STRATIFIABLE SPACES, SEMI-STRATIFIABLE SPACES, AND THEIR RELATION THROUGH MAPPINGS

MICHAEL HENRY

It is shown that the image of a stratifiable space under a pseudo-open compact mapping is semi-stratifiable. By strengthening the mapping from compact to finite-to-one the following results are also obtained. The image of a semistratifiable (semi-metric) space under an open finite-to-one mapping is semi-stratifiable (semi-metric).

Notation and terminology will follow that of Dugundji [6]. By a neighborhood of a set A, we will mean an open set containing A, and all mappings will be continuous and surjective.

DEFINITION 1.1. A topological space X is a stratifiable space if, to each open set $U \subset X$, one can assign a sequence $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open subsets of X such that

- (a) $\overline{U}_n \subset U$,
- $(b) \quad U_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = U,$
- (c) $U_n \subset V_n$ whenever $U \subset V_n$.

DEFINITION 1.2. A topological space X is a semi-stratifiable space if, to each open set $U \subset X$, one can assign a sequence $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of closed subsets of X such that

- $(\mathbf{a}) \quad U_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = U,$
- (b) $U_n \subset V_n$ whenever $U \subset V_n$.

Ceder [3] introduced M_3 -spaces and Borges [2] renamed them "stratifiable", while Creede [4] studied semi-stratifiable spaces. A correspondence $U \rightarrow \{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a *stratification* (semi-stratification) for the space X whenever it satisfies the conditions of Definition 1.1 (1.2).

LEMMA 1.3. A space X is stratifiable if and only if to each closed subset $F \subset X$ one can assign a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of open subsets of X such that

(c) $U_n \subset V_n$ whenever $U \subset V_n$.

LEMMA 1.4. A space X is semi-stratifiable if and only if to each closed set $F \subset X$ one can assign a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of open subsets of X such that

(a) $F \subset U_n$ for each n, (b) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = F$ (c) $U_n \subset V_n$ whenever $U \subset V$.

A correspondence $F \to \{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a *dual stratification* (semi-stratification) for the space X whenever it satisfies the three conditions of Lemma 1.3 (1.4). For convenience in the proofs which will be encountered, each member in the range of a correspondence will also be called a dual stratification (semi-stratification) of the closed set to which it is associated.

2. Mappings from stratifiable spaces. We now exhibit a natural way in which semi-stratifiable spaces may arise.

DEFINITION 2.1. A mapping $f: X \to Y$ is pseudo-open if for each $y \in Y$ and any neighborhood U of $f^{-1}(y)$, it follows that $y \in \text{int } [f(U)]$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A mapping $f: X \to Y$ is compact if $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact for each $y \in Y$.

THEOREM 2.3. If X is stratifiable and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a pseudo-open compact mapping, then Y is semi-stratifiable.

Proof. Let $F \subset Y$ be a closed set. Then $f^{-1}(F)$ is closed in X and, hence, by Lemma 1.3, has a dual stratification $\{U_n\}$. We will show that the correspondence $F \to \{\inf[f(U_n)]\}$ is a dual semi-stratification for Y by proving that the collections $\{\inf[f(U_n)]\}$ satisfy the requirements of Lemma 1.4.

Part (c) of Lemma 1.4 is easily shown to be satisfied. For if F and G are closed subsets of Y such that $F \subset G$, then $f^{-1}(F) \subset f^{-1}(G)$, and denoting the dual stratifications of $f^{-1}(F)$ and $f^{-1}(G)$ by $\{U_n\}$ and $\{V_n\}$, respectively, we must have by Lemma 1.3(c) that $U_n \subset V_n$ for each n. Therefore, $\inf[f(U_n)] \subset \inf[f(V_n)]$.

With regard to part (a), it follows that $F \subset \inf[f(U_n)]$ for each n. This is because each U_n is a neighborhood of $f^{-1}(y)$ for every $y \in F$, and therefore $y \in \inf[f(U_n)]$ for every $y \in F$ by hypothesis of f being a pseudo-open mapping.

All that remains to be shown is that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{int}[f(U_n)] = F$, and this will verify (b). From the preceding paragraph we know that $F \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{int}[f(U_n)]$. To get inclusion in the reverse direction, assume $z \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{int}[f(U_n)]$. Then $z \in \operatorname{int}[f(U_n)]$ for every *n*; hence, there exist points $x_n \in U_n$ such that $f(x_n) = z$. Since *f* is a compact mapping, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has an accumulation point *x*. Therefore, given any

698

neighborhood V of x, there exist infinitely many integers n_i such that $x_{n_i} \in V$. Thus, V has a nonempty intersection with infinitely many U_n , and since we may assume that the collection $\{U_n\}$ is descending, this implies that $V \cap U_n \neq \phi$ for every n. That is, $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}_n$. But $\{U_n\}$ was a dual stratification for $f^{-1}(F)$ which implies that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}_n = f^{-1}(F)$. Thus, $x \in f^{-1}(F)$ and $f(x) \in F$. Furthermore, f(x) = z because $x \in \{\overline{x_n}\}$ and $\{\overline{x_n}\} \subset \overline{f^{-1}(z)} = f^{-1}(z)$. Hence, $z \in F$ and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 2.4. If X is a stratifiable space and $f: X \to Y$ is an open compact mapping, then Y is a metacompact semi-stratifiable space.

Proof. The image of a paracompact space under an open compact mapping is metacompact by Theorem 4 of [1]. Since open mappings are pseudo-open, Y is also semi-stratifiable.

If the converse of Theorem 2.3 is true, then another characterization of semi-stratifiable spaces is available. Also, Corollary 2.4 is an analogue of the well-known result that an open compact image of a metric space is a space having a uniform base (metacompact and developable).

3. Mappings from semi-stratifiable and semi-metrizable spaces. Semi-stratifiable and semi-metrizable spaces are closely related in the sense that a first countable semi-stratifiable space is semi-metrizable, and conversely [4, Corollary 1.4]. Creede showed that semi-stratifiable spaces are preserved under closed mappings, but a similar result is not true for semi-metric spaces since there is no guarantee that the image will be first countable, even if the domain is a separable metric. Nor is the property of being semi-metrizable transmitted under an open mapping, for in this case, Creede [5, Theorem 3.4] has exhibited a non-semistratifiable Hausdorff space which is the open image of a separable metric space. However, by placing a suitable restriction on an open mapping, a class of open mappings can be found in which members preserve both semi-stratifiable and semimetric spaces.

THEOREM 3.1. If X is semi-stratifiable and $f: X \to Y$ is a pseudoopen finite-to-one mapping, then Y is semi-stratifiable.

Proof. Let $F \subset Y$ be an arbitrary closed set. Then $f^{-1}(F)$ is closed in X and has a dual semi-stratification $\{U_n\}$. We will use

Lemma 1.4 to show that the correspondence $F \rightarrow {int[f(U_n)]}$ is a dual semi-stratification for Y.

Parts (a) and (c) are verified in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 2.3. To verify (b), assume $z \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \inf[f(U_n)]$. Then there exist points $x_n \in U_n$ such that $f(x_n) = z$ for every n. Since fis a finite-to-one mapping, there exists an integer m such that $x_m \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$. But $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = f^{-1}(F)$ which implies that $x_m \in f^{-1}(F)$. Hence, $z \in F$ and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 3.2. The image of a semi-stratifiable space under an open finite-to-one mapping is semi-stratifiable.

COROLLARY 3.3. The image of a semi-metric space under an open finite-to-one mapping is semi-metrizable.

References

1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, On mappings of metric spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl., 3 (1962), 953-956.

2. C. Borges, On stratifiable spaces, Pacific J. Math., 17 (1966), 1-16.

3. J. Ceder, Some generalizations of metric spaces, Pacific J. Math., 11 (1961), 105-125.

4. G. Creede, Concerning semi-stratifiable spaces, Pacific J. Math., 32 (1970), 47-54.

5. _____, Semi-stratifiable spaces and a factorization of a metrization theorem due

to Bing, Ph. D. thesis in Mathematics, Arizona State University, 1968.

6. J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1966.

Received August 26, 1970 and in revised form November 2, 1970. This research was supported by a TCU Research Fellowship and represents a portion of the author's doctoral dissertation, which was begun the direction of the late Professor H. Tamano and completed under D. R. Traylor and Howard Cook.

TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY