

## ON MODULI OF CONTINUITY OF ANALYTIC AND HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

THAD DANKEL, JR.

**ABSTRACT.** We consider inequalities relating the modulus of continuity of an analytic or harmonic function in a planar region to its modulus of continuity on the boundary of the region. Using harmonic measure, we give a new proof of such a result for harmonic functions in the unit disc. We also generalize results for both analytic and harmonic functions in the unit disc to such functions defined on a Jordan region  $G$  such that  $\partial G$  satisfies certain smoothness assumptions.

**Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a region in  $C$ , the complex plane. Let  $A(G)$  be the algebra of functions which are analytic in  $G$  and continuous in  $\bar{G}$ ; similarly, let  $a(G)$  be the vector space of functions which are harmonic in  $G$  and continuous in  $\bar{G}$ . If  $u$  belongs to  $A(G)$  or to  $a(G)$ , and if  $\delta > 0$ , put

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(u, \delta, G) &= \sup\{|u(z_1) - u(z_2)| : z_1, z_2 \in \bar{G}, \\ &\quad |z_1 - z_2| \leq \delta\}, \\ \tilde{\omega}(u, \delta, G) &= \sup\{|u(z_1) - u(z_2)| : z_1, z_2 \in \partial G, \\ &\quad |z_1 - z_2| \leq \delta\}.\end{aligned}$$

When  $G = D = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$ , the following two properties have attracted the attention of a number of analysts:

- I. *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $u \in A(G)$  and for all  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\omega(u, \delta, G) \leq C\tilde{\omega}(u, \delta, G)$ .*
- II. *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $u \in a(G)$ , and for all  $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ ,  $\omega(u, \delta, G) \leq C \log(1/\delta) \tilde{\omega}(u, \delta, G)$ .*

(In II, the upper bound  $1/2$  for  $\delta$  is arbitrarily chosen. All that is essential is  $\delta \leq B < 1$ , to bound  $1/\delta$  away from the zero of the logarithm.)

Proofs of property I for  $G = D$  may be found in [1] and [2]. In the latter paper, it is shown that in I necessarily  $C > 1$ , and that it is sufficient to take  $C = 3$ ; it is also shown that the logarithmic factor in II cannot be dropped. In [3], Shapiro attributes property II for  $G = D$  to Hardy and Littlewood [4], and he gives a proof of it based on Fourier analysis.

---

Received by the editors on July 20, 1977, and in revised form on January 4, 1978.

Copyright © 1979 Rocky Mountain Mathematical Consortium

The purpose of this paper is twofold: to give a new proof of II for the unit disc  $D$  based on harmonic measure, and to prove that a broad class of regions  $G$  also have properties I and II.

We use “ $C$ ” to denote an arbitrary positive constant; different appearances of “ $C$ ” may denote different constants.

**Property II for the Unit Disc.**

**THEOREM 1.** *Property II holds if  $G = D$ , the unit disc.*

**PROOF.** Given  $\delta_0 > 0$ , we may choose an integer  $n$  so that  $n\delta_0 \cong 2$ . Then, if  $u \in a(D)$  and  $\delta \cong \delta_0$ , we have

$$\frac{\omega(u, \delta)}{\tilde{\omega}(u, \delta)} \leq n \frac{\omega(u, \delta_0)}{\tilde{\omega}(u, \delta_0)}.$$

(Here, and in the sequel, we drop the symbol for the region  $G$  in  $\omega$  and  $\tilde{\omega}$  when  $G$  is clear from the context). Hence, to prove II for  $D$ , it suffices to show that for some  $C > 0$ ,

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega(u, \delta)}{\tilde{\omega}(u, \delta)} (\log 1/\delta)^{-1} \leq C,$$

uniformly for  $u \in a(G)$ . Fix such a  $u$ . By [2],

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(u, \delta) &= \sup\{|u(z) - u(z')| : z \in D, z' \in \partial D, \\ &\quad |z - z'| \leq \delta\}. \end{aligned}$$

(In [2], this lemma is stated for  $u$  analytic; however, since its proof relies only on the maximum principle, it also holds for  $u$  harmonic.) Therefore, we need only show that for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , and for  $z \in D, z' \in \partial D$ , such that  $|z - z'| < \delta$ ,

$$(1) \quad |u(z) - u(z')| \leq C(\log 1/\delta)\tilde{\omega}(u, \delta),$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $u, \delta, z, z'$ . Since the class of harmonic functions is invariant under rotations, without loss of generality we assume  $z' = 1$ .

Fix  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $n$  be the first integer such that  $\delta$  is at least the length of the side of the regular  $2n - gon$  inscribed in  $\partial D$ ; that is,  $n$  satisfies

$$2 \sin(\pi/2(n - 1)) > \delta \cong 2 \sin(\pi/2n).$$

Note that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} n\delta = \pi.$$

Let  $\sigma(z, d\lambda) \equiv \sigma(z, d\lambda, D)$  be the harmonic measure on  $\partial D$  at  $z \in D$ . (Since we are considering the unit disc,  $\sigma(z, d\lambda)$  is  $P_r(\theta - t) dt$ , where  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $dt$  is Lebesgue measure on  $\partial D$  divided by  $2\pi$ , and  $Pr(\theta - t)$  is the Poisson kernel.) Thus,

$$u(z) = \int_{\partial D} u(\lambda) \sigma(z, d\lambda), \quad z \in D.$$

If  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , let  $A_k$  be the (counterclockwise) arc of  $\partial D$  from  $e^{ik\pi/n}$  to  $e^{i(k+1)\pi/n}$ , and let  $\tilde{A}_k$  be the symmetrically located arc with respect to the  $x$ -axis. Since  $\partial D = \cup_{k=0}^{n-1} (A_k \cup \tilde{A}_k)$ , and since  $\sigma(z, d\lambda)$  is a probability measure,

$$(3) \quad |u(z) - u(1)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{A_k \cup \tilde{A}_k} |u(\lambda) - u(1)| \sigma(z, d\lambda).$$

As the chord length of  $A_k$  is less than  $\delta$ , the triangle inequality implies

$$|u(\lambda) - u(1)| \leq (k + 1) \tilde{\omega}(u, \delta)$$

provided  $\lambda \in A_k \cup \tilde{A}_k$ . Then (3) becomes

$$(4) \quad |u(z) - u(1)| \leq \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k + 1) \sigma(z, A_k \cup \tilde{A}_k) \right] \tilde{\omega}(u, \delta).$$

Put  $B_k = \cup_{\ell \geq k} (A_\ell \cup \tilde{A}_\ell)$ ,  $\beta_k(z) = \sigma(z, B_k)$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , and  $\beta_n(z) = 0$ . Because  $\sigma(z, A_k \cup \tilde{A}_k) = \beta_k(z) - \beta_{k+1}(z)$ , (4) implies

$$(5) \quad |u(z) - u(1)| \leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k(z) \right) \tilde{\omega}(u, \delta).$$

Now the level lines of  $\sigma(z, A)$ , for  $A$  a fixed arc of  $\partial D$ , are circular arcs joining the endpoints of  $A$  (see, e.g., [5]). This property means that  $\beta_k(z)$  is maximized for  $|z - 1| \leq \delta$  at  $z = 1 - \delta$ . Replacing  $\beta_k(z)$  in (5) by  $\beta_k \equiv \beta_k(1 - \delta)$ , we see that to prove (1) we need only show

$$(6) \quad \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k \right) \cdot [\log 1/\delta]^{-1} \leq C.$$

Note that, by symmetry,  $\beta_k = 2\gamma_k$ , where  $\gamma_k$  is the harmonic measure at  $1 - \delta$  of the half of  $B_k$  which lies in the upper half plane. Since  $\beta_0 = 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_k.$$

To calculate  $\gamma_k$ , we map  $D$  conformally onto the upper half plane via the linear fractional transformation

$$\zeta = (z - 1)/i(z + 1), \quad z \in D.$$

Now, a short calculation shows that  $\zeta(e^{ik\pi/n}) = \tan(k\pi/2n)$ ; moreover,  $\zeta(-1) = \infty$ . Since harmonic measure is conformally invariant [5],  $\gamma_k$  is the harmonic measure at  $\zeta(1 - \delta) = i\delta/(2 - \delta)$  of the interval  $(\tan k\pi/2n, +\infty)$  on the real axis with respect to the upper-half plane. Since the function  $1 - 1/\pi \operatorname{Arg}(z - \tan k\pi/2n)$  is harmonic in the upper half-plane and assumes at  $x \in R$  the boundary value 1 if  $x > \tan(k\pi/2n)$  and 0 if  $x < \tan(k\pi/2n)$ ,  $\gamma_k$  is the value of this function at  $z = i\delta/(2 - \delta)$ , namely

$$\gamma_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\delta \cot(k\pi/2n)}{2 - \delta} \right).$$

Now, for  $\delta < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k &\leq \frac{\delta}{\pi} \cot \left( \frac{k\pi}{2n} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{\pi} \operatorname{csc} \left( \frac{k\pi}{2n} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\delta n}{k\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since by (2)  $n\delta < 4$  if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \gamma_k \leq \frac{4}{\pi} C \log n.$$

for such  $\delta$ . Using (2) again, we see that (6) holds, and hence that II holds for  $D$ .

**More General Regions.** In this section  $G$  is a Jordan region—a simply-connected region such that  $\partial G$  is a Jordan curve—and  $f: G \rightarrow D$  is a one-to-one, conformal mapping of  $G$  onto  $D$ . By [6, vol. 2, p. 96],  $f$  extends to a one-to-one, continuous mapping (also denoted by “ $f$ ”) of  $\overline{G}$  onto  $\overline{D}$ ; moreover,  $f^{-1}: \overline{D} \rightarrow \overline{G}$  is continuous.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  satisfy (global) Lipschitz conditions on  $\overline{G}$  and  $\overline{D}$ , respectively. Then  $G$  has properties I and II.*

**PROOF.** Suppose

$$(7) \quad |f(\zeta_1) - f(\zeta_2)| \leq K|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|, \quad \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \overline{G}$$

and

$$(8) \quad |f^{-1}(z_1) - f^{-1}(z_2)| \leq K' |z_1 - z_2|, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \bar{D}.$$

We assume  $K' \geq 1$ . For  $u \in a(G)$ ,  $\bar{u} \equiv u \circ f^{-1} \in a(D)$ ; and for  $u \in A(G)$ ,  $\bar{u} \in A(D)$ . From (7) and the definitions of  $\omega$  and  $\bar{\omega}$ , we have

$$(9) \quad \omega(u, \delta, G) \leq \omega(\bar{u}, K\delta, D).$$

Similarly, using (8),

$$(10) \quad \bar{\omega}(u, \delta, G) \geq \bar{\omega}(\bar{u}, \delta/K', D).$$

Combining, (9) and (10), we obtain

$$(11) \quad \frac{\omega(u, \delta, G)}{\bar{\omega}(u, \delta, G)} \leq \frac{\omega(\bar{u}, K\delta, D)}{\bar{\omega}(\bar{u}, \delta/K', D)}$$

But if  $n$  is the first integer greater than or equal to  $KK'$ , the triangle inequality implies

$$\omega(\bar{u}, K\delta, D) \leq n\omega(\bar{u}, \delta/K', D).$$

Thus, (11) becomes

$$(12) \quad \frac{\omega(u, \delta, G)}{\bar{\omega}(u, \delta, G)} \leq n \frac{\omega(\bar{u}, \delta/K', D)}{\bar{\omega}(\bar{u}, \delta/K', D)}.$$

Since  $D$  has property I, (12) implies that  $G$  does also. If  $u \in a(G)$  and  $\delta \leq 1/2$ , then  $\delta/K' \leq 1/2$ , so the right-hand side of (12) is  $\leq n \cdot C \log(K'/\delta) \leq n \cdot C' \log(1/\delta)$ , by property II for  $D$ . Hence,  $G$  has property II, and the proof is complete.

The following theorems are proved by showing in each case that Theorem 2 applies.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $\partial G$  is an analytic curve, then  $G$  has properties I and II.*

**PROOF.** By [6, vol. 2, p. 102],  $f$  may be analytically continued across  $\partial G$  to a univalent function defined on a larger simply-connected region  $\Omega$ . Hence, by the argument principle and the compactness of  $G$ , there exist  $m, M$  such that

$$(13) \quad 0 < m < |f'(\zeta)| < M < \infty, \quad \zeta \in \bar{G}.$$

Thus,  $|(f^{-1})'| < 1/m$  on  $\bar{D}$ . Now, as  $\bar{D}$  is convex, if two points of  $\bar{D}$  are given, we may integrate  $(f^{-1})'$  along the line segment joining them. Therefore,  $f^{-1}$  satisfies a global Lipschitz condition on  $\bar{D}$ . To see that  $f$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $\bar{G}$ , let  $\Gamma$  be a rectifiable Jordan curve

in  $\Omega$  such that  $\bar{G}$  lies in the interior of  $\Gamma$ . An application of the Cauchy integral formula to  $f$  and  $\Gamma$  yields the desired result. An application of Theorem 2 completes the proof.

Rather than the strong assumption of analyticity in Theorem 3, it is desirable to obtain “ $C^1$ -type” conditions on  $\partial G$  sufficient for the hypothesis of Theorem 2; i.e., conditions about the existence and smoothness of tangents to  $\partial G$ . To this end, we recall some definitions from the theory of conformal mapping ([7], chapter 10). The Jordan curve  $\Gamma$ , represented by  $w(t)$ , has a *tangent* at  $w_0 = w(t_0)$  if  $\arg(w(t) - w_0) \rightarrow \theta$  as  $t \downarrow t_0$  and  $\arg(w(t) - w_0) \rightarrow \theta + \pi$  as  $t \uparrow t_0$ , for some  $\theta \equiv \theta(t_0) \in R$ .  $\Gamma$  is *smooth* if it has a tangent at each of its points and  $\theta(t)$  is continuous in  $t$ . The curve  $\Gamma$  is *Dini-smooth* if the angle  $\theta(s)$  of the tangent, considered as a function of the arc length  $s$ , satisfies

$$|\theta(s_2) - \theta(s_1)| < \omega(s_2 - s_1), \quad s_1 < s_2,$$

where  $\omega$  is an increasing function such that  $\int_0^1 \omega(x)/x \, dx < \infty$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose that  $\partial G$  is Dini-smooth and that  $\partial G$  has bounded arc-chord ratio: there exists  $C > 0$  such that for every pair of points  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \partial G$ ,*

$$|s_2 - s_1| \leq C|\zeta_2 - \zeta_1|,$$

where  $|s_2 - s_1|$  is the arc length along  $\partial G$  between  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$ . Then  $G$  has properties I and II.

**PROOF.** By a theorem of Warschawski [7, 8],  $(f^{-1})'$  extends continuously to  $\bar{D}$ , and there exist  $m, M$  such that

$$(13) \quad 0 < m < |(f^{-1})'(z)| < M < \infty, \quad z \in \bar{D}.$$

As  $\bar{D}$  is convex, integration of the right-hand inequality along line segments in  $\bar{D}$  implies that  $f^{-1}$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $\bar{D}$ . Warschawski's theorem also implies that  $(f^{-1})'$  may be calculated on  $\partial D$  by differentiating along  $\partial D$ . Therefore, by the chain rule,

$$\frac{df}{ds} = \frac{df}{d\zeta} \frac{d\zeta}{ds} = \frac{df}{d\zeta} \left| \frac{\frac{df^{-1}}{dt}}{\frac{df^{-1}}{dt}} \right|, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi),$$

exists and is continuous on  $\partial G$ . But this means  $f$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to  $s$  on  $\partial G$ ; and, therefore, by the boundedness of the arc-chord ratio,  $f$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $\partial G$ . Now, [2,

Theorem 2.7] implies that if  $u \in A(G)$  and  $\tilde{\omega}(u, \delta, G) \leq K\delta$ , there exists a  $C > 0$  such that  $\omega(u, \delta, G) \leq C \cdot \delta$ . Letting  $u = f$ , we see from this result that  $f$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $G$ . By an application of Theorem 2, the proof is complete.

**COROLLARY.** *Suppose  $\partial G$  is Dini-smooth and that  $G$  is convex. Then  $G$  has properties I and II.*

**PROOF.** The quickest proof of this result is to integrate the reciprocal of the left-hand inequality in (13) along line segments in  $\overline{G}$  to conclude that  $f$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $\overline{G}$ , and then appeal to the first part of the proof of Theorem 4 and to Theorem 2. However, we will show that when  $\partial G$  is smooth and rectifiable (in particular, when it is Dini-smooth), convexity of  $G$  implies bounded arc-chord ratio on  $\partial G$ —a result perhaps of some interest itself.

Suppose  $\partial G$  does not have bounded arc-chord ratio, so that there are sequences  $\{\zeta_n\}$   $\{\zeta'_n\}$  in  $\partial G$  with

$$(14) \quad |s_n - s'_n| \geq n |\zeta_n - \zeta'_n|.$$

Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta_0 \in \partial G$ . Since  $\partial G$  is rectifiable, (14) implies that  $\zeta'_n \rightarrow \zeta_0$  also.

Since  $G$  is convex, we may enclose  $\partial G$  in a rectangle whose sides are tangent to  $\partial G$  such that none of the four points of tangency is  $\zeta_0$ . The four points of tangency divide  $\partial G$  into four arcs. Suppose  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta'$  lie on the same one of these arcs, and define a Cartesian coordinate system whose axes pass through the end points of this arc and are perpendicular to the sides of the rectangle. If we partition the subarc from  $\zeta$  to  $\zeta'$  and inscribe chords, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \sqrt{(\Delta x_i)^2 + (\Delta y_i)^2} &\leq \sqrt{2} \sum_i (|\Delta x_i| + |\Delta y_i|) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \left( \left| \sum_i \Delta x_i \right| + \left| \sum_i \Delta y_i \right| \right) \\ &\leq 2 |\zeta - \zeta'|, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from the constancy in sign of  $\Delta x_i$  and  $\Delta y_i$ , a consequence of  $G$ 's convexity. This means

$$|s - s'| \leq 2 |\zeta - \zeta'|.$$

Since this is contrary to (14), we conclude that  $\partial G$  must have bounded-arc chord ratio.

CONCLUSION. It would be desirable to discover the weakest possible geometric assumptions on  $G$  and/or  $\partial G$  which would guarantee that  $G$  has properties I and II. Is there a simple counterexample among, say, the polygonal regions  $G$ , which shows the failure of I or II?

It would also be desirable to know the best values of the constants  $C$  in I and II. One possible approach to this problem might be to prove—in the case of I, say—that

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega(u, \delta, G)}{\tilde{\omega}(u, \delta, G)} \leq C'$$

then show (possibly by a kind of dilation argument?) exactly how much  $C'$  must be increased to serve as a bound for all  $\delta > 0$ .

This work was carried out while the author was a visiting faculty member at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. It is a pleasure to thank Professors Cima, Petersen, Wogen, and Roberts for many valuable conversations and suggestions. Thanks are also extended to the referee for several valuable comments.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. P. M. Tamrazov, *Russian Math. Surveys* **28** (1973), 141–173; and other papers cited therein.
2. L. A. Rubel, A. L. Shields, and B. A. Taylor, *Journal of Approximation Theory* **15** (1975), 23–40.
3. H. S. Shapiro, preprint of his lecture at the conference “Complex and Functional Analysis,” Kristiansand, Norway, 1975.
4. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Math. Zeit.* **34** (1931), 403–439.
5. R. Nevanlinna, *Analytic Functions*, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
6. C. Caratheodory, *Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, 2 vols., New York, 1954.
7. C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Goettingen, 1975.
8. S. Warschawski, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1961), 614–620.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL  
AND AT WILMINGTON, WILMINGTON, NC 28401