

## INTERSECTIONS OF FRÉCHET SPACES AND (LB)-SPACES

ANGELA A. ALBANESE AND JOSÉ BONET

**ABSTRACT.** This article presents results about the class of locally convex spaces which are defined as the intersection  $E \cap F$  of a Fréchet space  $F$  and a countable inductive limit of Banach spaces  $E$ . This class appears naturally in analytic applications to linear partial differential operators. The intersection has two natural topologies, the intersection topology and an inductive limit topology. The first one is easier to describe and the second one has good locally convex properties. The coincidence of these topologies and its consequences for the spaces  $E \cap F$  and  $E + F$  are investigated.

**1. Introduction.** The aim of this paper is to investigate spaces  $E \cap F$  which are the intersection of a Fréchet space  $F$  and an (LB)-space  $E$ . They appear in several parts of analysis whenever the space  $F$  is determined by countably many necessary, e.g., differentiability of integrability, conditions and  $E$  is the dual of such a space, in particular  $E$  is defined by a countable sequence of bounded sets which may also be determined by concrete estimates. Two natural topologies can be defined on  $E \cap F$ : the intersection topology, which has semi-norms easy to describe and which permits direct estimates, and a finer inductive limit topology which is defined in a natural way and which has good locally convex properties, e.g.,  $E \cap F$  with this topology is a barreled space. It is important to know when these two topologies coincide. It turns out that the locally convex properties of  $E \cap F$  with the intersection topology are related to the completeness of the (LF)-space  $E + F$ . The present setting provides us with new interesting examples of (LF)-spaces. Recent progress on the study of (LF)-spaces, see [4, 14, 25, 27], is very important in our work. Our main results are Theorems 4 and 7. Examples 5 and 10 show the main difficulties.

---

2000 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46A04, Secondary 46A08, 46A13, 46A45.

The research of José Bonet was partially supported by FEDER and MCYT Proyecto no. BFM2001-2670.

Received by the editors on October 27, 2003, and in revised form on November 28, 2003.

Our article continues the research in [5], where the case when  $F$  is a Fréchet Schwartz space (an (FS)-space) and  $E$  is the dual of an (FS)-space (a (DFS)-space) was analyzed. One of the original motivations for the research in [5] came up in the investigations of Langenbruch [18, 19, 20] about the surjectivity of linear partial differential operators  $P(D)$  with constant coefficients on spaces of ultradifferentiable functions and ultradistributions. The extension of  $\{\omega\}$ -ultradifferentiable regularity for  $\{\omega\}$ -ultradistributions  $u$  such that  $P(D)u = 0$  across a hypersurface has consequences for the surjectivity of  $P(D)$ , in the same way that Holmgren's uniqueness theorem is relevant in the discussions of surjectivity problems for  $P(D)$  on  $C^\infty(\Omega)$ , see [15, Chapters 8 and 10]. The extension of regularity for ultradistributions was studied by Langenbruch in [18]. In the classical case, treated by Hörmander in [15, Section 11.3], one seeks conditions on a linear subspace  $V$  of  $R^N$  and on the polynomial  $P(z)$  to assure the existence of  $u \in C^n(R^N)$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , with  $P(D)u = 0$  such that the singular support of  $u$  coincides with  $V$ . In the proof of [15, Theorem 11.3.1], Hörmander considers the intersection  $G := \{u \in C^n(R^N) \mid P(D)u = 0\} \cap C^\infty(R^N \setminus V)$  which is a Fréchet space and uses the closed graph theorem to get concrete estimates. More than a converse of [15, Theorem 11.3.1] is obtained in [15, Theorem 11.3.6], which yields the extension of  $C^\infty$ -regularity across hypersurfaces. The extension of this last result was obtained by Langenbruch in [18, Theorem 2.2] both for Beurling ( $\omega$ )-regularity and Roumieu  $\{\omega\}$ -regularity. However, only a partial converse of [18, Theorem 2.2] is obtained in [18, Theorem 2.6] in which a Fréchet intersection, similar to the Fréchet space  $G$  above, plays an important role. As Langenbruch points out, in the Remark after the proof of his Theorem 2.6, a full converse of [18, Theorem 2.2] would have required that every sequentially continuous linear form on the intersection of a Fréchet Schwartz space and a (DFS)-space was continuous. This property does not hold in general for intersections of (FS) and (DFS) spaces as was shown in [5].

On the other hand, the investigations in [1] about the relation of the hypoellipticity of a linear partial differential operator with variable coefficients with the local solvability of its transpose operator in the setting of Gevrey classes made the authors consider when the intersection of a Banach space and a (DFS)-space is barreled. This is one of the reasons why we treat here a setting which is more general

than the one of [5]. On the other hand, the intersections of function spaces appear also in other areas of functional analysis. It turned out that the locally convex structure of the intersection of two Fréchet spaces was rather intricate. Indeed, Taskinen [23] showed that the strong dual of the space  $C(\mathbf{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbf{R})$  is not an (LB)-space. The structure of the intersection spaces  $C^m(\Omega) \cap H^{k,p}(\Omega)$  was studied in detail (see, for example, [2, 8]).

Our notation for locally convex spaces is standard and we refer the reader, for example, to [16]. Given a locally convex space  $G$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{U}(G)$  the system of all closed absolutely convex 0-neighborhoods in  $G$  and by  $\mathcal{B}(G)$  the system of all closed absolutely convex bounded sets of  $G$ . The general frame in which we work is the following. Let  $F$  be a Fréchet space with a basis  $(U_K)_K$  of closed absolutely convex 0-neighborhoods. The canonical Banach space generated by  $U_K$  is denoted by  $F_K$ . Let  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  be a regular (LB)-space, i.e., every bounded set in  $E$  is contained and bounded in a step  $E_n$ . The unit ball of the Banach space  $E_n$  is denoted by  $B_n$ . We suppose that both  $E$  and  $F$  are continuously included in a Hausdorff locally convex space  $H$ . The intersection  $E \cap F$  is endowed with the intersection topology, which is denoted by  $s$ . A basis of closed absolutely convex 0-neighborhoods of  $(E \cap F, s)$  is given by the sets of the form  $U \cap V$  as  $U$  and  $V$  run over bases of closed absolutely convex 0-neighborhoods of  $E$  and  $F$  respectively. The sum  $E + F$ , as a subspace of  $H$ , can be considered as a quotient of the locally convex direct sum  $E \oplus F$  via the linear map  $q: E \oplus F \mapsto H, q(x, y) := x - y$ . On the other hand the space  $E \cap F$  can be identified with a closed subspace of  $E \oplus F$  by means of the linear injection  $i: E \cap F \mapsto E \oplus F, i(x) := (x, x)$ . Clearly  $i(E \cap F) = \ker q$  and we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E \cap F \xrightarrow{i} E \oplus F \xrightarrow{q} E + F \longrightarrow 0.$$

There is also an (LF)-topology  $t$  on  $E \cap F$  finer than  $s$ . Indeed, if for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  we endow  $E_n \cap F$  with the intersection topology  $s_n$  for which it is a Fréchet space, then the inclusion map  $(E_n \cap F, s_n) \hookrightarrow (E \cap F, s)$  is continuous. Define  $(E \cap F, t) := \text{ind}_n (E_n \cap F, s_n)$ , and observe that, for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , a basis of closed absolutely convex 0-neighborhoods of  $(E_n \cap F, s_n)$  is given by  $(K^{-1}B_n \cap U_K)_K$ .

An (LF)-space  $G = \text{ind}_n G_n$  is said to satisfy condition (M), respectively condition  $(M_0)$ , of Retakh if there is an increasing sequence

$(V_n)_n$ , with  $V_n$  absolutely convex 0-neighborhood in  $G_n$ , such that for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  there is an  $m > n$  such that  $G$  and  $G_m$  induce the same topologies, respectively the same weak topologies, on  $V_n$ . By [21, Corollaries 2.1, 7.2] (see also [25, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3]) if  $G$  satisfies condition (M), then  $G$  is a complete, hence regular, (LF)-space. On the other hand,  $G$  is said to be *boundedly stable*, respectively *weakly boundedly stable*, if on every set which is bounded in some step almost all step topologies, respectively almost all step weak topologies, coincide. Finally,  $G$  is said to satisfy condition (wQ) introduced by Vogt [25], respectively condition (wQ\*) introduced by Bierstedt and the second author [4], if

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n \in \mathbf{N} \exists m \geq n, V_n \in \mathcal{U}(G_n) \forall k \geq m, U \in \mathcal{U}(G_m) \\ \exists S > 0, W \in \mathcal{U}(G_k) \text{ such that } V_n \cap W \subseteq SU, \end{aligned}$$

respectively there is an increasing sequence  $(V_n)_n$  with the above property. In [7] it was pointed out that condition (wQ) is equivalent to the following one: there is a sequence  $(V_n)_n$  of absolutely convex 0-neighborhoods  $V_n$  in  $G_n$ , such that for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  there is  $m > n$  such that for every  $k > m$  the topologies of  $G_m$  and  $G_k$  have the same bounded sets in  $V_n$ . Every (LB)-space satisfies condition (wQ\*). Regular (LF)-spaces always satisfy condition (wQ) as was proved in [25, Theorem 4.7]. Moreover, by [28, Theorem 6.4] (or see [26, Theorem 3.8]) an (LF)-space has condition (M) if and only if it is boundedly stable and satisfies condition (wQ). By [26, Theorem 5.6] an (LF)-space has condition (M<sub>0</sub>) if and only if it is weakly boundedly stable and satisfies condition (wQ\*). It was conjectured in [26], see also [14], that an (LF)-space has condition (M<sub>0</sub>) if and only if it is weakly boundedly stable and satisfies condition (wQ).

We have the following preliminary observations.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  be a regular, respectively complete, (LB)-space and  $F$  be a Fréchet space. Then the following hold.*

(i) *The space  $E \oplus F = \text{ind}_n (E_n \oplus F)$  is a regular, respectively complete, (LF)-space. The space  $(E \cap F, s)$  is a, respectively complete, locally convex space and the space  $E + F = \text{ind}_n (E_n + F)$  is an (LF)-space.*

(ii) *The topologies  $t$  and  $s$  have the same bounded sets, and  $(E \cap F, t)$  is a regular (LF)-space.*

A (DF)-space  $G$  is said to satisfy the *dual density condition* (DDC) [3, Definition 1.1] if each bounded subset of  $G$  is metrizable. The following characterization was proved in [13, Section 5].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be a (DF)-space with an increasing fundamental sequence  $(B_n)_n$  of absolutely convex bounded sets. The space  $G$  satisfies the (DDC) if and only if there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}(G)$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{U}(G)$  there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $B_n \cap \varepsilon U \subset V$ .*

If we denote by  $p_U$  the Minkowski functional of the neighborhood  $U$  in  $G$  given by Lemma 2, then  $p_U$  is clearly a norm on  $G$  and the topologies of  $G$  and of the normed space  $(G, p_U)$  coincide on  $B_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  be an (LB)-space with the (DDC). Let  $F$  be a Fréchet space. Then:*

(i) *There is  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  such that the topologies of  $(E \cap F, s)$  and of  $(E \cap F, s_U)$  coincide on  $B_n \cap F$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , where  $s_U$  denotes the intersection topology defined by  $F$  and the normed space  $(E, p_U)$ .*

(ii) *If  $(E \cap F, s)$  is barreled, then every sequentially continuous linear map from  $(E \cap F, s)$  into a Banach space is continuous.*

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 2 there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  such that, for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the topology induced by  $E$  on  $B_n$  has a basis of closed absolutely convex 0-neighborhoods given by  $\{r^{-1}U; r \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Let  $V \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  and  $W \in \mathcal{U}(F)$ . Then there is  $r \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $B_n \cap r^{-1}U \subset V$ , hence

$$(B_n \cap F) \cap (r^{-1}U \cap W) = (B_n \cap r^{-1}U) \cap W \subset V \cap W.$$

(ii) Let  $Y$  be a Banach space and  $T$  be a sequentially continuous linear map from  $(E \cap F, s)$  into  $Y$ . If  $B_Y$  is the closed unit ball of  $Y$ ,  $T^{-1}(B_Y)$  is an absolutely convex absorbing subset of  $E \cap F$ . Moreover, by (i) for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$   $(2^n B_n \cap F, s)$  is metrizable and hence  $T|_{2^n B_n \cap F}: (2^n B_n \cap F, s) \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, thereby implying that

$T^{-1}(B_Y) \cap (2^n B_n \cap F)$  is a 0-neighborhood in  $(2^n B_n \cap F, s)$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . The so-called localization property for barreled spaces (see [22, Corollary 8.2.5] or [16, Theorem 12.3.5]) implies that  $T^{-1}(B_Y)$  is also a 0-neighborhood in  $(E \cap F, s)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  be a regular and weakly boundedly stable (LB)-space satisfying condition (DDC), and let  $F$  be a Fréchet space. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $(E \cap F, s) = \text{ind}_n(E_n \cap F, s_n)$  holds topologically,
- (2)  $(E \cap F, s)$  is an (LF)-space,
- (3)  $(E \cap F, s)$  is bornological,
- (4)  $(E \cap F, s)$  is barreled.

Moreover, if  $E$  is boundedly stable, these equivalent conditions imply

- (5)  $E + F$  is a complete (LF)-space.

*Proof.* Clearly (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Let us assume (4). We first prove that  $(E \cap F, s)' = (E \cap F, t)'$ . Since  $E$  is regular and weakly boundedly stable, the (LF)-space  $E \oplus F$  is regular and satisfies condition (M<sub>0</sub>). This easily implies that every  $u \in (E \cap F, t)'$  is sequentially  $s$ -continuous, hence  $s$ -continuous by Proposition 3 (ii), i.e.,  $u \in (E \cap F, s)'$ . Next, since  $(E \cap F, s)$  is barreled and  $(E \cap F, s)' = (E \cap F, t)'$ , the topologies  $t$  and  $s$  coincide, and (1) holds.

Suppose now that condition (1) is satisfied and that  $E$  is also boundedly stable. In this case, the (LF)-space  $E \oplus F$  is acyclic (or equivalently satisfies condition (M) of Retakh, see [25]). We consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ind}_n(E_n \cap F) \xrightarrow{i} \text{ind}_n(E_n \oplus F) \xrightarrow{q} \text{ind}_n(E_n + F) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Condition (1) holds if and only if  $i$  is a monomorphism. By a theorem of Palamodov [21] in the version of [25, Theorems 1.4, 1.5, 2.10], this implies that  $E + F = \text{ind}_n(E_n + F)$  satisfies the condition (M), hence it is complete.  $\square$

**Example 5.** We show that  $(E \cap F, t)'$  may be different from  $(E \cap F, s)'$  although  $E + F$  is a complete (LF)-space, even for a (DFS)-space  $E$ . This yields that, in general, condition (5) does not imply condition (1) in Theorem 4.

Let  $s$  be the Fréchet space of rapidly decreasing sequences and  $s'$  its strong dual. Let  $E = (s')^{(\mathbb{N})}$  and  $F = \ell^1(\ell^1)$ . Using Hahn-Banach theorem and the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (s')^{(\mathbb{N})} \cap \ell^1(\ell^1) \xrightarrow{i} (s')^{(\mathbb{N})} \oplus \ell^1(\ell^1) \xrightarrow{q} (s')^{(\mathbb{N})} + \ell^1(\ell^1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

it is easy to show that the topological dual of  $((s')^{(\mathbb{N})} \cap \ell^1(\ell^1), s)$  coincides with  $s^{\mathbb{N}} + \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty)$ . Moreover,  $(s')^{(\mathbb{N})} \cap \ell^1(\ell^1) = (\ell^1)^{(\mathbb{N})}$  algebraically and the (LF)-topology  $t$  on it clearly coincides with the one of  $(\ell^1)^{(\mathbb{N})}$ .

We prove that  $((s')^{(\mathbb{N})} \cap \ell^1(\ell^1), s)$  and  $(\ell^1)^{(\mathbb{N})}$  have a different dual, thus obtaining that  $((s')^{(\mathbb{N})} \cap \ell^1(\ell^1), s)$  is not barreled. For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $z_k$  be the constant sequence  $(k, k, \dots)$ . Then  $z := (z_k)_k$  belongs to the dual of  $(\ell^1)^{(\mathbb{N})}$ . Suppose that it belongs to the dual of  $((s')^{(\mathbb{N})} \cap \ell^1(\ell^1), s)$ . Consequently,  $z = x + y$  for some  $x = ((x_k^j)_j)_k \in \ell^\infty(\ell^\infty)$  and  $y = ((y_k^j)_j)_k \in (s)^{\mathbb{N}}$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $j(k)$  such that  $|y_k^{j(k)}| < 1$  for all  $j \geq j(k)$ . Thus, for each  $k > 2$ , the norm of  $x_k$  in  $\ell^\infty$  is greater or equal than  $k - 1$ . This implies that  $x = (x_k)_k = (z_k - y_k)_k$  does not belong to  $\ell^\infty(\ell^\infty)$ , a contradiction.

On the other hand, using again the Hahn-Banach theorem and the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (s)^{\mathbb{N}} \cap c_0(c_0) \xrightarrow{i_1} (s)^{\mathbb{N}} \oplus c_0(c_0) \xrightarrow{q_1} (s)^{\mathbb{N}} + c_0(c_0) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $i_1(x) := (x, -x)$  and  $q_1(x, y) := x + y$ , it is easy to show that the topological dual of the Fréchet space  $((s)^{\mathbb{N}} \cap c_0(c_0), s)$  coincides with  $(s')^{(\mathbb{N})} + \ell^1(\ell^1)$ . Moreover, by [11, Proposition 2], see also [12], its strong dual is barreled so that  $((s)^{\mathbb{N}} \cap c_0(c_0), s)'_\beta = (s')^{(\mathbb{N})} + \ell^1(\ell^1)$  holds topologically, thereby implying that  $(s')^{(\mathbb{N})} + \ell^1(\ell^1)$  is a complete, regular (LB)-space.

An (LB)-space  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  is called an  $(LS_w)$ -space if for each  $n$  there is an  $m > n$  such that the inclusion map  $E_n \hookrightarrow E_m$  is weakly

compact, see [22, Definition 8.5.3]. By the well-known factorization theorem for weakly compact maps of [10], the weakly compact operator  $E_n \hookrightarrow E_m$  factors over a reflexive Banach space which is algebraically a subspace of  $E_m$ . Accordingly, we may and will suppose that the Banach spaces  $E_n$  are reflexive for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  if  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  is an  $(\text{LS}_w)$ -space. It is well known that, if  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  is an  $(\text{LS}_w)$ -space and  $F$  is a reflexive Banach space, then every sequentially continuous form on  $(E \cap F, s)$  is continuous, i.e.,  $E \cap F$  is a well-located subspace of  $E \oplus F$ , cf. [22, Proposition 8.6.7]. This result and Example 5 suggest that some additional assumptions on  $F$  must be required to connect the completeness of  $E + F$  and the fact that  $E \cap F$  is barreled.

We thank the referee for the following lemma and its proof.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  be an  $(\text{LB})$ -space, and let  $F$  be a Fréchet space. Then the  $(\text{LF})$ -space  $E + F$  satisfies condition  $(\text{wQ})$  if and only if it satisfies condition  $(\text{wQ}^*)$ .*

*Proof.* Since condition  $(\text{wQ}^*)$  always implies condition  $(\text{wQ})$ , we only have to prove that the converse holds. By replacing the constant  $S$  appropriately we obtain that condition  $(\text{wQ})$  is equivalent to the following slightly simpler one

$$\forall n \in \mathbf{N} \exists m \geq n, N \in \mathbf{N} \forall k \geq m, M \in \mathbf{N} \exists K \in \mathbf{N}, S > 0 \\ (B_n + U_N) \cap (B_k + U_K) \subseteq S(B_m + U_M).$$

Since we clearly can assume  $N(1) = 1$ , this yields

$$(0.1) \quad \exists m(1) \geq n \forall k \geq m(1), M \in \mathbf{N} \exists K \in \mathbf{N}, S > 0 \\ U_1 \cap (B_k + U_K) \subseteq S(B_{m(1)} + U_M).$$

For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  we set  $m = \max\{n, m(1)\}$  and obtain for  $k \geq m$  and  $M \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $K$  and  $S$  as in (0.1)

$$(B_n + U_1) \cap (B_k + U_K) \subseteq S(B_m + U_M).$$

Indeed, given  $x = b + u = d + v$  with  $b \in B_n$ ,  $u \in U_1$ ,  $d \in B_k$ , and  $v \in U_K$  we have

$$u = d - b + v \in U_1 \cap (2B_k + U_K) \subseteq 2S(B_m + U_M);$$



hence  $x = b + u \in (2S + 1)(B_m + U_M)$ .  $\square$

Lemma 6 settles positively in the context considered in this article the conjecture of Wengenroth that an (LF)-space has condition  $(M_0)$  if and only if it is weakly boundedly stable and satisfies condition (wQ).

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  be a regular and weakly boundedly stable (LB)-space, and let  $F$  be a Fréchet space. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) every sequentially continuous linear form on  $(E \cap F, s)$  is continuous,
- (2) the (LF)-space  $E + F$  satisfies condition  $(M_0)$  of Retakh,
- (3) the (LF)-space  $E + F$  is weakly boundedly stable and is of type (wQ\*),
- (4) the (LF)-space  $E + F$  is weakly boundedly stable and is of type (wQ), i.e.

$$\forall n \in \mathbf{N} \exists m \geq n, N \in \mathbf{N} \forall k \geq m, M \in \mathbf{N} \exists K \in \mathbf{N}, S > 0 \\ (N^{-1}B_n + U_N) \cap (K^{-1}B_k + U_K) \subset S(M^{-1}B_m + U_M).$$

*Proof.* Since  $E$  is regular and weakly boundedly stable, the (LF)-space  $E \oplus F$  is regular and clearly satisfies condition  $(M_0)$  of Retakh. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ind}_n(E_n \cap F) \xrightarrow{i} \text{ind}_n(E_n \oplus F) \xrightarrow{q} \text{ind}_n(E_n + F) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Condition (1) holds if and only if  $i$  is a weak monomorphism. Therefore a theorem of Palamodov [21] in the version of [25, Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 2.10] implies that (1) is equivalent to (2). By [26, Theorem 5.6], (2) is equivalent to (3). Finally, by Lemma 6, (3) is equivalent to (4).  $\square$

*Remark 8.* It is desirable to have easy sufficient conditions for  $E$  and  $F$  which allow us to conclude that the (LF)-space  $E + F$  is of type (wQ). For instance, for an  $(LS_w)$ -space  $E$  it is enough that  $F$  has a 0-neighborhood  $U$  which is closed in  $H$ , as happens in Example 5. Then

$B_n + U$  is closed in  $H$  as the sum of a weakly compact set and a closed set and one can apply [14, Proposition 2.6] to conclude. In this case, if the (LF)-space is also weakly boundedly stable, it is regular by [17, Theorem 2].

On the other hand, there are examples of sums of (LB) and Fréchet spaces which are regular (LF)-spaces of type (wQ) but not weakly boundedly stable, e.g.,  $(s')^{(\mathbf{N})} + \ell^1(\ell^1)$  by Theorem 7 and Example 5.

In the next result, we suppose that  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  is an  $(\text{LS}_w)$ -space and  $F = \text{proj}_K F_K$  is a  $(\text{FS}_w)$ -space, i.e., for all  $K$  there is an  $M > K$  such that the linking map  $p_{K,M}: F_M \rightarrow F_K$  is weakly compact, see [22, Definition 8.5.2].

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $E = \text{ind}_n E_n$  be an  $(\text{LS}_w)$ -space, and let  $F = \text{proj}_K F_K$  be an  $(\text{FS}_w)$ -space. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *every sequentially continuous linear form on  $(E \cap F, s)$  is continuous,*
- (2) *the (LF)-space  $E + F$  satisfies condition  $(M_0)$  of Retakh,*
- (3) *the (LF)-space  $E + F$  is of type  $(\text{wQ}^*)$ ,*
- (4) *the (LF)-space  $E + F$  is of type  $(\text{wQ})$ , i.e.,*

$$\forall n \in \mathbf{N} \exists m \geq n, N \in \mathbf{N} \forall k \geq m, M \in \mathbf{N} \exists K \in \mathbf{N}, S > 0 \\ (N^{-1}B_n + U_N) \cap (K^{-1}B_k + U_K) \subset S(M^{-1}B_m + U_M).$$

- (5) *The (LF)-space  $E + F$  is regular,*
- (6) *the (LF)-space  $E + F$  is complete.*

*Proof.* Since  $E$  is an  $(\text{LS}_w)$ -space and  $F$  is an  $(\text{FS}_w)$ -space, by the factorization theorem of weakly compact maps of [10], we may assume that all the Banach spaces  $E_n$  and  $F_K$  are reflexive. For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $E_n + F$  is then a reflexive Fréchet space. Indeed, it is a quotient of  $\text{proj}_K(E_n \oplus F_K)$  which is totally reflexive as a subspace of a product of reflexive Banach spaces, see e.g., [24]. Consequently,  $E + F$  is an (LF)-space with reflexive steps, hence it is weakly boundedly stable.

By Theorem 7 conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4) are equivalent. Moreover, (6) implies (5) as is well known and, by [25, Theorem 4.7], (5)

implies (4). Finally, since each step  $E_n + F$  is a reflexive Fréchet space, (2) implies (6) by [25, Proposition 4.4].  $\square$

**Example 10.** We give an example of a reflexive Banach space  $F$  and a (DFS)-space  $E$  such that  $E + F$  is a complete reflexive (LB)-space and  $(E \cap F, s)$  is not barreled. This shows that the equivalent conditions of Proposition 9 need not imply condition (1) of Theorem 4.

Let  $E = (s')^{(\mathbf{N})}$  and  $F = \ell^p(\ell^p)$ ,  $1 < p < +\infty$ . Since  $(s')^{(\mathbf{N})}$  is an  $(LS_w)$ -space and  $\ell^p(\ell^p)$  is a reflexive Banach space, every sequentially continuous form on  $((s')^{(\mathbf{N})} \cap \ell^p(\ell^p), s)$  is continuous, cf. [22, Proposition 8.6.7], but it is not barreled. Indeed,  $(s')^{(\mathbf{N})} \cap \ell^p(\ell^p) = (\ell^p)^{(\mathbf{N})}$  algebraically and the (LF)-topology  $t$  on it coincides with the one of  $(\ell^p)^{(\mathbf{N})}$ . Moreover, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (s')^{(\mathbf{N})} \cap \ell^p(\ell^p) \xrightarrow{i} (s')^{(\mathbf{N})} \oplus \ell^p(\ell^p) \xrightarrow{q} (s')^{(\mathbf{N})} + \ell^p(\ell^p) \longrightarrow 0$$

is the dual of the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow s^{\mathbf{N}} \cap \ell^q(\ell^q) \xrightarrow{i_1} s^{\mathbf{N}} \oplus \ell^q(\ell^q) \xrightarrow{q_1} s^{\mathbf{N}} + \ell^q(\ell^q) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $q = p/(p - 1)$  and, as it is easy to show,  $s^{\mathbf{N}} + \ell^q(\ell^q) = (\ell^q)^{\mathbf{N}}$  algebraically and topologically. By [6, Corollary 3]  $((s')^{(\mathbf{N})} \cap \ell^p(\ell^p), s)$  is barreled if and only if  $q_1$  lifts bounded sets, i.e., for every bounded set  $B$  of  $(\ell^q)^{\mathbf{N}}$  there is a bounded subset  $A$  of  $s^{\mathbf{N}} \oplus \ell^q(\ell^q)$  such that  $q_1(A) \supset B$ . If this were the case, since  $s^{\mathbf{N}} \oplus \ell^q(\ell^q)$  is quasinormable,  $s^{\mathbf{N}} \cap \ell^q(\ell^q)$  endowed with the intersection topology would be a quasinormable Fréchet space by the following result of [9]: *a subspace  $L$  of a quasinormable Fréchet space  $X$  is itself quasinormable if and only if the quotient map  $q: X \rightarrow X/L$  lifts bounded sets.* Now, by [12, Proposition 3]  $s^{\mathbf{N}} \cap \ell^q(\ell^q)$  is not quasinormable with respect to the intersection topology and then  $((s')^{(\mathbf{N})} \cap \ell^p(\ell^p), s)$  is not barreled.

Finally, we give a precise characterization of the completeness of  $E + F$  in the setting of Köthe sequence spaces. Its proof is obtained adapting the methods of [5] or with a direct argument to show that conditions (1) and (3) are equivalent.

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $1 < p < +\infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{W} = (w_n)_n$  be a decreasing sequence of strictly positive weights on  $\mathbf{N}$ . Let  $E_n = \ell^p(w_n)$  for all*

$n \in \mathbf{N}$ , and let  $E = \text{ind}_n \ell^p(w_n)$  be an  $(\text{LS}_w)$  echelon space of order  $p$ . Let  $A = (a_K)_K$  be an arbitrary increasing sequence of strictly positive weights on  $\mathbf{N}$ . Let  $F = \text{proj}_K \ell^p(a_K)$  be an  $(\text{FS}_w)$  echelon space of order  $p$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) the  $(\text{LF})$ -space  $E + F$  is of type  $(wQ)$ ,
- (2) the  $(\text{LF})$ -space  $E + F$  is complete,
- (3) the following condition is satisfied by the weights:

$$\forall n \in \mathbf{N} \exists m \geq n, N \in \mathbf{N} \forall k \geq m, M \in \mathbf{N} \exists K \in \mathbf{N}, S > 0 \forall i \in \mathbf{N} \\ \min(w_m(i), a_M(i)) \leq S (\min(w_n(i), a_N(i)) + \min(w_k(i), a_K(i))).$$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are very indebted to the referee for his/her carefully reading of the manuscript and for his/her many suggestions which improved both the presentation and the results.

## REFERENCES

1. A.A. Albanese, A. Corli and L. Rodino, *Hypoellipticity and local solvability in Gevrey classes*, Math. Nachr. **242** (2002), 5–16.
2. A.A. Albanese, G. Metafune and V.B. Moscatelli, *Representations of the spaces  $C^m(\Omega) \cap H^{k,p}(\Omega)$* , Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **120** (1996), 489–498.
3. K.D. Bierstedt and J. Bonet, *Dual density conditions in  $(\text{DF})$ -spaces, I*, Results Math. **14** (1988), 242–274.
4. ———, *Weighted  $(\text{LF})$ -spaces of continuous functions*, Math. Nachr. **165** (1994), 25–48.
5. J. Bonet, *Intersections of Fréchet Schwartz spaces and their duals*, Arch. Math. **68** (1997), 320–325.
6. J. Bonet and S. Dierolf, *On the lifting of bounded sets in Fréchet spaces*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **36** (1993), 277–281.
7. J. Bonet and C. Fernández, *Bounded sets in  $(\text{LF})$ -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 3717–3723.
8. J. Bonet and J. Taskinen, *Non-distinguished Fréchet function spaces*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège **58** (1989), 483–490.
9. V.E. Cholodovskij, *On quasinormability of semimetrizable topological vector space*, Funk. Anal. **7** (1976), 157–160.
10. W.J. Davis, T. Figiel, W.B. Johnson and A. Pełczyński, *Factoring weakly compact operators*, J. Funct. Analysis **17** (1974), 311–327.
11. J.C. Díaz and M.A. Minarro, *Distinguished Fréchet spaces and projective tensor product*, Doga-Tr. J. Math. **14** (1990), 191–208.

12. S. Dierolf and Khin Aye Aye, *On projective limits of Moscatelli type*, in *Functional analysis*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 105–118.
13. L. Frerick, *On vector-valued sequence spaces*, *Note Mat.* **14** (1994), 1–43.
14. L. Frerick and J. Wengenroth, *Weakly acyclic (LF)-spaces*, in *Functional analysis*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 169–177.
15. L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I and II*, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
16. H. Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
17. Q. Jing-Hui, *Retakh's conditions and regularity properties of (LF)-spaces*, *Arch. Math.* **67** (1996), 302–307.
18. M. Langenbruch, *Continuation of Gevrey regularity for solutions of partial differential operators*, in *Functional analysis*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 249–280.
19. ———, *Surjective partial differential operators on spaces of ultradifferentiable functions of Roumieu type*, *Result. Math.* **29** (1996), 254–275.
20. ———, *Surjectivity of partial differential operators on Gevrey classes and extensions of regularity*, *Math. Nachr.* **196** (1998), 103–140.
21. V.P. Palamodov, *Homological methods in the theory of locally convex spaces*, *Russian Math. Surveys* **26** (1971), 1–64.
22. P. Pérez Carreras and J. Bonet, *Barrelled locally convex spaces*, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 131, Amsterdam, 1987.
23. J. Taskinen, *Examples of non-distinguished Fréchet spaces*, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **14** (1989), 75–88.
24. M. Valdivia, *A characterization of totally reflexive spaces*, *Math. Z.* **200** (1989), 327–346.
25. D. Vogt, *Regularity properties of (LF)-spaces*, in *Progress in functional analysis*, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 170, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 57–84.
26. J. Wengenroth, *Retractive (LF)-space*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Trier, 1995.
27. ———, *Acyclic inductive spectra of Fréchet spaces*, *Studia Math.* **120** (1996), 247–258.
28. ———, *Derived functors in functional analysis*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1810, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI LECCE, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “E. DE GIORGI,” VIA PER ARNESANO, I-73100 LECCE, ITALY  
*E-mail address:* [angela.albanese@unile.it](mailto:angela.albanese@unile.it)

UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, E.T.S. ARQUITECTURA, E-46071 VALENCIA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* [jbonet@mat.upv.es](mailto:jbonet@mat.upv.es)