

COMPLEX HYPERSURFACES OF $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$

YOSHIO MATSUYAMA

(Received May 7, 1975)

Recently, Simons [7] has established a fundamental formula for the Laplacian of the length of the second fundamental tensor of a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold and has obtained an application to a minimal hypersurface of a sphere. Ogiue [6] and others then obtained an important application of the formula of Simons' type to a complex submanifold of a complex space form.

On the other hand, Ludden and Okumura [3] obtained a remarkable application of the formula of Simons' type to a hypersurface of constant mean curvature immersed in the product $S^n \times S^n$ of two n -spheres.

In this paper we deal with complex hypersurfaces immersed in a Kaehler manifold $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ by a similar method.

In §1, we review some fundamental formulas for a complex hypersurface M of the product $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ of two complex projective n -spaces and obtain a result: The scalar curvature ρ of M satisfies $\rho \leq 2n^2$. If the equality holds, then the tangent space of M is invariant under an almost product structure on $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ (for simplicity, we say that M is an invariant hypersurface), and M is a totally geodesic hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ (Proposition 1.1).

In §2, using the formulas obtained in §1 we establish an integral formula of Simons' type and obtain results: A totally geodesic hypersurface, and a compact Kaehler hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ satisfying

$$\int_M \left(\frac{2n+1}{2n-1} \varphi^2 - (n+1)\varphi \right) dM \geq 4 \int_M \| \nabla^* H \|^2 dM$$

are invariant hypersurfaces, where $\varphi = 2 \operatorname{trace} H^2$ (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2).

In §3, we consider an invariant hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ and obtain a result: A compact invariant Kaehler hypersurface M of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ is a totally geodesic hypersurface, $\varphi \equiv (n+1)/3$ or $\varphi(x) > (n+1)/3$ at some $x \in M$ (Theorem 3.1).

Moreover, using a fact that a complete invariant Kaehler hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ is the product of $P_n(C)$ and a hypersurface of $P_n(C)$ (Theorem 3.3), we obtain the main results: A) If $\varphi \leq (n+1)/3$, then $M = P_{n-1}(C) \times P_n(C)$ or $n = 2$ and $M = Q_1(C) \times P_2(C)$, where $Q_1(C)$

is a complex quadric. B) $P_{n-1}(C) \times P_n(C)$ is the only totally geodesic hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$. C) $P_{n-1}(C) \times P_n(C)$ and $Q_{n-1}(C) \times P_n(C)$ are the only compact invariant Kaehler hypersurfaces of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ with constant scalar curvature, where $Q_{n-1}(C)$ is the complex quadric (Theorems 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7).

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professors M. Obata and K. Ogiue for their advices.

1. Complex hypersurfaces of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$. Let $P_n(C)$ be a complex projective n -space with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 1. Consider the Riemannian product $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$. We denote by \bar{P} and \bar{Q} the projections of the tangent space of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ to each component respectively. We put

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{F} = \bar{P} - \bar{Q} .$$

Then the Riemannian metric on $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ is given by

$$\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = g'(\bar{P}\bar{X}, \bar{P}\bar{Y}) + g'(\bar{Q}\bar{X}, \bar{Q}\bar{Y}) ,$$

where g' is the Kaehler metric of $P_n(C)$. Then we have

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{P} + \bar{Q} = I ,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{P}^2 = \bar{P} , \quad \bar{Q}^2 = \bar{Q} ,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{P}\bar{Q} = \bar{Q}\bar{P} = 0 ,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \bar{F}^2 = I ,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \text{trace } \bar{F} = 0 ,$$

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{g}(\bar{F}\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{F}\bar{Y}) ,$$

$$(1.8) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{F} = 0 ,$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to \bar{g} . We call \bar{F} an *almost product structure* on $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$.

The curvature tensor of $P_n(C)$ may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} R'(X', Y')Z' \\ = \frac{1}{4}\{g'(Y', Z')X' - g'(X', Z')Y' + g'(J'Y', Z')J'X' \\ - g'(J'X', Z')J'Y' + 2g'(X', J'Y')J'Z'\} , \end{aligned}$$

where J' denotes the complex structure of $P_n(C)$. We put

$$\bar{J}\bar{X} = J'\bar{P}\bar{X} + J'\bar{Q}\bar{X} .$$

Then we can easily see that

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} J'P &= \bar{P}\bar{J}, \quad J'\bar{Q} = \bar{Q}\bar{J}, \\ \bar{F}\bar{J} &= \bar{J}\bar{F}, \quad \bar{J}^2 = -I, \\ \bar{g}(\bar{J}\bar{X}, \bar{J}\bar{Y}) &= \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the curvature tensor of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ is given by

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} &= \frac{1}{8}\{\bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{Y} + \bar{g}(\bar{J}\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{J}\bar{X} \\ &\quad - \bar{g}(\bar{J}\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{J}\bar{Y} + 2\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{J}\bar{Y})\bar{J}\bar{Z} + \bar{g}(\bar{F}\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{F}\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{F}\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{F}\bar{Y} \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\bar{F}\bar{J}\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{F}\bar{J}\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{F}\bar{J}\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{F}\bar{J}\bar{Y} + 2\bar{g}(\bar{F}\bar{X}, \bar{J}\bar{Y})\bar{F}\bar{J}\bar{Z}\}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we can easily see that $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ is an Einstein Kaehler manifold because of (1.6), (1.7) and (1.9) (See [8], [10]).

Now, let M be a complex hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$, and B the differential of the immersion i of M into $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$. Let g and J be the induced Riemannian metric and the induced complex structure on M , respectively, and ∇ denote the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection of g . Let X, Y and Z be tangent to M and N a unit normal vector. Then we have the following:

$$(1.11) \quad \bar{F}BX = BfX + u(X)N + \tilde{u}(X)\bar{J}N,$$

$$(1.12) \quad \bar{F}N = BU + \lambda N + \tilde{\lambda}\bar{J}N,$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(U, X) &= u(X), \quad g(JU, X) = \tilde{u}(X), \\ \tilde{u}(X) &= -u(JX), \quad Jf = fJ, \quad \tilde{\lambda} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.13) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{BX}BY = B\nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)N + k(X, Y)\bar{J}N,$$

$$(1.14) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{BX}N = -BH X + s(X)\bar{J}N,$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(X, Y) &= g(HX, Y), \quad k(X, Y) = g(JHX, Y) \\ HJ &= -JH, \quad \text{trace } H = \text{trace } HJ = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.15) \quad R(X, Y)Z$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{8}\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(JY, Z)JX - g(JX, Z)JY \\ &\quad + 2g(X, JY)JZ + g(fY, Z)fX - g(fX, Z)fY \\ &\quad + g(fJY, Z)fJX - g(fJX, Z)fJY + 2g(fX, JY)fJZ\} \\ &\quad + \{h(Y, Z)HX - h(X, Z)HY\} + \{k(Y, Z)JHX - k(X, Z)JHY\} \end{aligned}$$

—Gauss equation,

$$(1.16) \quad (\nabla_X H)Y - (\nabla_Y H)X - s(X)JHY + s(Y)JHX$$

$$= \frac{1}{8}\{u(X)fY - u(Y)fX\}$$

$$+ u(JX)fJY - u(JY)fJX - 2g(fX, JY)JU \quad \text{---Codazzi equation,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1.17) \quad (\nabla_x s)(Y) - (\nabla_Y s)(X) &= 2ds(X, Y) \\ &= X \cdot s(Y) - Y \cdot s(X) - s([X, Y]) \\ &= 2g(X, JH^2 Y) + \frac{1}{4}\{u(X)u(JY) - u(JX)u(Y) \\ &\quad + g(X, JY) + \lambda g(fX, JY)\} \end{aligned}$$

---Ricci equation,

$$(1.18) \quad f^2 X = X - u(X)U + u(JX)JU,$$

$$(1.19) \quad u(fX) = -\lambda u(X),$$

$$(1.20) \quad fU = -\lambda U,$$

$$(1.21) \quad u(U) = g(U, U) = 1 - \lambda^2,$$

$$(1.22) \quad (\nabla_Y f)X = h(Y, X)U + k(Y, X)JU + u(X)HY - u(JX)JHY,$$

$$(1.23) \quad (\nabla_Y u)X = \lambda h(Y, X) - h(Y, fX) - s(Y)u(JX),$$

$$(1.24) \quad \nabla_X U = -fHX + \lambda HX + s(X)JU,$$

$$(1.25) \quad X \cdot \lambda = -2h(X, U) = -2u(HX),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1.26) \quad S(X, Y) &= \frac{2n+1}{4}g(X, Y) - \frac{1}{4}u(X)u(Y) - \frac{1}{4}u(JX)u(JY) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}g(fX, Y)\lambda - 2g(H^2 X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.27) \quad \rho = 2n^2 - (1 - \lambda^2) - 2 \text{ trace } H^2,$$

where $f; u, \tilde{u}; U; \lambda, \tilde{\lambda}; h, k; s; S$ and ρ define a symmetric linear transformation of the tangent bundle of M , two 1-forms, a vector field, two functions on M , the second fundamental tensors of the hypersurface, a normal connection form, the Ricci tensor of M and the scalar curvature of M , respectively (See [2], [3]).

If u is identically zero, then M is said to be an *invariant* hypersurface, that is, the tangent space $T_x(M)$ is invariant under \bar{F} . We can easily see by (1.21) that this is equivalent to $\lambda^2 = 1$.

Pick an orthonormal frame $\bar{E}_A, \bar{E}_{A^*} = \bar{J}\bar{E}_A, A = 1, \dots, 2n$ in such a way that the first $2n - 1$ \bar{E}_A 's satisfy $\bar{E}_a = BE_a$, and $\bar{E}_{2n} = N^{(1)}$. Then

⁽¹⁾ We use the following convention on the range of indices unless otherwise stated:

$$A, B, C, D = 1, \dots, 2n$$

$$a, b, c, d = 1, \dots, 2n - 1$$

$$i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, 2n - 1, 1^*, \dots, 2n - 1^*$$

because of (1.6) and (1.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } f &= \sum g(fE_i, E_i) \\ &= \sum \bar{g}(BfE_i, BE_i) = \sum \bar{g}(\bar{F}BE_i, BE_i) \\ &= \sum \bar{g}(\bar{F}\bar{E}_A, \bar{E}_A) + \sum \bar{g}(\bar{F}\bar{E}_A^*, \bar{E}_A^*) - \bar{g}(\bar{F}N, N) - \bar{g}(\bar{F}\bar{J}N, \bar{J}N) \\ &= \text{trace } \bar{F} - 2\lambda = -2\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.21) and (1.27) we easily get

PROPOSITION 1.1. *The scalar curvature ρ of M satisfies $\rho \leq 2n^2$. If the equality holds, then M is an invariant and totally geodesic hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$.*

We will see later Theorem 2.1 that “invariant” of Proposition 1.1 automatically holds.

2. Integral formulas of Simons’ type. Consider the function $\varphi = 2 \text{ trace } H^2$. We will now compute the Laplacian $\Delta\varphi$. Since M is a minimal submanifold of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$, the following holds ([1]):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi &= \sum \|\nabla^* H_\alpha\|^2 + \sum \text{trace} (H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 \\ &\quad - \sum (\text{trace } H_\alpha H_\beta)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum (4\bar{g}(\bar{R}(\bar{E}_i, \bar{E}_j)\bar{E}_\beta, \bar{E}_\alpha)g(H_\alpha E_j, E_k)g(H_\beta E_i, E_k) \\ &\quad \quad - \bar{g}(\bar{R}(\bar{E}_\beta, \bar{E}_k)\bar{E}_k, \bar{E}_\alpha)g(H_\alpha E_i, E_j)g(H_\beta E_i, E_j) \\ &\quad \quad + 2\bar{g}(\bar{R}(\bar{E}_k, \bar{E}_j)\bar{E}_j, \bar{E}_i)g(H_\alpha E_i, E_l)g(H_\beta E_k, E_l) \\ &\quad \quad + 2\bar{g}(\bar{R}(\bar{E}_k, \bar{E}_l)\bar{E}_j, \bar{E}_i)g(H_\alpha E_i, E_l)g(H_\beta E_j, E_k)), \end{aligned}$$

where Greek indices α, β have the range $\{2n, 2n^*\}$, and $H_{2n} = H$, $H_{2n^*} = JH$, and $\nabla_x^* H = \nabla_x H - s(X)JH$ ([2]). Using (1.9), (1.10), (1.11), (1.12), (1.15), (1.18), (1.21) and $\text{trace } f = -2\lambda$, the last term of the right hand side of the above equation equals to

$$\frac{n+1}{2}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\varphi + 2 \text{ trace} (fH)^2 - 3\lambda \text{ trace } fH^2 - 6g(H^2U, U).$$

Moreover we have ([6])

$$\sum \text{trace} (H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha)^2 = -8 \text{ trace } H_{2n}^4 = -8 \text{ trace } H^4.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi &= \frac{n+1}{2} \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \varphi^2 \\
 &+ 2 \operatorname{trace} (fH)^2 - 3\lambda \operatorname{trace} fH^2 - 6g(H^2U, U) \\
 &- 8 \operatorname{trace} H^4 + 2\|\nabla^* H\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we want to compute $\operatorname{div} (fHU)$. Extend an orthonormal basis E_i 's for $T_x(M)$ to vector fields in a neighborhood of x in such a way that $\nabla E_i = 0$ at x . Since $\operatorname{div} Z = \sum g(\nabla_{E_i} Z, E_i)$ for any vector field Z , we first have, for a vector field X ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_x (fHU) &= (\nabla_x f)HU + f(\nabla_x H)U + fH\nabla_x U \\
 &= g(H^2U, X)U + g(JH^2U, X)JU + g(HU, U)HX - g(JHU, U)JHX \\
 &\quad + f((\nabla_v H)X + s(X)JHU - s(U)JHX + \frac{1}{8}(u(X)fU - u(U)fX \\
 &\quad + u(JX)fJU - u(JU)fJX - 2g(fX, JU)JU)) + fH(-fHX \\
 &\quad + \lambda HX + s(X)JU) \\
 &= g(H^2U, X)U + g(JH^2U, X)JU + g(HU, U)HX - g(JHU, U)JHX \\
 &\quad + f(\nabla_v H)X - s(U)fJHX + \frac{1}{8}\lambda^2 u(X)U - \frac{1}{8}(1 - \lambda^2)(X - u(X)U \\
 &\quad + u(JX)JU) + \frac{3}{8}\lambda^2 u(JX)JU - (fH)^2X + \lambda fH^2X,
 \end{aligned}$$

because of (1.16), (1.18), (1.20), (1.21), (1.22) and (1.24), from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{div} (fHU) &= 2g(HU, HU) + \operatorname{trace} f\nabla_v H - \frac{n}{2}(1 - \lambda^2) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda^2)^2 - \operatorname{trace} (fH)^2 + \lambda \operatorname{trace} fH^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{trace} fH &= \sum \{g(fHE_a, E_a) + g(fHJE_a, JE_a)\} \\
 &= \sum \{g(JfHE_a, JE_a) + g(fHJE_a, JE_a)\} \\
 &= \sum \{-g(fHJE_a, JE_a) + g(fHJE_a, JE_a)\} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \nabla_x (\operatorname{trace} fH) \\
 &= \sum \nabla_x (g(fHE_i, E_i)) \\
 &= \sum \{g((\nabla_x f)HE_i, E_i) + g(f(\nabla_x H)E_i, E_i)\} \\
 &= \sum \{g(H^2X, E_i)g(U, E_i) + g(HJHX, E_i)g(JU, E_i)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ g(HU, E_i)g(HX, E_i) + g(HJU, E_i)g(JHX, E_i) \\
 &+ \text{trace } f\nabla_x H \\
 &= \text{trace } f\nabla_x H,
 \end{aligned}$$

because of (1.22), from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad \text{div}(fHU) &= 2g(HU, HU) - \text{trace}(fH)^2 + \lambda \text{trace } fH^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{n}{2}(1 - \lambda^2) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda^2)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute $\text{div}(\lambda HU)$. From (1.16), (1.24) and (1.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_x(\lambda HU) &= (X \cdot \lambda)HU + \lambda(\nabla_x H)U + \lambda H\nabla_x U \\
 &= -2u(HX)HU + \lambda(\nabla_v H)X - \lambda s(U)JHX \\
 &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{8}\{u(X)fU - u(U)fX + u(JX)fJU - 2g(fX, JU)JU\} \\
 &\quad - \lambda HfHX + \lambda^2 H^2 X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad \text{div}(\lambda HU) &= -2g(HU, HU) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(1 - \lambda^2) - \lambda \text{trace } fH^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\varphi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi + 2 \text{div}(fHU) - \text{div}(\lambda HU) \\
 &= \frac{n+1}{2}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 - 8 \text{trace } H^4 - \frac{1}{2}(2n - 2 + 3\lambda^2)(1 - \lambda^2) \\
 &\quad + 2\|\nabla^*H\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), (2.3) or (2.4) we easily get

THEOREM 2.1. *A totally geodesic hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ is an invariant hypersurface.*

Assume that the hypersurface M is compact. Integrating the above equation over M , we get, because of Green-Stokes' theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad \int_M \left\{ \frac{n+1}{2}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 - 8 \text{trace } H^4 \right. \\
 \left. - \frac{1}{2}(2n - 2 + 3\lambda^2)(1 - \lambda^2) + 2\|\nabla^*H\|^2 \right\} dM = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying $(1/(2n - 1))\varphi^2 \leq 8 \text{ trace } H^4$ ([5]) to (2.5), we have

THEOREM 2.2. *A compact Kaehler hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ satisfying*

$$(2.6) \quad \int_M \left(\frac{2n + 1}{2n - 1} \varphi^2 - (n + 1)\varphi \right) dM \geq 4 \int_M \|\nabla^* H\|^2 dM$$

is an invariant hypersurface.

REMARK. From (2.5) and (2.6), we easily see that a compact Kaehler hypersurface with parallel second fundamental tensor of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ satisfying $\varphi \geq (2n - 1)(n + 1)/(2n + 1)$ is an invariant hypersurface and $\varphi \equiv (2n - 1)(n + 1)/(2n + 1)$. However, we will see later Theorem 3.7 that there exist no such invariant hypersurfaces.

3. Invariant hypersurfaces of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$. In this section we assume that the hypersurface M is invariant, i.e., (1.11) can be written as

$$\bar{F}BX = BfX.$$

Since the 1-form u and the vector field U vanish identically, we have

$$(3.1) \quad f^2X = X,$$

$$(3.2) \quad 1 - \lambda^2 = 0,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_x f = 0,$$

$$(3.4) \quad X \cdot \lambda = 0.$$

We may assume that $\lambda = 1$ in the following discussions. Then the formula (2.5) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad \int_M \left\{ \frac{n + 1}{2} \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \varphi^2 - 8 \text{ trace } H^4 + 2 \|\nabla^* H\|^2 \right\} dM = 0.$$

Thus noting that $8 \text{ trace } H^4 \leq \varphi^2$ ([5], [9]), we get

THEOREM 3.1. *Let M be a compact invariant Kaehler hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$. Then either M is the totally geodesic hypersurface, $\varphi \equiv (n + 1)/3$, or $\varphi(x) > (n + 1)/3$ at some $x \in M$.*

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let M be a compact invariant Kaehler hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$. If $\varphi < (n + 1)/3$, then M is a totally geodesic hypersurface.*

Now let

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(x) &= \{X \in T_x(M); fX = X\}, \\ T_{-1}(x) &= \{X \in T_x(M); fX = -X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $x \rightarrow T_1(x)$ and $x \rightarrow T_{-1}(x)$ define $(n - 1)$ -dimensional and n -dimensional distributions respectively, since $\text{trace } f = -2\lambda = -2$. By virtue of (3.3) it follows that both distributions are involutive. We easily see that if $X \in T_1$ and $Y \in T_{-1}$, then $\nabla_Y X \in T_1$ and $\nabla_X Y \in T_{-1}$. Hence both distributions are parallel. Moreover, for the vector fields X and Y chosen in the above way, we have $g(\nabla_Z X, Y) = 0$ and $g(\nabla_W Y, X) = 0$, where $Z \in T_1$ and $W \in T_{-1}$. Thus the maximal integral manifolds through each $x \in M$ of T_1 and T_{-1} are both totally geodesic in M . By standard arguments (See [3]) we know that M is a product of the maximal integral manifolds of the distributions T_1 and T_{-1} . In the next step we want to show that the maximal integral manifold of T_{-1} is $P_n(C)$.

Let $X \in T_{-1}$. Then by virtue of (1.1) and (1.2) it follows that

$$\bar{P}BX = \frac{1}{2}(IBX + \bar{F}BX) = \frac{1}{2}(BX + BfX) = 0.$$

Thus BX belongs to the tangent space $T(P_n(C))$ which is defined by $V_Q = \{\bar{X}; \bar{Q}\bar{X} = \bar{X}\}$. Conversely, if we take a vector field \bar{X} belonging to V_Q , \bar{X} can be written as a sum of the tangential components and the normal components. So we put

$$\bar{X} = BX + \alpha N + \tilde{\alpha} \bar{J}N.$$

Applying \bar{P} to the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \bar{P}\bar{X} = \bar{P}BX + \alpha \bar{P}N + \tilde{\alpha} \bar{P}\bar{J}N \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\{(IBX + \bar{F}BX) + \alpha(IN + \bar{F}N) + \tilde{\alpha}(I\bar{J}N + \bar{F}\bar{J}N)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\{BX + BfX + 2\alpha N + 2\tilde{\alpha}\bar{J}N\}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we have

$$fX = -X, \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \tilde{\alpha} = 0.$$

This means that $\bar{X} = BX$, and consequently $V_Q = BT_{-1}$. Thus, if M is complete, the maximal integral manifold of T_{-1} must be $P_n(C)$. If $X \in T_1$, then the same discussion as above shows that $BX \in V_P = \{\bar{X}; \bar{P}\bar{X} = \bar{X}\}$. Since the integral submanifold of V_P is another $P_n(C)$, the maximal integral manifold of T_1 is a hypersurface of $P_n(C)$. Thus we have

THEOREM 3.3. *A complete invariant Kaehler hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ is a product manifold $M' \times P_n(C)$, where M' is a Kaehler hypersurface of $P_n(C)$.*

In order to get further results, we prove

LEMMA 3.4. *Let P and Q be the projection of $T(M)$ into $T(M')$ and $T(P_n(C))$ respectively. Then we have*

$$(3.6) \quad HQ = 0.$$

PROOF. By the definitions of \bar{F} , P and Q , we have

$$\bar{F}BQX = (\bar{P} - \bar{Q})BQX = (\bar{P} - \bar{Q})\bar{Q}BX = -\bar{Q}BX = -BQX,$$

since $V_Q = BT_{-1}$. Hence

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{V}_{BY}(\bar{F}BQX) &= -\bar{V}_{BY}(BQX) \\ &= -B\nabla_Y(QX) - h(Y, QX)N - k(Y, QX)\bar{J}N. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{V}_{BY}(\bar{F}BQX) &= \bar{F}(B\nabla_Y(QX) + h(Y, QX)N + k(Y, QX)\bar{J}N) \\ &= -B\nabla_Y(QX) + h(Y, QX)\bar{F}N + k(Y, QX)\bar{F}\bar{J}N \\ &= -B\nabla_Y(QX) + h(Y, QX)N + k(Y, QX)\bar{J}N, \end{aligned}$$

because of the fact that $\nabla_Y(QX) \in V_Q$, $\bar{F}N = N$ and $\bar{F}\bar{J}N = \bar{J}N$.

Comparing (3.7) and (3.8), we have $h(Y, QX) = k(Y, QX) = 0$, from which (3.6) follows.

We consider the immersion $i': M' \rightarrow M' \times P_n(C) = M$, and denote the differential of i' by B' . Then we have

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{V}_{BB'Y'}BB'X' &= BB'\nabla_{Y'}X' \\ &\quad + \sum_{A=1}^{n+1} h'_A(X', Y')N'_A + \sum_{A=1}^{n+1} k'_A(X', Y')\bar{J}N'_A, \end{aligned}$$

where X' and $Y' \in T(M')$, and h'_A and k'_A 's are the second fundamental tensors with respect to the normals N'_A and $\bar{J}N'_A$ respectively. Now we choose the last normal N'_{n+1} in such a way that N'_{n+1} is the unit normal to M' in $P_n(C)$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V}_{BB'Y'}BB'X' &= B\nabla_{B'Y'}B'X' + h(B'X', B'Y')N + k(B'X', B'Y')\bar{J}N, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{V}_{BB'Y'}BB'X' &= BB'\nabla_{Y'}X' \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n h_\alpha(X', Y')BN_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n k_\alpha(X', Y')BJN_\alpha \\ &\quad + h(B'X', B'Y')N + k(B'X', B'Y')\bar{J}N. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.9) and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_\alpha(X', Y') &= h'_\alpha(X', Y'), \quad k_\alpha(X', Y') = k'_\alpha(X', Y'), \\
 &\text{for } \alpha = 1, \dots, n, \\
 h(B'X', B'Y') &= h'_{n+1}(X', Y'), \\
 k(B'X', B'Y') &= k'_{n+1}(X', Y').
 \end{aligned}$$

Since M' is a totally geodesic submanifold in $M' \times P_n(C)$, it follows that $h_\alpha(X', Y') = k_\alpha(X', Y') = 0$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$. Also, for any positive integer p ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{trace } H^p &= \sum g(H^p E_\alpha, E_\alpha) + \sum g(H^p J E_\alpha, J E_\alpha) \\
 &= \sum_{A=1}^{n-1} g(H^p B' E_A, B' E_A) + \sum_{t=1}^n g(H^p N'_t, N'_t) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{A=1}^{n-1} g(H^p J B' E_A, J B' E_A) + \sum_{t=1}^n g(H^p J N'_t, J N'_t),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $N'_t, t = 1, \dots, n$ are unit normals to M' in $M' \times P_n(C)$. Since there exist X_t in $T(M)$ such that $N'_t = QX_t$, we have $H^p N'_t = 0$, because of Lemma 3.4. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{trace } H^p &= \sum_{A=1}^{n-1} g(H^p B' E_A, B' E_A) + \sum_{A=1}^{n-1} g(H^p J B' E_A, J B' E_A) \\
 &= \sum_{A=1}^{n-1} g(H'^p E_A, E_A) + \sum_{A=1}^{n-1} g(H'^p J'' E_A, J'' E_A) \\
 &= \text{trace } H'^p_{n+1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where J'' is the complex structure of M' . This shows that, once we fix a choice of normals in the above way, $\text{trace } H^p$ is a function on M' . The immersion $\dot{i}: M \rightarrow P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ being $\dot{i}' \times id: M' \times P_n(C) \rightarrow P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$, we have that the second fundamental tensor H'_{n+1} is identical with that of M' in $P_n(C)$. Thus, denoting the second fundamental tensor of M' in $P_n(C)$ by H' we can easily see that if $\rho = 2n^2 - \varphi = \text{constant}$, then $\rho' = n(n - 1) - 2 \text{trace } H'^2 = n(n - 1) - \varphi' = \text{constant}$, where ρ' is the scalar curvature of M' .

If $\varphi = 0$, it follows that $\varphi' = 0$ and consequently M' is totally geodesic in $P_n(C)$. Thus we have $M = P_{n-1}(C) \times P_n(C)$.

If $\varphi = (n + 1)/3$, then $\varphi' = (n + 1)/3$. Hence $n = 2$ and M' is imbedded as a complex quadric $Q_1(C)$ in $P_2(C)$ ([9]). Thus $M = Q_1(C) \times P_2(C)$.

If $\varphi = n - 1$, then $\varphi' = n - 1$. Thus $\rho' = (n - 1)^2$.

From the above fact, we have

THEOREM 3.5. *If $\varphi \leq (n + 1)/3$, then $M = P_{n-1}(C) \times P_n(C)$ or $n = 2$*

and $M = Q_1(C) \times P_2(C)$.

Moreover, combining Theorem 2.1, we get

THEOREM 3.6. $P_{n-1}(C) \times P_n(C)$ is the only totally geodesic hypersurface of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$.

Applying Kon's theorem (See [4], Theorem 1) and combining Theorem 3.3, we have

THEOREM 3.7. $P_{n-1}(C) \times P_n(C)$ and $Q_{n-1}(C) \times P_n(C)$ are the only compact invariant Kaehler hypersurfaces of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$ with constant scalar curvature, where $Q_{n-1}(C)$ is the complex quadric.

COROLLARY 3.8. There exist no compact invariant Einstein Kaehler hypersurfaces of $P_n(C) \times P_n(C)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. CHERN, M. do CARMO AND S. KOBAYASHI, Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, *Functional Analysis and Related Fields*, Springer, Berlin, (1970), 60-75.
- [2] J. ERBACHER, Isometric immersions of constant mean curvature and triviality of the normal connection, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 45 (1971), 139-165.
- [3] G. D. LUDDEN AND M. OKUMURA, Some integral formulas and their applications to hypersurfaces of $S^n \times S^n$, *J. Differential Geometry* 9 (1974), 617-631.
- [4] M. KON, Complex submanifolds with constant scalar curvature in a Kaehler manifold, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 27 (1975), 76-81.
- [5] M. KON, On some complex submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds, *Can. J. Math.*, 26 (1974), 1442-1449.
- [6] K. OGIUE, Differential geometry of Kaehler submanifolds, *Advances in Math.*, 13 (1974), 73-114.
- [7] J. SIMONS, Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds, *Ann. of Math.*, 88 (1968), 62-105.
- [8] S. TACHIBANA, Some theorems on locally product Riemannian manifold, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 12 (1960), 281-292.
- [9] S. TANNO, Compact complex submanifolds immersed in complex projective spaces, *J. Differential Geometry* 8 (1973), 629-641.
- [10] K. YANO, *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*, Pergamon, Oxford, 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY