

COMPLEX CHEBYSHEV ALTERATIONS

S. J. POREDA

P. Chebyshev's famous Alternation Theorem for best uniform approximation to continuous real valued functions on an interval is generalized to include best approximation to a class of continuous complex valued functions on an ellipse.

1. Preliminary remarks and definitions. For a continuous complex valued function f defined on a compact set E in the plane and, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $p_n(f, E)$ denote the polynomial of degree n , of best uniform approximation to f on E and let;

$$\rho_n(f, E) = \max_{z \in E} |f(z) - p_n(f, E)(z)|.$$

Chebyshev's Alternation Theorem [1, p. 29] states that if f is a continuous real valued function on an interval $[a, b]$, and p_n is a polynomial of degree n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $p_n = p_n(f, [a, b])$ if and only if, there exists $n + 2$ points,

$\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n+2}$, $a \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n+2} \leq b$, with the property that $|f(x) - p_n(x)|$ attains its maximum on $[a, b]$ at these points and $f(x_i) - p_n(x_i) = -[f(x_{i+1}) - p_n(x_{i+1})]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$.

The sets we consider here are ellipses which are of course a generalization of intervals. So, for $a \geq 0$, let $E_a = \{z + a/z : |z| = 1\}$. Now let $\mathcal{F}_n(E_n)$ denote those complex valued functions f , not themselves polynomials of degree n , continuous on E_a , having the property that there exists $n + 2$ points $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{n+2}$ in E_a , such that $p_n(f, E_n) = p_n(f, \{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{n+2})$. It is known [1, p. 22] that there always exists a set $D \subset E_a$, consisting of $n + k$ points, $2 \leq k \leq n + 3$, such that $p_n(f, E_a) = p_n(f, D)$. Furthermore, to this author's knowledge, every example of best uniform approximation to rational functions on infinite sets in the plane (e.g., [3], [4] and [5]) is one in which such a set consisting of $n + 2$ points exists or, can be shown equivalent to such an example.

2. Main theorem. Given $n + 2$ points $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{n+2}$ in E_a let z_k be such that $\xi_k = z_k + a/z_k$, $|z_k| = 1$ and if $a = 1$, $0 \leq \text{Arg } z_k \leq \pi$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 2$. The z'_k s are uniquely determined. Now let

$$\Phi_k = z_k^{-n/2} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{n+2} [(z_k z_j - a) / |z_k z_j - a|]$$

$k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 2$ where $0 \leq \arg z^{1/2} < \pi$.

THEOREM 1. *If f is continuous on E_a and p_n is a polynomial of degree n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_n(E_a)$ and $p_n = p_n(f, E_a)$ if and only if there exists $n + 2$ points $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{n+2}$ in E_a , with $0 \leq \text{Arg } \xi_1 < \text{Arg } \xi_2 < \dots < \text{Arg } \xi_{n+2} < 2\pi$ if $a \neq 1$ or $-2 \leq \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_{n+2} \leq 2$ if $a = 1$, where $|f(\xi) - p_n(\xi)|$ attains its maximum on E_a and, $[f(\xi_i) - p_n(\xi_i)]/\Phi_i = -[f(\xi_{i+1}) - p_n(\xi_{i+1})]/\Phi_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ where the Φ_i 's are defined in terms of the ξ_i 's as above.*

Proof. In order to prove our theorem we make use of a lemma which is a reformulation of a result [2] due to T. S. Motzkin and J. L. Walsh.

LEMMA. *A necessary and sufficient condition that the given numbers $\{\sigma_k\}_{k=1}^{n+2}$ be the deviations of some function f defined on the $n + 2$ points $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{n+2}$ and its polynomial of degree n of best uniform approximation to f on these points is that for some $\rho \geq 0$;*

- (1) $|\sigma_k| = \rho$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 2$ and,
- (2) $\arg \sigma_k = \arg \omega'(\xi_k) + \theta_0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 2$ if $\rho > 0$ where

$$\omega(\xi) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+2} (\xi - \xi_k) \text{ and } \theta_0 = \arg \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n+2} f(\xi_k)/\omega'(\xi_k) \right].$$

The necessary portion of our theorem will then follow if it is shown that;

$$(2.1) \quad \arg\{[\omega'(\xi_i)/\Phi_i]/[\omega'(\xi_{i+1})/\Phi_{i+1}]\} = \pi \text{ for}$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$. Now substituting $z_j + a/z_j$ for ξ_j and using the definition of the Φ_j 's we can show the (2.1) is equivalent to;

$$(2.2) \quad \arg\left\{ (z_{i+1}^{n/2}/z_i^{n/2}) \prod_{\substack{j \neq i, i+1 \\ j=1}}^{n+2} [(z_i - z_j)/(z_{i+1} - z_j)] \right\} = 0.$$

But, (2.2) follows since z_i and z_{i+1} are by virtue of their definition adjacent on the unit circle U (i.e., z_i and z_{i+1} are on a connected arc in U containing none of the other z_j 's) and since; $\arg (z_{i+1}/z_i) = -2 \arg [z_i - z_j]/(z_{i+1} - z_j)]$ for $j \neq i, i + 1$.

In order to prove the converse of our theorem we simply work backwards and show that; $\arg [f(\xi_k) - P_n(\xi_k)] = \arg \omega'(\xi_k) + \theta_0$ for some θ_0 and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n + 2$ and apply the aforementioned result of Motzkin and Walsh.

3. Special cases and applications. Chebyshev's Alternation Theorem follows as a special case of Theorem 1, when $a = 1$, since it is known [1, p. 22] that all real functions, not themselves polynomials of degree n , continuous on $[-2, 2]$ are in the class $\mathcal{F}_n([-2, 2])$.

Also of interest because of its simple form is the case where $a = 0$ or $E_a = U$ is the unit circle and where n is even. In this case our main theorem appears to provide us with a valuable tool in determining if a given function f is in $\mathcal{F}_{2m}(U)$ and if it is, in finding $p_{2m}(f, U)$.

COROLLARY 1. *If f is continuous on U and p_{2m} is a polynomial of degree $2m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $f \in \mathcal{F}_{2m}(U)$ and $p_{2m} = p_{2m}(f, U)$ if and only if there exists $2m + 2$ points, $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^{2m+2}$, with $0 \leq \text{Arg } z_1 < \dots < \text{Arg } z_{2m+2} < 2\pi$ where $|f(z) - p_{2m}(z)|$ attains its maximum on U and where $[f(z_k) - p_{2m}(z_k)]/z_k^m = -[f(z_{k+1}) - p_{2m}(z_{k+1})]/z_{k+1}^m$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2m + 1$.*

Corollary 1 can be used to obtain a recently discovered example of best approximation [3], namely, if $f(z) = (\alpha z + \beta)/(z - a)(1 - \bar{a}z)$, $|a| > 1$, then;

$$p_{2m}(f, U)(z) = [\alpha z + \beta - K_1 z^{2m}(1 - \bar{a}z)^2 - K_2(z - a)^2]/(z - a)(1 - \bar{a}z),$$

where

$$K_1 = (\alpha a + \beta)/a^{2m}(1 - |a|^2)^2$$

and,

$$K_2 = \bar{a}(\alpha + \beta\bar{a})/(1 - |a|^2)^2 .$$

REFERENCES

1. G. G. Lorentz, *Approximation of Functions*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1966.
2. T. S. Motzkin and J. L. Walsh, *On the derivative of a polynomial and Chebyshev approximation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **4** (1953), 76-87.
3. S. J. Poreda, *An example of best uniform approximation in the complex plane*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., **17** (1970), 569.
4. T. J. Rivlin, *Some explicit polynomial approximations in the complex domain*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73** (1967), 467-469.
5. T. J. Rivlin and H. S. Weiss, *Some best polynomial approximations in the plane*, Duke Math. J., **35** (1968), 475-482.

Received December 4, 1970. This work represents part of the author's doctoral dissertation that was directed by Professor Michael Zedek at the University of Maryland.

CLARK UNIVERSITY

