## ON A PROPERTY OF BRIESKORN MANIFOLDS

## SHIGEO SASAKI AND CHEN-JUNG HSU

(Received December 5, 1974)

1. Introduction. A Brieskorn manifold is by definition a (2n-1)-dimensional submanifold  $\Sigma^{2n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  in a complex space  $C^{n+1}$  with complex coordinates  $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n$  which is defined by equations

$$(1.1) z_0^{a_0} + z_1^{a_1} + \cdots + z_n^{a_n} = 0$$

and

$$(1.2) z_0\overline{z}_0 + z_1\overline{z}_1 + \cdots + z_n\overline{z}_n = 1,$$

where  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  are positive integers.

Recently, K. Abe [1] introduced an almost contact structure for every Brieskorn manifold, i.e. a triple  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  of a (1, 1)-tensor field  $\phi$ , a vector field  $\xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  such that

(1.3) 
$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X) \xi$$
,  $\eta(\xi) = 1$ .

He studied the structure with special emphasis of the non-regularity of the 1-dimensional foliation generated by the vector field  $\xi$  in general.

A differentiable manifold  $M^{2n-1}$  is said to be a contact manifold if there exists a 1-form  $\zeta$  on  $M^{2n-1}$  such that

$$(1.4) \zeta \wedge (d\zeta)^{n-1} \neq 0$$

and  $\zeta$  is called a contact form. A contact manifold admits an almost contact structure closely related with the contact form.

The main result of this paper is the following

MAIN THEOREM. Every Brieskorn manifold is a contact manifold.

It is well known that the set of all Brieskorn manifolds of dimension 2n-1  $(n \ge 2)$  contains all homotopy (2n-1)-spheres which are boundaries of compact orientable parallelizable manifolds. [2] [3]

In § 2, we shall find a candidate of a contact form on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . In § 3, we shall prove the main theorem by showing that the candidate is really a contact form.

Besides the almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  defined by K. Abe on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , we can naturally define an almost contact structure  $(\phi', \xi', \eta')$  on the same Brieskorn manifold as the latter is a hypersurface

of a Kählerian manifold. In § 4, we give necessary and sufficient condition for the coincidence of two 1-dimensional foliations generated by the vector fields  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$ .

2. To find a candidate of a contact form. We denote the hypersurface in  $C^{n+1}$  defined by (1.1) by V. If all  $a_{\alpha} \geq 2$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ), then V has an isolated singularity at the origin O. We call  $V - \{0\}$  a Brieskorn variety and denote it by  $B^{2n}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  or simply by  $B^{2n}$ . The Brieskorn manifold  $\Sigma^{2n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is the intersection of  $B^{2n}$  with the unit hypersphere  $S^{2n+1}$ . We denote it simply by  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  too.

Let us consider the C-action on  $C^{n+1}$  defined by

$$z'_{\alpha}=e^{mw/\alpha}\alpha z_{\alpha},$$

where m is the least common multiple of the integers  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  and w is a complex variable. We can easily see that the C-action fixes the origin O and transforms  $B^{2n}$  onto itself. Therefore, restricting w to its real part s and differentiating  $z'_{\alpha}(s)$  at s=0 we see that

$$(2.2) u_1 = \left(\frac{m}{a_\alpha} z_\alpha\right) z \in B^{2n}$$

is a tangent vector of  $B^{2n}$  at z. In the same way, restricting w to its purely imaginary part it (t: real), we see that

$$(2.3) u_2 = iu_1 = \left(\frac{m}{a_\alpha}iz_\alpha\right) z \in B^{2n}$$

is a tangent vector of  $B^{2n}$  at z orthogonal to  $u_1$ . When we restrict w to it, (2.1) gives a  $S^1$ -action on  $C^{n+1}$  and the  $S^1$ -action leaves  $B^{2n}$ ,  $S^{2n+1}$  and so their intersection  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$ . Therefore, if  $z \in \Sigma^{2n-1}$ , the orbit of the point z under this action lies on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  and so  $u_2$  is a tangent vector of  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$ .

Now, denoting the differential at a point z on  $B^{2n}$  by dz, we get by (1.1)

$$\sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{\alpha}} dz_{\alpha} = 0 ,$$

where  $f(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  means the polynomial on the left hand side of (1.1). (2.4) is equivalent with  $\langle \overline{\partial f/\partial z}, dz \rangle = 0$ , where the bracket means the inner product of two vectors  $\overline{\partial f/\partial z}$  (the complex conjugate of  $\partial f/\partial z$ ) and dz in  $C^{n+1}$ . So, we have

$$\Re e \Big<rac{\overline{\partial f}}{\partial z},\, dz\Big>=0$$
 ,  $\Re e \Big=0$  .

These equations tell us that

$$egin{align} v_{_1} &\equiv \left(rac{\overline{\partial f}}{\partial z_lpha}
ight) = (a_lpha \overline{z}_lpha^{a_lpha^{-1}}) \;, \ &v_{_2} &\equiv \left(irac{\overline{\partial f}}{\partial z_lpha}
ight) = (ia_lpha \overline{z}^{a_lpha^{-1}}) = iv_{_1} \end{split}$$

are normal vectors of  $B^{2n}$  at the point z. We can easily show that  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ ,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are mutually orthogonal.

Let us restrict the point z to the one on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$ . Then the unit normal vector n of  $S^{2n+1}$  has  $z_{\alpha}$  as its components.  $v_1, v_2$  and n are normals to  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  in  $C^{n+1}$ .

They are linearly independent. For if there is a relation of the form  $n = \rho v_1 + \sigma v_2$ , then we have

$$z_{lpha}=(
ho\,+\,\sigma i)a_{lpha}ar{z}_{lpha}^{\scriptscriptstylelpha_{lpha}-1}$$
 ,

which shows us that

$$\sum rac{z_{lpha} \overline{z}_{lpha}}{a_{lpha}} = (
ho \, + \, \sigma i) (\sum \overline{z}_{lpha}^{a_{lpha}}) = 0$$

and so  $z_{\alpha}=0$ , contradictory to the fact that  $z\in \Sigma^{2n-1}$ . We define  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  by

(2.6) 
$$\lambda = -\frac{\Re(\sum a_{\alpha}z_{\alpha}^{a_{\alpha}})}{\langle v_{1}, v_{1}\rangle}, \quad \mu = \frac{\Im(\sum a_{\alpha}z_{\alpha}^{a_{\alpha}})}{\langle v_{2}, v_{2}\rangle}.$$

Then, we can easily verify that  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  and

$$(2.7) v = n + \lambda v_1 + \mu v_2$$

are normal vectors of  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  in  $C^{n+1}$  orthogonal with each other. Hence, v is a normal vector of  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  which lies in the tangent space of  $B^{2n}$  at each point  $z \in \Sigma^{2n-1}$ .

 $B^{2n}$  inherits the complex structure from that of  $C^{n+1}$ . If we denote the Kählerian inner product by  $\langle , \rangle$ , we have

$$\langle\langle iv, dz\rangle\rangle = \Re \epsilon \langle iv, dz\rangle$$
.

On account of (2.4) and (2.5), this reduces to

$$\langle\!\langle iv,\,dz
angle\!\rangle=rac{i}{2}\sum_{lpha=0}^n(z_lpha d\overline{z}_lpha-\overline{z}_lpha dz_lpha)$$
 .

The real 1-form  $\zeta$  on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  defined by

(2.8) 
$$\zeta = \frac{i}{2} \sum (z_{\alpha} d\bar{z}_{\alpha} - \bar{z}_{\alpha} dz_{\alpha})$$

i.e. the restriction of the real 1-form on  $C^{n+1}$  defined by the right hand side of (2.8) to  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  is a candidate of a contact form for the Brieskorn manifold in consideration. The geometrical meaning of  $\zeta$  is given as

(2.9) 
$$\zeta = \langle\langle iv, dz\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle in, dz\rangle\rangle.$$

3. A proof of the main theorem. We shall show that the 1-form  $\zeta$  on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  defined by (2.8) is a contact form.

From (2.8) we have

$$d\zeta = i \sum_{\alpha=0}^n dz_\alpha \wedge d\overline{z}_\alpha \ .$$

So, we get

$$egin{aligned} \zeta \wedge (d\zeta)^{n-1} &= rac{i^n}{2} \Big\{ \sum_{lpha=0}^n (z_lpha d\overline{z}_lpha - \overline{z}_lpha dz_lpha) \Big\} \wedge \Big( \sum_{eta=0}^n dz_eta \wedge d\overline{z}_eta \Big)^{n-1} \ &= rac{(n-1)! \, i^n}{2} \Big[ \left\{ \sum_{lpha=0}^n (z_lpha d\overline{z}_lpha - \overline{z}_lpha dz_lpha) 
ight\} \ &\wedge \Big\{ \sum_{eta < \gamma} (dz_0 \wedge d\overline{z}_0) \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{(dz_eta \wedge d\overline{z}_eta)} \ &\wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{(dz_\gamma \wedge d\overline{z}_\gamma)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{(dz_n \wedge d\overline{z}_n)} \Big\} \Big] \, , \end{aligned}$$

where roofs mean factors which should be omitted.

To show (1.4), we may first restrict ourselves on the domain  $D_n$  on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  where  $z_n \neq 0$ .

On  $D_n$  we have by (1.1)

(3.3) 
$$dz_n = -\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} l_p dz^p ,$$

where we have put

$$l_p = \frac{t_p}{t_n} , \qquad t_\alpha = a_\alpha z_\alpha^{a_{\alpha^{-1}}} .$$

We denote the equation complex conjugate to (3.3) by  $(\overline{3.3})$ . On the other hand, we have by (1.2)

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} (z_{\alpha} d\overline{z}_{\alpha} + \overline{z}_{\alpha} dz_{\alpha}) = 0$$

on  $B^{2n-1}$ . Putting (3.3) and (3.3) into the last equation, we have

(3.5) 
$$\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} (m_p dz_p + \bar{m}_p d\bar{z}_p) = 0$$
 ,

where we have put

$$(3.6) m_p = \overline{z}_p - \overline{z}_n l_p , \overline{m}_p = z_p - z_n \overline{l}_p .$$

The functions  $m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}$  defined on  $D_n$  can not vanish simultaneously at any point of  $D_n$ . For, if  $m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}$  vanish simultaneously at a point z on  $D_n$ , we have

$$\frac{t_0}{\overline{z}_0} = \frac{t_1}{\overline{z}_1} = \cdots = \frac{t_n}{\overline{z}_n},$$

which tells us that

$$\frac{z_0^{a_0}}{z_0\overline{z}_0} = \frac{z_1^{a_1}}{z_1\overline{z}_1} = \cdots = \frac{z_n^{a_n}}{z_n\overline{z}_n} = \frac{\sum z_\alpha^{a_\alpha}}{\sum z_\alpha\overline{z}_\alpha} = 0$$

by (1.1). This implies that z is the origin of  $C^{n+1}$ , contrary to our assumption that  $z \in D_n$ . Hence we may consider the subdomain  $D_{n,n-1}$  in  $D_n$  such that

$$\bar{m}_{n-1} \neq 0$$
.

Then, we see that

(3.9) 
$$d\bar{z}_{n-1} = -\frac{1}{\bar{m}_{n-1}} \left( \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} m_p dz_p + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \bar{m}_k d\bar{z}_k \right)$$

holds good on  $D_{n,n-1}$ .

Now, if we pay attention to the domain  $D_{n,n-1}$  on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$ , (3.2) can be written as

(3.10) 
$$\zeta \wedge (d\zeta)^{n-1} = \frac{(n-1)! i^n}{2} (A + B + C)$$

where A, B and C are (2n-1)-forms defined as follows:

A: the sum of monomials each of which contains  $z_k d\bar{z}_k - \bar{z}_k dz_k$   $(k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2)$  as its factor,

B: the sum of monomials each of which contains  $z_{n-1}d\bar{z}_{n-1} - \bar{z}_{n-1}dz_{n-1}$  as its factor, and

C: the sum of monomials each of which contains  $z_n d\bar{z}_n - \bar{z}_n dz_n$  as its factor.

We shall calculate A, B and C on  $D_{n,n-1}$ . For the convenience of printing, we put

$$(3.11) \omega_{\alpha} = dz_{\alpha} \wedge d\overline{z}_{\alpha}.$$

(i) Calculation of A. If we fix the value of k, any non-zero monomial in (3.2) which contains  $z_k d\overline{z}_k - \overline{z}_k dz_k$  does not contain  $dz_k \wedge d\overline{z}^k$  as its factor. So A can be written as

$$(3.12) A = A_1 + A_2 + A_3,$$

where  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  are (2n-1)-forms with the following additional properties:

 $A_i$ : the sum of monomials each of which contains  $dz_{n-1} \wedge d\overline{z}_{n-1}$  as its factor, but does not contain  $dz_n \wedge d\overline{z}_n$  as its factor,

 $A_2$ : the sum of monomials each of which contains  $dz_n \wedge d\overline{z}_n$  as its factor, but does not contain  $dz_{n-1} \wedge d\overline{z}_{n-1}$  as its factor,

 $A_3$ : the sum of monomials each of which contains both of  $dz_{n-1} \wedge d\overline{z}_{n-1}$  and  $dz_n \wedge d\overline{z}_n$  as its factors.

First, we see easily that

$$A_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{k-1} \wedge (z_k d\overline{z}_k - \overline{z}_k dz_k) \wedge \omega_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1}$$
 .

Substituting (3.9) into the last equation, we get

(3.13) 
$$A_1 = \frac{-1}{\bar{m}_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (z_k m_k + \bar{z}_k \bar{m}_k) \Omega,$$

where we have put

(3.14) 
$$\Omega = \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \wedge \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle n-2} \wedge dz_{\scriptscriptstyle n-1}.$$

Next, we see that

$$egin{aligned} A_2 &= \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-2} \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{k-1} \wedge (z_k dar{z}_k - ar{z}_k dz_k) \wedge \omega_{k+1} \ & \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-2} \wedge \omega_n \;. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.3) and  $(\overline{3.3})$  into the last equation we get

$$egin{aligned} A_2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \{-z_k l_k \overline{l}_{n-1} \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-2} \wedge d\overline{z}_{n-1} \ &+ z_k l_{n-1} \overline{l}_{n-1} \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{k-1} \wedge d\overline{z}_k \wedge \omega_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \ &+ \overline{z}_k l_{n-1} \overline{l}_{n-1} \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-2} \wedge dz_{n-1} \ &- \overline{z}_k l_{n-1} \overline{l}_{n-1} \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{k-1} \wedge dz_k \wedge \omega_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \} \ . \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.9) this is transformed to

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.15) & A_2 = \frac{1}{\overline{m}_{n-1}} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-2} \{z_k \overline{l}_{n-1} (l_k m_{n-1} - l_{n-1} m_k) \\ & + \overline{z}_k l_{n-1} (\overline{l}_k \overline{m}_{n-1} - \overline{l}_{n-1} \overline{m}_k) \} \varOmega \; . \end{array}$$

Thirdly,  $A_3$  can be written as

$$(3.16) A_3 = A_3' + A_3'',$$

where we have put

$$A_3' = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-2}\sum\limits_{j=k+1}^{n-2} oldsymbol{\omega}_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_{k-1} \wedge (z_k d\overline{z}_k - \overline{z}_k dz_k) \ \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{oldsymbol{\omega}}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_{n-2} \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_{n-1} \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_n \; , \ A_3'' = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-2}\sum\limits_{k=0}^{k-1} oldsymbol{\omega}_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{oldsymbol{\omega}}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_{k-1} \ \wedge (z_k d\overline{z}_k - \overline{z}_k dz_k) \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_{n-2} \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_{n-1} \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_n \; .$$

Substituting (3.3) and  $(\overline{3.3})$  into  $A_3'$  we get

$$A_3' = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \{ -z_k l_k \overline{l}_j \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{j-1} \wedge d\overline{z}_j \wedge \omega_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \ + z_k l_j \overline{l}_j \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{k-1} \wedge d\overline{z}_k \wedge \omega_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \ + \overline{z}_k l_j \overline{l}_k \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{j-1} \wedge dz_j \wedge \omega_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \ - \overline{z}_k l_j \overline{l}_j \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{k-1} \wedge dz_k \wedge \omega_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \} \ .$$

By virtue of (3.9), the last equation is transformed to

$$(3.17) \quad A_3' = rac{1}{ar{m}_{n-1}} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum\limits_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \{ z_i ar{l}_j (l_i m_j - l_j m_i) + ar{z}_i l_j (ar{l}_i ar{m}_j - ar{m}_i ar{l}_j) \} {\it \Omega} \; .$$

In the same way  $A_3''$  is transformed to

$$A_3^{\prime\prime} = rac{1}{ar{m}_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{h=0}^{k-1} \{ z_k \overline{l}_h (l_k m_h - l_h m_k) + \overline{z}_k l_h (\overline{l}_k \overline{m}_h - \overline{l}_h \overline{m}_k) \} arOmega$$
 .

However, this can be written also as

$$A_3^{\prime\prime} = rac{1}{ar{m}_{n-1}} \sum_{h=0}^{n-2} \sum_{k=h+1}^{n-2} \{ z_k ar{l}_h (l_k m_h - l_h m_k) + ar{z}_k l_h (ar{l}_k ar{m}_h - ar{l}_h ar{m}_k) \} \Omega$$
 .

Changing indices h and k to k and j respectively we have

$$(3.18) \quad A_3'' = \frac{1}{\bar{m}_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \{ z_j \bar{l}_k (l_j m_k - l_k m_j) + \bar{z}_j l_k (\bar{l}_j \bar{m}_k - \bar{l}_k \bar{m}_j) \} \Omega .$$

So, by  $(3.15) \sim (3.17)$ , we get

$$egin{align} (3.19) \qquad A_3 &= rac{1}{ar{m}_{n-1}} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum\limits_{j=k+1}^{n-2} \{(l_k m_j - l_j m_k) (z_k \overline{l}_j - z_j \overline{l}_k) \ &+ (\overline{l}_k \overline{m}_j - \overline{m}_k \overline{l}_j) (\overline{z}_k l_j - \overline{z}_j l_k) \} \varOmega \;. \end{split}$$

(ii) Calculation of B. Clearly B can be written as

$$(3.20) B = B_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} + B_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} ,$$

where  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are (2n-1)-forms with the following additional properties:

 $B_i$ : the monomial which contains  $z_{n-1}d\overline{z}_{n-1}-\overline{z}_{n-1}dz_{n-1}$  as its factor,

but does not contain  $dz_n \wedge d\overline{z}_n$  as its factor,

 $B_2$ : the sum of monomials each of which contains both of  $z_{n-1}d\bar{z}_{n-1}-\bar{z}_{n-1}dz_{n-1}$  and  $dz_n\wedge d\bar{z}_n$  as its factors.

First, we see that

$$B_1 = \omega_0 \wedge \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-2} \wedge (z_{n-1}d\bar{z}_{n-1} - \bar{z}_{n-1}dz_{n-1}).$$

Substituting (3.9) in it, we get

$$(3.21) B_1 = \frac{-1}{\overline{m}_{n-1}} (z_{n-1} m_{n-1} + \overline{z}_{n-1} \overline{m}_{n-1}) \Omega.$$

Next, we see that

$$B_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{\omega}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-2} \wedge (z_{n-1} d\overline{z}_{n-1} - \overline{z}_{n-1} dz_{n-1}) \wedge \omega_n$$
 .

Substituting (3.3) and  $(\overline{3.3})$  into the last equation we have

$$B_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \{ z_{n-1} l_k \overline{l}_k \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-2} \wedge d\overline{z}_{n-1} \ - z_{n-1} \overline{l}_k l_{n-1} \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{k-1} \wedge d\overline{z}_k \wedge \omega_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \ - \overline{z}_{n-1} l_k \overline{l}_k \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-2} \wedge dz_{n-1} \ + \overline{z}_{n-1} l_k \overline{l}_{n-1} \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{k-1} \wedge dz_k \wedge \omega_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \} \ .$$

By virtue of (3.9), this is transformed to

$$(3.22) B_2 = \frac{1}{\overline{m}_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \{ z_{n-1} \overline{l}_k (l_{n-1} m_k - l_k m_{n-1}) + \overline{z}_{n-1} l_k (\overline{l}_{n-1} \overline{m}_k - \overline{l}_k \overline{m}_{n-1}) \} \Omega.$$

(iii) Calculation of C. Clearly, C can be written as

$$(3.23) C = C_1 + C_2,$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are (2n-1)-forms with the following additional properties:

 $C_1$ : the monomial which contains  $z_n d\bar{z}_n - \bar{z}_n dz_n$  as its factor, but does not contain  $dz_{n-1} \wedge d\bar{z}_{n-1}$  as its factor:

 $C_2$ : the sum of monomials each of which contains both of  $z_n d\bar{z}_n - \bar{z}_n dz_n$  and  $dz_{n-1} \wedge d\bar{z}_{n-1}$  as its factors.

First, we see that

$$C_1 = \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-2} \wedge (z_n d\overline{z}_n - \overline{z}_n dz_n)$$
.

Substituting (3.3) and  $(\overline{3.3})$  into the last equation, we have

$$egin{aligned} C_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} &= -z_{\scriptscriptstyle n} \overline{l}_{\scriptscriptstyle n-1} \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle n-2} \wedge d \overline{z}_{\scriptscriptstyle n-1} \ &+ \overline{z}_{\scriptscriptstyle n} l_{\scriptscriptstyle n-1} \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle n-2} \wedge d z_{\scriptscriptstyle n-1} \ . \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.9), this reduces to

$$(3.24) C_1 = \frac{1}{\overline{m}_{n-1}} (z_n \overline{l}_{n-1} m_{n-1} + \overline{z}_n l_{n-1} \overline{m}_{n-1}) \Omega.$$

Next, we see that

$$egin{aligned} C_2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} oldsymbol{\omega}_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{oldsymbol{\omega}}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_{n-2} \ & \wedge oldsymbol{\omega}_{n-1} \wedge (oldsymbol{z}_n dar{oldsymbol{z}}_n - ar{oldsymbol{z}}_n doldsymbol{z}_n) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.3) and  $(\overline{3.3})$  into the last equation, we have

$$C_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (z_n \overline{l}_k \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{k-1} \wedge d\overline{z}_k \wedge \omega_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \ - \overline{z}_n \overline{l}_k \omega_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{k-1} \wedge dz_k \wedge \omega_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1}) \ .$$

By virtue of (3.9), this is transformed to

(3.25) 
$$C_{2} = \frac{1}{\overline{m}_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (z_{n} \overline{l}_{k} m_{k} + \overline{z}_{n} l_{k} \overline{m}_{k}) \Omega.$$

Now, we define a function F on  $D_{n,n-1}$  by

(3.26) 
$$\zeta \wedge (d\zeta)^{n-1} = \frac{(n-1)!(i)^n}{2} FQ.$$

Then, by (3.10), (3.12), (3.20) and (3.23) we have

(3.27) 
$$F\Omega = A + B + C$$
$$= (A_1 + B_1) + (C_1 + C_2) + \{A_3 + (A_2 + B_2)\}.$$

To show (1.4) on  $D_{n,n-1}$ , it is sufficient to show that  $F \neq 0$ . By (3.13) (3.21), (3.24) and (3.25), we have

(3.28) 
$$A_1 + B_1 = -\frac{1}{\overline{m}_{n-1}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} (z_p m_p + \overline{z}_p \overline{m}_p) \Omega,$$

$$(3.29) C_1 + C_2 = \frac{1}{\overline{m}_{n-1}} \left( z_n \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \overline{l}_p m_p + \overline{z}_n \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} l_p \overline{m}_p \right) \Omega.$$

Similarly, we have by (3.15) and (3.22)

$$egin{aligned} A_2 \, + \, B_2 &= rac{1}{ar{m}_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \{ (l_k m_{n-1} - \, l_{n-1} m_k) (z_k ar{l}_{n-1} - z_{n-1} ar{l}_k) \ &+ (ar{l}_k ar{m}_{n-1} - ar{l}_{n-1} ar{m}_k) (ar{z}_k l_{n-1} - ar{z}_{n-1} l_k) \} \varOmega \, . \end{aligned}$$

So, we get by (3.19)

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.30) \qquad A_3 + (A_2 + B_2) = \frac{1}{\overline{m}_{n-1}} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum\limits_{j=k+1}^{n-1} \{(l_k m_j - l_j m_k) (z_k \overline{l}_j - z_j \overline{i}_k) \\ & + (\overline{l}_k \overline{m}_j - \overline{m}_k \overline{l}_j) (\overline{z}_k l_j - \overline{z}_j l_k) \} \varOmega \; . \end{array}$$

Putting (3.28)  $\sim$  (3.30) into (3.27) and substituting  $m_p$ ,  $\bar{m}_p$  by (3.6), we get

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{2}F &= -\sum\limits_{p=0}^{n-1} z_p ar{z}_p + \sum\limits_{p=0}^{n-1} z_p l_p ar{z}_n + \sum\limits_{p=0}^{n-1} ar{z}_p ar{l}_p z_n - \sum\limits_{p=0}^{n-1} l_p ar{l}_p z_n ar{z}_n \ &- \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-2} \sum\limits_{j=k+1}^{n-1} (z_k ar{l}_j - z_j ar{l}_k) (ar{z}_k l_j - ar{z}_j l_k) \;. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.4), this is transformed to

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}t_{n}\overline{t}_{n}F &= -\sum_{p=0}^{n-1}|t_{n}\overline{z}_{p}|^{2} - \sum_{p=0}^{n-1}|\overline{t}_{p}z_{n}|^{2} + 2\sum_{p=0}^{n-1}\Re((t_{n}\overline{z}_{p})\cdot(\overline{t}_{p}z_{n})) \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1}|z_{k}\overline{t}_{j} - z_{j}\overline{t}_{k}|^{2} \\ &= -\sum_{p=0}^{n-1}\{\Re(t_{n}\overline{z}_{p}) - \Re((\overline{t}_{p}z_{n}))\} - \sum_{p=0}^{n-1}\{\Im(t_{n}\overline{z}_{p}) \\ &+ \Im((\overline{t}_{p}z_{n}))\}^{2} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1}|z_{k}\overline{t}_{j} - z_{j}\overline{t}_{k}|^{2} \;. \end{split}$$

Thus, we see that  $F \leq 0$  on  $D_{n,n-1}$ .

We want to show that F does not vanish at any point on  $D_{n,n-1}$  by reduction ad absurdum. For the purpose we assume that F=0. Then, we have

$$\Re \mathrm{e}(t_n\overline{z}_p) = \Re \mathrm{e}(\overline{t}_p z_n) \;, \quad \Im \mathrm{m}(t_n\overline{z}_p) = -\Im \mathrm{m}(\overline{t}_p z_n)$$

for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and

$$z_k \overline{t}_i = z_i \overline{t}_k$$

for  $k=0, 1, \dots, n-2$  and  $j=k+1, \dots, n-1$ . As we can easily see, these relations are equivalent with the conjugate of (3.7). So, in the same way as the proof that  $m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}$  do not vanish simultaneously, we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore, F<0 and so (1.4) holds on  $D_{n,n-1}$ .

Quite the same argument can be performed for other domains  $D_{n,k}(k=0,1,\cdots,n-2)$  similarly defined as  $D_{n,n-1}$ . So, (1.4) holds on  $D_n$ . In the same way, we can show that (1.4) holds for domains  $D_0$ ,  $D_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $D_{n-1}$  on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  similarly defined as  $D_n$ . Consequently, we can conclude that (1.4) holds over the whole  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$ . This completes the proof.

N.B. It will be an interesting problem to study whether odd dimen-

sional homotopy spheres which are not boundaries of compact orientable parallelisable manifolds are contact manifolds or not.

4. A characterization of Brieskorn manifolds with  $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_n$ . The almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n)$  introduced by K. Abe has the property that  $\xi = u_2$ . Making use of the fact that the vector field  $u_2$  generates a 1-dimensional foliation each of whose orbits is a closed curve, he proved that his almost contact structure (the foliation) is in general non-regular.

On the other hand, we can introduce naturally an almost contact structure  $(\phi', \xi', \eta')$  on the same Brieskorn manifold as follows:

$$\phi'X=JX-\langle JX,\,n_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}
angle n_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\;, \ \ \xi'=Jn_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\;, \ \ \ \eta'(X)=\langle \xi',\,X
angle \;,$$

where J is the complex structure of the Brieskorn variety  $B^{2n}$ , X is an arbitrary tangent vector of  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  and  $n_1 = v/\langle v, v \rangle$ . Thus, we have interest to study the condition under which two foliations generated by the vector fields  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$  coincide.

THEOREM. The two vector fields  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$  generate the same 1-dimensional foliation in  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$   $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  if and only if  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_n$ .

PROOF. The two foliations coincide if and only if the vector fields iv and  $u_2$  on  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  are linearly dependent at each point of  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  and so they coincide if and only if the vector field  $u_1$  is normal to  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$ . Thus, the condition for the coincidence is that

$$\Re e\langle u_1, X \rangle = 0$$

is satisfied for any X which satisfies

$$\left\langle \overline{rac{\partial f}{\partial z}},\,X
ight
angle =0$$
 ,  $\mathfrak{Re}\langle z,\,X
angle =0$  .

Considering a special point  $z'=(z_0,\,z_1,\,0,\,\cdots,\,0)$ , and X such that  $X_0\neq 0$ , we can easily deduce from these equations that  $a_0=a_1$ . In the same way, we get  $a_i=a_j \ (i\neq j) \ i=0,\,1,\,\cdots,\,n$ .

- N.B. 1. As a corollary of the last theorem, we can see that the two almost contact structures  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  and  $(\phi', \xi', \eta')$  defined on the same Brieskorn manifold  $\Sigma^{2n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  coincide if and only if  $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_n$ .
- N.B. 2. Brieskorn manifold  $\Sigma^{2n-1}$  with  $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_n$  is a principal circle bundle over the (2n-2)-dimensional manifold (1.1) in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and

 $(\phi', \xi', \eta')$  with the induced Riemannian metric g' from  $C^{n+1}$  is a normal contact metric structure.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Abe, Some examples of non-regular almost contact structure on exotic spheres, (to appear.)
- [2] E. Brieskorn, Beispiele zur Differential-geometrie von Singularitäten, Inventiones Math. 2 (1966), 1-14.
- [3] E. Brieskorn and A. Van de Ven, Some complex structures on product of homotopy spheres, Topology 7 (1968), 389-393.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY
SENDAI, JAPAN
AND
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66502
U.S.A