}(t)) = 0.$$

This formula says that  $x(t)$ ,  $\beta < t < \gamma$ , is a geodesic with respect to  $g$  if  $x(t)$  is either  $\xi$ -geodesic or  $D$ -geodesic with respect to  $\bar{g}$ . Thus, since  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is complete,  $(M^{2n+1}, \bar{g})$  is  $\xi$ - and  $D$ -complete.

The following lemma is due to S. Tanno :

LEMMA 2. *If a simply connected Sasnkian manifold  $M=M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is  $\xi$ - and  $D$ -complete, and of constant curvature 1, then it is complete.*

PROOF. Let  $\tilde{S}$  be one of the model spaces such that the signature of  $\tilde{S}$  is the same as that of  $M$ . Let  $\bar{x}(t)$ ,  $\alpha < t < \beta$ , be a geodesic in  $M$ . We want to show that the geodesic can be extended for  $\alpha < t < \beta + \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . We may suppose  $0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . Let us take any point  $x_0 \in \tilde{S}$ . Since  $\tilde{S}$  and  $M$  are of constant curvature, we can find a local isomorphism  $f_0$  such that  $f_0(x_0) = \bar{x}(0)$ . Let  $X$  be a tangent vector to  $\tilde{S}$  at  $x_0$  such that  $f_{0*}(X) = \dot{\bar{x}}(0)$ , and let  $x(t)$  be a geodesic in  $\tilde{S}$  such that  $x(0) = x_0$  and  $\dot{x}(0) = X$ . Since  $\tilde{S}$  is complete, we can extend  $x(t)$  for  $-\infty < t < +\infty$ . Thus we can extend the local isomorphism  $f_0$  along  $x(t)$  for  $\alpha < t < \beta$ , say  $f_1$ . To show that  $\bar{x}(t)$  can be extended for  $\alpha < t < \beta + \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , it is sufficient to show that  $f_0$  can be extended along  $x(t)$  for  $\alpha < t \leq \beta$ . If  $x(t)$  is either  $\xi$ -geodesic or

$D$ -geodesic it can be done, because  $M$  is  $\xi$ - and  $D$ -complete. So, we may suppose that  $x(t)$  is neither  $\xi$ -geodesic nor  $D$ -geodesic. By considering a normal coordinate neighborhood of  $\tilde{S}$  at  $x(\beta)$ , we can find  $t_1 \in (0, \beta)$  such that, there exists  $Y \in T_{x(t_1)}(\tilde{S})$  such that  $\tilde{\eta}(Y)=0$  and the  $D$ -geodesic  $y(t), y(0) = x(t_1)$  and  $\dot{y}(0) = Y$ , intersects the trajectory  $L$  of  $\tilde{\xi}$  passing through  $x(\beta)$  at  $z \in \tilde{S}$ . Since  $M$  is  $D$ -complete, we can extend  $f_1$  along the  $D$ -geodesic  $y(t)$ , say  $f_2$ ; especially, the domain of  $f_2$  contains a neighborhood of  $z$ . Since  $M$  is  $\xi$ -complete, we can extend  $f_2$  along  $L$ , say  $f_3$ ; in particular, the domain of  $f_3$  contains a neighborhood of  $x(\beta)$ . Since  $\tilde{S}$  and  $M$  are simply connected, these extensions are unique. Thus  $f_0$  is extended along  $x(t)$  for  $\alpha < t \leq \beta$ .

**4. Main theorems.**

**THEOREM 1.** *If a Sasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g), n \geq 1$ , is complete, simply connected and of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $k \neq -3$ , then it is  $D$ -homothetic to the model space  $\tilde{S}_{2s}^{2n+1}$  of Sasakian manifolds, where*

$$\begin{aligned} 2s &= \text{the signature of } g \text{ if } k > -3, \\ 2s &= 2n - \text{the signature of } g \text{ if } k < -3. \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g} &= \alpha g + (\alpha^2 - \alpha) \eta \otimes \eta, \\ \bar{\xi} &= (1/\alpha) \xi, \quad \bar{\eta} = \alpha \eta, \quad \bar{\phi} = \phi, \\ \alpha &= (k+3)/4. \end{aligned}$$

Then Proposition 2 says that  $M^{2n+1}(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$  is a Sasakian manifold of constant curvature 1. According to Lemma 1 of §3,  $(M^{2n+1}, \bar{g})$  is  $\xi$ - and  $D$ -complete, and hence it is complete by Lemma 2 of §3. Since  $(M^{2n+1}, \bar{g})$  is complete, Lemma 1 of §2 says that it is isometric to  $\tilde{S}_{2s}^{2n+1}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} 2s &= \text{the signature of } g \text{ if } \alpha > 0, \\ 2s &= 2n - \text{the signature of } g \text{ if } \alpha < 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\alpha > 0$  (resp.  $\alpha < 0$ ) is equivalent to  $k > -3$  (resp.  $k < -3$ ). Then, Lemma 2 of §2 says that  $M^{2n+1}(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{g})$  is equivalent to the model space  $\tilde{S}_{2s}^{2n+1}$  of Sasakian manifold; that is, the Sasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is  $D$ -homothetic to  $\tilde{S}_{2s}^{2n+1}$ .

**COROLLARY.** *If a Sasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g), n \geq 1$ , with a Riemannian metric  $g$  is complete, simply connected and of constant*

$\phi$ -sectional curvature  $k \neq -3$ , then it is  $D$ -homothetic to either the unit sphere  $S^{2n+1}$  if  $k > -3$  or  $\widetilde{S}_{2n}^{2n+1}$  if  $k < -3$ .

REMARK. The above Corollary was proved by S. Tanno [9] in the case of  $k > -3$ .

EXAMPLE. Let us consider the model space  $(\widetilde{S}_{2n}^{2n+1}, \widetilde{g})$ .  $\widetilde{S}_{2n}^{2n+1}$  is the universal pseudo-Riemannian covering manifold of  $S_{2n}^{2n+1}$ , which is diffeomorphic to  $R^{2n} \times S^1$ . Let us consider a  $D$ -homothetic deformation

$$\widetilde{g} = -\widetilde{g} + 2\widetilde{\eta} \otimes \widetilde{\eta},$$

i.e.,  $\alpha = -1$  in (1) of §3. It is clear that  $\widetilde{g}$  is a Riemannian metric of  $\widetilde{S}_{2n}^{2n+1}$ , and (2) of §3 says that  $(\widetilde{S}_{2n}^{2n+1}, \widetilde{g})$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $-7$ .

THEOREM 2. Let  $M_i = M_i^{2n+1}(\phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, g_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , be complete, simply connected Sasakian manifolds. Suppose they are of the same signature  $2s$  and of the same constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $k \neq -3$ , then they are equivalent; that is, there is an isometry

$$f: M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$$

such that  $f_*\xi_1 = \xi_2$ ,  $f^*\eta_2 = \eta_1$  and  $f^* \circ \phi_1 = \phi_2 \circ f_*$ .

PROOF. Theorem 1 says that  $\overline{M}_i = M_i^{2n+1}(\overline{\phi}_i, \overline{\xi}_i, \overline{\eta}_i, \overline{g}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are equivalent to  $\widetilde{S}_{2s}^{2n+1}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g}_i &= \alpha g_i + (\alpha^2 - \alpha) \eta_i \otimes \eta_i, \\ \overline{\xi}_i &= (1/\alpha) \xi_i, \quad \overline{\eta}_i = \alpha \eta_i, \quad \overline{\phi}_i = \phi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ \alpha &= (k+3)/4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Lemma 2 of §2 implies that  $\overline{M}_1$  and  $\overline{M}_2$  are equivalent; that is, there is an isometry

$$f: \overline{M}_1 \longrightarrow \overline{M}_2$$

such that  $f_*\overline{\xi}_1 = \overline{\xi}_2$ ,  $f^*\overline{\eta}_2 = \overline{\eta}_1$  and  $f_* \circ \overline{\phi}_1 = \overline{\phi}_2 \circ f_*$ . Since

$$g_i = (1/\alpha) \overline{g}_i + ((1/\alpha^2) - (1/\alpha)) \overline{\eta}_i \otimes \overline{\eta}_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$f$  is an isometry

$$f: M_1 \longrightarrow M_2.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\xi_1 &= f_*(\alpha\bar{\xi}_1) = \alpha\bar{\xi}_2 = \xi_2, \\ f^*\eta_2 &= f^*((1/\alpha)\bar{\eta}_2) = (1/\alpha)\bar{\eta}_1 = \eta_1, \\ f_*\circ\phi_1 &= f_*\circ\bar{\phi}_1 = \bar{\phi}_2\circ f_* = \phi_2\circ f_*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f$  gives the equivalence of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .

**5. Sasakian manifold with  $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$ .** Let  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a Sasakian manifold. Then, by the definition of Sasakian manifold, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad R(X, \xi)Y &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi \quad (\because \xi \text{ is a Killing vector field}) \\ &= \nabla_X(\phi Y) - \phi(\nabla_X Y) \\ &= (\nabla_X \phi)Y + \phi(\nabla_X Y) - \phi(\nabla_X Y) \\ &= \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi, \\ (2) \quad R(X, Y)\xi &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \xi - \nabla_{[X, Y]}\xi \\ &= \nabla_X(\phi Y) - \nabla_Y(\phi X) - \phi([X, Y]) \\ &= (\nabla_X \phi)Y + \phi(\nabla_X Y) - (\nabla_Y \phi)X - \phi(\nabla_Y X) - \phi([X, Y]) \\ &= \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi - (\eta(X)Y - g(Y, X)\xi) \\ &= \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ . Suppose  $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$  for all tangent vectors  $X$  and  $Y$ , where  $R(X, Y)$  operates on  $R$  as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point. Now, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be tangent vectors such that  $\eta(X) = \eta(Y) = 0$  and  $g(X, Y) = 0$ . Then, using (1) and (2) above,

$$\begin{aligned} &(R(X, \xi) \cdot R)(X, Y)Y \\ &= R(X, \xi)R(X, Y)Y - R(R(X, \xi)X, Y)Y - R(X, R(X, \xi)Y)Y - R(X, Y)R(X, \xi)Y \\ &= \eta(R(X, Y)Y)X - g(X, R(X, Y)Y)\xi - R(\eta(X)X - g(X, X)\xi, Y)Y \\ &\quad - R(X, \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi)Y - R(X, Y)(\eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi) \\ &= \eta(R(X, Y)Y)X - g(X, R(X, Y)Y)\xi + g(X, X)R(\xi, Y)Y \end{aligned}$$

$$= \eta(R(X, Y)Y)X - g(X, R(X, Y)Y)\xi - g(X, X)\eta(Y)Y + g(X, X)g(Y, Y)\xi.$$

Hence,

$$(3) \quad \eta(R(X, Y)Y)X - g(X, R(X, Y)Y)\xi + g(X, X)g(Y, Y)\xi = 0.$$

Thus, considering  $\xi$ -component of (3), we get

$$g(X, R(X, Y)Y) = g(X, X)g(Y, Y),$$

showing that  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature 1, and hence it is of constant curvature 1.

**THEOREM 3.** *A Sasakian manifold satisfying  $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$  for all tangent vectors  $X$  and  $Y$  is of constant curvature 1.*

**6. Sasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  which is isometrically immersed in  $E_s^{2n+2}$ .** Let  $E_s^n$  be a Euclidean space  $R^n$  with a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g}_s$  which is defined by the parallel displacement of the "inner product"

$$\langle x, y \rangle = - \sum_{i=1}^s x^i y^i + \sum_{j=s+1}^n x^j y^j.$$

Then the signature of  $\tilde{g}_s$  is  $s$ , and  $E_s^n$  is complete and of constant curvature 0 (cf. J. A. Wolf [10], §2.4).

Let  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a Sasakian manifold. Suppose we have an isometric immersion

$$f: M^{2n+1} \longrightarrow E_s^{2n+2}.$$

For each  $x \in M^{2n+1}$ , we can choose a unit vector field  $\zeta$  normal to  $M^{2n+1}$  on some neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ :

$$\tilde{g}_s(\zeta, \zeta) = \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad -1 \quad \text{on } U.$$

For any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $U$  tangent to  $M^{2n+1}$ , we have the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten:

$$D_x Y = \nabla_x Y + \varepsilon h(X, Y)\zeta,$$

$$D_x \zeta = -AX,$$

where  $D_x$  and  $\nabla_x$  denote covariant differentiations for  $\tilde{g}_s$  and  $g$ , respectively.  $A$  is a field of symmetric endomorphisms which corresponds to the second fundamental form  $h$ , that is,  $h(X, Y) = g(AX, Y)$  for all tangent vectors  $X$  and  $Y$ . The equation of Gauss expresses the curvature tensor  $R$  of  $M^{2n+1}$  by means of  $A$ :

$$(1) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \varepsilon\{g(Z, AY)AX - g(Z, AX)AY\}.$$

This equation implies

$$(2) \quad R(X, \xi)Y = \varepsilon\{\eta(AY)AX - g(AX, Y)A\xi\}.$$

On the other hand, we have (1) of §5:

$$(3) \quad R(X, \xi)Y = \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi.$$

Suppose the isometric immersion  $f: M^{2n+1} \longrightarrow E_s^{2n+2}$  is proper, that is,  $A$  can be expressed by a real diagonal matrix with respect to a certain orthonormal frame at each point of  $M^{2n+1}$  (cf. A. Fialkow [2], p.764). Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_{x_0}(M^{2n+1})$  such that  $A$  is expressed by a diagonal matrix with respect to  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$ , i. e.,

$$(4) \quad Ae_i = \rho_i e_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2n+1, \quad \rho_i \in R.$$

(2), (3) with  $X = e_i$ ,  $Y = e_j$  and (4) imply

$$(5) \quad \eta(e_j)e_i - g(e_i, e_j)\xi = \varepsilon\{\rho_i\rho_j\eta(e_j)e_i - \rho_i g(e_i, e_j)A\xi\}.$$

If  $i \neq j$ , (5) implies

$$\eta(e_j)e_i = \varepsilon\rho_i\rho_j\eta(e_j)e_i.$$

Hence  $\varepsilon\rho_i\rho_j = 1$  for all  $i \neq j$ , or  $\eta(e_j) = 0$  for some  $j$ .

(a) Suppose  $\varepsilon\rho_i\rho_j = 1$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\rho_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ , and  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \dots = \rho_{2n+1} = \rho$ . Thus  $\varepsilon\rho^2 = 1$ . This implies  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $\rho^2 = 1$ .

(b) Suppose  $\eta(e_{j_0}) = 0$  for some  $j_0$ . Then (5) implies

$$\xi = \varepsilon\rho_{j_0}A\xi.$$

Hence  $\rho_{j_0} \neq 0$  and  $A\xi = (1/\varepsilon\rho_{j_0})\xi$ , i. e.,  $\xi$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue

$1/\varepsilon\rho_i$ . We may suppose  $e_1 = \xi$ , and hence  $\eta(e_i) = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 2n+1$ . (2) implies

$$K(e_i, \xi) = \varepsilon\rho_i\rho_i,$$

(3) implies

$$K(e_i, \xi) = 1$$

for  $2 \leq i \leq 2n+1$ . Hence we get  $\rho_i\rho_i = \varepsilon$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 2n+1$ , and hence  $\rho_2 = \rho_3 = \dots = \rho_{2n+1} = \rho$ . Consequently,  $AX = \rho X$  for any tangent vector  $X$  such that  $\eta(X) = 0$ . Thus (1) implies  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature  $\varepsilon\rho^2$ , hence we have (3) of §1 with  $k = \varepsilon\rho^2$ . Now, if we assume  $n \geq 2$ , we can find non-null tangent vectors  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $\eta(X) = \eta(Y) = 0$ ,  $g(X, Y) = 0$  and  $g(\phi X, Y) = 0$ . Then (3) of §1 and (1) of this section give

$$4R(X, Y)X = -(k+3)g(X, X)Y$$

and

$$R(X, Y)X = -\varepsilon\rho^2g(X, X)Y,$$

respectively. Hence we get

$$\frac{k+3}{4} = \varepsilon\rho^2.$$

Since  $k = \varepsilon\rho^2$ , this equation implies  $\varepsilon\rho^2 = 1$ , that is,  $\rho^2 = \varepsilon$ . Hence  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $\rho^2 = 1$ . Since  $\rho_i\rho_i = \varepsilon$ , we get  $\rho_i = \rho$ .

Summarizing (a) and (b), if  $n \geq 2$ , we have  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $A = \rho$  and  $\rho^2 = 1$ . We may suppose  $\rho = 1$ , since the change  $\xi \rightarrow -\xi$  implies  $A \rightarrow -A$ ,  $\rho = (1/(2n+1)) \cdot \text{Tr } A$  is a differentiable function on  $U$ .

Now, let us suppose  $n \geq 2$ . Consider the  $R^{2n+2}$ -valued function

$$x \in U \subset M^{2n+1} \longrightarrow \xi_x + f(x) \in R^{2n+2}.$$

For any tangent vector  $X$  to  $M^{2n+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{f,x}(\xi + f) &= f_*(-AX + X) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\xi + f$  is a constant map  $M^{2n+1} \rightarrow \alpha \in R^{2n+2}$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(x) - \alpha, f(x) - \alpha \rangle &= \langle \zeta_x, \zeta_x \rangle \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in U$ . Thus  $f(U)$  lies on the hypersurface  $S_s^{2n+1}(\alpha)$ , which is the hypersurface  $S_s^{2n+1}$  translated by the parallel translation  $\beta \rightarrow \alpha + \beta$ ,  $\beta \in R^{2n+2}$ . Let  $M' = \{x \in M^{2n+1} : f(x) \in S_s^{2n+1}(\alpha)\}$ . Then the above argument says that  $M'$  is open. Similarly,  $M^{2n+1} - M'$  is open, showing  $M'$  to be closed. Thus, since  $M^{2n+1}$  is connected,  $M' = M^{2n+1}$ , i.e.,  $f(M^{2n+1})$  lies on  $S_s^{2n+1}(\alpha)$ . In particular,  $(M^{2n+1}, g)$  is of constant curvature 1.

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose we have a complete Sasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , which is properly and isometrically immersed in  $E_s^{2n+2}$ . Then*

- (i) *if  $0 \leq s \leq 2n-1$ , then  $s$  is even, the immersion is an isometric imbedding and  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is equivalent to  $\widetilde{S}_s^{2n+1}$ ,*
- (ii) *if  $2n \leq s \leq 2n+2$ , then  $s = 2n$  and  $M^{2n+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a pseudo-Riemannian covering manifold of  $S_{2n}^{2n+1}$  and the immersion induces the covering projection, naturally.*

We need the following Lemma :

**LEMMA.** *Let  $M_1 = (M_1^n, h_1)$  and  $M_2 = (M_2^n, h_2)$  be pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with the same dimension and signature. Suppose  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are of the same constant curvature  $k$ , and suppose we have an isometric immersion*

$$f: M_1 \longrightarrow M_2.$$

*Then, if  $M_1$  is complete,  $M_2$  is also complete and the isometric immersion  $f$  is a covering projection (cf. S. Kobayashi-K. Nomizu [3], Theorem 4.6).*

**PROOF.** Let  $y_2$  be an arbitrary point of  $M_2$ . Let us take  $x_1 \in M_1$  and let  $x_2 = f(x_1)$ . Then we can join  $x_2$  and  $y_2$  by a broken geodesic  $L_2$ . Since  $M_1$  is complete, there is a broken geodesic  $L_1$  in  $M_1$  such that  $f(L_1) = L_2$ , showing that  $f$  is an onto mapping.

Let  $x_2(t)$ ,  $\alpha < t < \beta$ , be a geodesic in  $M_2$ . Then, since  $M_1$  is complete, we have a geodesic  $x_1(t)$ ,  $-\infty < t < +\infty$ , such that  $f(x_1(t)) = x_2(t)$  for  $\alpha < t < \beta$ . Since  $f$  is an isometric immersion, there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_1(\alpha)$  (resp.  $x_1(\beta)$ ) such that  $f|U$  is an isometry of  $U$  onto  $f(U)$  which is a

neighborhood of  $f(x_1(\alpha))$  (resp.  $f(x_1(\beta))$ ). Thus the geodesic  $x_2(t)$ ,  $\alpha < t < \beta$ , can be extended for  $\alpha - \varepsilon' < t < \beta + \varepsilon''$  for some positive constants  $\varepsilon'$  and  $\varepsilon''$ , showing  $M_2$  to be complete.

Let us consider the universal pseudo-Riemannian covering manifolds  $\tilde{M}_1$  and  $\tilde{M}_2$  of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  with projections  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , respectively. Let  $x_1$  be an arbitrary point of  $M_1$ , choose  $y_1 \in p_1^{-1}(x_1)$  and  $y_2 \in p_2^{-1}(f(x_1))$ . Let  $V_{y_1}$ ,  $U_{x_1}$ ,  $U_{f(x_1)}$  and  $V_{y_2}$  be neighborhoods of  $y_1$ ,  $x_1$ ,  $f(x_1)$  and  $y_2$ , respectively, such that  $p_1$ ,  $f$  and  $p_2$  are isometries of  $V_{y_1}$ ,  $U_{x_1}$  and  $V_{y_2}$  onto  $U_{x_1}$ ,  $U_{f(x_1)}$  and  $U_{f(x_1)}$ , respectively. Then we have an isometry

$$F = p_2^{-1} f p_1 : V_{y_1} \longrightarrow V_{y_2}.$$

Since  $\tilde{M}_1$  and  $\tilde{M}_2$  are complete, simply connected and of constant curvature  $k$ , the local isometry  $F$  has a unique extension, say  $\tilde{F}$ ; that is, an isometry  $\tilde{F} : \tilde{M}_1 \longrightarrow \tilde{M}_2$ . Since this extension can be done along all (broken) geodesics passing through  $y_1$ , we have

$$p_2 \circ \tilde{F} = f \circ p_1,$$

which shows that  $f$  is a covering projection, since  $f$  is a continuous and open mapping.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. The above Lemma says that the isometric immersion is a covering projection  $M^{2n+1} \longrightarrow S_s^{2n+1}(\alpha)$ . If  $0 \leq s \leq 2n-1$ ,  $s$  is even, then  $S_s^{2n+1}(\alpha)$  is simply connected, hence the covering projection is an isometry. Thus the Theorem follows from Lemma 2 of §2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. P. EISENHART, Riemannian Geometry, Chapter IV, Princeton University Press, 1949.
- [2] A. FIALKOW, Hypersurfaces of a space of constant curvature, Ann. of Math., 39(1938), 762-785.
- [3] S. KOBAYASHI AND K. NOMIZU, Foundations of differential geometry, Volume 1, Interscience, 1963.
- [4] K. OGIUE, On almost contact manifolds admitting axiom of planes or axiom of free mobility, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 16(1964), 223-232.
- [5] S. SASAKI, On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure I, Tôhoku Math. J., 12(1960), 459-476.
- [6] S. SASAKI AND Y. HATAKEYAMA, On differentiable manifolds with contact metric structures, J. of Math. Soc. of Japan, 14(1962), 249-271.
- [7] S. SASAKI, Almost contact manifolds, Part I, Lecture note, Math. Inst. of Tôhoku Univ., 1965.

- [ 8 ] S. TANNO, Partially conformal transformations with respect to  $(m-1)$ -dimensional distributions of  $m$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J., 17(1965), 358-409.
- [ 9 ] S. TANNO, The topology of contact Riemannian manifolds, Illinois J. of Math., 12(1968), 700-717.
- [10] J. A. WOLF, Spaces of constant curvature, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1967.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, JAPAN