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1. Introduction. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. Then $K$-contact Riemannian structures and Sasakian structures (=normal contact Riemannian structures) on $M$ are defined by Killing vectors $\xi$ of unit length satisfying some conditions (cf. §2). Hence we denote by ( $M, \xi, g$ ) a $K$-contact Riemannian manifold or a Sasakian manifold.

Every $(M, \xi, g)$ is odd dimensional.
In this paper, after preliminaries in $\S 2$ and $\S 3$, we first try to give conditions for Killing vectors to be infinitesimal automorphisms of ( $M, \xi, g$ ) in terms of curvature of $(M, \xi, g)$ in $\S 4 \sim \S 8$.

Theorem A. Let $(M, \xi, g)$ be a 3-dimensional $K$-contact Riemannian manifold which is not of constant curvature. Then every Killing vector is an infinitesimal automorphism of $(M, \xi, g)$.

By $\phi=-\nabla \xi$, we have a $(1,1)$-tensor field on $M . \phi$ satisfies $\phi \phi X=-X+g(\xi, X) \xi$ for each vector field $X$ on $M$.

Theorem B. Let $(M, \xi, g)$ be a 7 -dimensional compact Sasakian manifold which is not of constant curvature. Assume that $\phi$-holomorphic sectional curvature $H(X)<3$. Then every Killing vector is an infinitesimal automorphism of $(M, \xi, g)$.

For general $(4 r+3)$-dimensional cases, we need stronger conditions on curvature than those in Theorem B, $r$ being an integer $\geqq 1$.

Theorem C. Let $(M, \xi, g)$ be a ( $4 r+3$ )-dimensional compact Sasakian manifold which is not of constant curvature. Assume that curvature is positive (more generally, $\phi$-holomorphic special bisectional curvature is positive). Then

[^0]every Killing vector is an infinitesimal automorphism of $(M, \xi, g)$.
The remaining cases are ( $4 r+1$ )-dimensional, $r$ being an integer $\geqq 1$.

THEOREM D. Let $(M, \xi, g)$ be a $(4 r+1)$-dimensional complete Sasakian manifold which is not of constant curvature. Then every Killing vector is an infinitesimal automorphism of $(M, \xi, g)$.

As we have seen in [22], discussions on these problems concern Sasakian 3 -structures on ( $M, g$ ).

In §9, we give slightly general statements of the above theorems.
Analogously to the Hopf fibrations of spheres and the Boothby-Wang's fiberings of regular contact manifolds, we consider fibrations of $(M, g)$ admitting a $K$-contact 3 -structure in $\S 11$ and $\S 12$.

ThEOREM E. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold admitting a Sasakian 3 -structure $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$. If one of the Sasakian structures, for example $\xi_{(1)}$, is regular, then $\left(M, \xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}, g\right)$ is a $S^{3}[1]-$ or $R P^{3}[1]$-principal bundle over an Einstein manifold $(B, h)$.

In $\S 13$ we show that in many cases results on $K$-contact 3 -structures are generalized to results on $3-K$-contact structures.

The author is grateful to Professor S. Sasaki for his kind criticism and suggestions.
2. Preliminaries. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold. By $\nabla$ and $R$ we denote the Riemannian connection and the Riemannian curvature tensor $(R(X, Y)$ $=\nabla_{[X, Y]}-\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right]$ ), respectively. Let $\xi$ be a unit Killing vector on ( $M, g$ ), which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, \xi) \xi=g(X, \xi) \xi-X \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector field $X$ on $M$. Define a (1,1)-tensor field $\phi$ by $\phi=-\nabla \xi$ and a 1 -form (= contact form) $\eta$ by $\eta=g(\xi$,$) . Then (\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a $K$-contact Riemannian structure (cf. [5], etc.). We denote this $K$-contact Riemannian manifold by $(M, \xi, g)$. On ( $M, \xi, g$ ) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi \xi=-\nabla_{\mathfrak{\xi}} \xi=0,  \tag{2.2}\\
\phi \phi X=-X+g(\xi, X) \xi, \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-g(\xi, X) g(\xi, Y) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If a unit Killing vector $\xi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, \xi) Y=g(X, Y) \xi-g(\xi, Y) X, \quad \text { or } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$, then $(M, \xi, g)$ is called a Sasakian manifold (=normal contact Riemannian manifold) (cf. [12], [13], etc.). A Sasakian manifold is a $K$-contact Riemannian manifold.

On a Sasakian manifold $(M, \xi, g)$, by the Ricci identity, we have the following relation (cf. for example, Lemma 3.2 in [21]):

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi R(X, Y)(\phi Z)= & -R(X, Y) Z-g(Y, Z) X+g(X, Z) Y  \tag{2.6}\\
& +g(\phi Y, Z) \phi X-g(\phi X, Z) \phi Y
\end{align*}
$$

We define the distribution $D$ by $D_{p}=\left\{X_{p} ; g\left(\xi, X_{p}\right)=0, X_{p} \in M_{p}\right\}$, where $M_{p}$ denotes the tangent space to $M$ at $p$. By $X \in D$ we understand that $X$ is a vector field on $M$ such that $X_{p} \in D_{p}$ for every $p$ of $M$. By $X \in D_{p}$, we understand that $X$ is a tangent vector belonging to $D_{p}$. By $K(X, Y)$ we denote the sectional curvature for a 2 -plane determined by $X$ and $Y$. By $H(X), X \in D_{p}($ or $X \in D)$ we denote the sectional curvature $K(X, \phi X)$, called $\phi$-ho'omorphic sectional curvature.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be an orthonormal pair in $D_{p}$ and put $g(X, \phi Y)=\cos \alpha$. Then by a direct calculation we have (cf. E. M. Moskal [8])

$$
\begin{align*}
K(X, Y)= & (1 / 8)\left[3(1+\cos \alpha)^{2} H(X+\phi Y)+3(1-\cos \alpha)^{2} H(X-\phi Y)\right.  \tag{2.7}\\
& \left.-H(X+Y)-H(X-Y)-H(X)-H(Y)+6 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore we have (for (2.7) and (2.8), see also [18])

$$
\begin{align*}
& K(X, Y)+\sin ^{2} \alpha K(X, \phi Y)=(1 / 4)\left[(1+\cos \alpha)^{2} H(X+\phi Y)\right.  \tag{2.8}\\
& \left.+(1-\cos \alpha)^{2} H(X-\phi Y)+H(X+Y)+H(X-Y)-H(X)-H(Y)+6 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right]
\end{align*}
$$

3. K-contact 3 -structures and Sasakian 3 -structures. Let $\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}$ be three $K$-contact structures on $(M, g)$. Define $\phi_{(i)}(i=1,2,3)$ by $\phi_{(i)}=-\nabla \xi_{(i)}$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\xi_{(i)}, \xi_{(j)}\right)=\delta_{i j}, \quad i, j=1,2,3, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(k)}=\phi_{(i)} \xi_{(j)}=-\phi_{(j)} \xi_{(i)}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{(k)} X=\phi_{(i)} \phi_{(j)} X-g\left(\xi_{(j)}, X\right) \xi_{(i)}=-\phi_{(j)} \phi_{(i)} X+g\left(\xi_{(i)}, X\right) \xi_{(j)}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(i, j, k)$ is an even permutation of $(1,2,3)$. Then we say that $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$ is a $K$-contact 3 -structure on $(M, g)$. Similarly, if $\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}$ are Sasakian structures and satisfy (3.1) $\sim(3.3)$, then $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$ is called a Sasakian 3 -structure on ( $M, g$ ).
(i) If ( $M, g$ ) admits a $K$-contact 3 -structure, then $\operatorname{dim} M=4 r+3$ for some integer $r \geqq 0$ (Y. Y. Kuo [7]).
(ii) ( $M, g$ ) admitting a Sasakian 3 -structure is an Einstein manifold (T. Kashiwada [6]).
(iii) Let $\xi_{(1)}$ and $\xi_{(2)}$ be two Sasakian structures on $(M, g)$ such that $g\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}\right)=0$. Then $\xi_{(3)}=(1 / 2)\left[\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}\right]$ is also a Sasakian structure and orthogonal to $\xi_{(1)}$ and $\xi_{(2)}$. Hence $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$ is a Sasakian 3 -structure (Y. Y. Kuo [7]).

If the inner product $g\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ of two Sasakian structures $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$ on $(M, g)$ is constant $(\neq 1, \neq-1)$, we can find Sasakian structure $\xi_{(2)}$ so that $\xi_{(1)}=\xi$ and $\xi_{(2)}$ are orthogonal. Hence $(M, g)$ admits a Sasakian 3 -structure.

In the case where $g\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ is not constant, we have

Lemma 3.1. (S. Tachibana and W. N. Yu [15]) Let ( $M, g$ ) be a complete Riemannian manifold of m-dimension. If $(M, g)$ admits two Sasakian structures $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$ with $g\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right)=$ non-constant, then $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature 1.

Originally, Lemma 3.1 was proved for complete and simply connected ( $M, g$ ) with conclusion that $(M, g)$ is isometric to a unit sphere $S^{m}$.

Let $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$ be a $K$-contact 3 -structure on $(M, g)$. By $E$ we denote the distribution defined by (putting $\xi_{(1)}=\xi$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}=\left\{X_{p} \in D_{p} ; g\left(X_{p}, \xi_{(2)}\right)=g\left(X_{p}, \xi_{(3)}\right)=0\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} M=4 r+3$, we have $\operatorname{dim} E_{p}=4 r$. If $X \in E_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{(k)} X=\phi_{(i)} \phi_{(j)} X=-\phi_{(j)} \phi_{(i)} X, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(k, i, j)$ is an even permutation of $(1,2,3)$.
We define $\phi_{(i)}$-holomorphic sectional curvature for $X \in E_{p}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
H(X)=H_{(1)}(X)=K\left(X, \phi_{(1)} X\right), \\
H_{(2)}(X)=K\left(X, \phi_{(2)} X\right), \quad H_{(3)}(X)=K\left(X, \phi_{(3)} X\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

In the remainder of this section we assume that $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$ is a Sasakian 3 -structure.

Proposition 3.2. For $X \in E_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(1)}(X)+H_{(2)}(X)+H_{(3)}(X)=3 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In (2.6) we put $\phi=\phi_{(i)}$ and take $X, Y, Z$ (of unit length) $\in E_{p}$ and consider the inner product with $W \in E_{p}$. Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(R(X, Y) \phi_{(i)} Z, \phi_{(i)} W\right)= & g(R(X, Y) Z, W)+g(Y, Z) g(X, W)  \tag{3.7}\\
& -g(X, Z) g(Y, W)-g\left(\phi_{(i)} Y, Z\right) g\left(\phi_{(i)} X, W\right) \\
& +g\left(\phi_{(i)} X, Z\right) g\left(\phi_{(i)} Y, W\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (2.3) and (2.4), and $i=1,2,3$. If we put $i=1, Z=X$, and $Y=W=\phi_{(3)} X$ in (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(R\left(X, \phi_{(3)} X\right) \phi_{(1)} X, \phi_{(1)} \phi_{(3)} X\right)=g\left(R\left(X, \phi_{(3)} X\right) X, \phi_{(3)} X\right)-1, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-g\left(R\left(X, \phi_{(3)} X\right) \phi_{(1)} X, \phi_{(2)} X\right)=H_{(3)}(X)-1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have two relations by even permutations of ( $1,2,3$ ) from (3.9). Hence, (3.6) follows from the Bianchi identity.

Proposition 3.3. For $X \in E_{p}$ and for real numbers $a, b\left(a^{2}+b^{2}=1\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(1)}(X)=H_{(1)}\left(\phi_{(2)} X\right)=H_{(1)}\left(a \phi_{(2)} X+b \phi_{(3)} X\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By a permutation $(1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1)$ in (3.9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{(1)}(X)-1 & =-g\left(R\left(X, \phi_{(1)} X\right) \phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(3)} X\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& =-g\left(R\left(\phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(3)} X\right) X, \phi_{(1)} X\right) \\
& =g\left(R\left(\phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(3)} X\right) \phi_{(3)} \phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(3)} \phi_{(3)} X\right) \quad \text { by (3.5). }
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, in (3.7) we put $i=3$ and replace $X, Y, Z, W$ by $\phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(3)} X, \phi_{(2)} X$, $\phi_{(3)} X$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(R\left(\phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(3)} X\right) \phi_{(3)} \phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(3)} \phi_{(3)} X\right)=g\left(R\left(\phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(3)} X\right) \phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(3)} X\right)-1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$
H_{(1)}(X)=g\left(R\left(\phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(1)} \phi_{(2)} X\right) \phi_{(2)} X, \phi_{(1)} \phi_{(2)} X\right)=H_{(1)}\left(\phi_{(2)} X\right) .
$$

Since $a \phi_{(2)} X+b \phi_{(3)} X=a \phi_{(2)} X+b \phi_{(1)} \phi_{(2)} X$, we have (3.10).

Lemma 3.4. Let $X \in E_{p}$. For real numbers $a, b\left(a^{2}+b^{2}=1\right)$ we have ( $i=2,3$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(1)}\left(a \xi_{(i)}+b X\right)=a^{4}+2 a^{2} b^{2}+b^{4} H_{(1)}(X) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By a straightforward calculation using (2.5) for $\xi_{(2)}$ and $\xi_{(3)}=\phi \xi_{(2)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(R\left(a \xi_{(2)}+b X, a \phi \xi_{(2)}+b \phi X\right)\left(a \xi_{(2)}+b X\right), a \phi \xi_{(2)}+b \phi X\right) \\
& \quad=a^{4} g\left(R\left(\xi_{(2)}, \phi \xi_{(2)}\right) \xi_{(2)}, \phi \xi_{(2)}\right)+b^{4} g(R(X, \phi X) X, \phi X) \\
& \quad+a^{2} b^{2} g\left(R\left(\xi_{(2)}, \phi X\right) \xi_{(2)}, \phi X\right)+a^{2} b^{2} g\left(R\left(X, \phi \xi_{(2)}\right) X, \xi \phi_{(2)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we have (3.13) for $i=2$, and the case of $i=3$ is similar.

REMARK. Since $c \xi_{(2)}+d \xi_{(3)}$ for constant $c, d\left(c^{2}+d^{2}=1\right)$ is also Sasakian, Lemma 3.4 shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{(1)}\left(a\left(c \xi_{(2)}+d \xi_{(3)}\right)+b X\right)=a^{4}+2 a^{2} b^{2}+b^{4} H_{(1)}(X) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Theorem A. A 3-dimensional $K$-contact Riemannian manifold $(M, \xi, g)$ is necessarily Sasakian and it is a $D$-Einstein manifold, i. e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}(X, Y)=a g(X, Y)+b g(\xi, X) g(\xi, Y) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are functions on $M$ and $R_{1}$ denotes the Ricci curvature tensor (cf. [16], [17]). Consequently the scalar curvature $S$ is given by $S=3 a+b$.

Theorem A. Let $(M, \xi, g)$ be a 3-dimensional $K$-contact Riemannian manifold which is not of constant curvature. Then every Killing vector is an infinitesimal automorphism.

To prove Theorem A, it suffices to show the following.

Proposition 4.1. Let $(M, \xi, g)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ be two 3-dimensional K-contact Riemannian manifolds. If they admits an isometry $\varphi\left(\varphi^{*} g^{\prime}=g\right)$ such that $\varphi \xi \neq \xi^{\prime}$ and $\varphi \xi \neq-\xi^{\prime}$, then $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature.

Proof. Let $x$ be an arbitrary point of $M$ and put $y=\varphi x$. Since $\varphi$ is an isometry, we have $S_{x}=S_{y}{ }^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1 x}(X, Y)=\left(\varphi^{*} R_{1}^{\prime}\right)_{x}(X, Y)=R_{1 y}^{\prime}(\varphi X, \varphi Y) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.1) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 a_{x}+b_{x}=3 a_{y}^{\prime}+b_{y}^{\prime}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \text { (4.3) } \\
& \text { (4.4) } \quad a_{x} g_{x}(X, Y)+b_{x} g_{x}(\xi, X) g_{x}(\xi, Y)=a_{y}^{\prime} g_{y}^{\prime}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)+b_{y}^{\prime} g_{y}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \varphi X\right) g_{y}^{\prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \varphi Y\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} M=3$, we have $Z \in D_{x}$ such that $g_{y}{ }^{\prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \varphi Z\right)=0$. Putting $X=Y=Z$ in (4.4), we get $a_{x}=a_{y}{ }^{\prime}$. Then (4.3) implies $b_{x}=b_{y}{ }^{\prime}$. If we put $X=Y=\xi$ in (4.4), we have $b_{x}=b_{y}{ }^{\prime}\left[g_{y}{ }^{\prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \varphi \xi\right)\right]^{2}$. Hence, if $b_{x} \neq 0$, we have $\left[g_{y}{ }^{\prime}\left(\xi^{\prime}, \varphi \xi\right)\right]^{2}=1$. If $(M, g)$ is not of constant curvature, we have a non-empty open set $U$ where $b$ is nonvanishing. Then we have $\varphi \xi=\xi^{\prime}$ on $U$ or $\varphi \xi=-\xi^{\prime}$ on $U$. Since $\varphi \xi, \xi^{\prime}\left(\right.$ or $\left.-\xi^{\prime}\right)$ are Killing vectors on $\left(M^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$, and since they coincide on $U$, they coincide on $M^{\prime}$. This contradicts the assumption of $\varphi$, and hence, $b=0$ on $M$. Consequently, $(M, g)$, ( $M^{\prime}, g^{\prime}$ ) are of constant curvature 1.

By $I(M, g)$ and $A(M, \xi, g)$, we denote the isometry group and the automorphism group of $(M, \xi, g)$, respectively.

Corollary 4.2. Let $(M, \xi, g)$ be a 3-dimensional $K$-contact Riemannian manifold. Then we have either
(i) $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature, or
(ii-1) $I(M, g)=A(M, \xi, g)$ or
-2) $I(M, g)=A(M, \xi, g) \cup A^{\prime}(M, \xi, g)$, where $A^{\prime}(M, \xi, g)=\{\varphi f ; f \in A(M, \xi, g), \varphi \in I(M, g): \varphi \xi=-\xi\}$.
5. Einstein-Kählerian manifolds. Let $(N, J, G)$ be a $2 n$-dimensional Kählerian manifold with (almost) complex structure tensor $J$ and Kählerian metric tensor $G$. Holomorphic sectional curvature is defined by ${ }^{\prime} H(\sigma)==^{\prime} H(u)={ }^{\prime} K(u, J u)$, where $\sigma$ denotes the holomorphic section determined by $u$. For two holomorphic sections $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, holomorphic bisectional curvature ' $H\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is defined in [4]. In this paper we consider holomorphic special bisectional curvature ${ }^{\prime} H\left(\sigma, \sigma \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, where the word "special" means $\sigma \perp \sigma^{\prime}$. In this case

$$
' H\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=' K(u, v)+{ }^{\prime} K(u, J v),
$$

where $u \in \sigma$ and $v \in \sigma^{\prime}$. Generalizing a result of M. Berger [1], S. I. Goldberg and S. Kobayashi [4] proved the followings : On an Einstein-Kählerian manifold ( $N, J, G$ ) assume that the maximum value ' $H_{1}$ of holomorphic sectional curvature is attained at $x$ of $N$. Let $u$ be a unit tangent vector at $x$ such that ' $H_{1}={ }^{\prime} H(u)$.
(i) For an orthonormal basis $\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}, u_{1}=J u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}=J u_{n}\right)$ at $x$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}=u, \quad \text { and }  \tag{5.1}\\
{ }^{\prime} R_{11^{*} *_{\alpha}}=G\left(\left(^{\prime} R\left(u_{1}, J u_{1}\right) u_{i}, u_{a}\right)=0\right. \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $i$ and $\alpha$ such that $\left[\alpha \neq i^{*} ; 2 \leqq i \leqq n, 2 \leqq \alpha \leqq n\right.$ or $\left.n+2 \leqq \alpha \leqq 2 n\right]$, if ' $R_{11^{\prime} i^{*}}$ (holomorphic special bisectional curvature) is positive, then ( $N, J, G$ ) has constant holomorphic sectional curvature ${ }^{\prime} H_{1}$.

Especially,
(ii) If $(N, J, G)$ is of positive holomorphic bisectional curvature, then it is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.
6. Local fiberings. Let $p$ be a point of a $K$-contact Riemannian manifold $(M, \xi, g)$. We have a sufficiently small coordinate neighborhood $U$ of $p$, which is cubical and flat with respect to $\xi$ (cf. [10]). Then $U$ is a regular $K$-contact Riemannian manifold with the induced structure and we have a fibering

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: U \rightarrow U / \xi=N \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $U$ is a $K$-contact Riemannian manifold, $N$ is an almost Kählerian manifold. We denote the almost Kählerian structure tensors by $J$ and $G$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi u^{*}=(J u)^{*}, \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\pi^{*} G+\eta \otimes \eta, \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{*}$ on $U$ is the horizontal lift of a vector field $u$ on $N$ with respect to the contact form $\eta$. Further

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \eta\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)=2 g\left(u^{*}, \phi v^{*}\right)=2 G(u, J v) \cdot \pi . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting by ' $R$ the Riemannian curvature tensor on $N$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right) z^{*}= & (' R(u, v) z)^{*}+2 g\left(u^{*}, \phi v^{*}\right) \phi z^{*}  \tag{6.5}\\
& +g\left(u^{*}, \phi z^{*}\right) \phi v^{*}-g\left(v^{*}, \phi z^{*}\right) \phi u^{*}+<u, v, z>\xi,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\langle u, v, z\rangle$ denotes some function depending on $u, v, z$ and $u, v, z$ are vector fields on $N$ (cf. [9], [17], [18], etc.). The relation between holomorphic sectional curvature ' $H(u)$ on $N$ and $\phi$-holomorphic sectional curvature $H\left(u^{*}\right)$ on $U$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(u^{*}\right)={ }^{\prime} H(u) \cdot \pi-3 . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between $\phi$-holomorphic special bisectional curvature $H\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)=K(X, Y)$ $+K(X, \phi Y)\left(X \in \rho \subset D, Y \in \rho^{\prime} \subset D\right)$ on $U$ and holomorphic special bisectional curvature ${ }^{\prime} H\left(\pi \rho, \pi \rho^{\prime}\right)$ on $N$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)={ }^{\prime} H\left(\pi \rho, \pi \rho^{\prime}\right) \cdot \pi \tag{6,7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ is a $D$-Einstein space if and only if $N$ is an Einstein space ([17]). If ( $M, \xi, g$ ) is Sasakian, then $(N, J, G)$ is Kählerian.
7. Theorem B. Now we prove the following Proposition.

Proposition 7.1. Let $\left(\xi=\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$ be a Sasakian 3-structure on a compact Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ of dimension 7. If

$$
H(X)=H_{(1)}(X)=K(X, \phi X)<3
$$

for any non-zero vector $X \in E$, then $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature.

Proof. Let $x$ be a point of $M$. Put

$$
H_{x}^{*}=\max \left\{H(X)=H_{(1)}(X), X \in E_{x}\right\} .
$$

Case I, where $H_{x}^{*} \leqq 1$ for any $x$ of $M$. Let $X \in E_{x}$ be any unit vector. Take a $\phi$-basis $\left(\xi=\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}=\phi \xi_{(2)}, X, \phi X, Y=\phi_{(2)} X, \phi Y=\phi_{(3)} X\right)$. Since $\cos \alpha=g(X, \phi Y)$ $=0$, by (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
4(K(X, Y)+K(X, \phi Y))= & H(X+\phi Y)+H(X-\phi Y) \\
& +H(X+Y)+H(X-Y)-H(X)-H(Y)+6
\end{aligned}
$$

Noticing $K(X, Y)=H_{(2)}(X)$ and $K(X, \phi Y)=H_{(3)}(X)$, and applying (3.6) and (3,10), we have

$$
6=H(X+\phi Y)+H(X-\phi Y)+H(X+Y)+H(X-Y)+2 H(X)
$$

Since $H_{x}{ }^{*} \leqq 1$, we have $H(X+\phi Y)=H(X-\phi Y)=H(X+Y)=H(X-Y)=H(X)$
$=1$. By $(3.13)^{\prime},(M, \xi, g)$ has constant $\phi$-holomorphic sectional curvature 1. Therefore $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature 1 (cf. [18]).

Case II, where $1<H_{p}{ }^{*}$ for some $p$. Since $M$ is compact, we can assume that $H_{p}{ }^{*}$ is the maximum value on $M$. Let $V \in E_{p}$ such that $H_{p}{ }^{*}=H(V)$. Let $U$ be a regular neighborhood of $p$ and let $\pi: U \rightarrow U / \xi=N$ be a (local) fibering. Let $u_{1}=\pi_{p} V$.Then, by (6.6), we see that ' $H\left(u_{1}\right)_{q}=H_{p}{ }^{*}+3$ is the maximum on $N$, where $q=\pi p$. We define a vector $u_{3}$ by $u_{3}=\pi_{p} \xi_{(2)}$. Then $J u_{3}=\pi_{p} \phi \xi_{(2)}=\pi_{p} \xi_{(3)}$. In (6.5), if we replace $u, v, z$ by $u_{1}, J u_{1}, u_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(u_{1}{ }^{*}, \phi u_{1}{ }^{*}\right) \xi_{(2)}= & \left({ }^{\prime} R\left(u_{1}, J u_{1}\right) u_{3}\right)^{*}+2 g\left(u_{1}{ }^{*}, \phi \phi u_{1}{ }^{*}\right) \phi \xi_{(2)} \\
& +0-0+<u_{1}, J u_{1}, u_{3}>\xi_{(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

at $p$. Projecting this, we have

$$
R\left(u_{1}, J u_{1}\right) u_{3}=2 J u_{3} .
$$

This shows that $u_{3}$ and $J u_{3}$ are characteristic vectors of a symmetric bilinear form $\alpha_{u_{1}}$ defined by $\alpha_{u_{1}}(y, z)=G\left({ }^{\prime} R\left(u_{1}, J u_{1}\right) y, J z\right)$. Hence, a $J$-basis:

$$
u_{1}, J u_{1}, u_{2}=\pi_{p} \phi_{(2)} u_{1}{ }^{*}, J u_{2}=\pi_{p} \phi_{(3)} u_{1}{ }^{*}, u_{3}, J u_{3}
$$

satisfies the conditions (5.1) and (5.2). We define three holomorphic sections by $\sigma=\left(u_{1}, J u_{1}\right), \sigma^{\prime}=\left(u_{2}, J u_{2}\right)$ and $\sigma^{\prime \prime}=\left(u_{3}, J u_{3}\right)$. Then, by (6.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{\prime} H\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right) \cdot \pi & =H\left(\left(u_{1}{ }^{*}, \phi u_{1}{ }^{*}\right),\left(\phi_{(2)} u_{1}{ }^{*}, \phi_{(3)} u_{1}{ }^{*}\right)\right) \\
& =K\left(u_{1}{ }^{*}, \phi_{(2)} u_{1}{ }^{*}\right)+K\left(u_{1}{ }^{*}, \phi_{(3)} u_{1}{ }^{*}\right) \\
& =H_{(2)}\left(u_{1}{ }^{*}\right)+H_{(3)}\left(u_{1}{ }^{*}\right) \\
& =3-H_{(1)}\left(u_{1}{ }^{*}\right) \text { by (3.6). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, ${ }^{\prime} H\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)>0$, which implies ' $R_{11^{*} 2^{*}}>0$ in $\S 5$. Next, by (2.1), we have

$$
' H\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot \pi=K\left(u_{1}{ }^{*}, \xi_{(2)}\right)+K\left(u_{1}{ }^{*}, \xi_{(3)}\right)=2,
$$

which implies ' $R_{11+3^{*}}=2>0$ in $\S 5$. Since ( $U, g$ ) admits a Sasakian 3-structure, it is an Einstein manifold and ( $N, J, G$ ) is an Einstein-Kählerian manifold. By (i) of $\S 5,(N, J, G)$ is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $H_{p}^{*}+3$. Therefore $(U, \xi, g)$ is of constant $\phi$-holomorphic sectional curvature $H_{p}{ }^{*}$. In particular we have $H_{p}{ }^{*}=K\left(\xi_{(2)}, \phi \xi_{(2)}\right)=1$, which is a contradiction.

Hence, only case I is possible, and ( $M, g$ ) is of constant curvature.

Lemma 7.2. (Theorem 4.4, [22]) Let $(M, \xi, g)$ be a complete Sasakian manifold which is not of constant curvature. Then we have either
(i) $\operatorname{dim} I(M, g)=\operatorname{dim} A(M, \xi, g)$
$\rightleftarrows(M, g)$ admitting no Sasakian 3-structure, or
(ii) $\operatorname{dim} I(M, g)=\operatorname{dim} A(M, \xi, g)+2$
$\rightleftarrows(M, g)$ admitting a Sasakian 3-structure
ThEOREM B. Let $(M, \xi, g)$ be a 7-dimensional compact Sasakian manifold which is not of constant curvature. Assume that $\phi$-holomorphic sectional curvature $H(X)<3$. Then every Killing vector is an infinitesimal automorphism of $(M, \xi, g)$, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{dim} I(M, g)=\operatorname{dim} A(M, \xi, g)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 7. 2, if $\operatorname{dim} I(M, g) \neq \operatorname{dim} A(M, \xi, g)$, we have a Sasakian 3 -structure such that $\xi_{(1)}=\xi$. By the assumption $H(X)<3$, Theorem B follows from Proposition 7.1.
8. Theorems C and D. By a theorem of E. M. Moskal [8] (for proof, also see [23], §7) we see that every compact Einstein-Sasakian manifold with positive curvature (or positive $\phi$-holomorphic special bisectional curvature) is of constant curvature 1. Therefore, Lemma 7.2 and the fact that $(M, g)$ admitting a Sasakian 3 -structure is an Einstein manifold imply the following theorem.

ThEOREM C. Let $(M, \xi, g)$ be a $(4 r+3)$-dimensional compact Sasakian manifold which is not of constant curvature. Assume that every sectional curvature is positive (more generally, every $\phi$-holomorphic special bisectional curvature is positive). Then we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} I(M, g)=\operatorname{dim} A(M, \xi, g)
$$

For $\operatorname{dim} M=4 r+1(r:$ an integer $\geqq 1)$, there is no Sasakian 3 -structure on $(M, g)$. Hence,

Theorem D. Let $(M, \xi, g)$ be a $(4 r+1)$-dimensional complete Sasakian manifold which is not of constant curvature. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} I(M, g)=\operatorname{dim} A(M, \xi, g)
$$

9. Infinitesimal translations. In this section, we give more general statements of Theorems B and C . The Riemannian curvature tensor of $(M, g)$ of constant curvature $k$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Z) Y=k[g(X, Y) Z-g(Z, Y) X] . \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Killing vector of constant length is called an infinitesimal translation (cf. for example, K. Yano [24]).

Theorem 9.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold. Assume that on $(M, g)$ there are two (non-proportional) infinitesimal translations $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$, satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(X, \xi) Y=k[g(X, Y) \xi-g(\xi, Y) X]  \tag{9.2}\\
& R\left(X, \xi^{\prime}\right) Y=k\left[g(X, Y) \xi^{\prime}-g\left(\xi^{\prime}, Y\right) X\right] \tag{9.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for a positive constant $k$.
(i) If $\operatorname{dim} M=7$ and sectional curvature is smaller than $3 k$, then $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature $k$.
(ii) If $\operatorname{dim} M=4 r+3$ and sectional curvature is positive, then $(M, g)$ is of constant curuature $k$.
(iii) If $\operatorname{dim} M=3$ or $\operatorname{dim} M=4 r+1$, then $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature $k$.

Proof. By a homothetic deformation, we can assume that $k=1$. Since (9.2) and (9.3) are linear homogeneous in $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$, we can assume that they are of unit length. Then, if $g\left(\xi, \xi^{\bullet}\right)$ is constant, $(M, g)$ admits a Sasakian 3 -structure, and (i), (ii), (iii) hold by Theorem 7.1, etc. If $g\left(\xi, \xi^{*}\right)$ is not constant, $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature by Lemma 3.1.
10. The Hopf-fibrations. Let $S^{2 n+1}[1$.$] be a unit sphere with the natural$ Sasakian structure of constant ( $\phi$-ho'omorphic sectional) curvature 1 . Since $\xi$ on $S^{2 n+1}[1]$ is regular, we have the fibering :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: S^{2 n+1}[1] \longrightarrow S^{2 n+1}[1] / \xi=C P^{n}[4], \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C P^{n}[4]$ denotes a complex $n$-dimensional projective space with Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. The map $\pi: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}=C P^{1}$ is the classical Hopf map.

For $S^{4 r+3}[1]$, we have a Sasakian 3 -structure $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$. The 3 -dimensional distribution defined by ( $\left.\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$ is completely integrable. Each maximal integral
submanifold is isomorphic to $S^{3}[1]$. In this case, the Hopf fibration is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: S^{4 r+3}[1] \longrightarrow S^{4 r+3}[1] /\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)=Q P^{r}, \tag{10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q P^{r}$ denotes the quaternionic projective space (cf. N. Steenrod [14], p. 105-).
(10.1) and (10.2) are principal bundles with group $S^{1}$ and $S^{3}$, respectively. A generalization of (10.1) for regular contact manifolds is the Boothby-wang's fiberings [2].

In the next section, we give a generalization of (10.2).
11. Fiberings of $(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{g})$ admitting a $\boldsymbol{K}$-contact 3 -structure. Let $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$ be a $K$-contact 3 -structure on ( $M, g$ ) (cf. $\S 13$ ). We define the 3 -dimensional distribution by $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$. Since we have

$$
\left[\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}\right]=\nabla_{\xi_{(1)}\left(\xi_{(2)}\right)}-\nabla_{\xi_{(2)}} \xi_{(1)}=2 \phi_{(1)} \xi_{(2)}=2 \xi_{(3)},
$$

etc. by (3.2), etc., it is completely integrable. Each maximal integral submanifold (leaf) $L$ is totally geodesic and of constant curvature 1 . By the restriction, $L$ admits a $K$-contact 3 -structure (and hence, a Sasakian 3 -structure, since $\operatorname{dim} L=3$ ). Now we assume that $\xi_{(1)}$ is regular and that $(M, g)$ is complete. Then we show that all leaves are isomorphic. To begin with,

Lemma 11.1. In the classification of 3-dimensional space forms $(M, g)$ admitting a Sasakian 3-structure ( $\left.\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)\left(c f\right.$. S. Sasaki [11]), only $S^{3}[1]$ and $R P^{3}[1]$ are regular with respect to $\xi_{(1)}$.

Proof. Each $(M, g)$ of the classification is of the form $S^{3}[1] / \Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is a finite subgroup of the automorphism group of the Sasakian 3 -structure. By $I$ and $-I\left(I^{\Delta}\right.$ and $-I^{\Delta}$, resp.) we denote the identity and the anti-podal map of $S^{3}[1]$ (of $S^{2}$, resp.). Assume that $(M, g)$ is neither $S^{3}[1]$ nor a real projective space $R P^{3}[1]=S^{3}[1] /\{I,-I\}$. Then, $\Gamma$ contains $\varphi$ such that $\varphi \neq I$ and $\varphi \neq-I$. Since $\varphi$ is an automorphism of ( $\left.S^{3}[1], \xi, g\right)$, it induces an automorphism $\psi^{\Delta}$ of the Kählerian manifold $S^{3}[1] / \xi=C P^{1}[4]=S^{2}$, where $\xi=\xi_{(1)}$.
(i) If $\varphi^{\Delta}=I^{\Delta}$, we have $\phi=\exp r \xi$ for some $r$. Since $\left[\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}\right]=2 \xi_{(3)}$ and $\left[\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(3)}\right]=-2 \xi_{(2)}$, we have

$$
(\exp r \xi) \xi_{(2)}=(\cos 2 r) \xi_{(2)}-(\sin 2 r) \xi_{(3)} .
$$

$\varphi \xi_{(2)}=\xi_{(2)}$ implies $r=\pi$ and $\varphi=\exp \pi \xi=-I$ on $S^{3}[1]$, which is a contradiction to the assumption of $\varphi$.
(ii) If $\varphi^{\Delta}=-I^{\Delta}$, and if $S^{3}[1] / \Gamma$ is regular with respect to $\xi$, then $\left(S^{3}[1] / \Gamma\right) / \xi$ is Kählerian and orientable. However, since $\xi$ is invariant by $\Gamma$, we have

$$
\left(S^{3}[1] / \Gamma\right) / \xi=\left(S^{3}[1] / \xi\right) / \Gamma^{\Delta}=\left(S^{3}[1] / \xi\right) /\left({\left.\varphi^{\Delta},{ }^{* *}\right)=R P^{2} /\left({ }^{* *}\right) . . . ~}_{\text {. }}\right.
$$

Because every complete Riemannian manifold of even dimension with constant curvature $(>0)$ is $S^{m}$ or $R P^{m},\left({ }^{* *}\right)=$ (identity). Since $R P^{2}$ is not orientable, this is a contradiction.
(iii) If $\varphi^{\Delta} \neq I^{\Delta}$ and $\phi^{\Delta} \neq-I^{\Delta}$, then $\phi^{\Delta}$ has fixed points. Since $\Gamma$ is a finite group, the set of all such points is composed of finite number of points. Therefore, on $S^{3}[1] / \Gamma, \xi$ is not regular (cf. also, S. Tanno [20]).

Lemma 11.2. Assume that a complete Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ admits a K-contact 3 -structure $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$. If $\xi_{(1)}$ is regular, then $\xi_{(2)}$ and $\xi_{(3)}$ are regular, and all leaves $L$ are isomorphic to $S^{3}[1]$ or $R P^{3}[1]$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 11.1.
Remark. $S^{3}[1]$ and $R P^{3}[1]$ are Lie groups (cf. [14], p. 37, p. 115). In fact, let $Q$ be the space of quaternions $\left(\boldsymbol{q}=x_{1}+x_{2} \boldsymbol{i}+x_{3} \boldsymbol{j}+x_{4} \boldsymbol{k}\right)$ and let $S^{3}=\{\boldsymbol{q} \in Q ;|\boldsymbol{q}|=1\}$. Then the right translation $R_{q}$ and the left translation $L_{q}$ by $\boldsymbol{q} \in S^{3}$ are defined by $R_{q} \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}$ and $L_{q} \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}$, respectively. We define a Sasakian 3-structure $\left(\xi_{(1)}^{0}, \xi_{(2)}^{0}, \xi_{(3)}^{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\exp t \xi_{(1)}\right) \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}=(\cos t) \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}+(\sin t) \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} \cdot \boldsymbol{i}, \quad \boldsymbol{q}^{\prime} \in S^{3}
$$

etc. $\left(\xi_{(2)}^{0}\right.$ for $\boldsymbol{j}, \xi_{(3)}^{0}$ for $\left.\boldsymbol{k}\right)$. Then $\xi_{(1)}^{0}, \xi_{(2)}^{0}, \xi_{(3)}^{0}$, are left invariant vector fields. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of $S^{3}[1]$ or $R P^{3}[1]$.

ThEOREM 11.3. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold admitting a K-contact 3-structure $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$. Assume that $\xi_{(1)}$ is regular. Then $\left(M, \xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}, g\right)$ is a $S^{3}[1]$ - or $R P^{3}[1]-$ principal bundle over a Riemannian manifold $(B, h) . h$ and $g$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(X, Y)=h(\pi X, \pi Y) \cdot \pi+\sum_{i=1}^{3} g\left(\xi_{(i)}, X\right) g\left(\xi_{(i)}, Y\right) . \tag{11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A g-valued 1-form $w$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} g\left(\xi_{(i)}, X\right) \xi_{(i)}^{0} \tag{11.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an infinitesimal connection form.
Proof. By Lemmas 11.1 and 11.2 , we see that $\left(M, \xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}, g\right)$ is a $S^{3}[1]$ - or $R P^{3}[1]$-principal bundle over a manifold $B$. First we show that $w$ defined by (11.3) is an infinitesimal connection form. Since $S^{3}[1]$ or $R P^{3}[1]$ acts to the
right, $\xi_{(i)}$ are considered as the fundamental vector fields corresponding to $\xi_{(i)}^{0}$, respectively. Clearly, $w\left(\xi_{(i)}\right)=\xi_{(i)}^{0}$. To prove $R_{a}{ }^{*} w=a d\left(a^{-1}\right) w$, it suffices to show it for $a=\exp r \xi_{(1)}$. For this $a$ we have $R_{a}{ }^{-1} \xi_{(1)}=\xi_{(1)}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{a}{ }^{-1} \xi_{(2)} & =\lambda \xi_{(2)}+\mu \xi_{(3)}, \quad R_{a}^{-1} \xi_{(3)}=-\mu \xi_{(2)}+\lambda \xi_{(3)}, \\
R_{a} \xi_{(2)}^{0} & =\lambda \xi_{(2)}^{0}-\mu \xi_{(3)}^{0}, \quad R_{a} \xi_{(3)}^{0}=\mu \xi_{(2)}^{0}+\lambda \xi_{(3)}^{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constants depending on $a\left(\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}=1\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(R_{a}{ }^{*} w\right)_{p}(X) & =w_{p a}\left(R_{a} X\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{3} g_{p a}\left(\xi_{(i)}, R_{a} X\right) \xi_{(i)}^{0} \\
& =\sum g_{p}\left(R_{a}{ }^{-1} \xi_{(i)}, X\right) \xi_{(i)}^{0} \\
& =g_{p}\left(\xi_{(1)}, X\right) \xi_{(1)}^{0}+g_{p}\left(\lambda \xi_{(2)}+\mu \xi_{(3)}, X\right) \xi_{(2)}^{0}+g_{p}\left(-\mu \xi_{(2)}+\lambda \xi_{(3)}, X\right) \xi_{(3)}^{0} \\
& =\sum g_{p}\left(\xi_{(i)}, X\right) R_{a} \xi_{(i)}^{0}=a d\left(a^{-1}\right) w_{p}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $w$ is an infinitesimal connection form on the principal bundle. Let $x$ and $y$ be vector fields on $B$ and let $x^{*}$ and $y^{*}$ be their horizontal lifts with respect to $w$. We define a ( 0,2 )-tensor $h$ on $B$ by $h(x, y)=g\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$. Since $\xi_{(i)}$ are Killing vectors, $h$ is well defined and satisfies (11.2).

REmARK. The map $\pi:\left(M, \xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}, g\right) \rightarrow(B, h)$ is harmonic in the sense of Eells-Sampson [3] (cf. Proposition, p. 127). This is the same for the BoothbyWang's fiberings.
12. The Riemannian curvature tensors. We consider the fibering of Theorem 11.3. By ' $\nabla$ we denote the Riemannian connection of $(B, h)$. Let $x, y, z$ be vector fields on $B$, and let $x^{*}, y^{*}, z^{*}$ be their horizontal lifts. First we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\xi_{(i)}, x^{*}\right]=L_{\xi_{1}} x^{*}=0, \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the horizontal distribution is invariant and $x^{*}$ is the horizontal lift of $x$. Now we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 g\left(\nabla_{x} \cdot y^{*}, Z\right)= & x^{*} \cdot g\left(y^{*}, Z\right)+y^{*} \cdot g\left(x^{*}, Z\right)-Z \cdot g\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)  \tag{12.2}\\
& +g\left(\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right], Z\right)+g\left(\left[Z, x^{*}\right], y^{*}\right)-g\left(x^{*},\left[y^{*}, Z\right]\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $Z=z^{*}$, projecting this identity on $B$, and noticing $\pi\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right]=[x, y]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 h\left(\pi\left(\nabla_{x} \cdot y^{*}\right), z\right)= & x \cdot h(y, z)+y \cdot h(x, z)-z \cdot h(x, y)  \tag{12.3}\\
& +h([x, y], z)+h([z, x], y)-h(x,[y, z]) \\
= & 2 h\left(\nabla_{x} y, z\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{x} \cdot y^{*}=\left(\nabla_{x} y\right)^{*}+\sum a_{i} \xi_{(i)}, \tag{12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}=g\left(\xi_{(i)}, \nabla_{x} \cdot y^{*}\right)$. Putting $Z=\xi_{(i)}$ in (12.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 a_{i} & =-\xi_{(i)} \cdot g\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)+g\left(\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right], \xi_{(i)}\right)  \tag{12.5}\\
& =g\left(\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right], \xi_{(i)}\right) \\
& =\eta_{(i)}\left(\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right]\right)=-d \eta_{(i)}\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \\
& =-2 g\left(x^{*}, \phi_{(i)} y^{*}\right) . \tag{12.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By (12.4), (12.5) and (12.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right]=[x, y]^{*}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} g\left(x^{*}, \phi_{(i)} y^{*}\right) \xi_{(i)} . \tag{12.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By ' $R$ we denote the Riemannian curvature tensor of ( $B, h$ ).

$$
\left({ }^{\prime} \nabla_{x}^{\prime} \nabla_{y} z\right)^{*}=\nabla_{x^{*}}\left({ }^{\prime} \nabla_{y} z\right)^{*}+\sum g\left(x^{*}, \phi_{(i)}\left(\nabla_{y} z\right)^{*}\right) \xi_{(i)} .
$$

By (12. 4), etc., we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{x}^{\prime} \nabla_{y} z\right)^{*}= & \nabla_{x^{*}} \nabla_{y^{*} z^{*}}+\sum g\left(y^{*}, \phi_{(i)} z^{*}\right) \nabla_{x^{*} \cdot \xi_{(i)}} \\
& +\sum\left[g\left(\nabla_{x} \cdot y^{*}, \phi_{(i)} z^{*}\right)+g\left(y^{*}, \nabla_{x^{*}} \phi_{(i)} \cdot z^{*}\right)\right. \\
& +g\left(y^{*}, \phi_{(i)} \nabla_{x^{*}} z^{*}\right)+g\left(x^{*}, \phi_{(i)} \nabla_{\left.\left.y^{*} * z^{*}\right)\right] \xi_{(i)} .} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{[x, y]} z\right)^{*} & =\nabla_{[x, y]^{*}} z^{*}+\sum g\left([x, y]^{*}, \phi_{(i)} z^{*}\right) \xi_{(i)} \\
& =\nabla_{\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right]^{*}} z^{*}+2 \sum g\left(x^{*}, \phi_{(i)} y^{*}\right) \nabla_{\xi_{t}} z^{*}+\sum g\left(\left[x^{*}, y^{*}\right], \phi_{(i)} z^{*}\right) \xi_{(i)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, using $\nabla_{\xi_{()}} z^{*}=\nabla_{z^{*}} \xi_{(i)}=-\phi_{(i)} z^{*}$, we have
(12.8) $\quad\left({ }^{\prime} R(x, y) z\right)^{*}=R\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) z^{*}+\sum\left[g\left(y^{*}, \phi_{(i)} z^{*}\right) \phi_{(i)} x^{*}-g\left(x^{*}, \phi_{(i)} z^{*}\right) \phi_{(i)} y^{*}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-2 g\left(x^{*}, \phi_{(i)} y^{*}\right) \phi_{(i)} z^{*}\right]+\sum\left[g\left(x^{*}, \nabla_{y^{*}} \cdot \phi_{(i)} \cdot z^{*}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-g\left(y^{*}, \nabla_{x^{*}} \cdot \phi_{(i)} \cdot z^{*}\right)\right] \xi_{(i)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 12.1. In the fibering of Theorem 11.3, let $x, y$ be an orthonormal (local) vector fields on $B$ (or tangent vectors at a point of $B$ ). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
' K(x, y) \cdot \pi=K\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)+3 \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[g\left(y^{*}, \phi_{(i)} x^{*}\right)\right]^{2} . \tag{12.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Putting $z=x$ in (12.8) and taking the inner products of $y^{*}$ and the both sides of (12.8), we get

$$
h\left({ }^{\prime} R(x, y) x, y\right) \cdot \pi=g\left(R\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) x^{*}, y^{*}\right)+3 \sum\left[g\left(y^{*}, \phi_{(i)} x^{*}\right)\right]^{2},
$$

from which we have (12.9).
Theorem 12.2. In the fibering of Theorem 11.3, assume that $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$ is a Sasakian 3 -structure and $\operatorname{dim} M=7$. Then $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature 1 if and only if $(B, h)$ is of constant curvature 4.

Proof. Let $x, y$ be any orthonormal pair in $B_{q}, q \in B$. Then $x^{*}, y^{*}$ are orthonormal and $y^{*}$ is expressed by

$$
y^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} b_{i} \phi_{(i)} x^{*}, \quad b_{i}=g\left(y^{*}, \phi_{(i)} x^{*}\right) .
$$

Since $\sum b_{i}{ }^{2}=1$, (12.9) implies ' $K(x, y) \cdot \pi=K\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)+3$. Hence, if $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature $1,(B, h)$ is of constant curvature 4 . Conversely, if $(B, h)$ is of constant curvature 4 , we have $H_{(1)}(X)=1$ for any non-zero $X \in E_{p}$. This implies that $(M, g)$ has constant $\phi_{(1)}$-holomorphic sectional curvature 1 by (3.13). Thus, $(M, g)$ is of constant curvature 1 .

Example. The Hopf fibration of $S^{7}$ is ; $\pi: S^{7} \rightarrow Q P^{1}=S^{4}$.

Theorfm 12.3. In the fibering of Theorem 11.3, $(M, g)$ is an Einstein manifold if and only if $(B, h)$ is an Einstein manifold such that

$$
' R_{1}(x, y)=(4 r+8) h(x, y), \quad 4 r=\operatorname{dim} B .
$$

Proof. Let $p$ be an arbitrary point of $M$ and put $q=\pi p$. Let $\left(\xi_{(i)}, X_{u}, \phi_{(i)} X_{u}\right.$; $i=1,2,3, u=1, \cdots, r)$ be an orthonormal basis at $p$. If we denote $\pi_{p} X_{u}$ by $\pi X_{u}$,
etc., $\left(\pi X_{u}, \pi \phi_{(i)} X_{u}\right)$ is an orthonormal basis at $q$. By (12.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{q}\left(' R\left(x, \pi X_{u}\right) y, \pi X_{u}\right)= & g_{p}\left(R\left(x^{*}, X_{u}\right) y^{*}, X_{u}\right)  \tag{12.10}\\
& +3 \sum g_{p}\left(\phi_{(i)} x^{*}, X_{u}\right) g_{p}\left(\phi_{(i)} y^{*}, X_{u}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{q}\left({ }^{\prime} R\left(x, \pi \phi_{(j)} X_{u}\right) y, \pi \phi_{(j)} X_{u}\right)= & g_{p}\left(R\left(x^{*}, \phi_{(j)} X_{u}\right) y^{*}, \phi_{(j)} X_{u}\right)  \tag{12.11}\\
& +3 \sum_{i} g_{p}\left(\phi_{(i)} x^{*}, \phi_{(j)} X_{u}\right) g_{p}\left(\phi_{(i)} y^{*}, \phi_{(j)} X_{u}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=1,2,3$. On the other hand, by (2.1). we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\sum g_{p}\left(R\left(x^{*}, \xi_{(i)}\right) y^{*}, \xi_{(i)}\right)-3 g_{p}\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) . \tag{12.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we notice that

$$
\sum_{u} g\left(x^{*}, X_{u}\right) g\left(y^{*}, X_{u}\right)+\sum_{j, u} g\left(x^{*}, \phi_{(j)} X_{u}\right) g\left(y^{*}, \phi_{(j)} X_{u}\right)=g\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) .
$$

Then by (12.10) ~(12.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\prime} R_{1 q}(x, y)=R_{1 p}\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)+6 g_{p}\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) . \tag{12.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(M, g)$ is an Einstein manifold, we have $R_{1}=(m-1) g=(4 r+2) g$ (cf. (2.1)). Therefore, we have ' $R_{1}(x, y)=(4 r+8) h(x, y)$. Conversely, if $(B, h)$ is an Einstein manifold such that ' $R_{1}=(4 r+8) h$, then $R_{1}\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)=(m-1) g\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ holds. Since $R_{1}\left(X, \xi_{(i)}\right)=(m-1) \eta_{(i)}(X)$ (cf. (1.6) of [21]), (M, $g$ ) is an Einstein manifold.

In the fibering of Theorem 11.3, if $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$ is a Sasakian 3-structure, then $(B, h)$ is an Einstein manifold. Hence, we have

ThEOREM E. Let $(M, g)$ be a complete Riemannian manifold admitting a Sasakian 3-structure $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right)$. If one of the Sasakian structures is
 Einstein manifold $(B, h)$ such that ${ }^{\prime} R_{1}=(4 r+8) h, 4 r=\operatorname{dim} B$.
13. 3-K-contact structures. We define a 3 -K-contact structure on $(M, g)$ by three $K$-contact structures $\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}$ satisfying (3.1) and (3.2). Some results on $K$-contact 3 -structures are generalized to results on $3-K$-contact structures.

Lemma 13.1. Let $\xi_{(1)}$ and $\xi_{(2)}$ be two $K$-contact structures on $(M, g)$ such that $g\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}\right)=0$. Then $\left(\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}=(1 / 2)\left[\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}\right]\right)$ is a 3 -K-contact structure.

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}\right]=\nabla_{\xi_{(1)}} \xi_{(2)}-\nabla_{\xi(2)} \xi_{(1)} } & =2 \nabla_{\xi(1)} \xi_{(2)}=-2 \phi_{(2)} \xi_{(1)} \\
& =-2 \nabla_{\left.\xi_{(2)}\right)} \xi_{(1)}=2 \phi_{(1)} \xi_{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\xi_{(3)}=\phi_{(1)} \xi_{(2)}$ is also a unit Killing vector. Then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(3)}\right]=L_{\xi(1)} \xi_{(3)}=L_{\xi_{(2)}}\left(\phi_{(1)} \xi_{(2)}\right)=\phi_{(1)}\left[\xi_{(1)}, \xi_{(2)}\right]}  \tag{13.1}\\
=2 \phi_{(1)} \xi_{(3)}=2 \phi_{(1)} \phi_{(1)} \xi_{(2)}=-2 \xi_{(2)}, \\
{\left[\xi_{(2)}, \xi_{(3)}\right]=L_{\xi_{(2)}}\left(-\phi_{(2)} \xi_{(1)}\right)=2 \xi_{(1)} .} \tag{13.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, $\xi_{(i)}, i=1,2,3$, satisfy (3.1), (3.2) where $\phi_{(3)}=-\nabla \xi_{(3)}$.We show that $\xi_{(3)}$ is a $K$-contact structure. Since $\xi_{(1)}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(X, \xi_{(1)}\right) \xi_{(1)}=g\left(X, \xi_{(1)}\right) \xi_{(1)}-X \tag{13.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

operating the Lie derivation $L_{\xi(6)}$ to (13.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(X, \xi_{(3)}\right) \xi_{(1)}+R\left(X, \xi_{(1)}\right) \xi_{(3)}=g\left(X, \xi_{(3)}\right) \xi_{(1)}+g\left(X, \xi_{(1)}\right) \xi_{(3)} . \tag{13.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Oprating $L_{\xi(G)}$ again to (13.4), and using (13.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(X, \xi_{(3)}\right) \xi_{(3)}=g\left(X, \xi_{(3)}\right) \xi_{(3)}-X \tag{13.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\xi_{(3)}$ is a $K$-contact structure.

Proposition 13.2. A 3 - $K$-contact structure on $(M, g)$ is a $K$-contact 3-structure if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(X, \xi_{(1)}\right) \xi_{(2)}=g\left(X, \xi_{(2)}\right) \xi_{(1)} \tag{13.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF. Operating $\nabla_{x}$ to $\phi_{(1)} \xi_{(2)}=\xi_{(3)}$, we have

$$
\nabla_{x} \phi_{(1)} \cdot \xi_{(2)}-\phi_{(1)} \phi_{(2)} X=-\phi_{(3)} X .
$$

Since $\nabla_{X} \phi_{(1)}=-\nabla_{X}\left(\nabla \xi_{(1)}\right)$ and $\nabla_{x}\left(\nabla \xi_{(1)}\right)+R\left(X, \xi_{(1)}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(X, \xi_{(1)}\right) \xi_{(2)}-\phi_{(1)} \phi_{(2)} X=-\phi_{(3)} X . \tag{13.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if (13.6) holds, we have (3.3) $)_{k=3}$. If we oprate $L_{\xi(1)}$ to (13.6), we have $R\left(X, \xi_{(1)}\right) \xi_{(3)}=g\left(X, \xi_{(3)}\right) \xi_{(1)}$, and then we get (3.3) $)_{k=2}$. Similarly, we get (3.3) $)_{k=1}$.

REMARK. In the above discussion, if $\xi_{(1)}$ and $\xi_{(2)}$ are Sasakian, then replacing (13.3) by (2.5) for $\xi_{(1)}$ we see that $\xi_{(3)}$ is Sasakian. Since we have (13.6) for Sasakian $\xi_{(1)}$, we have (iii) in §3.

Proposition 13.3. Theorem 11.3, Proposition 12.1 and Theorem 12.3 are true for a 3-K-contact structure.

In fact, in proofs of Propositions listed above, (3.3) are not used. Only two points we must notice here are:
(i) we have a basis of the form $\left(\xi_{(i)}, X_{j}, \phi_{(i)} X_{j}\right)$ at each point. If $\operatorname{dim} M=3$, this is clear. If $\operatorname{dim} M>3$, we have a unit $X_{1} \in M_{p}$, which is orthogonal to $\xi_{(i)}, i=1,2,3$. If we put $X=X_{1}$ in (13.4), we get $R\left(X_{1}, \xi_{(3)}\right) \xi_{(1)}+R\left(X_{1}, \xi_{(1)}\right) \xi_{(3)}=0$. Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(X_{1}, \xi_{(1)}\right) \xi_{(2)}+R\left(X_{1}, \xi_{(2)}\right) \xi_{(1)}=0 \tag{13.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (13.7) and (13.7) $\left.)^{\prime} \leftarrow \phi_{(2)} \xi_{(1)}=-\xi_{(3)}\right):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(X, \xi_{(2)}\right) \xi_{(1)}-\phi_{(2)} \phi_{(1)} X=\phi_{(3)} X \tag{13.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(13.8) is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{(1)} \phi_{(2)} X_{1}+\phi_{(2)} \phi_{(1)} X_{1}=0 . \tag{13.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (13.9), (13.9),$(13.9)^{\prime \prime}$, we see that $\left(\xi_{(1)}, X_{1}, \phi_{(i)} X_{1}\right)$ is orthonormal. These steps complete a basis stated above.
(ii) With respect to $(12.11) \rightarrow(12.13)$, it is required that $\left(\xi_{(i)}, X_{3}, \phi_{(1)} \phi_{(2)} X_{j}\right.$, $\left.\phi_{(1)} \phi_{(3)} X_{j}, \phi_{(2)} \phi_{(3)} X_{j}\right)$ is also an orthonormal basis. This is also assured by (13.9).
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