

# ESTIMATES FOR THE MAXIMAL FUNCTION OF HARDY-LITTLEWOOD AND THE MAXIMAL HILBERT TRANSFORM WITH WEIGHTED MEASURES

MAKOTO KANEKO

(Received September 26, 1969)

**Introduction.** When we consider the Hilbert transform  $\tilde{f}(x) = \text{v. p.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt$  of a function  $f$ , we have to treat the function  $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{|x-t| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{f(t)}{x-t} dt$ . In particular, it is interesting to estimate the maximal Hilbert transform  $\tilde{f}^*(x) = \sup_t |\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(x)|$  by the measure  $m(e) = \int_e \frac{1}{\delta + |t|^\alpha} dt$ , where  $\delta = 0$  or  $1$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ . S. Koizumi [4] shows that the operator  $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  with respect to the measure  $m(e)$  where  $\delta = 1$ . He says also that the operator  $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}^*$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  with respect to the same measure and the proof is carried over by the same method. However the latter proposition does not seem to be proved as the former proposition<sup>1)</sup>. The purpose of this paper is to give the complete proof of this proposition.

We estimate the maximal function of Hardy-Littlewood with respect to the measure  $m(e)$  in §1 and then  $\tilde{f}^*$  in §2 with the same measure.

I wish to express my gratitude to Messrs. G. Sunouchi, S. Igari and K. Yabuta for guidance and encouragement during preparation of this paper.

**1. Maximal function of Hardy-Littlewood.** For a non-negative locally integrable function  $f$  on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , the maximal function is defined by

$$(\Theta f)(x) = \sup_\varepsilon \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{|x-t| \leq \varepsilon} f(t) dt$$

where  $dt$  is the Lebesgue measure,  $dm$  is a measure on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad m(e) = \int_e \frac{1}{\delta + |t|^\alpha} dt, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad \delta = 0 \text{ or } 1,$$

<sup>1)</sup> For example, see Y.M. Chen [1], in particular, p. 243 footnote.

and  $L_m^p$  represents the set of all functions such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)|^p dm < +\infty$ .

In the following,  $c$  denotes a constant depending only on  $\alpha$ , and may be different in each occurrence.

**THEOREM 1.** *If a non-negative function  $f$  belongs to  $L_m^1$ , we have for any  $\lambda > 0$*

$$m(\{x; (\Theta f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) dm(t).$$

To prove this theorem, it is sufficient to show the following proposition on  $\theta f$ :

$$(\theta f)(x) = \sup_{-\infty < \xi < x} \frac{1}{x - \xi} \int_{\xi}^x f(t) dt.$$

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If a non-negative function  $f$  belongs to  $L_m^1$ , we have for any  $\lambda > 0$ .*

$$m(\{x; (\theta f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) dm(t).$$

**PROOF.** Since

$$\frac{1}{x - \xi} \int_{\xi}^x f(t) dt = \frac{1}{m(\xi, x)} \int_{\xi}^x \frac{m(\xi, x)(\delta + |t|^{\alpha})}{x - \xi} f(t) dm(t)$$

where  $m(\xi, x)$  means the  $m$ -measure  $m([\xi, x])$  of the interval  $[\xi, x]$ , let us estimate

$$I = \frac{m(\xi, x)(\delta + |t|^{\alpha})}{x - \xi}$$

under the condition  $\xi < t \leq x$ .

(1) Case  $0 \leq \xi < x$ . Since  $1/(\delta + S^{\alpha})$  is decreasing in  $0 < S < +\infty$ ,

$$I = \frac{\delta + t^{\alpha}}{x - \xi} \int_{\xi}^x \frac{dS}{\delta + S^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{\delta + t^{\alpha}}{x} \int_0^x \frac{dS}{\delta + S^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{\delta + x^{\alpha}}{x} \int_0^x \frac{dS}{\delta + S^{\alpha}}$$

(2) Case  $\xi < x \leq 0$ . Similarly to (1), we have

$$I \leq \frac{\delta + |\xi|^\alpha}{|\xi|} \int_0^{|\xi|} \frac{dS}{\delta + S^\alpha}$$

(3) Case  $\xi < 0 < x$ . Setting  $N = \text{Max}(|\xi|, x)$ ,

$$I = \frac{\delta + |t|^\alpha}{x - \xi} \left( \int_\xi^0 + \int_0^x \right) \frac{dS}{\delta + |S|^\alpha} \leq \frac{2(\delta + N^\alpha)}{N} \int_0^N \frac{dS}{\delta + S^\alpha}.$$

Therefore in any cases,

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{m(\xi, x)(\delta + |t|^\alpha)}{x - \xi} \leq \frac{2(\delta + N^\alpha)}{N} \int_0^N \frac{dS}{\delta + S^\alpha} \leq c.$$

Consequently we get

$$(\theta f)(x) \leq c \sup_{-\infty < \xi < x} \frac{1}{m(\xi, x)} \int_\xi^x f(t) dm(t).$$

Thus we shall have Proposition 1, if we show the next proposition on  $\Lambda f$ :

$$(\Lambda f)(x) = \sup_{-\infty < \xi < x} \frac{1}{m(\xi, x)} \int_\xi^x f(t) dm(t).$$

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If a non-negative function  $f$  belongs to  $L_m^1$ , we have for any  $\lambda > 0$*

$$m(\{x; (\Lambda f)(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) dm(t).$$

This is a consequence of the Theorem 2.1. in [2].

**REMARK.** Theorem 1 is false for  $\alpha > 1$ . Let  $f(t) = t^\beta$  for  $t > 1$  and  $f(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 1$ , where  $0 < \beta < \alpha - 1$ , then we find that  $(\Theta f)(x) = +\infty$  for all  $x$ . Thus we get that  $m(\{x; (\Theta f)(x) > \lambda\}) = \text{constant } (\delta = 1), = \infty (\delta = 0)$ , which is impossible.

## 2. Maximal Hilbert transform.

**THEOREM 2.** *If a function  $f$  belongs to  $L_m^1$ , we have for any  $\lambda > 0$*

$$m(\{x; \tilde{f}^*(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dm(t).$$

In order to prove this theorem, we start with the following lemma which is stated in [3] when  $\delta = 0$  and, when  $\delta = 1$ , it can be shown similarly.

LEMMA 1. *For any  $f \in L_m^1$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , we get the following decomposition :*

$$(2.1) \quad f(t) = v(t) + \sum_n w_n(t) = v(t) + w(t),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \text{supp } w_n \subset I_n,$$

$$(2.3) \quad I_n \text{ do not contain the origin in their insides and are mutually disjoint,}$$

$$(2.4) \quad |v(t)| \leq c\lambda,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |v(t)| dm(t) + \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w_n(t)| dm(t) \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dm(t),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_n m(I_n) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dm(t),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_n(t) dt = 0.$$

Corresponding the above decomposition, we get  $\tilde{f}^*(x) \leq \tilde{v}^*(x) + \tilde{w}^*(x)$ . We see  $v \in L_m^2$  by virtue of (2.4) and (2.5). By [5] we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{v}^*(x)^2 dm(x) \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |v(t)|^2 dm(t).$$

So we get, taking (2.4) in consideration,

$$m\left(\left\{x; \tilde{v}^*(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dm(t).$$

Next we turn our attention to  $\tilde{w}^*(x)$ . We denote by  $a_n$  the center of  $I_n$  in the Lemma 1, and  $I_n^*$  the interval which is obtained by magnifying  $I_n$  two times with center  $a_n$  and set  $Q^* = \bigcup_n I_n^*$ . Let us investigate  $\tilde{w}_n^*(x)$  for  $x$  in

$\mathbb{C}Q^*$ .

Setting  $B(x, \varepsilon) = \{t; |x-t| \leq \varepsilon\}$ , we get by (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_n(x) &= \int_{|x-t| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{w_n(t)}{x-t} dt \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a_n} \right) w_n(t) dt, & \text{if } I_n \cap B(x, \varepsilon) = \emptyset \\ \int_{|x-t| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{w_n(t)}{x-t} dt, & \text{if } I_n \cap B(x, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If  $I_n \cap B(x, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $I_n \subset B(x, 3\varepsilon)$ , so that

$$\int_{|x-t| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{|w_n(t)|}{|x-t|} dt \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w_n(t)| dt = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{|x-t| \leq 3\varepsilon} |w_n(t)| dt,$$

and that we find

$$|\tilde{w}_n(x)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a_n} \right| |w_n(t)| dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{|x-t| \leq 3\varepsilon} |w_n(t)| dt.$$

Summing up with respect to  $n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{w}_*(x)| &\leq \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a_n} \right| |w_n(t)| dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{|x-t| \leq 3\varepsilon} |w(t)| dt \\ &\leq \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a_n} \right| |w_n(t)| dt + 3(\Theta w)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Theta w$  stands for  $\Theta(|w|)$ . Consequently,

$$(2.8) \quad w^*(x) \leq \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a_n} \right| |w_n(t)| dt + 3(\Theta w)(x)$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{C}Q^*$ .

Hence

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}Q^*} \left( \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a_n} \right| |w_n(t)| dt \right) dm(x)$$

$$\leq \sum_n \int_{or_n^*} dm(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a_n} \right| |w_n(t)| dt$$

By virtue of the following Lemma 2, the last sum does not exceed

$$c \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w_n(t)| dm(t) = c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(t)| dm(t).$$

LEMMA 2. *For a function  $g$  whose support is contained in an interval  $[a-k, a+k]$  not containing the origin in its inside, it holds that*

$$\int_{|x-a| \geq 2k} dm(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a} \right| |g(t)| dt \leq c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)| dm(t).$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|x-a| \geq 2k} dm(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a} \right| |g(t)| dt \\ &= \int_{-k}^k |g(a+t)| dt \int_{|x| \geq 2k} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x} \right| \frac{dx}{\delta + |x+a|^\alpha} \\ &= \int_{-k}^k |g(a+t)| dt \left( \int_{(|x| \geq 2k) \cap (|x+a| \leq |a|/2)} + \int_{(|x| \geq 2k) \cap (|x+a| > |a|/2)} \right) \\ & \quad \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x} \right| \frac{dx}{\delta + |x+a|^\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

For  $|t| \leq k$  and  $x \in (|x| \geq 2k) \cap (|x+a| > |a|/2)$ ,  $|a+t| \leq |a| + |t| < 2|a| \leq 4|x+a|$ , so that  $1/(\delta + |x+a|^\alpha) < 4/(\delta + |t+a|^\alpha)$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(|x| \geq 2k) \cap (|x+a| > |a|/2)} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x} \right| \frac{dx}{\delta + |x+a|^\alpha} \leq \frac{4}{\delta + |t+a|^\alpha} \int_{|x| \geq 2k} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x} \right| dx \\ & \leq \frac{c}{\delta + |t+a|^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

While, if  $(|x| \geq 2k) \cap (|x+a| \leq |a|/2) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $k \leq 3|a|/4$ , so that  $|a+t| < 2|a|$ , and  $|x-t| \geq k$  and  $|x| \geq |a|/2$  for  $|t| \leq k$  and

$x \in (|x| \geq 2k \cap (|x+a| \leq |a|/2))$ . So we get  $|1/(x-t) - 1/x| \leq 2/|a|$ . Consequently, by (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(|x| \geq 2k) \cap (|x+a| \leq |a|/2)} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x} \right| \frac{dx}{|\delta + |x+a||^\alpha} &\leq \frac{2}{|a|} \int_{|x+a| \leq |a|/2} \frac{dx}{|\delta + |x+a||^\alpha} \\ &= \frac{2}{(|a|/2)} \int_0^{|a|/2} \frac{1}{\delta + |x|^\alpha} dx \leq \delta + (|a|/2)^\alpha < \frac{c}{\delta + (2|a|)^\alpha} < \frac{c}{\delta + |a+t|^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-a| \geq 2k} dm(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a} \right| |g(t)| dt &\leq c \int_{-k}^k |g(a+t)| \frac{1}{\delta + |a+t|^\alpha} dt \\ &= c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)| dm(t). \quad (\text{q. e. d.}) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{w}^*(x)$  satisfies the inequality (2.8) for  $x \in \mathbb{C}Q^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}Q^*; w^*(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}Q^*; \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{x-t} - \frac{1}{x-a_n} \right| |w_n(t)| dt > \frac{\lambda}{4} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}Q^*; (\Theta w)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{12} \right\} \equiv E_1 \cup E_2, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

From (2.9), we get

$$m(E_1) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(t)| dm(t) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dm(t).$$

While, from Theorem 1,

$$m(E_2) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(t)| dm(t) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dm(t).$$

So that, if we show that  $m(Q^*) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dm(t)$ , then we get

$$m\left(\left\{x; \tilde{w}^*(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dm(t)$$

and the proof of Theorem 2 is completed. To prove that  $m(Q^*) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dm(t)$ , it is sufficient to show  $m(I_n^*) \leq cm(I_n)$  due to (2.6). But this is clear from the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *If we put  $I=(a-k, a+k)$ ,  $a > k > 0$ ,  $I^*=(a-2k, a+2k)$ , then  $m(I^*) \leq cm(I)$ .*

PROOF.  $m(a, a+2k) = m(a, a+k) + m(a+k, a+2k) \leq m(a-k, a+k)$ , so that we need only to show that  $m(a-2k, a) \leq cm(a-k, a)$ . But this comes from an elementary calculation; for example, consider the two cases  $0 < k < a/4$  and  $a/4 < k < a$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y.M.CHEN, Some asymptotic approximation methods. II, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 16(1966), 241-263.
- [2] L.HÖRMANDER,  $L^p$  estimates for (pluri) subharmonic functions, Math. Scand., 20(1967), 65-78.
- [3] S.IGARI, On the decomposition theorems of Fourier transforms with weighted norms, Tôhoku Math. J., 15(1963), 6-36.
- [4] S.KOIZUMI, On the Hilbert transform I, J. of Faculty Science of Hokkaido University, series I, 14(1959), 153-224.
- [5] P.KRÉE, Sur les multiplicateurs dans  $\mathcal{FL}^p$  avec poids, Ann. Inst. Fourier(Grenoble), 16(1966), 91-121.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, JAPAN