## A GEOMETRIC CHARACTERIZATION OF THE WEAK-RADON NIKODYM PROPERTY IN DUAL BANACH SPACES

## V. FARMAKI

ABSTRACT. We give a geometric characterization of convex, weak\*-compact subsets of a dual Banach space with the weak-Radon Nikodym property as those sets in which every closed, convex subset is the weak\*-closed convex hull of its  $x^{**}$ -weak\*-strongly exposed points for each element  $x^{**}$  of  $X^{**}$ 

1. Introduction. After the characterization by Musial [9] and Janicka [8] of dual Banach spaces with the weak-Radon Nikodym property (that is, the Radon-Nikodym property for the Pettis integral) as the spaces with predual not containing  $l_1$ , many characteristic properties for the weak\*-compact subsets of such spaces were proved (see [7, 12]). Many of these properties localized to provide equivalent properties for weak\*-compact subsets of dual spaces [6, 10, 11, 13].

A convex, weak\*-compact subset K of a dual Banach space  $X^*$  has the weak-Radon Nikodym property (w-RNP) if and only if it is a Pettis set  $[\mathbf{5},\ \mathbf{13}]$  or equivalently if it is weakly fragmented  $[\mathbf{5}]$  (K is weakly fragmented if for every nonempty,  $w^*$ -compact subset F of  $K, \varepsilon > 0$  and  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$  there exists a nonempty, relatively open subset U of  $(F,w^*)$  such that  $O(x^{**},U)<\varepsilon$ ). Also, characteristic properties of a convex, weakly fragmented set K are that the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the weak\*-closed convex hull of F for every weak\*-compact subset F of K and that every convex, weak\*-compact subset F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its extreme points F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of F is equal to the norm-closed conv

In this paper (see Theorem 8) we give a geometric characterization of convex, weak\*-compact, with the w-RNP subsets of a dual Banach space as those sets in which every weak\*-compact, convex subset is the weak\*-closed convex hull of its  $x^{**}$ -weak\*-strongly exposed points for each element  $x^{**}$  of  $X^{**}$ . An extreme point  $x^{*}$  of K is an  $x^{**}$ -weak\*-strongly exposed point of K for some  $x^{**}$  in  $X^{**}$  if there exists

Received by the editors on November 1, 1992.

an x in X such that, for every sequence  $(x_n^*)$  in K, the sequence  $(x^{**}(x_n^*))$  converges to  $x^{**}(x^*)$  whenever the  $(x_n^*(x))$  converges to  $x^*(x) = \sup\{y^*(x): y^* \in K\}$ . An example of an extreme point which is not  $x^{**}$ -weak\*-strongly exposed is given (Example 2). By the same example we have that in the characterization the weak\*-closure may not be replaced by the norm-closure. The proof of this theorem is based on techniques similar to those used in the proof of the analogous characterization for sets with the RNP [4].

**2. Notations.** Let Y be a topological Hausdorff space and f a real valued function on Y. For  $A \subseteq Y$ , the oscillation of f on A is the  $O(f,A) = \sup\{|f(y) - f(x)| : x,y \in A\}$  and the oscillation of f at a point  $x \in Y$  is  $O(f,x) = \inf\{O(f,U) : U \subseteq Y \text{ is open and } x \in U\}$ . Obviously, f is continuous at x if and only if O(f,x) is equal to zero.

Let X be a Banach space. We denote by  $X^*$  and  $X^{**}$  the dual and second dual of X, respectively. If A is a subset of X, then we denote by norm-cl A the norm-closure of A, by  $w^*$ -cl A the weak\*-closure of A and by conv A the convex hull of A. The set of the extreme points of a convex set C is denoted by ext C. If K is a bounded subset of  $X^*$ , then a  $w^*$ -slice (or  $w^*$ -open slice) of K is a set of the form  $S(K, x, \varepsilon) = \{f \in K : f(x) \geq M(x, K) - \varepsilon\}$  where  $x \in X$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $M(K, x) = \sup\{f(x) : f \in K\}$ .

**Definition 1.** Let X be a Banach space, K a  $w^*$ -compact, convex subset of  $X^*$  and  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ . An extreme point  $x^*$  of K is an  $x^{**}$ -weak\*-strongly exposed point of K (written  $x^* \in x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -strexp K) if and only if there exists an  $x \in X$  which  $x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -strongly exposes  $x^*$ . This means that  $M(K,x) = x^*(x)$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a slice  $S(K,x,\delta)$  of K with  $O(x^{**},S(K,x,\delta)) < \varepsilon$ . Equivalently,  $x^*$  is  $x^{**}$ -weak\*-strongly exposed by x if and only if for every sequence  $(x_n^*)$  in K such that  $x_n^*(x) \to x^*(x) = M(K,x)$  we have  $x^{**}(x_n^*) \to x^{**}(x^*)$ . We denote by  $x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -SE(K) the set of elements of X which  $x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -strongly expose an element of K. It is easy to see that  $x \in x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -SE(K) if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a slice  $S(K,x,\delta)$  of K with  $O(x^{**},S(K,x,\delta)) < \varepsilon$ .

The following example shows that there exist extreme points which are not  $x^{**}$ -weak\*-strongly exposed for some  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ .

**Example 2.** Let X denote the Banach space  $c_0$ . Then  $X^* = \mathbf{l}_1$  and  $X^{**} = \mathbf{l}^{\infty}$ . Let  $e_n, n \in \mathbf{N}$  be the unit vectors in  $\mathbf{l}^1$  and K the weak\*-closure of the convex hull of  $\{e_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Since the  $w^*$ -limit of  $(e_n)$  is 0, we have that  $0 \in K$ . Moreover, 0 is an extreme point of K. But 0 is not an  $x^{**}$ -weak\*-strongly exposed point of K for  $x^{**} = (-1, -1, \ldots) \in \mathbf{l}^{\infty}$ , because  $\lim_n x^{**}(e_n) = -1 \neq 0$ .

The following lemma is influenced by the analogous lemma of Bishop [2].

**Lemma 3.** Let K be a  $w^*$ -compact subset of a dual space  $X^*$  and  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ . If for every  $\delta > 0$  and  $x \in X$  there exists a  $y \in X$  such that  $||x - y|| < \delta$  and y determines a slice S(K, y, a) of K with  $O(x^{**}, S(K, y, a)) < \delta$ , then  $K = w^*$ -clconv  $(x^{**} - \operatorname{strexp} K)$ . Moreover,  $x^{**}$ - $x^{*}$ 

Proof. For every  $\varepsilon>0$ , let  $O_\varepsilon$  be the set of all  $x\in X$  which determine a slice S of K with  $O(x^{**},S)<\varepsilon$ . Then  $O_\varepsilon$  is open, since for every  $x\in O_\varepsilon$  and every slice S(K,x,a) of K there is a  $\delta>0$  such that  $S(K,y,a/2)\subseteq S(K,x,a)$  whenever  $y\in X$  and  $||y-x||<\delta$ . Also  $O_\varepsilon$  is dense in X by hypothesis. Hence by the Baire category theorem the set  $\cap_{n=1}^\infty O_{1/n}$  is dense and  $G_\delta$  in X. It is immediate that  $x^{**}$ - $x^*$ -x

If  $K_1 = w^*$ -clconv  $(x^{**} - w^* - \operatorname{strexp} K)$  is a proper subset of K, then from the separation theorem we can find a  $w^*$ -slice S(K, x, a) of K which is disjoint from  $K_1$ . Since  $x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -SE(K) is dense in X there exists a y in  $x^{**} - w^* - SE(K)$  such that  $S(K, y, a \setminus 2) \subseteq S(K, x, a)$ . If  $x^* \in K$  is  $x^{**} - w^*$ -strongly exposed by y, then  $x^* \in K_1 \cap S(K, y, a/2) \subseteq K_1 \cap S(K, x, a)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$ 

The following lemma is a version of the superlemma [1, 3] and the proof is analogous.

**Lemma 4.** Let X be a Banach space,  $K, K_0$  and  $K_1$  be  $w^*$ -compact, convex subsets of  $X^*$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x_1^{**}, \ldots, x_n^{**} \in X^{**}$  with  $||x_i^{**}|| = 1$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Suppose that:

- 1.  $K_0$  is a subset of K and  $O(x_i^{**}, K_0) < \varepsilon$  for every i = 1, ..., n.
- 2. K is not a subset of  $K_1$ .
- 3. K is a subset of conv  $(K_0 \cup K_1)$ .

Then there exists a  $w^*$ -slice S of K which contains a point of  $K_0$  and  $O(x_i^{**}, S) < \varepsilon$  for every  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

**Proposition 5.** Let C and K be  $w^*$ -compact and convex subsets of a dual space  $X^*$ ,  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If K has the w-RNP and  $K \setminus C \neq \varnothing$ , then there exists a  $w^*$ -slice S of conv  $(K \cup C)$  such that  $S \cap K \neq \varnothing$  and  $O(x^{**}, S) < \varepsilon$ .

Proof. Let  $J=\operatorname{conv}(K\cup C)$ . Obviously, J is a  $w^*$ -compact and convex subset of  $X^*$ . Also, let  $D=\{x^*\in J\colon \text{there is an }x\in X \text{ such that }x^*(x)=M(J,x)>M(C,x)\}$ . Then  $\varnothing\neq D\subseteq K$  and  $w^*$ -clconv  $(D\cup C)=J$  (for more details see [4,(3.5.2)]). Since K is weakly fragmented there exists  $[\mathbf{10}]$  a  $w^*$ -slice  $S^1$  of  $D^1=w^*$ -clconv D such that  $S^1\cap D\neq\varnothing$  and  $O(x^{**},S^1)<\varepsilon/3$ . Let  $K_0=w^*$ -clconv  $(S^1\cap D)$  and  $K_1=w^*$ -clconv  $[(D\backslash S_1)\cup C]$ . Then the sets  $J,K_0,K_1$  satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4. Hence, we can find a  $w^*$ -slice S of J such that  $S\cap K\neq\varnothing$  and  $O(x^{**},S)<\varepsilon$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 6.** Let X be a Banach space and  $x \in x$  with ||x|| = 1. For t > 0 denote by  $V_t$  the set  $\{x^* \in X^* : x^*(x) = 0 \text{ and } ||x^*|| \le t\}$ . Assume that  $x_0^*, y^* \in X^*, x_0^*(x) > y^*(x)$  and  $||x_0^* - y^*|| \le t/2$ . If  $y \in X$ , ||y|| = 1 and  $x_0^*(y) > M(y^* + V_t, t)$ , then  $||x - y|| \le 2/t ||x_0^* - y^*||$ .

For the proof, see [4, Lemma 3.3.3].

**Theorem 7.** Let K be a  $w^*$ -compact, convex subset of a Banach space  $X^*$  and  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ . If K has the w-RNP, then  $K = w^*$ -clconv  $(x^{**} - w^* - \operatorname{strexp} K)$ . Moreover,  $x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -SE(K) is dense and  $G_\delta$  in X.

Proof. It is sufficient to check the hypotheses of Lemma 3. Let  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $x \in X$  with ||x|| = 1. Since K is bounded, there exists a  $y^* \in X^*$  such that  $y^*(x) < x^*(x) - 1$  for every  $x^* \in K$ . Let  $V = \{x^* \in X^* : x^*(x) = 0 \text{ and } ||x^*|| \le 2M/\delta\}$  where  $M = \sup\{||x^* - y^*|| : x^* \in K\}$  and let  $C = y^* + V$ . Then  $K \cap C = \emptyset$ , hence  $K \setminus C \neq \emptyset$  and from Proposition 5, there exists a  $w^*$ -slice S = S(J, y, a) of  $J = \operatorname{conv}(K \cup C)$  such that  $x_0^* \in S \cap K \neq \emptyset$  and  $O(x^{**}, S) < \delta$ . It is easy to check that  $S \cap C = \emptyset$  and M(K, y) = M(J, y). Since  $K \subseteq J$  we have that  $S(K, y, a) \subseteq S(J, y, a)$  and hence  $O(x^{**}, S(K, y, a) < \delta$ . Finally, from Lemma  $6 \mid |x - y|| \le \delta/M \mid |y^* - x_0^*|| \le \delta$ .

Combining the above we have the following characterization:

**Theorem 8.** Let K be a  $w^*$ -compact, convex subset of a dual Banach space  $X^*$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. K has the weak-Radon Nikodym property.
- 2. Each  $w^*$ -compact, convex subset C of K satisfies:

$$C = w^* - \operatorname{clconv}(x^{**} - w^* - \operatorname{strexp} C)$$

for every  $x^{**}$  in  $X^{**}$ .

3. For each  $w^*$ -compact, convex subset C of K and each  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$  the set  $x^{**} - w^* - SE(C)$  is dense and  $G_{\delta}$  in X.

*Proof.*  $1 \Rightarrow 2$  and 3. If K has the w-RNP, then each  $w^*$ -compact, convex subset C of K has the same property. Hence, from Theorem 7  $C = w^* - \operatorname{clconv}(x^{**} - w^* - \operatorname{strexp} C)$  and  $x^{**} - w^* - SE(C)$  is dense and  $G_{\delta}$  in X for every  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ .

 $3\Rightarrow 1$ . We will prove that K is weakly fragmented. Let F be a  $w^*$ -compact subset of K,  $x^{**}\in X^{**}$  and  $\varepsilon>0$ . If  $C=w^*$ -clconv F then  $x^{**}$ - $w^*$ - $SE(C)\neq\varnothing$  from 3. Hence there exists a  $w^*$ -slice S of C with  $O(x^{**},S)<\varepsilon$ . Of course,  $S\cap F$  is a nonempty relatively open subset of  $(F,w^*)$  and  $O(x^{**},S\cap F)<\varepsilon$ . Hence, K is weakly fragmented.

 $2\Rightarrow 1$ . Let F be a  $w^*$ -compact subset of K and  $x^{**}\in X^{**}$ . If  $C=w^*$ -clconv F, then from 2 we have that  $x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -strexp  $C\neq\varnothing$ . Hence  $x^{**}$ - $w^*$ - $SE(C)\neq\varnothing$ . The proof is continued as in  $3\Rightarrow 1$ .

**Corollary 9.** A dual Banach space  $X^*$  has the w-RNP if and only if every convex,  $w^*$ -compact subset of X is the  $w^*$ -closed convex hull of its  $x^{**}$ -weak\*-strongly exposed points for every  $x^{**}$  in  $X^{**}$ .

Remark 10. It is not true that every  $w^*$ -compact, convex, with the w-RNP subset K of  $X^*$  is equal to the norm-closed convex hull of its  $x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -strongly exposed points for every  $x^{**}$  in  $X^{**}$ . For example, let  $X^* = \mathbbm{1}^1$  and  $K = w^*$ -clconv  $\{e_n : n \in \mathbbm{N}\}$ . As we prove in Example 2,  $0 \in K$  and 0 is not an  $x^{**}$ -weak\*-strongly exposed point of K for  $x^{**} = (-1, -1, \ldots)$ . The Milman theorem gives that ext  $K \subseteq \{e_n : n \in \mathbbm{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ , hence  $x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -strexp  $K \subseteq \{e_n : n \in \mathbbm{N}\}$ . Since K has the w-RNP we have  $K = w^*$ -clconv  $(x^{**}$ - $w^*$ -strexp K), but  $K \neq || \quad || - \operatorname{clconv}(x^{**} - w^* - \operatorname{strexp}K)$  since  $0 \notin || \quad || - \operatorname{clconv}\{e_n : n \in \mathbbm{N}\}$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. E. Asplund and I. Namioka, A geometric proof of Ryll-Nardzewski's fixed point theorem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 443–445.
  - 2. E. Bishop, Unpublished letter to R.R. Phelps, 1966.
- 3. J. Bourgain, A geometrical characterization of the Radon-Nikodym property in Banach spaces, Compositio Math. 36 (1978), 3-6.
- 4. R.D. Bourgin, Geometric aspects of convex sets with the radon-nikodym property, Springer Lecture Notes 993 (1983).
  - 5. V. Farmaki, Weak fragmentability, to appear.
- **6.** N. Ghoussoub, G. Godefroy, B. Maurey and W. Schachermayer, *Some topological and geometrical structures in Banach spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **378** (1987).
- 7. R. Haydon, Some more characterizations of Banach spaces containing  $l_1$ , Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 80 (1976), 269–276.
- 8. L. Janiska, Some measure-theoretical characterizations of Banach spaces not containing  $l_1$ , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 561–565.
- $\bf 9.~\rm K.~Musial,~\it The~weak~\it Radon-Nikodym~\it property~for~\it Banach~\it spaces, Studia~\it Math.~\bf 64~(1978),~151-174.$
- 10. L.H. Riddle, E. Saab and J.J. Uhl, Sets with the weak Radon-Nikodym property in dual Banach spaces, Indiana Univ. J. 23 (1983), 527-541.
- 11. E. Saab, Some characterizations of weak Radon-Nikodym sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 86 (1982), 307-311.

- 12. E. Saab and P. Saab, A dual geometric characterization of Banach spaces not containing  $l_1$ , Pacific J. Math. 105 (1983), 415–425.
- ${\bf 13.~M.}$  Talagrand,  $Pettis~integral~and~measure~theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. <math display="inline">{\bf 307}~(1984).$

University of Athens, Department of Mathematics, Panepistemiopolis-Ilissia, 15784 Athens, Greece