

## ON THE INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF SOME FUNCTIONAL ON A VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA

HIDEO TAKEMOTO

(Received November 7, 1968)

**1. Introduction.** The purpose of this paper is to study the integral representation of a normal positive linear functional on a von Neumann algebra. This is a part of reduction theory in von Neumann algebra and it has been studied by many authors. In this paper, we shall show that a normal positive linear functional on a von Neumann algebra has an integral representation by factor states. Before going into the discussions, the author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Prof. M. Fukamiya and Prof. M. Takesaki in the presentation of this paper.

**2. Notations and Definitions.** Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$  with the predual  $M_*$  and the center  $Z$ ; a positive linear functional  $\psi$  on  $M$  is included in a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $M$  [notation:  $\psi \ll \varphi$ ] if there exists a positive scalar  $\alpha$  such that  $\varphi - \alpha\psi$  is a positive linear functional on  $M$ . Then  $\psi \ll \varphi$  if and only if, for each sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $M$ ,  $\varphi(a_n^*a_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , implies  $\psi(a_n^*a_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By using the above notation, we set the following definition.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $\varphi$  be a positive linear functional. If the normalized form of  $\varphi$  is a pure state, then  $\varphi$  is said to be pure. If, whenever  $\psi$  is a positive linear functional such that  $\psi \ll \varphi$ , there exists an element  $a_0$  in  $M^+$  (that is, the set of all positive elements of  $M$ ) such that  $\psi(a) = \varphi(aa_0)$  for all  $a \in M$ , then  $\varphi$  is said to be reducible.

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with the identity and  $\varphi$  a positive linear functional on  $A$ . Putting

$$I_\varphi = \{a \in A; \varphi(a^*a) = 0\}$$

which is called the left kernel of  $\varphi$ , the quotient space  $A/I_\varphi$  becomes the pre-Hilbert space with the inner product canonically induced by  $\varphi$ . We denote the element of  $A/I_\varphi$  corresponding to  $a \in A$  by  $\eta_\varphi(a)$ . Then we get a Hilbert

space  $H_\varphi$ , the completion of  $A/I_\varphi$ , and a cyclic representation  $\pi_\varphi$  of  $A$ , as the left multiplication operators on  $H_\varphi$ .

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra acting on a Hilbert space  $H$ , let  $K$  be a subspace of  $H$ . If  $K$  is invariant under  $A$ , then we use a symbol  $K \eta A'$  (that is, the set of all commuting operators for  $A$ ). In particular, if  $K$  is a closed subspace, then the projection  $e$  from  $H$  onto  $K$  is an element of  $A'$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with the identity 1 and  $\varphi$  a state on  $A$ . Then, if the weak closure  $\widehat{\pi_\varphi(A)}$  of  $\pi_\varphi(A)$  is a factor,  $\varphi$  is called a factor state.

**3. Main theorems.** The purpose of this paper is to show that the normal reducible functional  $\varphi$  is a faithful normal trace on  $eMe$  (this notation is due to [1]) where  $e$  is the support of  $\varphi$ , and the normal reducible functional on a type I von Neumann algebra  $M$  has the integral representation by factor states. However, these factor states do not necessarily induce von Neumann representation, which we shall show.

Now we shall state the explained results in the following form:

THEOREM A. *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra of type I with the center  $Z$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $\varphi$  be a reducible normal positive linear functional on  $M$ . Then  $\varphi$  admits the integral representation on the spectrum  $X$  of  $Z$ :*

$$(a) \quad \varphi(a) = \int_X \varphi_\xi(a) d\nu(\xi) \quad \text{for each } a \in M,$$

which satisfies the following conditions:

(1)  $\nu$  is the spectral measure  $\nu_\xi$  on  $X$  where the vector  $\xi$  is an element of  $H$  which arises from restricting  $\varphi$  on  $Z$ ,

(2) for each  $z \in Z$  and  $a \in M$ ,  $\varphi_\xi(za) = z^\wedge(\xi) \varphi_\xi(a)$  where  $z^\wedge$  is the Gelfand's representation of  $z$ ,

(3) the mapping  $\xi \rightarrow \varphi_\xi$  is weakly continuous on  $\text{supp}(\nu)$ ,

(4) there exists a non-dense set  $N$  in  $\text{supp}(\nu) = Y$  such that, for  $\xi \in Y - N$ ,  $\varphi_\xi$  is a factor state.

Let  $\pi_\xi$  be the canonical representation induced by  $\varphi_\xi$ , then we have

THEOREM B. *Let  $M$  be a properly infinite von Neumann algebra of*

type I with the separable predual  $M_*$  and the center  $Z$  which is non-atomic. Then there exists an element  $\zeta$  of the spectrum  $X$  of  $Z$  for which  $\pi_\zeta(M)$  is not a von Neumann algebra.

**4. Some lemmas.** To prove our theorems, we shall provide some considerations. A projection  $e$  in  $M$  is said to be abelian if a von Neumann algebra  $eMe$  is abelian. If  $z(e)$  is the central support of  $e$ , then  $Z_{z(e)}$  and  $eMe$  is  $*$ -isomorphic ([1] p.19, Proposition 2). We define the  $*$ -isomorphism  $\Phi$  from  $Z_{z(e)}$  onto  $eMe$  as  $\Phi(a) = ae$  for  $a \in Z_{z(e)}$ , and the linear mapping  $\tau_e$  from  $M$  onto  $Z_{z(e)}$  as  $\tau_e(a) = \Phi^{-1}(eae)$  for each  $a \in M$ .

For each  $\zeta \in X$ , the closed two-sided ideal in  $M$  generated by  $\zeta$  will be denote by  $[\zeta]$ , for which the quotient algebra  $M/[\zeta]$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. For any  $z \in Z$ ,  $z^\wedge$  denotes the image of  $z$  by the Gelfand's representation of  $z$ .

LEMMA 1. For each  $\zeta$  in the set  $\{\zeta \in X; z(e)^\wedge(\zeta) = 1\}$ , the functional  $\varphi(a) = \tau_e(a)^\wedge(\zeta)$  is pure.

PROOF. It is clear that  $\varphi$  is a non-zero positive linear functional. Let  $\psi$  be a positive linear functional on  $M$  such that  $\psi \ll \varphi$ . Since  $\varphi([\zeta]) = 0$ ,  $\psi([\zeta]) = 0$ . Therefore, there exists a functional  $\psi_1$  on  $M/[\zeta]$  such that  $\psi_1(a(\zeta)) = \psi(a)$  for all  $a \in M$  where  $a(\zeta)$  is the element of  $M/[\zeta]$  corresponding to  $a$ . Furthermore,  $\psi(1 - e) = 0$ ; this means that  $\psi(a) = \psi(eae)$  for all  $a \in M$  by the Schwartz's inequality. Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a) &= \psi(eae) = \psi(\tau_e(a)e) = \psi_1(\tau_e(a)^\wedge(\zeta)e(\zeta)) \\ &= \varphi(a)\psi_1(e(\zeta)) = \varphi(a)\psi(e) \quad \text{for all } a \in M. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\psi$  is a scalar multiple of  $\varphi$ , hence  $\varphi$  is pure.

Let  $\varphi$  be a normal positive linear functional on  $M$  and  $\psi$  be any positive linear functional on  $M$  such that  $\psi \ll \varphi$ , then, by the Radon-Nikodym theorem due to S.Sakai [6], there exists a positive element  $a_0$  of  $M$  satisfying  $\psi(a) = \varphi(a_0aa_0)$  for all  $a \in M$ . But,  $a_0$  is not necessarily  $\|a_0\| \leq 1$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $\varphi$  be a faithful normal positive linear functional on  $M$ ,  $(\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi)$  the canonical representation of  $M$  induced by  $\varphi$  and  $\xi_\varphi$  a cyclic vector for this representation. Then  $\varphi$  is reducible on  $M$  if and only if  $\omega_{\xi_\varphi}$  is reducible on  $\pi_\varphi(M)$  where  $\omega_{\xi_\varphi}$  denote a normal state defined by  $\omega_{\xi_\varphi}(a) = (a\xi_\varphi | \xi_\varphi)$  for all  $a \in \pi_\varphi(M)$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is reducible. Since  $\varphi$  is faithful,  $\pi_\varphi$  is a

\*-isomorphism and  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous. If  $\psi$  is a positive linear functional on the von Neumann algebra  $\pi_\varphi(M)$  such that  $\psi \ll \omega_{\xi_\varphi}$  and  $\psi_1$  is defined by  $\psi_1(a) = \psi(\pi_\varphi(a))$ , then  $\psi_1$  is an element of  $(M_*)^+$  such that  $\psi_1 \ll \varphi$ . Obviously, if  $\varphi(a_n^* a_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\psi_1(a_n^* a_n) = \psi(\pi(a_n)^* \pi(a_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is reducible, there exists a positive element  $a_0$  of  $M$  such that  $\psi_1(a) = \varphi(aa_0)$  for all  $a \in M$ , hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\pi_\varphi(a)) &= (\pi_\varphi(aa_0) \xi_\varphi | \xi_\varphi) = (\pi_\varphi(a) \pi_\varphi(a_0) \xi_\varphi | \xi_\varphi) \\ &= \omega_{\xi_\varphi}(\pi_\varphi(a) \pi_\varphi(a_0)), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\omega_{\xi_\varphi}$  is reducible. The above argument can also be applied to prove the converse part. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

In Lemma 2, the assumption that  $\varphi$  is faithful is not essential, but its proof will be left for readers.

The following lemma is due to H. Halpern [2].

LEMMA 3. *The vector state  $\omega_\xi$  is reducible on  $M$  if and only if  $\xi$  is a trace element for  $eMe$  where  $e = \text{supp}(\omega_\xi)$ .*

Now we shall show our Prop. 1 which will give us an extended notion of normal reducible functional.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $Z$  the center of  $M$ ,  $\varphi$  an element of  $(M_*)^+$  and  $e$  the support of  $\varphi$ . Then  $\varphi$  is reducible if and only if  $\varphi$  is a faithful normal trace on a von Neumann algebra  $eMe$ .*

PROOF. Suppose firstly that  $\varphi$  is a faithful normal trace on a von Neumann algebra  $eMe$ . If  $\psi$  is a positive linear functional such that  $\psi \ll \varphi$ , then  $\psi(a) = \psi(eae)$  for all  $a \in M$ . Since  $\psi \ll \varphi$  on  $eMe$ , by the Radon-Nikodym theorem due to S. Sakai [6], there exists a positive element  $a_0$  of  $eMe$  such that  $\psi(eae) = \varphi(a_0 eae a_0)$  for all  $a \in M$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a trace on  $eMe$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a) &= \psi(eae) = \varphi(a_0 eae a_0) = \varphi(eae a_0^2) \\ &= \varphi(ea a_0^2) = \varphi(a a_0^2) \quad \text{for all } a \in M. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\varphi$  is reducible on  $M$ .

We shall show the converse. Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a faithful positive linear functional on  $eMe$ . Let  $(\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi)$  be the canonical representation of  $eMe$  induced by  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(eae) = (\pi_\varphi(eae) \xi_0 | \xi_0)$  where  $\xi_0$  is a cyclic vector for  $\pi_\varphi(eMe)$ . Then, by Lemma 2,  $\xi_0$  is a trace element for  $\pi_\varphi(eMe)$ . Thus,  $\varphi$  is a normal trace on  $eMe$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with the identity 1,  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1,2}$  be two states on  $A$  and  $\{\pi_i\}_{i=1,2}$  be the canonical representations of  $A$  induced by  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1,2}$  respectively. If there exist two equivalent projections  $\{e_i\}_{i=1,2}$  in  $A$  for which  $u$  is the partial isometry with the initial projection  $e_1$  and the final projection  $e_2$ , and if  $\{e_i\}_{i=1,2}$  satisfy the relations  $\varphi_i(1 - e_i) = 0$ , for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\varphi_1(u^*au) = \varphi_2(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ , then  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are unitarily equivalent.*

PROOF. For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $I_i$  be the left kernel of  $\varphi_i$ ,  $K_i = A/I_i$  and  $H_i$  the completion of  $K_i$  with respect to the inner product induced by  $\varphi_i$ . Define the mapping  $U$  of  $K_2$  into  $K_1$  by the following form:  $U(\bar{a}^2) = \overline{au^1}(\bar{a}^1$  is the class of  $K_i$  corresponding to  $a$  for  $i=1,2)$ . Then, for  $a, b \in \bar{a}^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(((a - b)u)^*((a - b)u)) &= \varphi_1(u^*(a - b)^*(a - b)u) \\ &= \varphi_2((a - b)^*(a - b)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $U$  is well-defined; it is clear that  $U$  maps  $K_2$  onto  $K_1$ .

$U$  has the unique extension to a unitary operator from  $H_2$  onto  $H_1$ , because, for each  $a, b \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{a}^2 | \bar{b}^2)_2 &= \varphi_2(b^*a) = \varphi_1(u^*(b^*a)u) \\ &= \varphi_1((bu)^*(au)) = (U(\bar{a}^2) | U(\bar{b}^2))_1, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $U$  is an isometry and has the unitary extension from  $H_2$  onto  $H_1$  (we denote it again  $U$ ). Furthermore, since we have, for each  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$\pi_1(a)U(\bar{b}^2) = \pi_1(a)(\overline{bu^1}) = \overline{abu^1},$$

and

$$U(\pi_2(a)(\bar{b}^2)) = U(\overline{ab^2}) = \overline{abu^1},$$

so we have, for all  $a \in A$ ,

$$\pi_1(a)U = U\pi_2(a),$$

$\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are unitarily equivalent. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

LEMMA 5. Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with the identity  $1$ ,  $\varphi$  a state on  $A$  and  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  a family of positive linear functionals on  $A$  with  $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i$ .

Let  $(\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi)$  and  $(\pi_i, H_i)$  be the canonical representations of  $A$  induced by  $\varphi$  and  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , respectively. If there exists a family  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of orthogonal projections in  $A$  such that  $\varphi_i(1-e_i)=0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then there exists a family  $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of closed subspaces of  $H_\varphi$  satisfying the following conditions: for each  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

- (i) if  $i \neq j$ ,  $K_i$  and  $K_j$  are mutually orthogonal,
- (ii)  $K_i$  are invariant subspaces under  $\pi_\varphi(A)$ ,
- (iii)  $H_\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus K_i$  and
- (iv)  $\pi_\varphi|_{K_i}$  are unitarily equivalent to  $\pi_i$ .

PROOF. For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , let  $(\cdot | \cdot)_\varphi$  and  $(\cdot | \cdot)_i$  be the inner product for  $H_\varphi$  and  $H_i$ , respectively. Let  $\xi_i$  be cyclic vectors for  $\pi_\varphi(A)$  and  $\pi_i(A)$  respectively. If we define a bilinear form  $[\pi_\varphi(a)\xi_\varphi | \pi_\varphi(b)\xi_\varphi]$  on the dense subset  $\{\pi_\varphi(A)\xi_\varphi\}$  of  $H_\varphi$  such that  $[\pi_\varphi(a)\xi | \pi_\varphi(b)\xi_\varphi] = \varphi_i(b^*a)$ , then this bilinear form is bounded and so may be extended on  $H_\varphi$ . Therefore, by Riesz' representation theorem, there exists the unique bounded operator  $t_i$  on  $H$  with  $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$  such that  $\varphi_i(b^*a) = [\pi_\varphi(a)t_i\xi_\varphi | \pi_\varphi(b)t_i\xi_\varphi]$  for every  $a, b \in A$ , and  $t_i$  is an element of  $\pi_\varphi(A)'$ .

For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $I_\varphi$  and  $I_i$  be the left kernel of  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi_i$  respectively and  $\eta_\varphi$  and  $\eta_i$  the canonical mappings from  $A$  onto  $A/I_\varphi$  and  $A/I_i$ , respectively. Then we have, for each  $a, b \in A$ ,

$$(\eta_i(a) | \eta_i(b))_i = \varphi_i(b^*a) = (t_i\pi_\varphi(a)\xi_\varphi | t_i\pi_\varphi(b)\xi_\varphi)_\varphi.$$

Therefore, if we define the operator  $U_i$  from  $\{\pi_i(A)\xi_i\}$  into  $\{\pi_\varphi(A)\xi_\varphi\}$  by  $U_i(\pi_i(a)\xi_i) = \pi_\varphi(a)t_i\xi_\varphi$  for each  $i$  and  $a \in A$ , it is a linear isometrical mapping. If we define the closed subspace  $K_i$  of  $H_\varphi$  by  $K_i = [\pi_\varphi(A)t_i\xi_\varphi] = [t_i\pi_\varphi(A)\xi_\varphi] = \overline{t_i(\overline{H_\varphi})} = \text{supp}(t_i)$ , then  $U_i$  has the unique extension to a unitary operator  $H_i$  onto  $K_i$ , which we denote again it by  $U_i$ . Since  $t_i$  is an element of  $\pi_\varphi(A)'$ ,  $K_i$  is an invariant subspace under  $\pi_\varphi(A)$ . Furthermore, for each  $a, b \in A$ , we have

$$U_i(\pi_i(a)\eta_i(b)) = U_i(\pi_i(ab)\xi_i) = \pi_\varphi(ab)t_i\xi_\varphi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\varphi(a)(U_i\eta_i(b)) &= \pi_\varphi(a)U_i(\pi_i(b)\xi_i) = \pi_\varphi(a)\pi_\varphi(b)t_i\xi_\varphi \\ &= \pi_\varphi(ab)t_i\xi_\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi_\varphi|_{K_i}$  are unitarily equivalent.

Next, we shall show that  $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are mutually orthogonal. By the assumption, we have:  $\varphi_k(1 - e_k) = 0$  for all  $k$ . Since  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$  are mutually orthogonal, we have, for each  $a, b \in A$  and for  $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , if  $i \neq k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_k((1 - e_i) b^* a e_i)|^2 &\leq \varphi_k(a^* b (1 - e_i) b^* a) \varphi_k(e_i) \\ &\leq \varphi_k(a^* b (1 - e_i) b^* a) \varphi_k(1 - e_k) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\varphi_i((1 - e_i) b^* a e_i)|^2 \leq \varphi_i(1 - e_i) \varphi_i(b^* a e_i a^* b) = 0.$$

Therefore, for each  $i$ , we have

$$H_\varphi = \overline{\eta_\varphi(Ae_i)} \oplus \overline{\eta_\varphi(A(1 - e_i))}$$

and

$$K_i = \text{supp}(t_i) \subset \overline{\eta_\varphi(Ae_i)}.$$

Therefore, if  $i \neq j$ ,  $K_i$  and  $K_j$  are mutually orthogonal.

Now, we shall show that  $H_\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus K_i$ . For every  $a, b \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_\varphi(a) | \eta_\varphi(b))_\varphi &= \varphi(b^* a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(b^* a) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i \pi_\varphi(a) \xi_\varphi | t_i \pi_\varphi(b) \xi_\varphi)_\varphi \\ &= \left( \pi_\varphi(a) \xi_\varphi \left| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2 \right) \pi_\varphi(b) \xi_\varphi \right. \right)_\varphi \\ &= \left( \eta_\varphi(a) \left| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2 \right) \eta_\varphi(b) \right. \right)_\varphi \end{aligned}$$

Since the subspace  $\{\eta_\varphi(a); a \in A\}$  is dense in  $H_\varphi$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2 = 1$ . Therefore,

we have:  $H_\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus K_i$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

By the mentioned lemmas, we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with the identity 1. Let  $\varphi$  be a state on  $A$  and  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a family of pure, positive linear functionals on*

A such that  $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i$ . If there exists a family  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of orthogonal equivalent projections in  $A$  such that  $\varphi_i(1 - e_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and if, for the partial isometry  $u_i$  with the initial projection  $e_i$  and the final projection  $e_1$ ,  $\varphi_1(u_i^* a u_i) = \varphi_i(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ , then  $\varphi$  is a factor state.

PROOF. Let  $(\pi_\varphi, H_\varphi)$  and  $\{(\pi_i, H_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  be the canonical representations induced by  $\varphi$  and  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^n$  respectively. Since, by Lemma 4,  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi_j$  are unitarily equivalent, there exists an  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space  $H(n)$  such that  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \pi_i\right)(A)$  and  $\pi_1(A) \otimes C_{H(n)}$  are unitarily equivalent where  $C_{H(n)}$  is the algebra of all scalar multiples of the identity on  $H(n)$ . Since  $\varphi_1$  is pure, the weak closure  $\widetilde{\pi_1(A)}$  of  $\pi_1(A)$  is the algebra  $B(H_1)$  of all bounded operators on  $H_1$ . Therefore, the weak closures of  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \pi_i\right)(A)$  and  $B(H_1) \otimes C_{H(n)}$  are unitarily equivalent. Furthermore, by Lemma 5,  $\pi_\varphi(A)$  and  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \pi_i\right)(A)$  are unitarily equivalent. Therefore the weak closure  $\widetilde{\pi_\varphi(A)}$  of  $\pi_\varphi(A)$  and the weak closure  $\overline{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \pi_i\right)(A)}$  of  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus \pi_i\right)(A)$  are unitarily equivalent, and so  $\widetilde{\pi_\varphi(A)}$  and  $B(H_1) \otimes C_{H(n)}$  are unitarily equivalent. Thus,  $\varphi$  is a factor state.

In Theorem 1, it is obvious that the weak closure  $\widetilde{\pi_\varphi(A)}$  of  $\pi_\varphi(A)$  is a factor of type I.

**5. The proof of Theorem A and B.** At first, we shall show Theorem A.

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Let  $e$  be the support of  $\varphi$ , then, by Proposition 1,  $\varphi$  is a faithful normal trace on  $eMe$ .

Since  $M$  is type I and  $eMe$  is finite,  $eMe$  is a finite von Neumann algebra of type I, and there exists a family  $\{e_{n(i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of orthogonal projections in  $Ze$  such that  $e_{n(i)}$  is an  $n(i)$ -homogeneous projection and  $e = \sum_{i=1}^\infty e_{n(i)}$ . Thus,

$eMe = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \oplus (eMe)e_{n(i)}$ . In the following, we shall pass the argument by considering  $e_n$  for  $e_{n(i)}$ .

First, we suppose  $e = 1$ , and let  $Y_1$  be the spectrum of  $Z$  and  $X_n$  the

closed and open set corresponding to  $e_n$  for each  $n$ , then the set  $Y_1 - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = N'$  is a non-dense set in  $Y_1$  (we distinguish  $Y_1$  from  $X$ , because we shall use  $Y_1$  for the spectrum of  $Ze$ ).

Since  $Me_n$  is  $n$ -homogeneous, there exists a family  $\{p_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1}^n$  of projections in  $M$  such that they are equivalent, orthogonal and abelian projections and their sum is  $e_n$ . Thus, there exists an abelian von Neumann algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  which is the center of  $Me_n$ , and we have  $Me_n = \mathfrak{A}_n \otimes B(H_n)$  where  $H_n$  an  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space.

Now, since  $p_1^{(n)}Mp_1^{(n)}$  is abelian,  $p_1^{(n)}Mp_1^{(n)} = Zp_1^{(n)} \stackrel{(*)}{\cong} Ze_n$ . The above  $*$ -isomorphism  $\Phi_n$  is defined by  $\Phi_n^{-1}(p_1^{(n)}ap_1^{(n)}) = be_n$ , for  $p_1^{(n)}ap_1^{(n)} = bp_1^{(n)}$  in  $p_1^{(n)}Mp_1^{(n)} = Zp_1^{(n)}$  where  $a$  is an element of  $M$  and  $b$  is an element of  $Z$ . Let  $u_i^{(n)}$  be the partial isometry with the initial projection  $p_1^{(n)}$  and the final projection  $p_i^{(n)}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then, for  $a \in Me_n$ , we have

$$a = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}u_i^{(n)*}u_j^{(n)}$$

where

$$a_{ij} = \Phi_n^{-1}(p_1^{(n)}u_i^{(n)*}au_j^{(n)}p_1^{(n)}) \in \mathfrak{A}_n.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$p_i^{(n)}ap_i^{(n)} = a_{ii}p_i^{(n)}.$$

This shows that  $a_{ii} = \tau_{p_i^{(n)}}(a)$  where  $\tau$  denotes the mapping defined in the beginning of §4. Thus we denote  $a$  by  $\{a_{ij}\}$  with  $a_{ij} \in \mathfrak{A}_n$  and call it the matrix representation of  $a$ . [See also [7], p.2.11]

Since  $M$  is a finite von Neumann algebra, there exists the center-valued trace  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Moreover we have the following integral representation of  $\varphi$ : for each  $a \in M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \varphi(a) &= \varphi(a^\sharp) = \int_{Y_1} a^\sharp \wedge(t) d\mu(t) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{X_n} a^\sharp \wedge(t) d\mu(t) + \int_{N'} a^\sharp \wedge(t) d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu$  is the spectral measure on  $Y_1$  and the support of  $\mu$  is  $Y_1$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{h}_{e_n}$  be the center-valued trace on  $Me_n$ , then it satisfies that  $a^\sharp e_n = a^\sharp$  for all  $a \in Me_n$ . Furthermore we can show that  $a^\sharp e_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$  for  $a \in Me_n$  where  $\{a_{ij}\}$  is the matrix representation of  $a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a) &= \varphi(a^\flat) = \int_{Y_1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^\wedge(t) \right) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{X_n} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_n^{-1}(p_1^{(n)} u_i^{(n)*} a u_i^{(n)} p_1^{(n)})^\wedge(t) d\mu(t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $a \in Me_n$ .

We return the argument from  $eMe$  to  $M$ , then

$$\varphi(a) = \varphi(eae) = \int_{Y_1} (eae)^\flat e^\wedge(t) d\mu(t).$$

Let  $Y$  be the closed and open set to which the central support  $z(e)$  of  $e$  corresponds and  $Y_1$  the spectrum of  $Ze$ . Then there exists a  $*$ -isomorphism  $\pi$  from  $Z_{z(e)}$  onto  $Ze$  given by  $a \rightarrow ae$  for  $a \in Z_{z(e)}$ . Considering the transpose  ${}^t\pi$  of  $\pi$ , it is the linear isomorphism of  $(Ze)^*$  onto  $(Z_{z(e)})^*$ , and  ${}^t\pi = \delta$  induces a homeomorphism from  $Y_1$  onto  $Y$ . Furthermore,  $\eta = \delta^{-1}$  is a homeomorphism from  $Y$  onto  $Y_1$ .

Put  $\varphi_\zeta(a) = (eae)^\flat e^\wedge(\eta(\zeta))$  for each  $a \in M$ . Then we get, for each  $a \in Z$  and  $\zeta \in Y$ ,  $(ae)^\wedge(\eta(\zeta)) = a^\wedge(\zeta)$  and so, for each  $a \in Z$  and  $b \in M$ , we have

$$\varphi_\zeta(ab) = (eabe)^\flat e^\wedge(\eta(\zeta)) = a^\wedge(\zeta) \varphi_\zeta(b).$$

Let us define  $\nu$  in the following form :

$$C(Y_1)^* \ni \mu \longrightarrow {}^t\pi(\mu) = \nu \in C(Y)^*,$$

then we have : for each  $a \in M$ ,

$$\varphi(a) = \int_{Y_1} (eae)^\flat e^\wedge(t) d\mu(t) = \int_Y \varphi_\zeta(a) d\nu(\zeta).$$

It is obvious that  $\text{supp}(\nu) = Y$  and  $\nu$  is a spectral measure on  $Y$ . The set  $N'$  is non-dense in  $Y_1$  and so  $N = \delta(N')$  is non-dense in  $Y$ . Hence, for each  $\zeta \in Y - N$ , there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\eta(\zeta) \in X_n$ . For such  $n$ , we define a linear functional  $\varphi_{i\zeta}$  in the following form :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{i\zeta}(a) &= \frac{1}{n} \Phi_n^{-1}(p_1^{(n)} u_i^{(n)*} (e_n a e_n) u_i^{(n)} p_1^{(n)})^\wedge(\eta(\zeta)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \tau_{p_1^{(n)}}(e_n a e_n)^\wedge(\eta(\zeta)). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\varphi_{i\xi}(1 - e_n) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_{i\xi}(a) = \varphi_{i\xi}(e_n a e_n)$  and  $\varphi_i$  is pure on  $e_n M e_n$  by Lemma 1. Therefore  $\varphi_{i\xi}$  is pure on  $M$ .

Assume that  $\eta(\xi) \in X_n$ , then  $a_{11}^{(n)} = \Phi_n^{-1}(p_1^{(n)} e_n a e_n p_1^{(n)})$ ,  $a_{ii}^{(n)} = \Phi_n^{-1}(p_1^{(n)} u_i^{(n)*} e_n a e_n u_i^{(n)} p_1^{(n)})$  and  $u_i^{(n)} e_n = e_n u_i^{(n)}$ .

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{i\xi}(u_i^{(n)*} a u_i^{(n)}) &= \frac{1}{n} \Phi_n^{-1}(p_1^{(n)} e_n (u_i^{(n)*} a u_i^{(n)}) e_n p_1^{(n)})^\wedge(\eta(\xi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \Phi_n^{-1}(p_1^{(n)} u_i^{(n)*} (e_n a e_n) u_i^{(n)} p_1^{(n)})^\wedge(\eta(\xi)) \\ &= \varphi_{i\xi}(a) \quad \text{for all } a \in M. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi_{i\xi}(1 - p_i^{(n)}) = 0$  and  $\{p_i^{(n)}\}$  are mutually equivalent, by considering Theorem 1,  $\varphi_\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{i\xi}$  is a factor state. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

Let  $\pi_\xi$  be the canonical representation induced by  $\varphi_\xi$ , then  $\pi_\xi(M)$  is not necessary a von Neumann algebra. Considering  $\pi_\xi$ , then, for  $\xi \in X$ ,  $\pi_\xi^{-1}(0) \cap Z = \{z \in Z; z^\wedge(\xi) = 0\}$ . Therefore,  $\pi_\xi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous if and only if the one-point set  $\{\xi\}$  is a closed and open set in  $X$ , and  $\pi_\xi$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous for all  $\xi \in X$  if and only if  $Z$  is an atomic abelian von Neumann algebra. By this consideration, we have the following proof of Theorem B.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. Since  $Z$  is non-atomic, there exists an element  $\xi$  of  $X$  such that  $\pi_\xi$  is not  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous. If  $\pi_\xi(M)$  is a von Neumann algebra for such an element  $\xi$ , then  $\pi_\xi$  is a \*-homomorphism from  $M$  onto a von Neumann algebra  $\pi_\xi(M)$ , and, by Theorem 1 in [8],  $\pi_\xi$  must be  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous, which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\pi_\xi(M)$  can't be a von Neumann algebra, which completes the proof.

We may construct a von Neumann algebra  $M$  and a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  which satisfy the conditions in Theorem A and B. Let  $H$  be a countably infinite dimensional Hilbert space,  $B(H)$  the von Neumann algebra of all bounded operators on  $H$  and  $L^\infty(0, 1)$  a von Neumann algebra of all essentially bounded functions under the Lebesgue measure on the open interval  $(0, 1)$ . As  $B(H)$  is properly infinite and  $L^\infty(0, 1)$  is a finite von Neumann algebra, the  $W^*$ -tensor product  $M = L^\infty(0, 1) \otimes B(H)$  is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra (p.3. 40 in [7]) and the center  $Z$  of  $M$  is  $L^\infty(0, 1) \otimes \mathbf{C}_H$  where  $\mathbf{C}_H$  is the algebra of all scalar multiples of the identity on  $H$ , and it is a non-atomic abelian von Neumann algebra. Since the Hilbert space  $L^2(0, 1) \otimes H$

is separable,  $M_*$  is separable. Therefore,  $M$  satisfies the assumption in Theorem B.

Next, we shall construct a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  which satisfies the assumption in Theorem A and B. Let  $e$  be a non-zero, finite dimensional projection on  $H$ , then the  $W^*$ -tensor product  $N=L^\infty(0,1)\otimes eB(H)e$  is a finite von Neumann algebra (p. 3.40 in [7]) and  $\sigma$ -finite, because the Hilbert space  $L^2(0,1)\otimes e(H)$  is separable. Therefore there exists a faithful normal trace  $\varphi'$  on  $N=(1\otimes e)M(1\otimes e)$ . If we define a positive linear functional  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi(a)=\varphi'(a(1\otimes e))$  for all  $a\in M$ , is a normal positive linear functional on  $M$ . We see, by the definition of  $\varphi$ ,  $\text{supp}(\varphi)=1\otimes e$ . Therefore, by Proposition 1,  $\varphi$  is a reducible normal positive linear functional on  $M$ . By the above construction, we see that  $\varphi$  and  $M$  satisfy the assumptions in Theorem A and B.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. DIXMIER, Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien, Paris, 1957.
- [2] J. GLIMM, The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem for  $C^*$ -algebras, Ann. of Math., 72(1960), 216-244.
- [3] H. HALPERN, An integral representation of normal functional on a von Neumann algebra, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 125(1966), 32-46.
- [4] R. KADISON, Irreducible operator algebra, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 43(1957), 273-276.
- [5] T. OKAYASU, A structure theorem of automorphism of von Neumann algebras, Tôhoku Math. J., 20(1968), 199-206.
- [6] S. SAKAI, A Radon-Nikodym Theorem in  $W^*$ -algebra, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73(1965), 149-151.
- [7] S. SAKAI, The theory of  $W^*$ -algebras, Lecture Note, Yale University, 1962.
- [8] H. TAKEMOTO, On the homomorphism of von Neumann algebra, Tôhoku Math. J., 21(1969), 152-157.
- [9] M. TAKESAKI, On the conjugate space of operator algebra, Tôhoku Math. J., 10 (1958), 194-203.
- [10] F. B. WRIGHT, A reduction for algebras of finite type, Ann. of Math., 60(1954), 560-570.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, JAPAN