

## LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS OF TENSOR PRODUCTS PRESERVING A FIXED RANK

D. Ž. DJOKOVIĆ

**In this paper  $T$  is a linear transformation from a tensor product  $X \otimes Y$  into  $U \otimes V$ , where  $X, Y, U, V$  are vector spaces over an infinite field  $F$ . The main result gives a characterization of surjective transformations  $T$  for which there is a positive integer  $k$  ( $k < \dim U, k < \dim V$ ) such that whenever  $z \in X \otimes Y$  has rank  $k$  then also  $Tz \in U \otimes V$  has rank  $k$ . It is shown that  $T = A \otimes B$  or  $T = S \circ (C \otimes D)$  where  $A, B, C, D$  are appropriate linear isomorphisms and  $S$  is the canonical isomorphism of  $V \otimes U$  onto  $U \otimes V$ .**

Let  $F$  be an infinite field and  $X, Y, U, V$  vector spaces over  $F$ . We denote by  $T$  a linear transformation of the tensor product  $X \otimes Y$  into  $U \otimes V$ . The rank of a tensor  $z \in X \otimes Y$  is denoted by  $\rho(z)$ . By definition  $\rho(0) = 0$ . The subspace of  $X$  spanned by the vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  will be denoted by  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $z \in X \otimes Y$  and  $\rho(z) = k$  imply that  $\rho(Tz) = k$ . Then  $\rho(z) \leq k$  implies that  $\rho(Tz) \leq k$  for all  $z$ .*

*Proof.* If this is not true then for some  $z \in X \otimes Y, z \neq 0$ , we have  $\rho(z) < k$  and  $\rho(Tz) > k$ . There exists  $t \in X \otimes Y$  such that  $\rho(t) + \rho(z) = k$  and moreover  $\rho(z + \lambda t) = k$  for all  $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda \in F$ . Let

$$Tz : \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \otimes v_i, \quad m = \rho(Tz).$$

Since  $u_i \in U$  are linearly independent and also  $v_i \in V$  we can consider them as contained in a basis of  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively. The matrix of coordinates of  $Tz$  has the form

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} I_m & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where  $I_m$  is the identity  $m \times m$  matrix. Let

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} A_m & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right)$$

be the matrix of coordinates of  $Tt$ . Then the minor  $|I_m + \lambda A_m|$  of the matrix of  $T(z + \lambda t)$  has the form

$$1 + \alpha_1\lambda + \alpha_2\lambda^2 + \dots .$$

Since  $F$  is infinite we can choose  $\lambda \neq 0$  so that  $|I_m + \lambda A_m| \neq 0$ . For this value of  $\lambda$  we have

$$\rho(z + \lambda t) = k , \quad \rho(T(z + \lambda t)) \geq m > k$$

which contradicts our assumption. This proves the lemma.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $z \in X \otimes Y$  and  $\rho(z) \leq k$  imply  $\rho(Tz) \leq k$ . If  $T$  is surjective and  $k < \dim U, k < \dim V$  then  $\rho(z) \geq \rho(Tz)$  for all  $z$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that for some  $z$  we have  $\rho(z) < \rho(Tz)$ . Clearly, we can assume in addition that  $\rho(z) = 1$ . Therefore  $k > 1$ . By assumption  $\rho(z) \leq k$  implies that  $\rho(Tz) \leq k$ . Let  $s \leq k$  be the maximal integer such that there exists  $z \in X \otimes Y$  satisfying  $\rho(z) < s$  and  $\rho(Tz) = s$ . Let

$$Tz = \sum_{i=1}^s u_i \otimes v_i .$$

We can choose  $u_{s+1} \in U, v_{s+1} \in V$  such that  $u_{s+1} \notin \langle u_1, \dots, u_s \rangle$  and  $v_{s+1} \notin \langle v_1, \dots, v_s \rangle$ . Since  $u_i \in U$  are linearly independent and  $v_i \in V$  also linearly independent we can assume that these vectors are contained in a basis of  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively. Since  $T$  is surjective there exists  $t \in X \otimes Y$  such that  $\rho(t) = 1$  and the  $(s+1, s+1)$ -coordinate  $a_{s+1, s+1}$  of  $Tt$  is nonzero. The minor of order  $s+1$  in the upper left corner of the matrix of  $T(z + \lambda t)$  has the form

$$a_{s+1, s+1}\lambda + \alpha_2\lambda^2 + \dots .$$

Since  $a_{s+1, s+1} \neq 0$  we can choose  $\lambda \neq 0$  so that the minor is nonzero. For this value of  $\lambda$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z + \lambda t) &\leq \rho(z) + 1 \leq s \leq k , \\ \rho(T(z + \lambda t)) &\geq s + 1 . \end{aligned}$$

If  $s = k$  this contradicts our assumption. If  $s < k$  this contradicts the maximality of  $s$ . Hence, Lemma 2 is proved.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $z \in X \otimes Y$  and  $\rho(z) = k$  imply that  $\rho(Tz) = k$ . If  $T$  is surjective and  $k < \dim U, k < \dim V$  then  $\rho(z) = \rho(Tz)$  for each  $z \in X \otimes Y$  satisfying  $\rho(z) \leq k$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion is trivial if  $\rho(z) = 0$  or  $k$ . Let  $0 < \rho(z) < k$ . Choose  $t \in X \otimes Y$  such that

$$\rho(z + t) = \rho(z) + \rho(t) = k .$$

Using this and Lemmas 1 and 2 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(T(z + t)) &= \rho(Tz + Tt) = k , \\ \rho(Tz) + \rho(Tt) &\geq k , \\ \rho(Tz) + \rho(t) &\geq k , \\ \rho(Tz) &\geq \rho(z) . \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 2,  $\rho(Tz) \leq \rho(z)$  we are ready.

The following Theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3 and Theorem 3.4 of [3]:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $z \in X \otimes Y$  and  $\rho(z) = k$  imply that  $\rho(Tz) = k$ . If  $T$  is surjective and  $k < \dim U$ ,  $k < \dim V$  then*

$$(1) \quad T = A \otimes B ,$$

or

$$(2) \quad T = S \circ (C \otimes D) ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A : X \rightarrow U , \quad B : Y \rightarrow V , \\ C : X \rightarrow V , \quad D : Y \rightarrow U , \end{aligned}$$

are bijective linear transformations and  $S$  is the canonical isomorphism of  $V \otimes U$  onto  $U \otimes V$ .

This theorem gives a partial answer to a conjecture of Marcus and Moyls [2].

From Lemma 2 and Theorem 3.4 of [3] we get the following variant:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $z \in X \otimes Y$  and  $\rho(z) \leq k$  imply that  $\rho(Tz) \leq k$ . If  $T$  is bijective and  $k < \dim U$ ,  $k < \dim V$  then (1) or (2) holds.*

When  $X = Y = U = V$ ,  $\dim X = n$ ,  $k = n - 1$  we get a result of Dieudonné [1].

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Dieudonné, *Sur une généralisation du groupe orthogonale à quatre variables*, Archiv der Math. **1** (1948), 282-287.

2. M. Marcus and B. N. Moysls, *Transformations on tensor product spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 1215-1221.
3. R. Westwick, *Transformations on tensor spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **23** (1967), 613-620.

Received August 21, 1968. This work was supported in part by N. R. C. Grant A-5285.

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO