On the Fundamental Units and the Class Numbers of Real Quadratic Fields II

Takashi AZUHATA

Science University of Tokyo (Communicated by Y. Kawada)

Introduction

Let M be a positive square-free integer and $Q(\sqrt{M})$ be a real quadratic field with discriminant D. Denote by h(M) and ε_M the class number and the fundamental unit of $Q(\sqrt{M})$ respectively. After the works of Ankeny-Chowla-Hasse [1] and Hasse [5], there appeared several results about the lower bound of h(M) with some conditions when $\varepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle M} =$ $(t+u\sqrt{D})/2$ is small (see Lang [6], Takeuchi [7] and Yokoi [9], [10], [11]). They used the basic result that the Diophantine equation $x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm 4m$ has no solutions in Z for $m < (t-2)/u^2$ if $N\varepsilon_M = 1$, and for $m < t/u^2$ if $N\varepsilon_M = -1$. As a special case, we have $h(M) > (\log(D-1)/\log 4) - 1$ for $M = (4C)^2 + 1$ (C>1) from it. In this note, we also consider the same problem using continued fractions. We will get $h(M) > (\log D/\log 4) - 1$ for $M = (C^s + 1)$ $\mu(C^t-\lambda)^2+4\lambda C^t$ with $s>t\geq 1$, λ , $\mu=\pm 1$ if C is even and is not a power of 2. For these types of M with t=1, Bernstein [3], [4] gave the continued fractional expansion (c.f.e.) of \sqrt{M} and the explicit representation of ε_{M} . The special case of them was mentioned in Yamamoto [8]. We also give $\varepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$ explicitly for the above types of M and the lower bound of $\varepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$ for another types of M from the c.f.e. of $\omega_0 = (M_0 + \sqrt{M})/2$ $(M_0 < \sqrt{M} < M_0 + 2$, $M_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. The lower bounds of ε_M were also given in [8] for sufficiently large M with several conditions. Then we investigate h(M) for the above types of M and give the lower bounds with some conditions as mentioned above as a special case.

§1. Preliminaries.

In this section, we describe some basic properties of quadratic irrationals and ideals in real quadratic fields, which we will need in later

Received July 30, 1985 Revised October 13, 1986 sections. We employ some symbols and fundamental terminologies without definitions which we already mentioned in [2]. Let $M=a^2+b$ be a positive square-free integer with $0 < b \le 2a$, and D=D(M) be the discriminant of a quadratic field $Q(\sqrt{M})$. We first note the following lemma about the c.f.e. of quadratic irrationals from [2].

LEMMA 1. Let $\alpha = \alpha_0 = (a - r_0 + \sqrt{M})/c_0$ ($c_0 > 0$) be a quadratic irrational with discriminant D, and let

$$\alpha_i = k_i + 1/\alpha_{i+1}$$
, $k_i = [\alpha_i]$ $(i \ge 0)$

be the c.f.e. of α with $\alpha_i = (a - r_i + \sqrt{M})/c_i$. Then the integers c_i , k_i and r_i are given by the following recurrence formula:

$$(*)_{i}$$
 $2a-r_{i}=c_{i}k_{i}+r_{i+1}$, $c_{i+1}=c_{i-1}+(r_{i+1}-r_{i})k_{i}$

where $0 \le r_{i+1} < c_i$ and $c_{-1} = (b + 2ar_0 - r_0^2)/c_0$.

It is easily verified that the integers c_i and r_i are both even if $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. So we set $\alpha_i = (a - 2r_i + \sqrt{M})/2c_i$ in this case. Then $(*)_i$ is equivalent to the following:

$$(**)_{i}$$
 $a-r_{i}=c_{i}k_{i}+r_{i+1}$, $c_{i+1}=c_{i-1}+(r_{i+1}-r_{i})k_{i}$

where $0 \le r_{i+1} < c_i$ and $c_{-1} = (b + 4ar_0 - 4r_0^2)/4c_0$. The c.f.e. of a reduced quadratic irrational is purely periodic.

LEMMA 2. Let α be a reduced quadratic irrational with discriminant D. If the period of α is n, then we have

$$\varepsilon_{M} = \alpha_{0}\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n-1}$$
 with $N\varepsilon_{M} = (-1)^{n}$.

For a proof of this lemma, see [8]. Let $\alpha = (B+\sqrt{D})/2A$ be a quadratic irrational with discriminant D. Then $\mathfrak{A}(\alpha) = [A, (B+\sqrt{D})/2]$ is an ideal of $Q(\sqrt{M})$ with $N(\mathfrak{A}) = A$. We call $\mathfrak{A}(\alpha)$ the ideal corresponding to a quadratic irrational α . In particular, when α is a reduced quadratic irrational with $A = N(\mathfrak{A}(\alpha)) > 1$, we say that $\mathfrak{A}(\alpha)$ is a reduced ideal.

LEMMA 3. Let $\mathfrak A$ be an integral ideal of $Q(\sqrt{M})$ which is prime to its conjugate ideal $\mathfrak A'$. If $N(\mathfrak A) < \sqrt{D}/2$, then $\mathfrak A$ is a reduced ideal.

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{A}=[A, (B+\sqrt{D})/2]$, $\alpha=(B+\sqrt{D})/2A$ and set $k=[-\alpha']$. Then it follows from $0<-\alpha'-k<1$ that $-1< k+\alpha'<0$. Since $\alpha-\alpha'=\sqrt{D}/A>2$, we get $k+\alpha>1$. Thus $k+\alpha$ is a reduced quadratic irrational and $\mathfrak{A}=[A, (2Ak+B+\sqrt{D})/2]$. Hence we get our assertion.

This lemma was also mentioned in [8]. Let $\omega_0 = (D_0 + \sqrt{D})/2$ be the reduced quadratic irrational with $D_0 < \sqrt{D} < D_0 + 2$, $D_0 \equiv D \pmod{2}$. The following lemma is well-known.

LEMMA 4. Let $\mathfrak{A}(\alpha)$ be an ideal corresponding to a quadratic irrational α . Then $\mathfrak{A}(\alpha)$ is principal iff α is equivalent to ω_0 .

§2. Continued fractions and fundamental units.

Let $M=T^2+4\lambda B$ be a positive square-free integer where $T=AB^m+\mu(B-\lambda)$, $A\geq 1$, $B\geq 1$, $AB^m>B$ and λ , $\mu=\pm 1$. We assume that $\lfloor \sqrt{M}\rfloor=T$ or T-1 according as $\lambda=1$ or -1. We first consider the c.f.e. of a reduced quadratic irrational $\omega_0=(T+\lambda-1+\sqrt{M})/2$ with discriminant D=M. We put $Q_1=1$ and $Q_2=B$ if A=1. When A>1, we define Q_i successively as follows:

- 1) $Q_1 = 1$,
- 2) if Q_i is defined and $AQ_i|B$ or $B|AQ_i|B^2$, then

$$Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \! = \! 1$$
 , $Q_{\scriptscriptstyle i+1} \! = \! egin{cases} AQ_{\scriptscriptstyle i} & ext{if} & AQ_{\scriptscriptstyle i} \! \leq \! B \ AQ_{\scriptscriptstyle i}/B & ext{if} & AQ_{\scriptscriptstyle i} \! > \! B \end{cases}$ $(i \! \geq \! 0)$.

 Q_i is a divisor of B. We set $\omega_0^{(i)}=(T+(\lambda-1)P_i+\sqrt{M})/2P_i$ with $P_i=B/Q_i$. We have $\omega_1=\omega_0^{(1)}$ for $\lambda=1$ from $\omega_1=1/(\omega_0-T)=(T+\sqrt{M})/2B$. When $\lambda=-1$, it follows from $[\sqrt{M}]=T-1$ that 2T-1>4B. Then we have

$$\omega_1 = 1/(\omega_0 - T + 2) = (T - 2 + \sqrt{M})/2(T - B - 1)$$
 , $[\omega_1] = 1$, $\omega_2 = 1/(\omega_1 - 1) = (T - 2B + \sqrt{M})/2B = \omega_0^{(1)}$.

Suppose that Q_{i+1} is defined. We notice that $\omega_0^{(2)} = \omega_0$ if A = 1 and $\omega_0^{(i+1)} = \omega_0$ if A > 1 and $AQ_i = B$. Let

$$\omega_j^{(i)} = k_j + 1/\omega_{j+1}^{(i)}$$
, $k_j = [\omega_j^{(i)}]$ $(j \ge 0)$

be the c.f.e. of $\omega_0^{(i)}$. We set $\omega_j^{(i)} = (a-2r_j+\sqrt{M})/2c_j$ if $\lambda=1$, and $\omega_j^{(i)} = (a-r_j+\sqrt{M})/c_j$ if $\lambda=-1$. We put

$$m' = m'(i) = \begin{cases} m-1 & \text{if } A=1, \\ m & \text{if } A>1 \text{ and } AQ_i \leq B, \\ m+1 & \text{if } A>1 \text{ and } AQ_i>B. \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION. Suppose that Q_{i+1} is defined and let the notations be as above. We have $\omega_{m'}^{(i)} = \omega_0^{(i+1)}$ where m'' = m''(i) = 3m', 3m'-1, 2m'+1 or

4m' according as $(\lambda, \mu)=(1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1)$ or (-1, -1). And $\omega_j^{(i)}$ are given as follows:

(i) if
$$(\lambda, \mu) = (1, 1)$$
, then

(ii) if
$$(\lambda, \mu)=(1, -1)$$
, then

$$egin{aligned} r_{\scriptscriptstyle 3
u-2} = 1 \;, & c_{\scriptscriptstyle 3
u-2} = AQ_{\it i}B^{{\it m}-{\it \nu}} \;, \ r_{\scriptscriptstyle 3
u-1} = AQ_{\it i}B^{{\it m}-{\it \nu}} - B \;, & c_{\scriptscriptstyle 3
u-1} = AB^{\it m} - AQ_{\it i}B^{{\it m}-{\it \nu}} - P_{\it i}B^{\it \nu} + B + 1 \;, \ r_{\scriptscriptstyle 3
u} = P_{\it i}B^{\it \nu} - B \;, & c_{\scriptscriptstyle 3
u} = P_{\it i}B^{\it \nu} \;\;\; (1 \le 3
u - 2, \; 3
u - 1, \; 3
u \le 3m' - 2) \;; \end{aligned}$$

(iii) if
$$(\lambda, \mu) = (-1, 1)$$
, then

$$egin{aligned} r_{\scriptscriptstyle 2
u-1} = 1 \;, & c_{\scriptscriptstyle 2
u-1} = 2AQ_{i}B^{\scriptscriptstyle m-
u} \;, \ r_{\scriptscriptstyle 2
u} = 2B-1 \;, & c_{\scriptscriptstyle 2
u} = 2P_{i}B^{\scriptscriptstyle
u} \;\;\; (1 \le 2
u-1, \, 2
u \le 2m'-1) \;, \ r_{\scriptscriptstyle 2m'} = 2Q_{i+1}-1 \;, & c_{\scriptscriptstyle 2m'} = 2(AB^{\scriptscriptstyle m}-P_{i+1}-Q_{i+1}+B+1) \;; \end{aligned}$$

(iv) if
$$(\lambda, u) = (-1, -1)$$
, then

$$\begin{split} &r_{_{4\nu-3}}=2P_{i}B^{_{\nu-1}}-3\ , &c_{_{4\nu-3}}=2(AB^{_{m}}-AQ_{i}B^{_{m-\nu}}-P_{i}B^{_{\nu-1}}-B+1)\ , \\ &r_{_{4\nu-2}}=2AQ_{i}B^{_{m-\nu}}-3\ , &c_{_{4\nu-2}}=2AQ_{i}B^{_{m-\nu}}\ , \\ &r_{_{4\nu-1}}=2AQ_{i}B^{_{m-\nu}}-2B-1\ , &c_{_{4\nu-1}}=2(AB^{_{m}}-AQ_{i}B^{_{m-\nu}}-P_{i}B^{_{\nu}}+B-1)\ , \\ &r_{_{4\nu}}=2P_{i}B^{_{\nu}}-2B-1\ , &c_{_{4\nu}}=2P_{i}B^{_{\nu}}\ (1\leq 4\nu-3,\ 4\nu-2,\ 4\nu-1,\ 4\nu\leq 4m'-2)\ , \\ &r_{_{4m'-1}}=2Q_{_{i+1}}-1\ , &c_{_{4m'-1}}=2(AB^{_{m}}-P_{_{i+1}}-Q_{_{i+1}}-B-1)\ . \end{split}$$

PROOF. (i) We put $M=a^2+b$ with $a=[\sqrt{M}]$. It follows from the assumption that $a=AB^m+B-1$ and b=4B. We get easily our assertion by the induction from the following calculation using Lemma 1:

$$a = AB^m + B - 1$$
 , $b = 4B$, $c_0 = P_i$, $r_0 = 0$, $c_{-1} = Q_i$, $(**)_0$ $AB^m + B - 1 = c_0(AQ_iB^{m-1} + Q_i - 1) + P_i - 1$, $k_0 = AQ_iB^{m-1} + Q_i - 1$, $r_1 = P_i - 1$, $c_1 = c_{-1} + (P_i - 1)(AQ_iB^{m-1} + Q_i - 1) = AB^m - AQ_iB^{m-1} - P_i + B + 1$.

Assume that

$$c_{3\nu-3} = P_i B^{\nu-1}$$
 , $c_{3\nu-2} = A B^m - A Q_i B^{m-\nu} - P_i B^{\nu-1} + B + 1$, $r_{3\nu-2} = P_i B^{\nu-1} - 1$.

Then we have

$$\begin{array}{llll} (**)_{3\nu-2} & AB^{m}-P_{i}B^{\nu-1}+B=c_{3\nu-2}+AQ_{i}B^{m-\nu}-1 \; , & k_{3\nu-2}=1 \; , \\ & r_{3\nu-1}=AQ_{i}B^{m-\nu}-1 \; , & c_{3\nu-1}=AQ_{i}B^{m-\nu} \; , \\ (**)_{3\nu-1} & AB^{m}-AQ_{i}B^{m-\nu}+B=c_{3\nu-1}(P_{i}B^{\nu-1}-1)+B \; , & k_{3\nu-1}=P_{i}B^{\nu-1}-1 \; , \\ & r_{3\nu}=B \; , & c_{3\nu}=c_{3\nu-2}-(AQ_{i}B^{m-\nu}-B-1)(P_{i}B^{\nu-1}-1)=P_{i}B^{\nu} \; , \\ (**)_{3\nu} & AB^{m}-1=c_{3\nu}(AQ_{i}B^{m-\nu-1}-1)+P_{i}B^{\nu}-1 \; , \\ & k_{3\nu}=AQ_{i}B^{m-\nu-1}-1 \; , & r_{3\nu+1}=P_{i}B^{\nu}-1 \; , \\ & c_{3\nu+1}=c_{3\nu-1}+(P_{i}B^{\nu}-B-1)(AQ_{i}B^{m-\nu-1}-1) \\ & =AB^{m}-AQ_{i}B^{m-\nu-1}-P_{i}B^{\nu}+B+1 \; . \end{array}$$

This sequence holds as long as $r_{3\nu-1} < c_{3\nu-2}$, that is, $1 \le 3\nu-2$, $3\nu-1$, $3\nu \le 3m'-2$. Consequently, we find that

$$k_{3\nu-2}=1$$
, $k_{3\nu-1}=P_{i}B^{\nu-1}-1$, $k_{3\nu}=AQ_{i}B^{m-\nu-1}-1$
 $(1 \le 3\nu-2, 3\nu-1, 3\nu \le 3m'-2)$.

We notice that $AQ_iB^{m-m'}=Q_{i+1}$ and $P_iB^{m'}=AP_{i+1}B^m$, so

$$c_{3m'-2} = AB^m - Q_{i+1} - AP_{i+1}B^{m-1} + B + 1$$
 , $c_{3m'-1} = Q_{i+1}$, $r_{3m'-1} = Q_{i+1} - 1$.

Then we finally obtain

$$egin{aligned} (**)_{3m'-1} & AB^m\!+\!B\!-\!Q_{i+1}\!=\!c_{8m'-1}(AP_{i+1}B^{m-1}\!+\!P_{i+1}\!-\!1) \;, \ & k_{8m'-1}\!=\!AP_{i+1}B^{m-1}\!+\!P_{i+1}\!-\!1 \;, \quad r_{8m'}\!=\!0 \;, \ & c_{8m'}\!=\!c_{8m'-2}\!-\!(Q_{i+1}\!-\!1)(AP_{i+1}B^{m-1}\!+\!P_{i+1}\!-\!1)\!=\!P_{i+1} \;. \end{aligned}$$

We also get the other cases in the same way as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lllll} (\mbox{ii}) & a = AB^m - B + 1, & b = 4B, & c_0 = P_i & r_0 = 0, & c_{-1} = Q_i, \\ (**)_0 & AB^m - B + 1 = c_0 (AQ_iB^{m-1} - Q_i) + 1, & k_0 = AQ_iB^{m-1} - Q_i, \\ & r_1 = 1, & c_1 = AQ_iB^{m-1}, & c_{3\nu-3} = P_iB^{\nu-1}, & c_{3\nu-2} = AQ_iB^{m-\nu}, & r_{3\nu-2} = 1, \\ (**)_{3\nu-2} & AB^m - B = c_{3\nu-2} (P_iB^{\nu-1} - 1) + AQ_iB^{m-\nu} - B, & \\ & k_{3\nu-2} = P_iB^{\nu-1} - 1, & r_{3\nu-1} = AQ_iB^{m-\nu} - B, & \\ & c_{3\nu-1} = c_{3\nu-3} + (AQ_iB^{m-\nu} - B - 1)(P_iB^{\nu-1} - 1) & \\ & = AB^m - AQ_iB^{m-\nu} - P_iB^{\nu} + B + 1, & \\ (**)_{3\nu-1} & AB^m - AQ_iB^{m-\nu} + 1 = c_{3\nu-1} + P_iB^{\nu} - B, & \\ & k_{3\nu-1} = 1, & r_{3\nu} = P_iB^{\nu} - B, & c_{3\nu} = P_iB^{\nu}, & \\ (**)_{3\nu} & AB^m - P_iB^{\nu} + 1 = c_{3\nu}(AQ_iB^{m-\nu-1} - 1) + 1, & \\ & k_{3\nu} = AQ_iB^{m-\nu-1} - 1, & r_{3\nu} = 1, & \\ & c_{3\nu+1} = c_{3\nu-1} - (P_iB^{\nu} - B - 1)(AQ_iB^{m-\nu-1} - 1) = AQ_iB^{m-\nu-1}, & \end{array}$$

 $(*)_{4\nu-2}$

 $k_{4\nu-2}\!=\!P_{\iota}B^{\nu-1}\!-\!2$, $r_{4\nu-1}\!=\!2AQ_{\iota}B^{mu}\!-\!2B\!-\!1$,

$$c_{4\nu-1} = c_{4\nu-3} - 2(B-1)(P_tB^{\nu-1} - 2) = 2(AB^m - AQ_tB^{m-\nu} - P_tB^{\nu} + B - 1) \; , \\ (*)_{4\nu-1} \qquad 2AB^m - 2AQ_tB^{m-1} - 3 = c_{4\nu-1} + 2P_tB^{\nu} - 2B - 1 \; , \quad k_{4\nu-1} = 1 \; , \\ r_{4\nu} = 2P_tB^{\nu} - 2B - 1 \; , \quad c_{4\nu} = 2P_tB^{\nu} \; , \\ (*)_{4\nu} \qquad 2AB^m - 2P_tB^{\nu} - 3 = c_{4\nu}(AQ_tB^{m-\nu-1} - 2) + 2P_tB^{\nu} - 3 \; , \\ k_{4\nu} = AQ_tB^{m-\nu-1} - 2 \; , \quad r_{4\nu+1} = 2P_tB^{\nu} - 3 \; , \\ c_{4\nu+1} = c_{4\nu-1} + 2(B-1)(AQ_tB^{m-\nu-1} - 2) \\ = 2(AB^m - AQ_tB^{m-\nu-1} - P_tB^{\nu} - B + 1) \; , \\ k_{4\nu-3} = 1 \; , \quad k_{4\nu-2} = P_tB^{\nu-1} - 2 \; , \quad k_{4\nu-1} = 1 \; , \quad k_{4\nu} = AQ_tB^{m-\nu-1} - 2 \\ (1 \le 4\nu - 3 \; , \quad 4\nu - 2 \; , \quad 4\nu - 1 \; , \quad 4\nu \le 4m' - 3) \; , \\ c_{4m'-3} = 2(AB^m - Q_{t+1} - AP_{t+1}B^{m-1} - B + 1) \; , \quad c_{4m'-2} = 2Q_{t+1} - 3 \; , \\ r_{4m'-2} = 2Q_{t+1} - 3 \; , \\ (*)_{4m'-2} \qquad 2AB^m - 2B - 2Q_{t+1} - 1 = c_{4m'-2}(AP_{t+1}B^{m-1} - P_{t+1} - 2) + 2Q_{t+1} - 1 \; , \\ k_{4m'-2} = AP_{t+1}B^{m-1} - P_{t+1} - 2 \; , \quad r_{4m'-1} = 2Q_{t+1} - 1 \; , \\ c_{4m'-1} = c_{4m'-3} + 2(AP_{t+1}B^{m-1} - P_{t+1} - 2) = 2(AB^m - P_{t+1} - Q_{t+1} - B - 1) \; , \\ (*)_{4m'-1} \qquad 2AB^m - 2B - 2Q_{t+1} - 3 = c_{4m'-1} + 2P_{t+1} - 1 \; , \quad k_{4m'-1} = 1 \; , \\ r_{4m'} = 2P_{t+1} - 1 \; , \quad c_{4m'} = 2P_{t+1} \; . \end{cases}$$

We notice that $c_{4m-7}=2(B^m-B^{m-1}-2B+1)>0$ in (iv) if A=1 and m=2. In fact, it follows from $2T-1=2B^2-2B-3>4B$ that $B^2-3B+1>0$. This completes the proof.

If A=1 or $AQ_k=B$ for some k, then we get the whole c.f.e. of ω_0 from this Proposition. However, we get some beginning part in the other cases as long as Q_i is defined. Then we have the following

THEOREM 1. Let
$$M=T^2+4\lambda B$$
 and $T=AB^m+\mu(B-\lambda)$ be as above,
(i) if $A=C^u$, $B=C^t$, $s=tm+u$ with $C>1$, $t>u\geq 0$, $(t,u)=1$, then
$$\varepsilon_{\mathtt{M}}=\left(\frac{T+\sqrt{M}}{2B}\right)^{\!\bullet}\left(\frac{T+2\lambda\mu+\sqrt{M}}{2}\right)^t \quad \text{with} \quad N\varepsilon_{\mathtt{M}}=(-\lambda)^{\!\bullet}(\lambda\mu)^t \ ,$$

(ii) if
$$B=A^{l-1}C$$
 with $l \ge 1$, $A \nmid C$, $C > 1$, then
$$\varepsilon_{M} > A^{l} \left(\frac{T+\sqrt{M}}{2B}\right)^{2ml} \left(\frac{T+2\lambda \mu + \sqrt{M}}{2}\right)^{2l}.$$

PROOF. (i) We assume that A>1 since our assertion for A=1 and B=C was already shown in [4]. Setting $Q_i=C^{q_i}$, we find that

$$q_i=1$$
 , $q_{i+1}=egin{cases} q_i+u & ext{if} & q_i+u \leq t \ q_i+u-t & ext{if} & q_i+u>t \end{cases}$,

$$q_i \equiv (i-1)u \pmod{t}$$
, $0 < q_2, q_3, \dots, q_t < t$, $q_{t+1} = t$,

and that $\sum_{i=1}^{t} m'(i) = mt + u - 1 = s - 1$ since $AQ_i > B$ iff $t < q_i + u < t + u$. It follows from Proposition that $\omega_{m''(t)}^{(t)} = \omega_0^{(t+1)} = \omega_0$ and $\omega_j^{(t)} \neq \omega_0$ if $1 \le i \le t$, $(i, j) \neq (t, m''(t))$. So we may write $\varepsilon_M = \omega_1 \eta_1 \cdots \eta_t$ (if $\lambda = 1$) or $\omega_1 \omega_2 \eta_1 \cdots \eta_t$ (if $\lambda = -1$) with $\eta_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m''(i)} \omega_j^{(i)}$ from Lemma 2. We note that $\omega_j^{(i)} \omega_{j+1}^{(i)} = k_j \omega_{j+1}^{(i)} + 1$ from $\omega_j^{(i)} = k_j + 1/\omega_{j+1}^{(i)}$. If $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, 1)$, then $[\omega_{3\nu-2}^{(i)}] = 1$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{split} \omega_{_{3\nu-2}}^{_{(i)}}\omega_{_{3\nu-1}}^{_{(i)}} &= \omega_{_{3\nu-1}}^{_{(i)}} + 1 = (T+2+\sqrt{M})/2c_{_{3\nu-1}} \; , \\ \omega_{_{3\nu-2}}^{_{(i)}}\omega_{_{3\nu-1}}^{_{(i)}}\omega_{_{3\nu}}^{_{(i)}} &= (T+2+\sqrt{M})(T-2B+\sqrt{M})/4c_{_{3\nu-1}}c_{_{3\nu}} \\ &= (2T(T-B+1)+2(T-B+1)\sqrt{M})/4AB^{_{m+1}} = (T+\sqrt{M})/2B \; , \end{split}$$

for $1 \le \nu \le m'(i) - 1$ and

$$\omega_{3m'-2}^{(i)}\omega_{3m'-1}^{(i)} = (T+2+\sqrt{M})/2Q_{i+1} \ , \quad \omega_{3m'}^{(i)} = \omega_0^{(i+1)} = (T+\sqrt{M})/2P_{i+1} \ .$$

So,

$$\eta_i = \omega_1^{(i)} \omega_2^{(i)} \cdots \omega_{3m'}^{(i)} = \left(\frac{T + \sqrt{M}}{2B}\right)^{m'} \left(\frac{T + 2 + \sqrt{M}}{2}\right).$$

Hence we get

$$arepsilon_{\mathtt{M}} \! = \! \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \cdots \eta_{\scriptscriptstyle t} \! = \! \left(rac{T \! + \! \sqrt{M}}{2B}
ight)^{\! t} \! \left(rac{T \! + \! 2 \! + \! \sqrt{M}}{2}
ight)^{\! t} \, .$$

The other cases are shown similarly. If $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, -1)$, then $[\omega_{3\nu-1}^{(i)}] = 1$. So,

$$\begin{split} \omega_{_{3\nu-1}}^{(i)}\omega_{_{3\nu}}^{(i)} &= \omega_{_{3\nu}}^{(i)} + 1 = (T + 2B + \sqrt{\overline{M}})/2c_{_{3\nu}} \;, \\ \omega_{_{3\nu-2}}^{(i)}\omega_{_{3\nu-1}}^{(i)}\omega_{_{3\nu}}^{(i)} &= (T - 2 + \sqrt{\overline{M}})(T + 2B + \sqrt{\overline{M}})/4c_{_{3\nu-2}}c_{_{3\nu}} \\ &= (T + \sqrt{\overline{M}})/2B \qquad (1 \leq \nu \leq m'(i) - 1) \;, \\ \omega_{_{3m'-2}}^{(i)} &= (T - 2 + \sqrt{\overline{M}})/2Q_{_{i+1}} \;, \quad \omega_{_{3m'-1}}^{(i)} &= (T + \sqrt{\overline{M}})/2P_{_{i+1}} \;, \\ \eta_{_{i}} &= \omega_{_{1}}^{(i)}\omega_{_{2}}^{(i)} \; \cdots \; \omega_{_{3m'-1}}^{(i)} &= \left(\frac{T + \sqrt{\overline{M}}}{2B}\right)^{m'} \left(\frac{T - 2 + \sqrt{\overline{M}}}{2}\right) \;. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{If } (\upliant{\lambda}, \ \mu) &= (-1, 1), \ \text{then } [\omega_{2\nu-1}^{(i)}] = P_i B^{\nu-1}. \quad \text{So}, \\ \omega_{2\nu-1}^{(i)} \omega_{2\nu}^{(i)} &= P_i B^{\nu-1} \omega_{2\nu}^{(i)} + 1 = (T + \sqrt{M})/2B \qquad (1 \leq \nu \leq m'(i) - 1) \ , \\ \omega_{2m'-1}^{(i)} &= (T - 2 + \sqrt{M})/2Q_{i+1} \ , \quad \omega_{2m'}^{(i)} \omega_{2m'+1}^{(i)} = \omega_{2m'+1}^{(i)} + 1 = (T + \sqrt{M})/2P_{i+1} \ , \\ \eta_i &= \omega_1^{(i)} \omega_2^{(i)} \cdot \cdots \cdot \omega_{2m'+1}^{(i)} = \left(\frac{T + \sqrt{M}}{2B}\right)^{m'} \left(\frac{T - 2 + \sqrt{M}}{2B}\right) \ , \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \omega_1\omega_2 &= \omega_2 + 1 = (T + \sqrt{M})/2B \;. \\ \text{If } (\lambda,\mu) &= (-1,-1), \; \text{then, } [\omega_{4\nu-3}^{(i)}] = [\omega_{4\nu-1}^{(i)}] = 1. \quad \text{So,} \\ \omega_{4\nu-3}^{(i)}\omega_{4\nu-2}^{(i)} &= \omega_{4\nu-2}^{(i)} + 1 = (T + 2 + \sqrt{M})/c_{4\nu-2} \;, \\ \omega_{4\nu-1}^{(i)}\omega_{4\nu}^{(i)} &= \omega_{4\nu}^{(i)} + 1 = (T + 2B + \sqrt{M})/c_{4\nu} \;, \\ \omega_{4\nu-3}^{(i)}\omega_{4\nu-2}^{(i)}\omega_{4\nu-1}^{(i)}\omega_{4\nu}^{(i)} &= (T + 2 + \sqrt{M})(T + 2B + \sqrt{M})/4AB^{m+1} \\ &= (T + \sqrt{M})/2B \qquad (1 \leq i \leq m'(i) - 1) \;, \\ \omega_{4m'-3}^{(i)}\omega_{4m'-2}^{(i)} &= (T + 2 + \sqrt{M})/2Q_{i+1} \;, \quad \omega_{4m'-1}^{(i)}\omega_{4m'}^{(i)} &= (T + \sqrt{M})/2P_{i+1} \;, \\ \eta_i &= \omega_1^{(i)}\omega_2^{(i)} \cdots \omega_{4m'}^{(i)} &= \left(\frac{T + \sqrt{M}}{2B}\right)^{m'} \left(\frac{T + 2 + \sqrt{M}}{2}\right) \;, \\ \omega_1\omega_2 &= \omega_2 + 1 = (T + \sqrt{M})/2B \;. \end{split}$$

The signature of $N\varepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$ follows from

$$\operatorname{sgn}(N(T+\sqrt{M})) = -\mu$$
, $\operatorname{sgn}(N(T+2\lambda\mu+\sqrt{M})) = \lambda\mu$.

(ii) In this case, we find that $Q_i = A^{i-1}$, m'(i) = m for each i with $1 \le i \le l$ and that Q_{l+1} is not defined. Then we get the c.f.e. of ω_0 from Proposition only up to $\omega_{n'} = (T - A^l - \lambda \mu(A^l - 2) + \sqrt{M})/2A^l$ where n' = m'' - 1 or m'' - 2 according as $\lambda = 1$ or -1. We notice that $\omega_0 = -1/\omega_1'$ and that if α is a reduced quadratic irrational equivalent to ω_0 , then so is $-1/\alpha'$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $\varepsilon_M > \eta |\eta'|^{-1}$ for $\eta = \beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_k$ if β_1, \cdots, β_k are reduced quadratic irrationals such that $\beta_i \ne -1/\beta_j'$ for i, j with $1 \le i, j \le k$. Under the same notations as in Lemmas 1 and 2, it is known that $-1/\alpha_i' = (a - r_i + \sqrt{M})/c_{i-1}$ for $i \ge 1$ if $\alpha_0 = \omega_0$ and $\alpha_i = (a - r_i + \sqrt{M})/c_i$ (see [2], Prop. 2). Then we find easily from Proposition that there are no relations $\alpha = -1/\beta'$ among $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_{n'}$ in each case. So we set $\eta = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{n'}$. If $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, 1)$, then

$$egin{aligned} \omega_1 \omega_{3m-2}^{(l)} \omega_{3m-1}^{(l)} = & (T + \sqrt{M})(T + 2 + \sqrt{M})/4A^l B \;, \ \eta = & \omega_1 \eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_{l-1} \omega_1^{(l)} \cdots \omega_{3m-1}^{(l)} = & \left(\frac{T + \sqrt{M}}{2B} \right)^{ml} & \left(\frac{T + 2 + \sqrt{M}}{2} \right)^l \;. \end{aligned}$$

If
$$(\lambda, \mu) = (1, -1)$$
, then

$$\omega_{1}\omega_{3m-2}^{(l)} = (T+\sqrt{M})(T-2+\sqrt{M})/4A^{l}B$$
,
 $\eta = \omega_{1}\eta_{1}\eta_{2}\cdots\eta_{l-1}\omega_{1}^{(l)}\cdots\omega_{3m-2}^{(l)} = \left(\frac{T+\sqrt{M}}{2B}\right)^{ml}\left(\frac{T-2+\sqrt{M}}{2}\right)^{l}$.

If
$$(\lambda, \mu) = (-1, 1)$$
, then

$$\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_{2m-1}^{(l)} = (T + \sqrt{M})(T - 2 + \sqrt{M})/4A^l B$$
,
 $\eta = \omega_1 \omega_2 \eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_{l-1} \omega_1^{(l)} \cdots \omega_{2m-1}^{(l)} = \left(\frac{T + \sqrt{M}}{2B}\right)^{ml} \left(\frac{T - 2 + \sqrt{M}}{2}\right)^l$.

If $(\lambda, \mu) = (-1, -1)$, then

$$\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_{4m-3}^{(l)} \omega_{4m-2}^{(l)} = (T+\sqrt{M})(T+2+\sqrt{M})/4A^l B$$
,
$$\eta = \omega_1 \omega_2 \eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_{l-1} \omega_1^{(l)} \cdots \omega_{4m-2}^{(l)} = \left(\frac{T+\sqrt{M}}{2R}\right)^{ml} \left(\frac{T+2+\sqrt{M}}{2R}\right)^l.$$

It follows from

$$\left(\frac{T+\sqrt{\overline{M}}}{2B}\right)^{-1} = \frac{T+\sqrt{\overline{M}}}{2\lambda}, \quad \left(\frac{T+2\lambda\mu+\sqrt{\overline{M}}}{2A}\right)^{-1} = (T+2\lambda\mu+\sqrt{\overline{M}})/2\lambda\mu B^{m}$$

that

$$|\eta'|^{-1} = A^l \left(\frac{T + \sqrt{M}}{2B} \right)^{ml} \left(\frac{T + 2\lambda\mu + \sqrt{M}}{2A} \right)^l.$$

Hence we get our assertion. This completes the proof.

\S 3. Application to class numbers.

Let ω_0 be a reduced quadratic irrational with discriminant D mentioned in section 1. Denote by PR(M) the set of all the norms of principal reduced ideals of $Q(\sqrt{M})$. We can find all the principal reduced ideals of $Q(\sqrt{M})$ if we know the whole c.f.e. of ω_0 . Then we get the information about the class number from Proposition using Lemmas 3 and 4.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that $M = (C^{\bullet} + \mu(C^{t} - \lambda))^{2} + 4\lambda C^{t} = T^{2} + 4\lambda C^{t}$ is a positive square-free integer with $[\sqrt{M}] = T$ or T-1 according as $\lambda = 1$ or -1 and $D > 4C^{2}$ where C > 1, $s > t \ge 1$, (s, t) = 1, λ , $\mu = \pm 1$. Denote by R' the minimal norm of principal reduced ideals of $Q(\sqrt{M})$ prime to C and set $R = \min\{R', \sqrt{D}/2\}$. Then we have

- (i) If C is neither prime nor prime power, whose minimal prime divisor is p, then $h(M) > (\log D \log 4)/2 \log p$,
- (i)' If in particular C is even and is not a power of 2, then $h(M) > (\log D/\log 4) 1$,
 - (ii) If $C=p^n$ for some prime p, then n|h(M),
- (iii) If $C=B^n$ for some integer B which is neither prime nor prime power, and if q is the minimal prime divisor of B such that $q^2>B$, then n|h(M) and $h(M)\geq n[(\log D-\log 4)/2\log q+1]$,

(iv) If there is a prime p such that p < R, (C/p) = 1, (C, p) = 1, then $h(M) > (\log R)/(\log p)$.

PROOF. Setting s=tm+u with $0 \le u < t$, our situation is the same as (i) in Theorem 1 so that PR(M) is completely given by Proposition. We notice that C is in PR(M) since $P_i = C$ for some i and $C < \sqrt{D}/2$. Let \mathfrak{C} be a principal ideal of $Q(\sqrt{M})$ with $N(\mathfrak{C}) = C$.

- (i) If p is a prime divisor of C, then there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{P} dividing \mathfrak{C} with $N(\mathfrak{P})=p$. It follows from Proposition that any power of p is not in PR(M) since $C \neq p^i$ for any integer i>0. Then we find that \mathfrak{P}^i is a reduced ideal and is not principal as long as $p^i < \sqrt{D/2}$ from Lemmas 3 and 4. Hence we get our assertion. (i)' is the special case of (i).
- (ii) By the same notations and argument as in (i), we find that \mathfrak{P}^i is not principal for $1 \leq i < n$ and $\mathfrak{P}^n = \mathfrak{C}$ is principal. This proves our assertion.
- (iii) We get n|h(M) in the same way as in (ii). We take ideals \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{Q} with $N(\mathfrak{B})=B$, $N(\mathfrak{Q})=q$ so that $\mathfrak{B}^n=\mathfrak{C}$, $\mathfrak{Q}'|\mathfrak{B}$ and set $\mathfrak{B}=\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{Q}'$, $N(\mathfrak{A})=A$. Note that neither \mathfrak{B}^i nor \mathfrak{Q}^j is principal for i,j with $1 \leq i < n$, $1 \leq j \leq [\log(\sqrt{D}/2)/\log q]$ from (i) and (ii). We show that $\mathfrak{B}^i\mathfrak{Q}^j$ is not principal for the above i,j. It follows from $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{Q}=q\mathfrak{A}$ that $\mathfrak{B}^i\mathfrak{Q}^j$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{A}^i\mathfrak{Q}'^{i-j}$ or $\mathfrak{A}^i\mathfrak{Q}^{j-i}$ according as $i \geq j$ or i < j. Then we find easily that $A^iQ^{i-j} < B^i < \sqrt{D}/2$ or $A^iQ^{j-i} < q^i < \sqrt{D}/2$ from A < q and that every A^iq^{i-j} or A^iq^{j-i} is not in PR(M). Hence the above assertion holds. Next we show that any two of the ideals $\mathfrak{B}^i\mathfrak{Q}^j$ with $0 \leq i < n$, $0 \leq j \leq n'$ are not in the same ideal class. Suppose that $\mathfrak{B}^i\mathfrak{Q}^j$ and $\mathfrak{B}^k\mathfrak{Q}^l$ are equivalent for $(i,j)\neq (k,l)$ with $j\geq l$. Then $\mathfrak{B}^{i-k}\mathfrak{Q}^{j-l}$ (if $i\geq k$) or $\mathfrak{B}^{n+i-k}\mathfrak{Q}^{j-l}$ (if i< k) is principal, which contradicts the above argument. Hence we have (iii).
- (iv) There is a prime ideal \mathfrak{P} with $N(\mathfrak{P})=p$. Our assertion follows in the same way as in (i).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REMARK. If $M=4C^2+1$, then we have $PR(M)=\{C\}$. Hence Theorem 2 also holds for this case. However this may be considered as a special case since

$$4C^2+1=(C+C-1)^2+4C=(C+C+1)^2-4C$$
.

The whole c.f.e. of ω_0 for $M=(C^m+\mu(C-\lambda)/2)^2+\lambda C$ with C>1, $C\equiv 1\pmod 2$, m>1, λ , $\mu=\pm 1$ were given in [3], [4]. Then the similar statements as in Theorem 2 except for (i)' also holds for these types of square-free integer M.

EXAMPLES. We set $f_k(X,Y) = (X + \mu(Y - \lambda))^2 + 4\lambda Y$ where k = 1, 2, 3 or 4 according as $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1)$ or $(-1, -1), \text{ and } r(M) = [(\log M/\log 4) - 1].$

M	h(M)	r(M)	M	h(M)
$1705 = f_1(6^2, 6)$	8	4	$5073 = f_1(2^6, 2^8)$	6
$985 = f_2(6^2, 6)$	6	3	$3281 = f_2(2^6, 2^3)$	6
$817 = f_4(6^2, 6)$	5	3	$5297 = f_8(2^6, 2^8)$	3
$63145 = f_1(6^8, 6^2)$	2 0	6	$2993 = f_4(2^8, 2^8)$	6
$32905 = f_2(6^3, 6^2)$	16	6	$201957 = f_2(2^9, 2^6)$	12
$63865 = f_3(6^8, 6^2)$	2 8	6	$491293 = f_4(3^6, 3^8)$	24
$31897 = f_4(6^3, 6^2)$	9	6	$73505 = f_1(2^8, 2^4)$	16
$11921 = f_1(10^2, 10)$	10	5	$58145 = f_2(2^8, 2^4)$	16
$8321 = f_2(10^2, 10)$	10	5	$74465 = f_8(2^8, 2^4)$	16
$12281 = f_3(10^2, 10)$	11	5	$57057 = f_4(2^8, 2^4)$	16
$7881 = f_4(10^2, 10)$	12	5	$986177 = f_2(2^{10}, 2^5)$	55
$812201 = f_2(10^3, 10^2)$	44	8	$981953 = f_4(2^{10}, 2^5)$	50
$807801 = f_4(10^3, 10^2)$	66	8		

References

- [1] N.C. ANKENY, S. CHOWLA and H. HASSE, On the class-number of the maximal real subfield of a cyclotomic field, J. Reine Angew. Math., 217 (1965), 217-220.
- [2] T. AZUHATA, On the fundamental units and the class numbers of real quadratic fields, Nagoya Math. J., 95 (1984), 125-135.
- [3] L. Bernstein, Fundamental units and cycles, I, J. Number Theory, 8 (1976), 466-491.
- [4] L. Bernstein, Fundamental units and cycles in the period of real quadratic number fields, Pacific J. Math., 63 (1976), 37-78.
- [5] H. HASSE, Über mehrklassige, aber eingeschechtige reell-quadratische Zahlkörper, Elem. Math., 29 (1965), 49-59.
- [6] S. D. LANG, Note on the class-number of the maximal real subfield of a cyclotomic field, J. Reine Angew. Math., 290 (1977), 70-72.
- [7] H. TAKEUCHI, On the class number of the maximal real subfield of a cyclotomic field, Canad. J. Math., 33 (1981), 55-58.
- [8] Y. Yamamoto, Real quadratic fields with large fundamental units, Osaka J. Math., 8 (1971), 261-270.
- [9] H. YOKOI, On real quadratic fields containing units with norm -1, Nagoya Math. J., 33 (1968), 139-152.
- [10] H. Yokoi, On the fundamental units of real quadratic fields with norm 1, J. Number Theory, 2 (1970), 106-115.
- [11] H. Yokoi, On the Diophantine equation $x^2-py^2=\pm 4q$ and the class number of real subfields of a cyclotomic field, Nagoya Math. J., **91** (1983), 151-161.

Present Address:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
WAKAMIYA, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO 162