

Irreducibility of the Linear Differential Equation Attached to Painlevé's First Equation

Keiji NISHIOKA

Keio University

Abstract. The linear homogeneous differential equation $z'' = 12yz$ with y an arbitrary solution of Painlevé's first equation $y'' = 6y^2 + x$ will be proved irreducible.

1. Introduction.

Let K be a differential field of characteristic 0 with a single differentiation $D = '$. Let U be a universal extension of K , and R be a differential field extension of K with finite transcendence degree over K , $R \subset U$. Suppose that the field of constants of R is algebraically closed in U . We adopt the usual notation of differential module of R over K , $\Omega_K(R)$. Denote by $d_{R/K}$ the canonical mapping of R to $\Omega_K(R)$. With D there is associated an additive homomorphism of the differential module D^1 satisfying $D^1 d_{R/K} = d_{R/K} D$ on R . Since R is finitely generated, the $\Omega_K(R)$ is an R -vector space of finite dimension. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ be a base for it. Then they satisfy

$$D^1 \omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \omega_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

where the a_{ij} are elements of R . With this system of equations there associates the following system of linear differential equations

$$Dy_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} y_j. \quad (1)$$

Thus we may consider the Picard-Vessiot group $\Gamma(R/K)$ for this system. The definition of $\Gamma(R/K)$ is independent of the choice of bases for $\Omega_K(R)$. It is clear that if R/K has an intermediate differential field S for which $0 < \text{tr.deg}_K S < \text{tr.deg}_K R$ then $\Gamma(R/K)$ is reducible.

Equation (1) is directly derived from the defining equation of y if R has the description $R = K\langle y \rangle$. Let $F(y, y', \dots, y^{(n)}) = 0$ be the defining equation of y over K . Let α be a formal parameter with $\alpha^2 = 0$ and suppose $y + \alpha z$ satisfies the equation $F = 0$. Then z satisfies

$$zF_y + z'F_{y'} + \cdots + z^{(z)}F_{y^{(n)}} = 0.$$

This works as equation (1).

Here we will restrict ourselves to investigating the linear differential equation

$$z'' = 12yz \tag{2}$$

attached to the first equation of Painlevé

$$y'' = 6y^2 + x, \tag{3}$$

where $x' = 1$, $x \in K$. One of prominent properties of this equation is this: Let y be the general solution of equation (3) over K . If y satisfies a first order algebraic differential equation over a differential field extension L of K then it is algebraic over L . Therefore the differential field $R = K\langle y \rangle$ has no differential subfield L with $\text{tr.deg}_K L = 1$. (cf. [2].)

We shall prove the following.

THEOREM. *Suppose that K contains the element x with $x' = 1$ and the field of constants of K is algebraically closed in U . Let y be the general solution of the first equation of Painlevé (3) over K . Then for the differential field extension $R = K\langle y \rangle$ of K the Picard-Vessiot group $\Gamma(R/K)$ is irreducible.*

It is reasonable furthermore to conjecture that $\Gamma(R/K)$ is $SL_2(C)$, provided C , the field of constants of K , is algebraically closed.

2. Poincaré field.

Let K be a differential field of characteristic 0 with the element x , $x' = 1$. Let y be the general solution of the first equation of Painlevé (3) and $R = K\langle y \rangle$. The polynomial algebra $K[y, y']$ is a differential ring extension of K . We divide the differentiation D into three parts

$$D = \xi + \eta + \zeta,$$

where

$$\xi = y'\partial/\partial y + 6y^2\partial/\partial y', \quad \zeta = x\partial/\partial y'$$

and η indicates the derivation of $K[y, y']$ over K with $\eta y = \eta y' = 0$. Let $\gamma = y'^2 - 4y^3$, $A = K[\gamma]$ and $L = K(\gamma)$. Then $K[y, y'] = A[y] \oplus y'A[y]$. The derivation operator ξ of R over L can be represented by $\xi = y'd/dy$. We thus have the so-called Poincaré field $R = L\langle y \rangle$ over L with respect to ξ :

$$y'^2 = 4y^3 + \gamma, \quad \xi y = y', \quad \xi L = 0.$$

Note $\xi^2 y = \xi y' = 6y^2$.

PROPOSITION 1. *The field of constants of R with respect to ξ is the same as L .*

PROOF. Every element of $R=L(y, y')$ has the form

$$a+y'b, \quad a, b \in L(y).$$

Suppose $\xi(a+y'b)=0$. Then we have

$$\frac{da}{dy}=(4y^3+\gamma)\frac{db}{dy}+6y^2b=0.$$

This implies $b=0$.

Let us define a differential operator of Lamé type:

$$\lambda=\xi^2-12y=(4y^3+\gamma)d^2/dy^2+6y^2d/dy-12y \in R[\xi],$$

which is seen to be reducible (cf. Proposition 3). Clearly $\lambda A[y] \subset A[y]$, $\deg_y \lambda a \leq \deg_y a + 1$ ($a \in A[y]$).

PROPOSITION 2. For $a, b \in L(y)$, we have

$$\lambda(a+y'b)=f+y'g,$$

where f, g are elements of $L(y)$ with

$$f=\lambda a, \quad g=\mu b=y'^2\frac{d^2b}{dy^2}+18y^2\frac{db}{dy}.$$

PROOF. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^2(a+y'b) &= \xi\left(6y^2b+y'^2\frac{db}{dy}+y'\frac{da}{dy}\right) \\ &= 6y^2\frac{da}{dy}+y'^2\frac{d^2a}{dy^2}+y'\frac{d}{dy}\left(6y^2b+y'^2\frac{db}{dy}\right). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3. Let w be an element of some extension of R with $\xi w=y'^{-2}$. Then y' and wy' constitute a fundamental system of solutions for $\lambda z=0$. The element w does not belong to R . Every element of R satisfying $\lambda z=0$ belongs to $y'L$.

PROOF. It is straightforward that $\lambda(wy')=0$. Suppose that we write $w=\alpha+y'b$, $a, b \in L(y)$. We then have

$$y'\frac{da}{dy}+6y^2b+y'^2\frac{db}{dy}=y'^{-2},$$

hence

$$\frac{da}{dy}=0, \quad f^2\frac{db}{dy}+\frac{1}{2}f\frac{df}{dy}b=1,$$

where $f=y'^2$. From the last equality we see that b has a pole of at most 1 order at

$y = e$, with e satisfying $4e^3 + \gamma = 0$, whence $c = fb \in L[y]$. The element c must satisfy

$$(4y^3 + \gamma) \frac{dc}{dy} - 9y^2c = 1.$$

This is, however, impossible.

From this the operator λ is seen to be reducible.

3. Proof of Theorem.

Putting $u = z'/z$ in equation (2), we have the Riccati equation

$$u' + u^2 = 12y. \quad (4)$$

Suppose that (4) has a rational solution u over R . Write $u = f/g$, $f, g \in K[y, y']$, where f and g are coprime. Then we have

$$f'g - fg' + f^2 = 12yg^2,$$

or

$$f(f - g') = g(12yg - f').$$

Since f, g are coprime it follows that $f - g'$ is divisible by g , namely, there is an $h \in K[y \cdot y']$ with

$$f = g' + gh, \quad u = g'/g + h.$$

By (4),

$$g'' + 2g'h + gh^2 = 12yg. \quad (5)$$

We here use the weight function w of $K[y, y']$ in [2], which is defined as $w(F) = \max\{2i + 3j; a_{ij} \neq 0\}$ for any nonzero element $F = \sum a_{ij}y^i y'^j$ of $K[y, y']$. For this weight function we know $w(F') \leq w(F) + 1$ if $F' \neq 0$.

If $w(h) \geq 2$ then $w(gh^2) = w(g) + 2w(h) \geq w(g) + 4$. By the way

$$w(gh^2) = w(12yg - g'' - 2g'h) \leq \max\{w(g) + 2, w(g) + w(h) + 1\}.$$

This is a contradiction. We thus have $h = 0$ or $w(h) \leq 1$, and hence $h \in K$ (cf. [3]). Enlarging K , if necessary, we may assume K has a nonzero element e of U with $e' = he$. Set $P = eg \in K[y, y']$. This polynomial satisfies equation (2). We shall prove that there does not exist such a polynomial. Let H_i denote the vector space consisting of the zero polynomial and all polynomials with weight i : $K[y, y'] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} H_i$. Let us assume the polynomial P has the decomposition: $P = \sum_{i=0}^n P_i$, $n = w(P)$. By $D^2P = 12yP$ we have

$$\lambda P_i = -2\xi\eta P_{i+1} - \eta^2 P_{i+2} - (\xi\zeta + \zeta\xi)P_{i+4} - (\eta\zeta + \zeta\eta)P_{i+5} - \zeta^2 P_{i+8}. \quad (6)$$

When $i = n$ this reads $\lambda P_n = 0$. By Proposition 3, we have

$$P_n = ay'\gamma^r, \quad n = 6r + 3, \quad a \in K.$$

In particular this implies $n \geq 3$.

When $i = n - 1$ equation (6) reads

$$\lambda P_{n-1} = -2\xi\eta P_n = -2\xi(a'y'\gamma^r) = -12a'y^2\gamma^r.$$

Taking the weight into account, by Proposition 3 we see

$$P_{n-1} = 2a'y\gamma^r.$$

When $i = n - 2$ equation (6) reads

$$\lambda P_{n-2} = -2\xi\eta P_{n-1} - \eta^2 P_n = -2\xi(2a'y\gamma^r) - \eta^2(ay'\gamma^r),$$

hence

$$\lambda P_{n-2} = -5a''y'\gamma^r.$$

On putting $P_{n-2} = f + y'g$, $f, g \in L(y)$, by Proposition 2 we have

$$\lambda f = 0, \quad \mu g = -5a''\gamma^r,$$

where μ indicates the derivative operator with the expression

$$\mu = (4y^3 + \gamma)d^2/dy^2 + 18yd/dy.$$

From the second equation it follows that $dg/dy = 0$, and so that $a'' = 0$. This shows

$$P_{n-2} = 0.$$

When $i = n - 3$ equation (6) reads

$$\lambda P_{n-3} = -2\xi\eta P_{n-2} - \eta^2 P_{n-1} = -\eta^2(a'y\gamma^r) = 0,$$

hence by Proposition 3,

$$P_{n-3} = 0.$$

If $n = 3$, then $P = ay' + 2a'y$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D^2P &= D(a(6y^2 + x) + 3a'y') \\ &= a(12yy' + 1) + 4a'(6y^2 + x) \\ &= 12yP + 4a'(6y^2 + x) + a \end{aligned}$$

which does not equal $12yP$. Therefore $n > 3$, whence $n \geq 9$.

When $i = n - 4$ equation (6) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda P_{n-4} &= -2\xi\eta P_{n-3} - \eta^2 P_{n-2} - (\xi\eta + \zeta\xi)P_n \\ &= -(\xi\eta + \zeta\xi)(ay'\gamma^r) \\ &= -\xi(axy^r + 2raxy'^2\gamma^{r-1}) - \zeta(6ay^2\gamma^r) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -36ray^2y'\gamma^{r-1}.$$

On putting $P_{n-4} = f + y'g$, $f, g \in L(y)$, we have

$$\lambda f = 0, \quad \mu g = -36rax y^2 \gamma^{r-1}.$$

This implies $f = 0$, $g = -2axy\gamma^{r-1}$, hence $P_{n-4} = -2axy y' \gamma^{r-1}$.

When $i = n - 5$, equation (6) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda P_{n-5} &= -2\xi\eta P_{n-4} - \eta^2 P_{n-3} - (\xi\eta + \zeta\xi) P_{n-1} - (\eta\zeta + \zeta\eta) P_n \\ &= -2\xi\eta(-2rax y y' \gamma^{r-1}) - (\xi\eta + \zeta\xi)(2a'y\gamma^r) - (\eta\zeta + \zeta\eta)(ay'\gamma^r). \end{aligned}$$

We calculate each term in the third member. The first term is

$$\begin{aligned} 4r\xi[(a'x+a)yy'\gamma^{r-1}] &= 4r(a'x+a)(y'^2+6y^3)\gamma^{r-1} \\ &= 40r(a'x+a)y^3\gamma^{r-1} + 4r(a'x+a)\gamma^r, \end{aligned}$$

the second term is

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(4ra'xyy'\gamma^{r-1}) + \zeta(2a'y\gamma^r) \\ &= 4ra'x(y'^2+6y^3)\gamma^{r-1} + 2a'x\gamma^r + 4ra'xy'^2\gamma^{r-1} \\ &= 56ra'xy^3\gamma^{r-1} + 2(4r+1)a'x\gamma^r, \end{aligned}$$

and the third term is

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(ax\gamma^r + raxy'^2\gamma^{r-1}) + \zeta(a'y'\gamma^r) \\ &= (a'x+a)\gamma^r + r(a'x+a)y'^2\gamma^{r-1} + a'x\gamma^r + ra'xy'^2\gamma^{r-1} \\ &= 4r(2a'x+a)y^3\gamma^r + [2(r+1)a'x + (r+1)a]\gamma^r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lambda P_{n-5} = -12r(2a'x+3a)y^3\gamma^{r-1} + [(-6r-4)a'x + (3r-1)a]\gamma^r.$$

If we set $P_{n-5} = f + y'g$, $f, g \in L(y)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda f &= -12r(2a'x+3a)y^3\gamma^{r-1} + [(-6r-4)a'x + (3r-1)a]\gamma^r, \\ \mu g &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the first equation, noting $n-5 = 6(r-1) + 4$, we have the expression $f = by^2$, $b \in L$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda f &= b[2(4y^3 + \gamma) + 12y^2 - 12y^2 3] \\ &= 28by^3 + 2by. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$2b = -3r(2a'x+3a)\gamma^{r-1} = [(-6r-4)a'x + (3r-1)a]\gamma^{r-1},$$

hence $4a'x = (12r - 1)a$. This contradicts the fact that $a'' = 0$. Thus the proof of the Theorem is completed.

References

- [1] M. MATSUDA, First order algebraic differential equations, Lecture Notes in Math., **804** (1980), Springer.
- [2] K. NISHIOKA, A note on the transcendency of Painlevé's first transcendent, Nagoya Math. J., **109** (1988), 63-67.
- [3] P. PAINLEVÉ, Démonstration de l'irréductibilité absolue de l'équation $y'' = 6y^2 + x$, *Œuvres de P. Painlevé III*, 89-95, Éditions du C. N. R. S., Paris, 1975.

Present Address:

FACULTY OF ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION, KEIO UNIVERSITY AT SFC
ENDO, FUJISAWA 252, JAPAN