

APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES OF POLYNOMIALS WITH BOUNDED INTEGER COEFFICIENTS

V. DROBOT AND S. McDONALD

For every fixed positive integer N , let \mathcal{P}_N denote the set of all polynomials $p(x) = \sum a_i x^i$ where a_i is an integer, $|a_i| \leq N$. For a fixed real number t set $\mathcal{P}_N(t) = \{p(t) : p \in \mathcal{P}_N\}$.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $1 < t < N + 1$ and t is not a root of map of the polynomials from \mathcal{P}_N . Then $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$ is dense in \mathbf{R} .*

THEOREM 2. *If t is an S -number then $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$ is discrete for every N .*

1. For every fixed positive integer N , let \mathcal{P}_N denote the set of all polynomials $p(x)$ with integer coefficients, $p = \sum a_i x^i$, such that $|a_i| \leq N$. For a fixed real number t set

$$\mathcal{P}_N(t) = \{p(t) : p \in \mathcal{P}_N\}.$$

It was shown in [1] that if $N = 1$, t is a number such that $1 < t < 2$ and t is not a root of any of the polynomials from \mathcal{P}_1 then the set $\mathcal{P}_1(t)$ is dense in the real line. (It is fairly easy to see that if $t \notin (1, N + 1)$, $t > 0$ than $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$ cannot be dense in \mathbf{R}). At the same time it was shown that $\mathcal{P}_1(1/2(1 + \sqrt{5}))$ is discrete. As far as we know this is the only known example of N and $t \in (1, N + 1)$ such that $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$ is discrete. In this paper we prove two extensions of these results. The first is a straightforward generalization of [1]:

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $1 < t < N + 1$ and t is not a root of any of the polynomials from \mathcal{P}_N . Then $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$ is dense in \mathbf{R} .*

The second result is more intriguing and has a curious connection with what is known as $P - V$ numbers or S -numbers ($P - V$ numbers for Pisot-Vijayaragharan, see [2] for details).

DEFINITION. A number $t > 1$ is called a $P - V$ number if it is an algebraic integer and all of its conjugates have absolute value strictly less than 1.

THEOREM 2. *If t is a $P - V$ number then $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$ is discrete for every N .*

It follows, for instance, that $\mathcal{P}_N(1/2(1 + \sqrt{5}))$ is discrete for all N , not just $N = 1$.

Let $\|s\|$ denote the distance from s to the nearest integer. A number θ is said to have property (P) if for some $\lambda > 1$, $\|\lambda\theta^n\| \rightarrow 0$. It is known that every $P - V$ number has property (P). A conjecture is raised in [2] as to whether the converse is true: Is every number with property (P) a $P - V$ number? It is known that every algebraic number with property (P) is a $P - V$ number. Thus the conjecture would be settled if one could show that for every number t having property (P), the set $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$ is discrete.

The proof of Theorem 1 is essentially no different from the proof given in [1] for $N = 1$. We proceed with the proof of Theorem 2 now.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose $t > 1$ and 0 is an accumulation point of $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$. Let k, m be any positive integers. There exists polynomial p of the form $p(x) = x^{m_1}f(x)$, $f \in \mathcal{P}_N$, $m_1 > m$ such that*

$$t^{-k-1} \leq p(t) < t^{-k}.$$

Proof. Let $r(x)$ be a polynomial in \mathcal{P}_N such that

$$0 < r(t) < t^{-k-m_1}.$$

Let m_1 be the smallest integer such that

$$t^{-k-m_1-1} < r(t).$$

Then $m_1 > m$ and $r(t) < t^{-k-m_1}$. Thus

$$t^{-k-1} < t^{m_1}r(t) \leq t^{-k}.$$

LEMMA 2. *Suppose $t > 1$ and 0 is an accumulation point of $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$. Then $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$ is dense in \mathbf{R} .*

Proof. Let $u > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ be fixed. Let k be so large that the interval $[t^{-k-1}, t^{-k}]$ has length less than η . There is a sequence of polynomials p_1, p_2, \dots , having no common terms $\alpha_j x^j$ such that

$$t^{-k-1} < p_n(t) \leq t^{-k}.$$

This follows by applying Lemma 1 with fixed k and making m_1 larger and larger. If

$$q_m(t) = p_1(t) + \dots + p_m(t)$$

then $q_m(t) > mt^{-k}$, so $q_m(t) \rightarrow \infty$. Hence for some m , $q_m(t)$ will be inside the interval $[u - \eta, u - \eta]$. Since u and η were arbitrary, the result follows.

Proof of Theorem 2. It is enough to show that $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$ is not dense for any $N = 1, 2, \dots$. Indeed, suppose this is done and assume that $\mathcal{P}_{N_0}(t)$ is not discrete. Then clearly $\mathcal{P}_{2N_0}(t)$ has 0 as an accumulation point and by Lemma 2 is dense. To show $\mathcal{P}_N(t)$ is not dense for any N we argue as follows. Let

$$t = t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p$$

be all the roots of the irreducible monic polynomial of t , and let

$$\sigma = \max\{|t_2|, |t_3|, \dots, |t_p|\}$$

so that $0 < \sigma < 1$. For any k

$$t^k + t_2^k + \dots + t_p^k$$

is an integer, hence

$$|t^k - \text{integer}| \leq |t_2|^k + \dots + |t_p|^k \leq (p-1)\sigma^k.$$

Let $p(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_j x^j$ be a polynomial in \mathcal{P}_N . Then

$$t^k p(t) = \sum_{n=0}^j \alpha_n t^{k+n}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |t^k p(t) - \text{integer}| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^j |\alpha_n| (p-1)\sigma^{k+n} \\ &\leq N(p-1) \frac{\sigma^k}{1-\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose k so large that the right hand side is less than $1/3$.

Then

$$\left| p(t) - \frac{\text{integer}}{t^k} \right| < \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{t^k}$$

or, if the integer is odd

$$\left| p(t) - 1/2 \frac{\text{integer}}{t^k} \right| \geq \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{t^k}$$

for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_N$.

REFERENCES

1. V. Drobot, *On sums of powers of a number*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **80** (1973), 42-44.
2. R. Salem, *Algebraic Numbers and Fourier Analysis*, Boston, 1963.

Received November 10, 1978 and in revised form May 4, 1979.

UNIVERSITY OF SANTA CLARA
SANTA CLARA, CA 95053

AND

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CA 94720