

QUASI-INJECTIVE MODULES AND STABLE TORSION CLASSES

E. P. ARMENDARIZ

In this note we examine the \mathcal{T} -torsion submodule of quasi-injective R -modules, R a ring with unit, where \mathcal{T} is a torsion class in the sense of S. E. Dickson. We show that for a stable torsion class \mathcal{T} , the \mathcal{T} -torsion submodule of any quasi-injective module is a direct summand, while if \mathcal{T} contains all Goldie-torsion modules, then every epimorphic image of a quasi-injective module has its \mathcal{T} -torsion submodule as a direct summand. In addition, we show that for a stable torsion class \mathcal{T} , all \mathcal{T} -torsion-free modules are injective if and only if $R = T(R) \oplus K$ (ring direct sum), with K Artinian semisimple.

All R -modules will be unitary left R -modules. Originally our results were obtained for torsion classes closed under submodules. However, the referee has kindly pointed out how this assumption can be omitted throughout, supplying a proof in the case of Theorem 2.3. We take this opportunity to express our gratitude.

1. Following S. E. Dickson [2], a class \mathcal{T} ($\neq \emptyset$) of R -modules is a *torsion class* if \mathcal{T} is closed under factors, extensions, and arbitrary direct sums. The torsion class \mathcal{T} is *stable* if \mathcal{T} is closed under essential extensions. Every torsion class \mathcal{T} determines in every R -module A a unique maximal \mathcal{T} -submodule $T(A)$, the *\mathcal{T} -torsion submodule* of A , and $T(A/T(A)) = 0$, i.e., $A/T(A)$ is *\mathcal{T} -torsion-free*. The R -module A *splits* if $T(A)$ is a direct summand of A . For further properties of torsion classes the reader is referred to [6].

The class \mathcal{G} of Goldie-torsion modules is the smallest torsion class containing all factor modules A/B where B is essential in A , and their isomorphic copies. As shown in [1], $\mathcal{G} = \{A \mid Z_2(A) = A\}$ where $Z_1(A) =$ singular submodule of A and $Z_2(A)/Z_1(A) =$ singular submodule of $A/Z_1(A)$ (see also [4]).

An R -module A is *quasi-injective* provided every homomorphism from any submodule of A into A can be extended to an endomorphism of A . For any R -module A , $E(A)$ will denote the injective envelope of A .

2. The proof of the following is straightforward and so will be omitted.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If the torsion class \mathcal{T} is stable then every*

injective R -module splits. If \mathcal{T} is closed under submodules, the converse holds and either condition is equivalent to $T(E(A)) = E(T(A))$ for all R -modules A .

The next lemma can be found in [5, Proposition 2.3].

LEMMA 2.2. *If A is a quasi-injective R -module and $E(A) = M \oplus N$ then $A = (M \cap A) \oplus (N \cap A)$.*

We now have

THEOREM 2.3. *Let \mathcal{T} be a stable torsion class. Then every quasi-injective R -module A splits, $A = T(A) \oplus N$ where N is quasi-injective and \mathcal{T} -torsion-free.*

Proof. Choose a submodule N of A maximal with respect to $T(A) \cap N = 0$. Then $E(A) = E(T(A)) \oplus E(N)$, hence by Lemma 2.2, $A = A \cap E(T(A)) \oplus A \cap E(N)$. Since \mathcal{T} is stable $A \cap E(T(A)) = T(A)$ and hence $A = T(A) \oplus N$ with $N = A \cap E(N)$ quasi-injective and \mathcal{T} -torsion-free.

Since the class \mathcal{G} of Goldie-torsion modules is stable, it follows that $G(A)$ is a direct summand of A whenever A is quasi-injective; this was obtained by M. Harada in [5, Th. 1.7].

Let \mathcal{T} be a torsion class; a submodule B of an R -module A is \mathcal{T} -closed if $T(A/B) = 0$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let \mathcal{T} be a torsion class and let B be a \mathcal{T} -closed submodule of the R -module A . If M is any R -module and $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, A)$ then $N = f^{-1}(B)$ is \mathcal{T} -closed in M .*

Proof. If C/N is a \mathcal{T} -submodule of M/N then $f(C)/B$ is a \mathcal{T} -submodule of A/B . Hence $f(C) \subseteq B$ since B is \mathcal{T} -closed and so N is \mathcal{T} -closed.

If \mathcal{T} is a torsion class containing the class \mathcal{G} , then a \mathcal{T} -closed submodule B of the R -module A has no essential extension in A ; hence if A is quasi-injective then B is a direct summand of A by [3, Corollary 3, p. 24]. Another way of showing this has been suggested by the referee: Choose K maximal in A with respect to $K \cap B = 0$. Then $E(A) = E(K) \oplus E(B)$ so $A = (A \cap E(K)) \oplus (A \cap E(B)) = K \oplus (A \cap E(B))$. Now $A/B \cong K \oplus (A \cap E(B))/B$ and since $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, $A \cap E(B) = B$.

THEOREM 2.5. *If \mathcal{T} is a torsion class containing \mathcal{G} , and the R -module A is an epimorphic image of a quasi-injective R -module then A splits.*

Proof. Let M be quasi-injective, $f: M \rightarrow A$ an epimorphism. Then $T(A)$ is a \mathcal{T} -closed submodule of A , hence by Lemma 2.4, $N = f^{-1}(T(A))$ is \mathcal{T} -closed in M . By the previous remark, N is a direct summand of M , say $M = N \oplus P$. Then $f(P) \cap T(A) = 0$ and so $A = T(A) \oplus f(P)$.

We note that the previous theorem is a generalization of [7, Th. 1.1] and the method employed is that of [8, Th. 2.10].

3. In [1, Th. 3.1] it was shown that a ring $R = G(R) \oplus K$ (ring direct sum), where K is semisimple with minimum condition, if and only if all \mathcal{G} -torsion-free modules are injective. In this section we prove this result for any stable torsion class \mathcal{T} .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $R = S \oplus K$, where S is semisimple with minimum condition. Then any R -module A satisfying $KA = 0$ is an injective R -module.*

Proof. If $KA = 0$ then A is an injective S -module. Let I be a left ideal of R ; then $I = S_1 \oplus K_1$ where $S_1 \subseteq S, K_1 \subseteq K$ are left ideals of R . Also $1 = u + v, u \in S, v \in K$. If $f: I \rightarrow A$ is an R -homomorphism, then for any $b \in K_1, 0 = vf(b) = f(b)$. There is an S -homomorphism $g: S \rightarrow A$ coinciding with f on I , and this yields an R -homomorphism $g^*: R \rightarrow A$ coinciding with f on I if we define $g^*(s + k) = g^*(s)$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let \mathcal{T} be a stable torsion class. Then all \mathcal{T} -torsion-free R -modules are injective if and only if $R = T(R) \oplus K$, where K is a semisimple ring with minimum condition.*

Proof. Assume A is injective whenever $T(A) = 0$. Since the class \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{T} -torsion-free modules is closed under submodules [2], every submodule of any $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is injective, hence is a direct summand, and so every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is completely reducible. Let M be any R -module and assume $T(M) \neq 0$. If $T(M)$ is essential in M then $T(M) = M$, since \mathcal{F} is stable. Otherwise select B maximal relative to $B \cap T(M) = 0$. Then $T(B) = 0$ and so B is injective. Thus $M = B \oplus U$. Now $M/U \cong B \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $T(M) \subseteq U$ by [2, Proposition 2.4]. The maximal property of B ensures that $T(M)$ is essential in U and so $U = T(M)$. In particular $R = T(R) \oplus K$, where K is a completely reducible R -module since $K \in \mathcal{F}$. The decomposition is two-sided since right multiplications are R -homomorphisms and both classes \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} are closed under factors.

Conversely, assume $R = T(R) \oplus K$, where K is a semi-simple ring with minimum condition. We note that for any R -module A , if $T(A) = 0$ then $T(R)A = 0$. For if $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $0 \neq a \in A$ then $T(R)a$ is an epimorphic image of $T(R)$ and so $T(R)a \subseteq T(A) = 0$. That every \mathcal{T} -torsion-free module is injective now follows from Lemma 3.1.

We conclude with the following example. Let R be the ring of lower triangular 2×2 matrices over a finite field and let \mathcal{T} be the smallest torsion class containing all projective simple R -modules. Note that \mathcal{T} contains nonzero R -modules since every simple in the socle of R is in \mathcal{T} . Moreover R is a hereditary Artinian ring with $(\text{rad } R)^2 = 0$ so by [9, Theorem B] every nonprojective simple is injective. Since R is not semisimple, it has nonzero \mathcal{T} -torsion-free R -modules. If $T(A) = 0$ for an R -module $A \neq 0$ then $\text{socle}(A)$ contains no projective simples. Since R is Noetherian and $\text{socle}(A)$ is essential in A , A is injective. It is readily verified that $\text{socle}(R) = T(R)$. Thus the condition that T be stable is needed in Theorem 3.2, even when T is closed under submodules.

REFERENCES

1. J. S. Alin and S. E. Dickson *Goldie's torsion theory and its derived functor*, Pacific J. Math. **24** (1968), 195-203.
2. S. E. Dickson, *A torsion theory for Abelian categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1966), 223-235.
3. C. Faith, *Lectures on injective modules and quotient rings*, **49**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
4. A. W. Goldie, *Torsion free modules and rings*, J. Algebra **1** (1964), 268-287.
5. M. Harada, *Note on quasi-injective modules*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 351-356.
6. J. P. Jans, *Some aspects of torsion*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 1249-1259.
7. E. Matlis, *Divisible modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 385-391.
8. F. L. Sandomierski, *Semisimple maximal quotient rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (1967), 112-120.
9. A. Zaks, *Simple modules and hereditary rings*, Pacific J. Math. **26** (1968), 627-630.

Received October 7, 1968.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
AUSTIN, TEXAS