

MOD p DECOMPOSITIONS OF H -SPACES; ANOTHER APPROACH

JOHN McCLEARY

Let M and M' be unstable modules over the mod p Steenrod algebra such that there are spaces Y and Y' with $H^*(Y; Z_p) = U(M)$ and $H^*(Y'; Z_p) = U(M')$. Here $U(\)$ is the free-associative-graded-commutative-unstable algebra functor introduced by Steenrod. Suppose $g: M' \rightarrow M$ is a morphism of unstable modules. We develop an obstruction theory which decides when g can be realized by a map $G: Y_{(p)} \rightarrow Y'_{(p)}$, that is, $g = H^*(G, Z_p)|_{M'}$. We then apply this obstruction theory to obtain p -equivalences of certain H -spaces with products of spheres and sphere bundles over spheres which are determined by the cohomology structure of the H -space.

The decomposition of H -spaces into products of simpler spaces has been extensively studied by various authors [5, 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17]. The problem is to obtain conditions on an arbitrary H -space and a prime p for which $H^*(Y; Z_p)$ completely determines the mod p homotopy type of Y . In [7] Hopf showed that a finite-dimensional H -space is rationally equivalent to a product of odd-dimensional spheres. For a simply-connected Lie group, Serre [15], Kumpel [8] and later Mimura and Toda [14] have provided conditions for which a group is p -equivalent to a product of odd-dimensional spheres and spaces, $B_n(p)$, which are sphere bundles over spheres.

The main thrust of this paper is to describe an obstruction theory, based on techniques of Massey and Peterson [10], which is used to prove

THEOREM A. ([9]). *Let Y be a mod p H -space where*

- (1) $H^*(Y; Z_p)$ is primitively generated,
- (2) $H^*(Y; Z_p) = \Lambda(x_{2n_1+1}, \dots, x_{2n_l+1})$ where $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_l$, and
- (3) $p \geq n_l - n_1 + 2$,

then $Y_{(p)}$ is homotopy equivalent to $S_{(p)}^{2n_1+1} \times S_{(p)}^{2n_2+1} \times \dots \times S_{(p)}^{2n_l+1}$.

THEOREM B. *Let Y be a mod p H -space where*

- (1) $H^*(Y; Z_p)$ is primitively generated,
- (2) $H^*(Y; Z_p) = \Lambda(x_{2n_1+1}, \dots, x_{2n_l+1})$ where $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_l$, and
- (3) $2p > n_l - n_1 + 2$ and $p \geq 5$,

*then $Y_{(p)}$ is homotopy equivalent to the product $\prod_s B_{m_s}(p)_{(p)} \times \prod_t S_{(p)}^{2m_t+1}$ with the numbers m_s and m_t determined by the action of \mathcal{P}^1 on $H^*Y; Z_p$.*

Theorem B includes most cases of theorems proved by Harper [5] and Wilkerson and Zabrodsky [16]. The condition $p \geq 5$ is technical and can be eliminated by other means. We will concentrate on the obstruction theory which arises as follows.

DEFINITION. Let M be a module over the mod p Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}(p)$. We say that M is an *unstable module* if for $p = 2$, $\mathcal{S}^q x = 0$ when $\dim x < i$ and for p odd, $\mathcal{P}^i x = 0$ when $\dim x < 2i$ and $\beta \mathcal{P}^i x = 0$ when $\dim x \leq 2i$. An algebra over $\mathcal{A}(p)$ is *unstable* if it is an unstable module and for $p = 2$, $\mathcal{S}^q x = x^2$ when $\dim x = i$ and for p odd, $\mathcal{P}^i x = x^p$ when $\dim x = 2i$.

Let $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{A}$ denote the categories of unstable modules and unstable algebras with degree-preserving maps. The definitions have been chosen so that $H^*(; Z_p)$ is a contravariant functor: $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{A}$.

The forgetful functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{U}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}$ has an adjoint $U: \mathcal{U}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathcal{A}$ defined by $U(M) = T(M)/D$ where $T(M)$ is the tensor algebra generated by M and D is the ideal generated by elements of the form $x \otimes y - (-1)^{\dim x \dim y} y \otimes x$ and for $p = 2$, $\mathcal{S}^q x - x \otimes x$ when $\dim x = i$, for p odd $\mathcal{P}^i x - x \otimes x \otimes \cdots \otimes x$ (p times) when $\dim x = 2i$. We will call a space *very nice* (following [2]) if $H^*(Y; Z_p) = U(M_Y)$ for some unstable module M_Y . Examples of such spaces include $K(\pi, n)$'s for π finitely generated, odd-dimensional spheres, most H -spaces and a few projective spaces.

Suppose Y and Y' are very nice spaces and $g: M_{Y'} \rightarrow M_Y$ is a morphism of unstable modules. We ask whether there is a continuous function $G: W \rightarrow W'$ such that $H^*(W; Z_p) = H^*(Y; Z_p)$, $H^*(W'; Z_p) = H^*(Y'; Z_p)$ and $G^*|_{M_{Y'}} = g$? If such a function G exists we say that g is *realizable* by G . The obstruction theory provides a series of obstruction sets, $\mathcal{O}_n(g)$, inductively defined and lying in computable groups such that

THEOREM. *There exists a function $G: Y_{(p)} \rightarrow Y'_{(p)}$ realizing g if and only if $0 \in \mathcal{O}_n(g)$ for all n .*

This result has been obtained independently by John Harper using the unstable Adams spectral sequence where the obstructions are not as explicitly identified.

In the first section we will provide a thumbnail sketch of the Massey-Peterson theory providing details where they will be of later use. The second section is a presentation of the obstruction theory and in the third section we give the proofs of Theorems A and B.

The results in this paper include part of my Temple University

doctoral dissertation written under the direction of Dr. James Stasheff. I am grateful to him for his encouragement and guidance.

1. **The Massey-Peterson theory.** Let $M \in \mathcal{UM}$. We define an endomorphism $\lambda: M \rightarrow M$ by $\lambda|_{M^n} = \mathcal{S}q^n$ when $p = 2$ and $\lambda|_{M^{2n}} = \mathcal{P}^n$ and $\lambda|_{M^{2n+1}} = \beta\mathcal{P}^n$ when p is odd. Since λ is an endomorphism this induces an action of $Z_p[\lambda]$ on M . We say that M is a *free λ -module* if M has a homogeneous basis over $Z_p[\lambda]$ or equivalently if for all $x \in M$, $\lambda x = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$. The fact that M is a module over the polynomial algebra $Z_p[\lambda]$ implies that submodules of free λ -modules are also free λ -modules.

The important examples of free λ -modules are $MK(Z, n)$ and $MK(Z_r, n)$ where $r = p^k$ for $k \geq 1$ and $H^*(K(\pi, n); Z_p) = U(MK(\pi, n))$ for $n > 1$.

Using the map λ , we introduce a functor $\Omega: \mathcal{UM} \rightarrow \mathcal{UM}$ defined by the rule $(\Omega M)_k = (M/\lambda M)_{k+1}$. For $f: M \rightarrow N$, a morphism in \mathcal{UM} , f commutes with the action of $\mathcal{A}(p)$ and so $f(\lambda M) \subset \lambda N$. Thus $\Omega f: \Omega M \rightarrow \Omega N$ is well-defined. When π is finitely generated, by considering the Cartan basis one can show that $\Omega MK(\pi, n) = MK(\pi, n - 1)$. In the topological category, $\Omega K(\pi, n) \cong K(\pi, n - 1)$; this motivates the choice of notation.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *If $P \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} R \rightarrow 0$ is exact in \mathcal{UM} , then $\Omega P \xrightarrow{\Omega f} \Omega Q \xrightarrow{\Omega g} \Omega R \rightarrow 0$ is also exact. In addition, if f is a monomorphism and R is a free λ -module then Ωf is also a monomorphism.*

The theorem recorded below is due to Massey and Peterson [10] for the case $p = 2$ and to Barcus [1] for p odd.

Let $\xi_0 = (E_0, p_0, B_0, F)$ be a fibration satisfying

- (a) The system of local coefficients of the fibration is trivial,
- (b) $H^*(F; Z_p) = U(A)$ where $A \subset H^*(F; Z_p)$ consists of transgressive elements.
- (c) E_0 is acyclic and the ideal generated by the extended image of A in $H^*(B_0; Z_p)$ under transgression contains all elements of positive dimension.

By the *extended image* of A we mean the set $\{y_i\} \cup \{\nu y_i\}$ in $H^*(B_0; Z_p)$ where $\nu: A \rightarrow A$ is defined $\nu|_{A^{2n}} = 0$ and $\nu|_{A^{2n}} = \beta\mathcal{P}^n$ and $\{y_i\}$ projects to a basis for the image of the transgression τ in $H^*(B_0; Z_p)/Q$; Q denotes the indeterminacy of τ .

Let $f: B \rightarrow B_0$ be a map and $\xi = (E, p, B, F)$ the induced fibration. Suppose

- (d) $H^*(B_0; Z_p) = U(R)$ and R is a free λ -module,
- (e) $H^*(B; Z_p) = U(Z)$ and $Z = Z_0 \oplus Z_1$ in \mathcal{UM} and Z_0 is a

free λ -module, and

(f) $f^*: H^*(B_0; Z_p) \rightarrow H^*(B; Z_p)$ is such that $f^*(R) \subset Z_0$.

THEOREM 1.2. (*Massey-Peterson-Barcus*). *Given ξ, ξ_0 and $f: B \rightarrow B_0$ satisfying (a) through (f), let $Z' = \text{coker } f^*_R: R \rightarrow Z$ and $R' = \ker f^*|_R$, then as algebras over $Z_p, H^*(E; Z_p) = U(Z') \otimes U(\Omega R')$ and as algebras over $\mathcal{A}(p), H^*(E; Z_p)$ is determined by the short exact sequence in \mathcal{UM} ,*

$$0 \longrightarrow U(Z') \xrightarrow{p^*} N \xrightarrow{i^*} \Omega R' \longrightarrow 0$$

called the fundamental sequence for ξ , where $i: F \rightarrow E$ is the inclusion and N is an $\mathcal{A}(p)$ -submodule that generates $H^*(E; Z_p)$.

For a proof we refer the reader to [10] and [1]. The theorem gives a clear picture of the mod p cohomology of certain fiber spaces. This result will allow us to make certain topological constructions that carry useful algebraic information.

It is an easy consequence of a theorem of Cartan [3] that the module $MK(Z_p, n)$ is the free unstable module on one generator of dimension n . We also have that $MK(Z_p, n)$ is projective in \mathcal{UM} and so we can talk of resolutions of a module in \mathcal{UM} . Suppose Y is a very nice space with $H^*(Y; Z_p) = U(M_Y)$ and $\mathcal{L}(M_Y): 0 \leftarrow M_Y \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} X_0 \xleftarrow{d_0} X_1 \xleftarrow{d_1} \dots$ is a (not necessarily projective) resolution of M_Y by modules which are direct sums of $MK(\pi, n)$'s for $\pi = Z$, or Z_p . Using Theorem 1.2 we construct a tower of fibrations that carries the algebraic information contained in $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$.

By a realization, $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(M_Y))$, of $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$ we will mean a system of principal fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & F_{s-1} & & F_3 & F_2 & F_1 \\
 & & \uparrow f_s & & \uparrow f_3 & \uparrow f_2 & \uparrow f_1 \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{p_s} & E_s & \xrightarrow{p_s^{s-1}} & E_{s-1} & \xrightarrow{p_s^{s-2}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{p_s^2} & E_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2^1} & E_1 & \xrightarrow{p_1^0} & E_0 \\
 & & \uparrow j_s & & \uparrow j_{s-1} & & \uparrow j_2 & & \uparrow j_1 & & & & \\
 & & \Omega F_{s-1} & & \Omega F_{s-2} & & \Omega F_2 & & \Omega F_1 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

that satisfies:

- (1) E_0 and F_i are products of $K(\pi, n)$'s that is, generalized Eilenberg-MacLane spaces ($gEMs$).
- (2) $H^*(E_0; Z_p) = U(X_0), H^*(F_1; Z_p) = U(X_1)$ and $H^*(F_s; Z_p) = U(\Omega^{s-1}X_s)$.
- (3) $f_1^* = d_0, j_s^* \circ f_{s+1}^*: \Omega^s X_{s+1} \rightarrow \Omega^s X_s$ is $\Omega^s d_s$.
- (4) The fibration p_s^{s-1} is induced by the path-loop fibration

over f_s .

(5) $p_i: Y \rightarrow E_i$ is the composition $p_{i+1}^i \circ p_{i+2}^{i+1} \circ \dots \circ p_i^{s-1} \circ p_s$.

(6) $p_0^*|_{X_0}: X_0 \rightarrow M_Y$ is ε .

By using Theorem 1.2 in the construction below we also obtain

(7) $H^*(E_i; Z_p) \cong U(M_Y) \otimes U(\Omega^s \ker d_{s-1})$ as algebras over $\mathcal{A}(p)$.

THEOREM 1.3. *Given Y, M_Y and $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$ as above, there exists a realization of $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$.*

Proof. We construct $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(M_Y)) = \{E_i, p_i^{i-1}, F_j, j_k, p_i; Y\}$ by induction. $Y \rightarrow E_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} F_1$ comes for free because E_0 and F_1 are the appropriate $gEMs$ and maps between spaces and products of $K(Z_p, m)$'s and $K(Z, n)$'s are determined by morphisms in \mathcal{UM} . Construct $\Omega F_1 \xrightarrow{j_1} E_0 \xrightarrow{p_1^0} F_1$ by pulling back the path-loop fibration $\Omega F_1 \rightarrow PF_1 \rightarrow F_1$.

Clearly p_1^0 satisfies (a) through (f) of Theorem 1.2 and so we can conclude that $H^*(E_1; Z_p) = U(\text{coker } f_1^*|_{X_1}) \otimes U(\Omega \ker f_1^*|_{X_1})$. However $f_1^* = d_0$ on X_1 and $\text{coker } d_0 = M_Y$. Hence $H^*(E_1; Z_p) = U(M_Y) \otimes U(\Omega \ker d_0)$ as an algebra over Z_p . Construct $p_1: Y \rightarrow E_1$ as a lifting of p_0 to the fibration; p_1 exists since $(f_1 \circ p_0)^* = \varepsilon \circ d_0 = 0$. To obtain the $\mathcal{A}(p)$ -algebra structure of $H^*(E_1; Z_p)$ we observe that the fundamental sequence for p_1^0 splits by the map p_1^* .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & U(M_Y) & \xrightarrow{(p_1^0)^*} & N_1 & \xrightarrow{j_1^*} & \Omega \ker d_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & \searrow & \downarrow p_1^* & & \\
 & & & & & & U(M_Y)
 \end{array}$$

Thus $H^*(E_1; Z_p) = U(M_Y) \otimes U(\Omega \ker d_0)$ as an algebra over $\mathcal{A}(p)$.

Now $0 \rightarrow \ker d_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \ker d_0 \rightarrow 0$ is exact from the resolution. Since everything in sight is a free λ -module, by Proposition 1.1, $0 \rightarrow \Omega \ker d_1 \rightarrow \Omega X_2 \rightarrow \Omega \ker d_0 \rightarrow 0$ is also exact. Using the splitting of the fundamental sequence and the fact that F_2 is a $gEMs$, we can choose $f_2: E_1 \rightarrow F_2$ such that $(f_2 \circ j_1)^* = \Omega d_1$.

The inductive step simply repeats this procedure for f_n to obtain E_{n+1} and f_{n+1} .

The role of the space Y in this construction is vital since the splitting of the fundamental sequence depends on the map $p_s: Y \rightarrow E_s$. This splitting will play a crucial role in the obstruction theory.

Recall that a graded module is n -connected if $M_k = 0$ for $k \leq n$. Let M be in \mathcal{UM} and $\mathcal{L}(M): 0 \leftarrow M \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} X_0 \xleftarrow{d_0} X_1 \xleftarrow{d_1} X_2 \leftarrow \dots$ a resolution of M in \mathcal{UM} . We will call $\mathcal{L}(M)$ convergent if $\Omega^s X_s$ is $f(s)$ -connected for all s and $f(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Using minimal resolutions and allowing modules $MK(Z, n)$ in the construction of re-

solutions we can guarantee the existence of convergent resolutions for most $M \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}$.

Now suppose Y and M_Y are as above and $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$ is a convergent resolution of M_Y . Note $\lim_{\rightarrow} \Omega^s \ker d_{s-1} \subset \lim_{\rightarrow} \Omega^s X_s = 0$. Hence $\lim_{\rightarrow} H^*(E_s; Z_p) = \lim_{\rightarrow} [U(M_Y) \otimes U(\Omega^s \ker d_{s-1})] = U(M_Y)$. If we let $p_\infty = \lim_{\leftarrow} p_s: Y \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow} E_s$ be the inverse limit of the realization of $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$, then $p_\infty^*: H^*(\lim_{\leftarrow} E_s; Z_p) \rightarrow H^*(Y; Z_p)$ is an isomorphism. Thus p_∞ induces a homotopy equivalence $(\lim_{\leftarrow} E_s)_{(p)} \cong Y_{(p)}$ where $W_{(p)}$ is the mod p localization of the space W . In this way we can think of a realization of a convergent resolution as a successive approximation to the space Y at the prime p .

2. The obstruction theory. In this section we will assume that Y and Y' are two very nice spaces with modules M_Y and $M_{Y'}$ in $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}$ such that $H^*(Y; Z_p) = U(M_Y)$ and $H^*(Y'; Z_p) = U(M_{Y'})$. Let $\mathcal{L}(M_Y): 0 \leftarrow M_Y \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} X_0 \xleftarrow{d_0} X_1 \xleftarrow{d_1} \dots$ and $\mathcal{L}(M_{Y'}): 0 \leftarrow M_{Y'} \xleftarrow{\varepsilon'} X'_0 \xleftarrow{d'_0} X'_1 \xleftarrow{d'_1} \dots$ denote resolutions of M_Y and $M_{Y'}$ in $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}$. Because we have been liberal in our choices of modules to use in the construction of resolutions we need a definition that provides the analogue of the defining property of projective resolutions. Suppose we have a morphism $g: M_{Y'} \rightarrow M_Y$ in $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}$. We will say that g lifts through the resolutions $\mathcal{L}(M_{Y'})$ and $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$ if there exist maps $g_i: X'_i \rightarrow X_i$ in $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}$ such that the following ladder commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longleftarrow & M_{Y'} & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon'} & X'_0 & \xleftarrow{d'_0} & X'_1 & \xleftarrow{d'_1} & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow g_0 & & \downarrow g_1 & & \\
 0 & \longleftarrow & M_Y & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} & X_0 & \xleftarrow{d_0} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

If $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$ is already a projective resolution, then any map can be lifted.

The focus of this section will be on the realizability of morphisms in $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}$. The following theorem indicates the effect of a realizable map on the realizations $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(M_Y))$ and $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(M_{Y'}))$.

THEOREM 2.1. ([10]). *Let $k: Y \rightarrow Y'$ be a map such that $k^*(M_{Y'}) \subset M_Y$ and k^* lifts through the resolutions. Let $\{k_j\}: \mathcal{L}(M_{Y'}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M_Y)$ be such a lift. Then there exists a map $\Phi: \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(M_Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(M_{Y'}))$ realizing the lift of k^* , that is, Φ is a collection $\{\phi_i: E_i \rightarrow E'_i, \psi_j: F_j \rightarrow F'_j\}$ satisfying the following:*

(2.1A) $\psi_j^* = U(\Omega^{j-1}k_j): U(\Omega^{j-1}X'_j) \rightarrow U(\Omega^{j-1}X_j)$. And the following diagrams commute up to homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & E'_i \\
 p_i^{i-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_i^{i-1} \\
 E_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{i-1}} & E'_{i-1}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \Omega F_i & \xrightarrow{\Omega \psi_i} & \Omega F'_i \\
 j_i \downarrow & & \downarrow j'_i \\
 E_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & E'_i
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 E_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & E'_i \\
 f_{i+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow f'_{i+1} \\
 F_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{i+1}} & F'_{i+1}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 Y & \xrightarrow{k} & Y' \\
 p_i \downarrow & & \downarrow p'_i \\
 E_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & E'_i
 \end{array}$$

This theorem illustrates the naturality (up to homotopy) of the constructions we have introduced thus far. We record two corollaries to this theorem.

The maps $\phi_n: E_n \rightarrow E'_n$ induce morphisms $\phi_n^*: N'_n \rightarrow N_n$ of the extensions in the fundamental sequences for the fibrations $'p_n^{n-1}$ and p_n^{n-1} . In the proof of Theorem 1.3 we observed that N'_n and N_n are split extensions. We ask then whether the morphisms ϕ_n^* respect this splitting. Combining 2.1D) and 2.1E) we get that $[f'_{n+1} \circ \phi_n \circ p_n] = [f_{n+1} \circ f_{n+1} \circ p_n] = 0$ in $[Y, F'_{n+1}]$. Thus $p_n^* \circ \phi_n^* \circ (f'_{n+1})^* = 0$ which implies that $\phi_n^*(\text{Im}(f'_{n+1})^*) \subset \ker p_n^*$. By construction $\text{Im}(f'_{n+1})^* = \Omega^n \ker d'_{n-1}$ and $\ker p_n^* = \Omega^n \ker d_{n-1}$. Thus $\phi_n^*: \Omega^n \ker d'_{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega^n \ker d_{n-1}$. From 2.1B) we obtain the following commutative diagram which implies $\phi_n^*: U(M_{Y'}) \rightarrow U(M_Y)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 \longrightarrow & U(M_{Y'}) & \xrightarrow{('p_n^{n-1})^*} N'_n \\
 & \downarrow \phi_{n-1}^* & \downarrow \phi_n^* \\
 0 \longrightarrow & U(M_Y) & \xrightarrow{(p_n^{n-1})^*} N_n
 \end{array}$$

COROLLARY 2.2. *The mappings $\phi_n: E_n \rightarrow E'_n$ induce morphisms of split extension $\phi_n^*: N'_n \rightarrow N_n$.*

Now suppose that Y is a primitively generated mod p H -space. The multiplication $m: Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ induces $m^*: U(M_Y) \rightarrow U(M_Y \oplus M_Y)$ such that $m^*(M_Y) \subset M_Y \oplus M_Y$. From Theorem 2.1 and the primitivity we have

COROLLARY 2.3. *For Y a primitively generated mod p H -space, the spaces E_n are mod p H -spaces and the maps $f_n: E_{n-1} \rightarrow F_n$ are H -maps.*

The next theorem obtains a partial converse to Theorem 2.1 and provides the basis for the obstruction theory.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $g: M_{Y'} \rightarrow M_Y$ be given such that g lifts through the resolutions $\mathcal{L}(M_{Y'})$ and $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$ and let $\{g_i: X'_i \rightarrow X_i\}$ be such a lift. Suppose $\mathcal{L}(M_{Y'})$ and $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$ are convergent resolutions and $\Phi = \{\phi_i: E_i \rightarrow E'_i, \psi_j: F_j \rightarrow F'_j\}: \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(M_Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{L}(M_{Y'}))$ is a map of realizations satisfying 2.1A, B, C and D. Then there exists a map $G: Y_{(p)} \rightarrow Y'_{(p)}$ such that $G^*|_{M_{Y'}} = g$.*

Proof. Let $E_\infty = \varprojlim \{E_i, p_i^{i-1}\}$, $E'_\infty = \varprojlim \{E'_i, p_i^{i-1}\}$. Applying a theorem of J. Cohen [4] to the inverse systems of homotopy commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y & \xrightarrow{p_{i+1}} & E_{i+1} & & E_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{i+1}} & E'_{i+1} & & Y' & \xrightarrow{p'_{i+1}} & E'_{i+1} \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow p_{i+1}^{i+1} & & p_{i+1}^i \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{i+1}^{i+1} & & \parallel & & \downarrow p_{i+1}^{i+1} \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{p_i} & E_i & & E_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & E'_i & & Y' & \xrightarrow{p'_i} & E'_i
 \end{array}$$

we may choose maps $p_\infty: Y \rightarrow E_\infty$, $p'_\infty: Y' \rightarrow E'_\infty$ and $\phi_\infty: E_\infty \rightarrow E'_\infty$ such that the following diagram commutes up to homotopy

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y & \xrightarrow{p_\infty} & E_\infty & \xrightarrow{\phi_\infty} & E'_\infty & \xrightarrow{p'_\infty} & Y' \\
 & \searrow p_0 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \swarrow p'_0 \\
 & & E_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi_0} & E'_0 & & .
 \end{array}$$

If we localize everything in sight at the prime p we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{p_\infty} & E_{\infty(p)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_\infty} & E'_{\infty(p)} & \xleftarrow{p'_\infty} & Y'_{(p)} \\
 & \searrow p_0 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \swarrow p'_0 \\
 & & E_{0(p)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_0} & E'_{0(p)} & & .
 \end{array}$$

where the maps are understood to be localized. By the assumption that $\mathcal{L}(M_{Y'})$ and $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$ are convergent, $p_\infty: Y_{(p)} \cong E_{\infty(p)}$ and $p'_\infty: Y'_{(p)} \cong E'_{\infty(p)}$. Let q'_∞ denote a homotopy inverse of p'_∞ and define $G = q'_\infty \circ \phi_\infty \circ p_\infty$. This gives the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{G} & Y'_{(p)} \\
 p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p'_0 \\
 E_{0(p)} & \xrightarrow{\phi_0} & E'_{0(p)} .
 \end{array}$$

Now apply $H^*(; Z_p)$. From the properties of the mod p localization we get the following commutative diagram in \mathcal{UM} after restriction.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0' & \xrightarrow{g_0} & X_0 \\ \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon' \\ M_{Y'} & \xrightarrow{G^*} & M_Y \end{array}$$

Since ε and ε' are epimorphisms, by cancellation we have $G^*|_{M_{Y'}} = g$.

Now fix a morphism $g: M_{Y'} \rightarrow M_Y$ in \mathcal{UM} . We will assume that g can be lifted through $\mathcal{L}(M_{Y'})$ and $\mathcal{L}(M_Y)$ and that the resolutions are convergent. Because we have taken the F_i and F'_i to be $gEMs$ the lifting $\{g_i: X'_i \rightarrow X_i\}$ gives rise to a collection of maps $\{\psi_i: F_i \rightarrow F'_i\}$ such that $\psi_i^* = U(\Omega^{i-1}g_i)$. Theorem 2.1 motivates the following

DEFINITION 2.5. Let $\gamma: E_n \rightarrow E'_n$. We will say that γ is an n -realizer for g if

2.5a_n for $0 \leq i < n$ there exists $\phi_i: E_i \rightarrow E'_i$ such that ϕ_i is an i -realizer and (2.1B) holds. Also the following diagrams homotopy commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_n & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & E'_{n-1} \\ p_n^{n-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_n^{n-1} \\ E_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} & E'_{n-1} \end{array} \quad \text{2.5b}_n \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega F_n & \xrightarrow{\Omega \psi_n} & \Omega F'_n \\ j_n \downarrow & & \downarrow j'_n \\ E_n & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & E'_n \end{array} \quad \text{2.5c}_n$$

$$\text{2.5d}_n \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E_n & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & E'_n \\ f_{n+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow f'_{n+1} \\ F_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{n+1}} & F'_{n+1} \end{array}$$

From the definition of a realization of a resolution, everything at the 0-level is a $gEMs$ and so the existence of a 0-realizer comes for free. Suppose we have an $(n - 1)$ -realizer ϕ_{n-1} . We now construct a particular candidate for γ an n -realizer. By 2.5d_n there is a homotopy $H: E_{n-1} \times I \rightarrow F'_n$ such that $H(x, 0) = f'_n \circ \phi_{n-1}(x)$ and $H(x, 1) = \psi_n \circ f_n(x)$. Recall that $E_n = \{(\lambda, x) | \lambda \in PF'_n, x \in E_{n-1} \text{ and } \lambda(1) = f'_n(x)\}$ and E'_n is the analogous subset of $PF'_n \times E'_{n-1}$. Define $\gamma: E_n \rightarrow E'_n$ by $\gamma(\lambda, x) = (\lambda_H, \phi_{n-1}(x))$ where λ_H is the path

$$\lambda_H(t) = \begin{cases} \psi_n \circ \lambda(2t), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ H(x, 2 - 2t), & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Since $\lambda_H(1) = H(x, 0) = f'_n(\phi_{n-1}(x))$, $(\lambda_H, \phi_{n-1}(x))$ is in E'_n and so γ is well-defined. It is easy to show that γ is continuous and satisfies 2.5a_n, b_n and c_n. The fundamental sequences of p_n^{n-1} and $'p_n^{n-1}$ give us the key to condition 2.5d_n.

THEOREM 2.6. *The obstruction to γ being an n -realizer is the class $[f'_{n+1} \circ \gamma \circ p_n]$ in $[Y, F'_{n+1}]$.*

Proof. Consider the diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{p_n} & E_n & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & E'_n \\ & & \downarrow f_{n+1} & & \downarrow f'_{n+1} \\ & & F_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\psi'_{n+1}} & F'_{n+1} . \end{array}$$

From the construction of a realization $f_{n+1} \circ p_n \cong *$; if $[f'_{n+1} \circ \gamma \circ p_n] \neq 0$, 2.5d_n has no chance of being satisfied. Suppose $[f'_{n+1} \circ \gamma \circ p_n] = 0$. Then $p_n^* \circ \gamma^* \circ (f'_{n+1})^* = 0$ which implies $\gamma^*((f'_{n+1})^*(\Omega^n X'_{n+1}))$ is contained $\ker p_n^*|_{N_n} = \Omega^n \ker d_{n-1}$. Since $(f'_{n+1})^*(\Omega^n X'_{n+1}) = \Omega^n \ker d'_{n-1}$, it follows that $\gamma^*(\Omega^n \ker d'_{n-1}) \subset \Omega^n \ker d_{n-1}$. By 2.5c_n and the naturality of the fundamental sequence we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^n X'_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{(f'_{n+1})^*} & \Omega^n \ker d'_{n-1} \\ \downarrow \Omega^n g_{n+1} & & \downarrow \gamma^* \\ \Omega^n X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{(f_{n+1})^*} & \Omega^n \ker d_{n-1} . \end{array}$$

Since F_{n+1} and F'_{n+1} are $gEMs$ the commutativity of this square implies 2.5d_n and hence γ is an n -realizer.

Observe that $[Y, F'_{n+1}] = H^*(Y; \pi_*(F'_{n+1}))$; this with Theorem 2.1 gives

THEOREM 2.7. *γ is an n -realizer if and only if $[f'_{n+1} \circ \gamma \circ p_n] = 0$ in $H^*(Y; \pi_*(F'_{n+1}))$.*

The map γ as constructed above was a single candidate for an n -realizer. Since $'p_n^{n-1}: E'_n \rightarrow E'_{n-1}$ is a principal fibration we can vary γ by the principal action $\mu: \Omega F'_n \times E'_n \rightarrow E'_n$. That is, if $\zeta \in [E_n, E'_n]$ and $['p_n^{n-1} \circ \zeta] = ['p_n^{n-1} \circ \gamma] = [\phi_{n-1} \circ p_n^{n-1}]$ then there exists a $w \in [E_n, \Omega F'_n]$ such that $[\mu \circ (w \times \gamma) \circ \Delta] = [\zeta]$ in $[E_n, E'_n]$. If ζ is a map obtained in this manner from γ and the principal action, then ζ satisfies 2.5a_n, b_n and c_n and hence Theorems 2.6 and 2.7 hold when γ is replaced by ζ .

Suppose we are given an $(n - 1)$ -realizer. Define $\Gamma_n: [E_n, \Omega F'_n] \rightarrow$

$[Y, F'_{n+1}]$ to be the composite $[E_n, \Omega F'_n] \xrightarrow{\mu_{\#}(-, \gamma)} [E_n, E'_n] \xrightarrow{(f'_{n+1})_{\#}} [E_n, F'_{n+1}] \xrightarrow{p_{\#}} [Y, F'_{n+1}]$ where $F'_n[q] = [q \circ F]$ and $F'^n[q] = [F' \circ q]$. By the previous paragraph the obstructions determined by all possible candidates for an n -realizer for g lie in the image of Γ_n in $[Y, F'_{n+1}]$. Let $\mathcal{O}_n(g)$ denote the image of Γ_n .

THEOREM 2.8. *Given an $(n - 1)$ -realizer for g , it extends to an n -realizer for g if and only if $0 \in \mathcal{O}_n(g) \subset H^*(Y, \pi_*(F'_{n+1}))$.*

If an n -realizer exists for all n , then by Theorem 2.4 we have that g is realizable. From this and Theorem 2.1 we conclude

THEOREM 2.9. *g is realizable if and only if, for all n , $0 \in \mathcal{O}_n(g)$.*

In [6] Harper proves that the principal action, $\mu: \Omega F'_n \times E'_n \rightarrow E'_n$ is primitive in the following sense: If $H^*(E'_n; Z_p) = U(N'_n)$ and $y \in N'_n$ then $\mu^*(y) = 1 \otimes y + (j'_n)^*(y) \otimes 1$ in $H^*(\Omega F'_n; Z_p) \otimes H^*(E'_n; Z_p)$. From the definition of a realization of a resolution, the map $f'_{n+1} \circ j'_n: \Omega F'_n \rightarrow F'_{n+1}$ is determined by $\Omega^n d_n: \Omega^n X_{n+1} \rightarrow \Omega^n X_n$. Since $\Omega F'_n$ and F'_{n+1} are $gEMs$, the map $f'_{n+1} \circ j'_n$ determines a primary operation $\Xi_n: H^*(; \pi_*(\Omega F'_n)) \rightarrow H^*(; \pi_*(F'_{n+1}))$. Utilizing Harper's result we obtain

THEOREM 2.10. *$\mathcal{O}_n(g)$ is the coset $[f'_{n+1} \circ \gamma \circ p_n] + \Xi_n H^*(Y; \pi_*(\Omega F'_n))$ in $H^*(Y; \pi_*(F'_{n+1}))$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we will assume $F'_{n+1} = K(Z_p, m)$ and so take $[f'_{n+1}] = v$, a homogeneous class in N'_n . An arbitrary class ξ in $\mathcal{O}_n(g)$ may be written as the composite

$$Y \xrightarrow{p_n} E_n \xrightarrow{\Delta} E_n \times E_n \xrightarrow{w \times \gamma} \Omega F'_n \times E'_n \xrightarrow{\mu} E'_n \xrightarrow{f'_{n+1}} E'_{n+1}$$

where w is in $[E_n, F'_n]$. Thus $\xi = [f'_{n+1} \circ \mu(w, \gamma) \circ \Delta \circ p_n] = p_n^* \circ \Delta^* \circ (w^* \otimes \gamma^*) \circ \mu^*(v)$. By Harper's result we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= p_n^* \circ \Delta^* \circ (w^* \otimes \gamma^*)(1 \otimes v + (j'_n)^*(v) \otimes 1) \\ &= p_n^* \circ \Delta^*(1 \otimes \gamma^*(v) + w^* \circ (j'_n)^*(v) \otimes 1) \\ &= p_n^*(\gamma^*(v) + w^* \circ (j'_n)^*(v)) \\ &= p_n^* \circ \gamma^*(v) + p_n^* \circ w^* \circ (j'_n)^*(v) \\ &= [f'_{n+1} \circ \gamma \circ p_n] + [f'_{n+1} \circ j'_n \circ w \circ p_n] \\ &= [f'_{n+1} \circ \gamma \circ p_n] + \Xi_n[w \circ p_n]. \end{aligned}$$

If we let w vary over $[E_n, \Omega F'_n] = H^*(E_n; \pi_*(\Omega F'_n))$ we obtain all of the set $\mathcal{O}_n(g)$. Hence we can write $\mathcal{O}_n(g) = [f'_{n+1} \circ \gamma \circ p_n] + p_n^* \Xi_n H^*(E_n; \pi_*(\Omega F'_n))$. Now observe that $p_n^* \circ \Xi_n = \Xi_n \circ p_n^*$ because

primary cohomology operations are natural. Furthermore p_n^* takes $H^*(E_n; \pi_*(\Omega F'_n))$ onto $H^*(Y; \pi_*(\Omega F'_n))$. Thus we can write $\mathcal{O}_n(g) = [f'_{n+1} \circ \gamma \circ p_n^*] + \Xi_n H^*(Y; \pi_*(\Omega F'_n))$.

Observe that if Ξ_n is trivial on $H^*(Y; \pi_*(\Omega F'_n))$, then the only obstruction to the existence of an n -realizer for g is the class $[f'_{n+1} \circ \gamma \circ p_n^*]$.

3. Applications. It is a consequence of Borel's structure theorem for Hopf algebras that if Y is an H -space without p -torsion in its integral cohomology then $H^*(Y; Z_p) = \Lambda(x_{2n_1+1}, \dots, x_{2n_1+1})$ where $\dim x_r = r$. For those primes for which \mathcal{P}^1 acts trivially on $H^*(Y; Z_p)$, Y shares the same cohomology as the space $S_p(Y) = S^{2n_1+1} \times \dots \times S^{2n_1+1}$. If there is a map $S_p(Y) \rightarrow Y$ inducing an isomorphism in mod p cohomology then, from the theory of localization, $S_p(Y)_{(p)}$ and $Y_{(p)}$ are homotopy-equivalent and the mod p homotopy information about Y is determined by the product space $S_p(Y)_{(p)}$. If such a map exists, we call the prime p *regular* for Y .

Now consider those primes for which \mathcal{P}^1 is the only element of $\mathcal{A}(p)$ to act nontrivially on $H^*(Y; Z_p)$. Mimura and Toda [14] have introduced complexes, $B_m(p)$, which are sphere bundles over spheres with cohomology $H^*(B_m(p); Z_p) = \Lambda(x_{2m+1}, \mathcal{P}^1 x_{2m+1})$. If \mathcal{P}^1 acts nontrivially we can ask whether or not Y "looks like" a product of spheres and $B_m(p)$'s at the prime p . More precisely, if $H^*(Y; Z_p) = \Lambda(x_{2m_1+1}, \mathcal{P}^1 x_{2m_1+1}, \dots, x_{2m_k+1}, \mathcal{P}^1 x_{2m_k+1}, x_{2m_{k+1}+1}, \dots, x_{2m_s+1})$, then we wish a map $K_p(Y) \rightarrow Y$ which induces an isomorphism in mod p cohomology where $K_p(Y) = \prod_{i=1}^k B_{m_i}(p) \times \prod_{j=k+1}^s S^{2m_j+1}$. If such a map exists, $K_p(Y)_{(p)} \cong Y_{(p)}$ and we say that p is *quasi-regular* for Y .

We can translate these questions of regularity and quasi-regularity into questions about the realizability of morphisms in $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{M}$ by observing that $H^*(Y; Z_p) = \Lambda(x_{2n_1+1}, \dots, x_{2n_1+1}) = U(M_Y)$ where M_Y is a direct sum of modules $\text{Tr}(2m_j + 1) = \{x_{2m_j+1}\}$ and $MB_{m_i}(p) = \{x_{2m_i+1}, \mathcal{P}^1 x_{2m_i+1}\}$. As unstable algebras, $H^*(Y, Z_p) \cong H^*(K_p(Y); Z_p) \cong U(M_Y)$ so we can ask if there is a map $R_p: K_p(Y)_{(p)} \rightarrow Y_{(p)}$ which realizes the map of modules $\text{id}: M_Y \rightarrow M_Y$. The existence of such a map implies that $K_p(Y)_{(p)} \cong Y_{(p)}$ as desired.

The strategy of the proofs of Theorems A and B will be to employ the obstruction theory to realize each projection from the direct sum, $M_Y \rightarrow \text{Tr}(2m_j + 1)$ or $M_Y \rightarrow MB_{m_i}(p)$ by a map $r_j: S_{(p)}^{2m_j+1} \rightarrow Y_{(p)}$ or $r_i: B_{m_i}(p)_{(p)} \rightarrow Y_{(p)}$. We then consider the composite map

$$R_p: B_{m_1}(p)_{(p)} \times \dots \times B_{m_k}(p)_{(p)} \times S_{(p)}^{2m_{k+1}+1} \times \dots \times S_{(p)}^{2m_s+1} \xrightarrow{r_1 \times \dots \times r_k \times r_{k+1} \times \dots \times r_s} Y_{(p)} \times Y_{(p)} \times \dots \times Y_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\xi_s} Y_{(p)}$$

where $\xi_s(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_s) = (\dots((y_1 \cdot y_2) \cdot y_3) \dots) \cdot y_s$ is induced by the

Proof of Theorem A. Recall that the dimension of $\mathcal{P}^1 x_r$ is $r + 2(p - 1)$. If

$$\begin{aligned} r = 2n_i + 1 \text{ then } r + 2(p - 1) &= 2n_i + 1 + 2(p - 1) \geq 2n_i + 1 + 2(n_i - n_1 + 1) \\ &= 2n_i + 3 + 2n_i - 2n_1 \\ &> 2n_i + 1 \end{aligned}$$

since $n_1 \leq n_i$ for all i . The image of a primitive under the action of $\mathcal{A}(p)$ is also primitive and since all of the primitives lie in dimensions less than or equal to $2n_i + 1$, then we can see that \mathcal{P}^1 acts trivially on $H^*(Y; Z_p)$. Thus $H^*(Y; Z_p) = U(M_Y)$ where $M_Y = \text{Tr}(2n_1 + 1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Tr}(2n_i + 1)$.

Suppose we wish to realize a projection $M_Y \rightarrow \text{Tr}(2n_i + 1)$ by a map $S_{(p)}^{2n_i+1} \rightarrow Y_{(p)}$. From table 1 we see that the lowest dimension in which an obstruction may occur is $2n_i + 4p - 3$. The inequality $p \geq n_i - n_1 + 2$ implies $2n_i + 4p - 3 > 2n_i + 1$ and so any obstruction must vanish since the $(2n_i + 1)$ -sphere has cohomology only in dimension $2n_i + 1$. Hence there is a map $S_{(p)}^{2n_i+1} \rightarrow Y_{(p)}$ realizing each projection $M_Y \rightarrow \text{Tr}(2n_i + 1)$. By the discussion in the beginning of the section, this proves the theorem.

Before proving Theorem C, we first observe the following

LEMMA 4.1. *If Y and Y' are mod p H -spaces whose cohomology is primitively generated and if Y and Y' are very nice spaces and $g: M_Y \rightarrow M_{Y'}$, a morphism in \mathcal{UM} , then the class $[f'_2 \circ \gamma \circ p_1] \in \mathcal{O}_1(g)$ is primitive.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.3, E_1 and E'_1 are mod p H -spaces and f_1^2 is an H -map. From 2.1E) we see that $p_1: Y \rightarrow E_1$ is an H -map. It suffices to note that γ is an H -map. However this is clear since γ lifts the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E'_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & E_0 \\ f'_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 \\ F'_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & F_1 \end{array}$$

and the assumption that Y and Y' are primitively generated gives that ϕ_0, f'_1, f_1 and ψ_1 are all H -maps.

Proof of Theorem B. The spaces $B_{n_i}(p)$ have nonzero cohomology in dimensions $2n_i + 1, 2n_i + 1 + 2(p - 1)$ and $2(2n_i + 1) + 2(p - 1)$. When $p \geq 5$ the spaces $B_{n_i}(p)$ are mod p H -spaces [12] and so we need only consider primitives as \mathcal{O}_1 obstructions. The inequality

$2p > n_i - n_1 + 2$ implies that the first obstructions to realizing maps $M_Y \rightarrow MB_{n_i}(p)$ or $M_Y \rightarrow \text{Tr}(2n_j + 1)$ lie in dimensions larger than $2n_1 + 1$ and hence vanish for dimension reasons.

Now observe that the inequality $2p > n_i - n_1 + 2$ guarantees that the highest dimension in which a product class $x_r \cup \mathcal{P}^1 x_r$ can occur is less than $6p - 6$. Thus the \mathcal{C}_2 obstructions all vanish for dimension reasons. Since any higher obstructions lie in still higher dimensions, we have that any projection $M_Y \rightarrow MB_{n_i}(p)$ can be realized. Similarly any projection $M_Y \rightarrow \text{Tr}(2n_j + 1)$ can be realized. This completes the proof of Theorem B.

We add that more can be said when the mod p cohomology data for Y is known. In [11] the author obtains results of Mimura and Toda [14] on the quasi-regularity of primes for compact Lie groups without the need of the restriction $p \geq 5$.

REFERENCES

1. W. D. Barcus, *On a theorem of Massey and Peterson*, Quart. J. Math., (2) **19** (1968), 33-41.
2. A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Pairings and products in the homotopy spectral sequence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **177** (1973), 319-343.
3. H. Cartan, *Séminaire, Algèbres d'Eilenberg-MacLane et Homotopie*, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris (1954/55).
4. J. M. Cohen, *Homotopy groups of inverse limits*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **27** (1973), 159-177.
5. J. Harper, *Mod p decompositions of finite H -spaces*, LNM No. 428, Springer (1974), 44-51.
6. ———, *H -spaces with torsion*, preprint.
7. H. Hopf, *Über die topologie des Gruppen Mannigfaltigkeiten und ihren Verallgen einerungen*, Ann. Math., **42** (1941), 22-52.
8. P. G. Kumpel, *Lie groups and products of spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **16** (1965), 1350-1356.
9. ———, *Mod p equivalences of mod p H -spaces*, Quart. J. Math., **23** (1972), 173-178.
10. W. S. Massey and F. P. Peterson, *On the mod 2 cohomology structure of certain fibre spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Memoirs, **74** (1967).
11. J. McCleary, *Obstructions to realization of morphisms between modules over the Steenrod algebra for very nice spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, Temple University (1978).
12. M. Mimura, *On the mod p H -structures of spherical fibrations*, Manifolds-Tokyo 1973, University of Tokyo Press (1975), 273-278.
13. M. Mimura, G. Nishida and H. Toda, *Mod p decompositions of compact Lie groups*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **13** (1977), 627-680.
14. M. Mimura and H. Toda, *Cohomology operations and the homotopy of compact Lie groups-I*, Topology, **9** (1970), 317-336.
15. J. P. Serre, *Groupes d'homotopie et classes des groups abéliens*, Ann. Math., **58** (1953), 258-294.
16. C. Wilkerson, *Mod p decompositions of mod p H -spaces*, LNM No. 428, Springer (1974), 52-57.
17. A. Zabrodsky, *On rank 2 mod odd H -spaces*, *New developments in topology*, ed. G. Segal, Cambridge University Press, (1974), 119-128.

388

JOHN MCCLEARY

Received December 14, 1978.

VASSAR COLLEGE
POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12601