

A GOOD λ INEQUALITY FOR DOUBLE LAYER POTENTIALS OF SURFACES THAT ARE NOT LIPSCHITZ

BASIL C. KRIKELES

Introduction. In this paper we prove a good- λ inequality for the double layer potential operators. These have the form

$$C_\epsilon^j(A, f)(x) = \int_{|x-y|>\epsilon} \frac{(x_j - y_j)f(y)}{(|x - y|^2 + (A(x) - A(y))^2)^{(n+1)/2}} dy,$$

where x, y are in \mathbf{R}^n . The corresponding Maximal Operator is

$$C_*^j(A, f)(x) = \sup_{\epsilon>0} |C_\epsilon^j(A, f)(x)|$$

The hypersurface $t = A(x)$ is not assumed to be Lipschitz. The Good- λ inequality that we will prove can be used to obtain weighted L^p estimates for the Double Layer Potential Operators as was done in the one dimensional case for the Cauchy Integral Operator in [4].

Statement and proof of the main result. Throughout this paper we will consider the real number p fixed and strictly larger than n . With such a p let

$$A^*(x) = ((|\text{grad}(A)|^p)^*(x))^{1/p} = M_p(|\text{grad}(A)|)(x),$$

where $(\)^*$ denotes the maximal function and M_p is the p -maximal function. We are assuming that $|\text{grad}(A)|^p$ is locally integrable and that $A^*(x)$ is finite a.e.

With this notation we will prove

THEOREM 1. *There exists a constant k such that, for all positive ϵ , one can find a constant C_ϵ such that the following holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x : C_*^j(A, f)(x) > (1 + \epsilon)\lambda \ \& \ (1 + A^*(x))^k f^*(x) \leq \lambda/C_\epsilon\}| \\ &< 0.9|\{x : C_*^j(A, f)(x) > \lambda\}|, \end{aligned}$$

Received by the editors on September 8, 1986 and in revised form on November 6, 1986.

Copyright ©1989 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

where f^* is the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal function of f .

PROOF. We take a Whitney decomposition of the open set Q_λ (see [5, p. 167]):

$$Q_\lambda = \{x : C_*^j(A, f)(x) > \lambda\} = \cup Q_i.$$

The Q_i are Whitney cubes, i.e., they are closed, they have sides parallel to the axes, pairwise disjoint interiors, and distances to the complement of Q_λ comparable to their diameters:

$$\text{diam}(Q_i) \leq \text{dist}(Q_i, Q_\lambda^c) \leq 4 \text{diam}(Q_i),$$

where $\text{diam}(Q_i) =$ diameter of the cube Q_i .

Since the interiors are disjoint, it suffices to prove the theorem for each one of the Whitney cubes. Moreover, it suffices to consider only those that satisfy

$$|\{x \text{ in } Q_i : (1 + A^*(x))^k f^*(x) \leq \lambda/C_\epsilon\}| \geq 0.9|Q_i|,$$

since otherwise there is nothing to prove. Therefore, we need to prove

$$|\{x \text{ in } Q_i : C_*^j(A, f)(x) > (1 + \epsilon)\lambda \ \& \ (1 + A^*(x))^k f^*(x) \leq \lambda/C_\epsilon\}| < 0.9|Q_i|,$$

where Q_i is such that

$$|\{x \text{ in } Q_i : (1 + A^*(x))^k f^*(x) > \lambda/C_\epsilon\}| \leq 0.1|Q_i|.$$

From the definition of the Whitney cubes it also follows that

$$|C_*^j(A, f)(u)| \leq \lambda,$$

where $u = u(i)$ is some point in the cube Q^* which is centered around the same point as Q_i (with sides parallel to the axes), and with side $10\sqrt{n}$ times larger than the side of Q_i .

Let Q^\sim be a cube centered at the point u with side $20\sqrt{n}$ times the side of Q_i . We now perform a Whitney decomposition on Q^\sim , as follows:

$$\{x \text{ in } (Q^\sim)^0 : (1 + A^*(x))^k f^*(x) > \lambda/C_\epsilon\} = \cup J_m.$$

By assumption,

$$\sum_{J_m \subset Q_i} |J_m| < 0.1|Q_i|.$$

We let J_m^\sim be a cube centered around the same point as J_m , but with side $2^{1/n}$ times larger than the side of J_m . Then $|J_m^\sim| = 2|J_m|$, and if

$$F_o = Q_i - \cup_{J_m^\sim \subset Q_i} (J_m^\sim)^o$$

then $|F_o| \geq 0.8|Q_i|$. Set $F = Q^\sim - \cup (J_m^\sim)^o$.

Let A^\sim be the restriction of the function A to the set F . Let $A^\wedge = E_o(A^\sim)$ be the extension of A^\sim to \mathbf{R}^n , i.e., E_o is the first extension operator described in [5], p. 171. On the set F the following holds:

$$(1 + A^*(x))^k f^*(x) \leq \lambda/C_\epsilon.$$

Consequently, on this set

$$A^*(x) \leq \left| \frac{\lambda}{C_\epsilon(1/|Q^\sim|) \int_{Q^\sim} |f|} \right|^{1/k} - 1 = v(Q_i).$$

Here we need to use the elementary estimate

$$\sup_{y \neq x} \frac{|A(y) - A(x)|}{|y - x|} \leq CA^*(x)$$

for some constant C . From this it follows that the Lipschitz norm of A^\sim on F is dominated by $v(Q_i)$, and since the Extension Operator E_o is a continuous mapping of the respective Lipschitz spaces, we can conclude that the Lipschitz norm of A^\wedge is also dominated by $v(Q_i)$.

We write $f = f_1 + f_2$, with $f_1 = f$ on Q^\sim , and $f_1 = 0$ elsewhere. The double layer potential operators for Lipschitz hypersurfaces are bound on L^2 . (See [1; Theorem IX, p. 382].) Consequently (see [2; Theorem 20, p. 89]) we obtain the following weak type (1, 1) estimate for $C_*^j(A^\wedge, f_1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{x \text{ in } Q^\sim : C_*^j(A^\wedge, f_1) > \lambda\varepsilon/5\}| \\ & \leq C(1 + v(Q_i))^k \left(\int_{Q^\sim} |f_1| dx \right) (5/\lambda\varepsilon) \\ & \leq 5C|Q_i|/\varepsilon C_\epsilon < 0.1|Q_i|. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is obtained by taking $C_\varepsilon > 200C/\varepsilon$.

For x in the set F_o , we will consider the difference

$$h(x) = C^j(A, f_1)(x) - C^j(A^\wedge, f_1)(x).$$

The argument for $h_\varepsilon(x) = C_\varepsilon^j(A, f_1)(x) - C_\varepsilon^j(A^\wedge, f_1)(x)$ is the same. A simple calculation yields

$$|h(x)| \leq \int_{\cup J_m} |x - y|^{-n} G(x, y) |f(y)| dy$$

with

$$G(x, y) = \left| \left(1 + \frac{(A(x) - A(y))^2}{|x - y|^2} \right)^{-(n+1)/2} - \left(1 + \frac{(A(x) - A^\wedge(y))^2}{|x - y|^2} \right)^{-(n+1)/2} \right|.$$

Note that, for x in F , $A(x) = A^\wedge(x)$. A simple application of the Mean Value Theorem on the function

$$g(t) = (1 + t^2)^{-(n+1)/2}$$

combined with the boundedness of the derivative of that function, yields the estimate

$$G(x, y) \leq C \frac{|A(y) - A^\wedge(y)|}{|x - y|}.$$

Consequently, for $h(x)$, we obtain

$$|h(x)| \leq C \int_{\cup J_m} \frac{|A(y) - A^\wedge(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+1}} |f(y)| dy.$$

Let J_m^* be the cube with sides parallel to the axes, the same center as J_m , and side $10\sqrt{n}$ times the side of J_m . Since the J_m form a Whitney decomposition we can find a point u_m in J_m^* so that

$$(1 + A^*(u_m))^k f^*(u_m) \leq \lambda/C_\varepsilon.$$

This implies that $A^*(u_m) \leq v(Q_i)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |A(y) - A^\wedge(y)| &\leq |A(y) - A(u_m)| + |A(u_m) - A^\wedge(y)| \\ &\leq C \text{diam}(J_m) v(Q_i). \end{aligned}$$

We have used the fact that $A(u_m) = A^\wedge(u_m)$, and that the Lipschitz norm of A^\wedge is dominated by $v(Q_i)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{F_o} |h(x)| dx &\leq C v(Q_i) \sum_m \int_{F_o} \int_{J_m} \frac{\text{diam}(J_m)}{|x-y|^{n+1}} |f(y)| dy dx \\ &\leq C v(Q_i) \sum_m \int_{J_m} |f(y)| dy \leq C v(Q_i) \int_{Q^\sim} |f(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \text{ in } F_o : |h(x)| > \lambda\varepsilon/5\}| &\leq (5/\lambda\varepsilon) \int_{F_o} |h(x)| dx \\ &\leq (5C/\lambda\varepsilon) v(Q_i) \int_{Q^\sim} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq (5C/\lambda\varepsilon)(1 + v(Q_i))^k \int_{Q^\sim} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq (5C/\varepsilon C_\varepsilon) |Q_i| < 0.1 |Q_i|. \end{aligned}$$

At this point we have selected $C_\varepsilon > 50C/\varepsilon$. By combining this estimate, with the weak-type estimate for $C_*^j(A^\wedge, f_1)$ that we have already proved, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x \text{ in } F_o : C_*^j(A, f_1)(x) > 2\varepsilon\lambda/5\}| \\ &= |\{x \text{ in } F_o : \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |C_\varepsilon^j(A, f_1)(x)| > 2\varepsilon\lambda/5\}| \\ &\leq |\{x \text{ in } F_o : \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |C_*^j(A^\wedge, f_1)(x)| > \varepsilon\lambda/5\}| \\ &\quad + |\{x \text{ in } F_o : \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |C_*^j(A, f_1)(x) - C_\varepsilon^j(A^\wedge, f_1)(x)| > \varepsilon\lambda/5\}| \\ &= |\{x \text{ in } F_o : C_*^j(A^\wedge, f_1)(x) > \varepsilon\lambda/5\}| \\ &\quad + |\{x \text{ in } F_o : \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |h(x)| > \varepsilon\lambda/5\}| < 0.2 |Q_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|F_o| \geq 0.8|Q_i|$ it follows that

$$(*) \quad |\{x \text{ in } Q_i : C_*^j(A, f_1)(x) < 2\varepsilon\lambda/5\}| \geq 0.6|Q_i|.$$

Equation (*) allows us to control the part that involves f_1 . We now need to know how to control the part involving f_2 . We claim that the following is true:

$$(**) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in } F_o, C_*^j(A, f_2)(x) < \lambda + \varepsilon\lambda/5.$$

Assume (**) is true for a moment, so that we can complete the proof of the theorem. (*) and (**) imply that

$$|\{x \text{ in } Q_i : C_*^j(A, f)(x) < \lambda + 3\varepsilon\lambda/5\}| \geq 0.6|Q_i|,$$

and consequently

$$|\{x \text{ in } Q_i : C_*^j(A, f)(x) > (1 + \varepsilon)\lambda\}| \leq 0.4|Q_i|.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

*Proof of Equation (**).* Recall that $C_*^j(A, f)(u_m) \leq \lambda$, and that f_2 is supported outside Q^\sim . Therefore $C_*^j(A, f_2)(u_m) \leq \lambda$ and it suffices to obtain the estimate

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |C_\varepsilon^j(A, f_2)(x) - C_\varepsilon^j(A, f_2)(u_m)| < \varepsilon\lambda/5$$

for all x in F_0 .

Fix a cube Q_x (with sides parallel to the axes) centered at x , and let Q_u be a cube of the same size as Q_x centered at u . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{R^n - Q_x} \frac{(x_j - y_j)f_2(y)}{|(x - y, A(x) - A(y))|^{n+1}} dy \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{R^n - Q_u} \frac{(u_j - y_j)f_2(y)}{|(u - y, A(u) - A(y))|^{n+1}} dy \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{F_1} \left(\frac{x_j - y_j}{|(x - y, A(x) - A(y))|^{n+1}} - \frac{u_j - y_j}{|(u - y, A(u) - A(y))|^{n+1}} \right) f(y) dy \right| \\ & \quad + \int_{F_2} \frac{|f_2(y)|}{|x - y|^n} dy + \int_{F_2} \frac{|f_2(y)|}{|u - y|^n} dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $F_1 = R^n - Q_x \cup Q_u \cup Q^\sim$, and $F_2 = Q_x \Delta Q_u$.

Since f_2 is supported on the complement of Q^\sim , $|x - y|$ and $|u - y|$ are of the same order of magnitude, so the last two integrals are dominated by $f^*(x)$. To estimate the first integral we move the absolute value inside, and enlarge the domain of integration to obtain

$$\int_{R^n - Q} \left| \frac{x_j - y_j}{|(x - y, A(x) - A(y))|^{n+1}} - \frac{u_j - y_j}{|(u - y, A(u) - A(y))|^{n+1}} \right| |f(y)| dy.$$

Since

$$\left| \text{grad} \left(\frac{w_j}{|(w, t)|^{n+1}} \right) \right| \leq C|w|^{-(n+1)}$$

the above integral is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} & C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n - Q^\sim} \frac{|(u - x, A(u) - A(x))|}{|x - y|^{n+1}} |f(y)| dy \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n - Q^\sim} \frac{\text{diam}(Q_i)(1 + A^*(x))}{|x - y|^{n+1}} |f(y)| dy: \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the last inequality we again used the fact that the Lipschitz norm of A is dominated by the p -maximal function of its gradient. Since the last integral is dominated by $(1 + A^*(x))f^*(x)$ we can now put all our estimates together:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |C_\varepsilon^j(A, f_2)(x) - C_\varepsilon^j(A, f_2)(u)| & \leq C(1 + A^*(x))f^*(x) \\ & \leq C(1 + A^*(x))^k f^*(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since x is in F_o , the last expression does not exceed $\lambda C/C_\varepsilon < \lambda\varepsilon/5$ if we choose $C_\varepsilon > 5C/\varepsilon$. This completes the proof of the claim. \square

COROLLARY. *For all j , and all $s > 1$ the following weighted L^p estimate holds for the double layer potential operators on a surface $t = A(x)$:*

$$\|C_*^j(A, f)\|_s^* \leq C_s \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f(y)|^s w(y) dy$$

where $w(y) = (((1 + A^*(y))^{ks+1})^*)^{ks/(ks+1)}$.

PROOF. The weight w is of class A^1 . The rest follows by a standard argument for getting L^p estimates from a Good-inequality, followed by an application of a weighted norm inequality. See [3].

REFERENCES

1. R.R. Coifman, A. McIntosh and Y. Meyer, *L' intégrale de Cauchy définit un opérateur borné sur L^2 pour les courbes Lipschitziennes*, Ann. of Math. **116** (1982), 361-387.

2. ———, Y. Meyer, *Au-delà des opérateurs pseudodifférentiels*, Asterisque 57, Société Mathématique de France (1978).
3. ——— and C. Fefferman, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 241-250.
4. B. Krikeles, *Weighted L^p estimates for the Cauchy integral operator*, Michigan Math. J. **30** (1983), 231-243.
5. E.M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, N.J. 1970.

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY,
MIAMI, FL 33199